

SOME PROPERTIES OF DERIVED HOPF ALGEBRAS OF λ -MODIFIED DIFFERENTIAL HOPF ALGEBRAS

ZEN-ICHI YOSIMURA

(Received July 3, 1971)

In [1] we defined a λ -modified differential Hopf algebra A (or simply a (d, λ) -Hopf algebra) and introduced the derived Hopf algebra $\Phi_\lambda(A) = \Psi_\lambda(A)$, maps $\xi_\lambda, \eta_\lambda$, etc., in order to characterize coprimitivity and primitivity of A . In this note we study some properties of the derived Hopf algebra. Definitions and notations are referred to [1] in the present work.

1. Throughout the present work we understand that K is a field of characteristic $p \neq 0$, $\lambda \in K$ and all modules are G_2 -modules over K unless otherwise stated.

Let M be a differential G_2 -module. Suppose p is odd.

For each (j, k) , $1 \leq j, k \leq p$, consider the map

$$1 + \lambda d_j d_k : M^{\otimes p} \rightarrow M^{\otimes p}$$

where 1 is the identity map of $M^{\otimes p} = M \otimes \cdots \otimes M$ (p times) and d_i is the i -th partial differential of $M^{\otimes p}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$, [1], (2.2). Since the partial differential are anti-commutative we see immediately

- (1.1) i) $(1 + \lambda d_j d_k)(1 + \lambda d_i d_h) = (1 + \lambda d_i d_h)(1 + \lambda d_j d_k)$,
 ii) $(1 + \lambda d_j d_k)(1 + \lambda d_k d_j) = 1$,
 iii) $1 + \lambda d_j d_k$ is an automorphism of a differential G_2 -module,
 iv) $1 + \lambda d_j d_k$ is natural, i.e.,

$$(1 + \lambda d_j d_k) f^{\otimes p} = f^{\otimes p} (1 + \lambda d_j d_k)$$

for any map $f : M \rightarrow N$ of differential G_2 -modules.

We define a natural automorphism

$$B_{p,\lambda} : M^{\otimes p} \rightarrow M^{\otimes p}$$

by

$$(1.2) \quad B_{p,\lambda} = \prod_{1 \leq j, k \leq p, k-j \geq (p+1)/2} (1 + \lambda d_j d_k)$$

as the composition of maps $1 + \lambda d_j d_k$.

By (1.1) ii) $B_{p,-\lambda}$ is the inverse morphism of $B_{p,\lambda}$.

Let $C_p : M^{\otimes p} \rightarrow M^{\otimes p}$ be the cyclic permutation and $C_{p,\lambda} : M^{\otimes p} \rightarrow M^{\otimes p}$ be the λ -modified cyclic permutation, [1], 3.3.. As is easily seen we have

$$(1.3) \quad d_1 C_p = C_p d_p, \quad d_{i+1} C_p = C_p d_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq p-1 \quad \text{and} \quad C_{p,\lambda} = (1 + \lambda d_1 d) C_p.$$

Then we obtain

(1.4) **Lemma.** *The following relation*

$$C_{p,\lambda} B_{p,\lambda} = B_{p,\lambda} C_p$$

holds. In particular, $B_{p,\lambda}(x^{\otimes p})$ is $C_{p,\lambda}$ -fixed for any $x \in A$.

Proof. Making use of (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_{p,\lambda} B_{p,\lambda} &= (1 + \lambda d_1 d) C_p \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq p, k-j \geq (p+1)/2} (1 + \lambda d_j d_k) \\ &= \prod_{2 \leq j \leq (p+1)/2} (1 + \lambda d_1 d_j) \prod_{(p+3)/2 \leq k \leq p} (1 + \lambda d_1 d_k) \\ &\quad \prod_{2 \leq j < k \leq p, k-j \geq (p+1)/2} (1 + \lambda d_j d_k) \prod_{2 \leq j \leq (p+1)/2} (1 + \lambda d_j d_1) C_p \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq p, k-j \geq (p+1)/2} (1 + \lambda d_j d_k) C_p = B_{p,\lambda} C_p. \end{aligned} \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

REMARK. In [1], (5.10) we proved that there exists an element $b_{p,\lambda}(x)$ such that $x^{\otimes p} + b_{p,\lambda}(x)$ is $C_{p,\lambda}$ -fixed. Putting $B_{p,\lambda}(x^{\otimes p}) = x^{\otimes p} + b_{p,\lambda}(x)$, the above lemma describes $b_{p,\lambda}(x)$ explicitly.

Put

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_0 &= 1 - C_p, \quad \Delta_\lambda = 1 - C_{p,\lambda}, \quad \tilde{\Delta}_0 = 1 - C_p \otimes C_p, \\ \Sigma_0 &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} C_p^k, \quad \Sigma_\lambda = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} C_{p,\lambda}^k \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Sigma}_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} C_p^k \otimes C_p^k. \end{aligned}$$

For a differential G_2 -module M we put

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_0(M) &= \text{Ker } \Delta_0 / \text{Im } \Sigma_0, & \Phi_\lambda(M) &= \text{Ker } \Delta_\lambda / \text{Im } \Sigma_\lambda, \\ \Psi_0(M) &= \text{Ker } \Sigma_0 / \text{Im } \Delta_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_\lambda(M) &= \text{Ker } \Sigma_\lambda / \text{Im } \Delta_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.4) the map $B_{p,\lambda}$ induces natural isomorphisms

$$(1.5) \quad \Phi(B_{p,\lambda}) : \Phi_0(M) \rightarrow \Phi_\lambda(M) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(B_{p,\lambda}) : \Psi_0(M) \rightarrow \Psi_\lambda(M)$$

of G_2 -modules.

A permutation $U_p : (M \otimes M)^{\otimes p} \rightarrow M^{\otimes p} \otimes M^{\otimes p}$ and a λ -modified permutation $U_{p,\lambda} : (M \otimes M)^{\otimes p} \rightarrow M^{\otimes p} \otimes M^{\otimes p}$ are defined by

$$U_p = T_p(T_{p-1} T_{p+1}) \cdots (T_2 T_4 \cdots T_{2p-2})$$

and

$$U_{p,\lambda} = T_{p,\lambda}(T_{p-1,\lambda} T_{p+1,\lambda}) \cdots (T_{2,\lambda} T_{4,\lambda} \cdots T_{2p-2,\lambda})$$

where T_i is the i -th partial switching map and $T_{i,\lambda}$ is the i -th partial λ -modified switching map for $1 \leq i \leq 2p-1$, i.e., $T_{i,\lambda} = (1 + \lambda d_i d_{i+1}) T_i$, [1], (2.16).

Since $T_i d_i = d_{i+1} T_i$, $T_i d_{i+1} = d_i T_i$ and $T_i d_j = d_j T_i$ for $j \neq i, i+1$ we have the following relation

$$(1.6) \quad U_{p,\lambda} = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} (1 + \lambda d_k d_{p+j}) U_p.$$

2. Let p be a prime number and S_k be the set of k -tuples of integers defined by

$$S_k = \{(i_1, \dots, i_k); 0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq p-1\}, 1 \leq k < p.$$

Elements (i_1, \dots, i_k) and (i'_1, \dots, i'_k) of S_k are said to be related provided

$$(i_2 - i_1, \dots, i_k - i_1) = (i'_{j+1} - i'_j, \dots, i'_k - i'_j, p + i'_1 - i'_j, \dots, p + i'_{j-1} - i'_j)$$

for some j .

(2.1) *This relation is an equivalence relation.*

Proof. Denote by $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \sim_j (i'_1, \dots, i'_k)$ if $(i_2 - i_1, \dots, i_k - i_1) = (i'_{j+1} - i'_j, \dots, i'_k - i'_j, p + i'_1 - i'_j, \dots, p + i'_{j-1} - i'_j)$ for some j . Then we see immediately that $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \sim_1 (i_1, \dots, i_k)$, $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \sim_j (i'_1, \dots, i'_k)$ implies $(i'_1, \dots, i'_k) \sim_{k-j+2} (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ and $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \sim_j (i'_1, \dots, i'_k)$ and $(i'_1, \dots, i'_k) \sim_{j'} (i''_1, \dots, i''_k)$ imply $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \sim_{j+j'-1} (i''_1, \dots, i''_k)$.
q.e.d.

Let \tilde{S}_k be the quotient set of S_k defined by the above equivalence relation and $\pi : S_k \rightarrow \tilde{S}_k$ be the natural projection.

(2.2) **Lemma.** *If $\pi(i_1, \dots, i_k) = \pi(0, s_2, \dots, s_k)$ for $1 \leq k < p$, then there exists a unique integer j , $1 \leq j \leq k$, such that*

$$(s_2, \dots, s_k) = (i_{j+1} - i_j, \dots, i_k - i_j, p + i_1 - i_j, \dots, p + i_{j-1} - i_j).$$

Proof. By definition there exists a required integer j , $1 \leq j \leq k$. We shall show that such an integer j is unique when $1 \leq k < p$. Suppose that $(s_2, \dots, s_k) = (i_{j'+1} - i_{j'}, \dots, p + i_{j-1} - i_{j'}) = (i'_{j'+1} - i'_{j'}, \dots, p + i'_{j-1} - i'_{j'})$. Then we have

$$\sum_{t=2}^k s_t = (j-1)p + \sum_{t=1}^k i_t - k \cdot i_j = (j'-1)p + \sum_{t=1}^k i_t - k \cdot i_{j'}.$$

Hence $(j-j')p = k(i_j - i_{j'})$ and $j = j'$. q.e.d.

We may choose elements of form $(0, s_2, \dots, s_k)$ as representatives of the above equivalence classes in S_k because $\pi(i_1, \dots, i_k) = \pi(0, i_2 - i_1, \dots, i_k - i_1)$. We identify this set of representatives with \tilde{S}_k . Using (2.2) we have the correspondence τ_k between S_k and $\tilde{S}_k \times Z_p$, $1 \leq k < p$, defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \tau_k(i_1, \dots, i_k) = ((0, s_2, \dots, s_k), i_j)$$

where $(0, s_2, \dots, s_k)$ is the representative of $\pi(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ and $(s_2, \dots, s_k) = (i_{j+1} - i_j, \dots, i_k - i_j, p + i_1 - i_j, \dots, p + i_{j-1} - i_j)$.

(2.4) **Lemma.** τ_k is a one to one correspondence.

Proof. Suppose that $\tau_k(i_1, \dots, i_k) = \tau_k(i'_1, \dots, i'_k)$, i.e., $\pi(i_1, \dots, i_k) = \pi(i'_1, \dots, i'_k)$ and $i_j = i'_j$. Then

$$(i_{j+1}, \dots, i_k, p + i_1, \dots, p + i_{j-1}) = (i'_{j+1}, \dots, i'_k, p + i'_1, \dots, p + i'_{j-1}),$$

hence $(i_1, \dots, i_k) = (i'_1, \dots, i'_k)$. And also

$$\tau_k(s_{j+1} + i - p, \dots, s_k + i - p, i, s_2 + i, \dots, s_j + i) = ((0, s_2, \dots, s_k), i)$$

for $p - s_{j+1} \leq i < p - s_j$. Therefore τ_k is one to one. q.e.d.

(2.4) means that a equivalence class in S_k , $1 \leq k < p$, is a subset which consists of just p elements.

Let M be a differential G_2 -module over K , $\text{char } K = p$ and $t : M \rightarrow M$ be a map of period p , i.e., $t^p = 1$. Put $\Delta = 1 - t$ and $\Sigma = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} t^i$. We consider maps $x_i : M \rightarrow M$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, such that

$$(2.5) \quad x_1 t = t x_p, x_{i+1} t = t x_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq p-1 \text{ and } x_i x_j = x_j x_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq p.$$

If $\tau_k(i_1, \dots, i_k) = ((0, s_2, \dots, s_k), i_j)$ it follows immediately from (2.5) that

$$t^i x_1 x_{s_2+1} \cdots x_{s_k+1} t^{p-i} = x_{i_1+1} \cdots x_{i_k+1}.$$

Denote by σ_k the k -th elementary symmetric polynomial of p variables. Since τ_k is one to one by (2.4) we can express $\sigma_k(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ as

$$\sigma_k(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \sum_{(0, s_2, \dots, s_k) \in \tilde{S}_k} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} t^i x_1 x_{s_2+1} \cdots x_{s_k+1} t^{p-i}$$

for $1 \leq k < p$. As is easily seen we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} t^i x_1 x_{s_2+1} \cdots x_{s_k+1} t^{p-i} (\text{Ker } \Delta) \subset \text{Im } \Sigma$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} t^i x_1 x_{s_2+1} \cdots x_{s_k+1} t^{p-i} (\text{Ker } \Sigma) \subset \text{Im } \Delta.$$

Hence

$$\sigma_k(x_1, \dots, x_p) (\text{Ker } \Delta) \subset \text{Im } \Sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_k(x_1, \dots, x_p) (\text{Ker } \Sigma) \subset \text{Im } \Delta$$

for $1 \leq k < p$. Thus we obtain

(2.6) **Lemma.**

$$(1+x_1)\cdots(1+x_p) | \text{Ker } \Delta \equiv 1+x_1\cdots x_p \pmod{\text{Im } \Sigma}$$

and

$$(1+x_1)\cdots(1+x_p) | \text{Ker } \Sigma \equiv 1+x_1\cdots x_p \pmod{\text{Im } \Delta}.$$

For $0 \leq s \leq p$, define maps

$$D_{p,\lambda}^s : M^{\otimes p} \rightarrow M^{\otimes p} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{D}_{p,\lambda}^s : M^{\otimes p} \otimes M^{\otimes p} \rightarrow M^{\otimes p} \otimes M^{\otimes p}$$

by

$$(2.7) \quad D_{p,\lambda}^s = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq p-s} (1 + \lambda d_j d_{s+j}) \prod_{1 \leq k \leq s} (1 + \lambda d_{p-s+k} d_k)$$

and

$$\tilde{D}_{p,\lambda}^s = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq p-s} (1 + \lambda d_j d_{p+s+j}) \prod_{1 \leq k \leq s} (1 + \lambda d_{p-s+k} d_{p+k})$$

respectively. Putting

$$x_j = \lambda d_j d_{s+j}, \quad \tilde{x}_j = \lambda d_j d_{p+s+j} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq p-s$$

and

$$x_{p-s+k} = \lambda d_{p-s+k} d_k, \quad \tilde{x}_{p-s+k} = \lambda d_{p-s+k} d_{p+k} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq s,$$

by (1.3) we have

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} x_1 C_p &= C_p x_p, \quad \tilde{x}_1 (C_p \otimes C_p) = (C_p \otimes C_p) \tilde{x}_p \\ x_{i+1} C_p &= C_p x_i, \quad \tilde{x}_{i+1} (C_p \otimes C_p) = (C_p \otimes C_p) \tilde{x}_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq p-1. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$x_i x_j = x_j x_i, \quad \tilde{x}_i \tilde{x}_j = \tilde{x}_j \tilde{x}_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq p.$$

By an easy calculation we see

$$(2.9) \quad x_1 \cdots x_p = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{x}_1 \cdots \tilde{x}_p = (-1)^{p(p-1)/2} \lambda^p d_1 \cdots d_{2p}.$$

Remark that

$$(2.10) \quad D_{p,\lambda}^s = (1+x_1)\cdots(1+x_p) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{D}_{p,\lambda}^s = (1+\tilde{x}_1)\cdots(1+\tilde{x}_p).$$

Then, by (2.6), (2.8) and (2.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{p,\lambda}^s | \text{Ker } \Delta_0 &\equiv 1 \pmod{\text{Im } \Sigma_0}, \quad D_{p,\lambda}^s | \text{Ker } \Sigma_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{\text{Im } \Delta_0} \\ \tilde{D}_{p,\lambda}^s | \text{Ker } \tilde{\Delta}_0 &\equiv 1 + (-1)^{p(p-1)/2} \lambda^p d_1 \cdots d_{2p} \pmod{\text{Im } \tilde{\Sigma}_0} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{D}_{p,\lambda}^s | \text{Ker } \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \equiv 1 + (-1)^{p(p-1)/2} \lambda^p d_1 \cdots d_{2p} \pmod{\text{Im } \tilde{\Delta}_0}.$$

More generally we obtain by an induction on n that

$$(2.11) \quad \prod_{1 \leq k \leq n} D_{p,\lambda}^{s,k} | \text{Ker } \Delta_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{\text{Im } \Sigma_0},$$

$$\prod_{1 \leq k \leq n} D_{p,\lambda}^{s,k} | \text{Ker } \Sigma_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{\text{Im } \Delta_0}$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad \prod_{1 \leq k \leq n} \tilde{D}_{p,\lambda}^{s,k} | \text{Ker } \tilde{\Delta}_0 \equiv 1 + (-1)^{p(p-1)/2} n \cdot \lambda^p d_1 \cdots d_{2p} \equiv \tilde{D}_{p,n\lambda}^0 | \text{Ker } \tilde{\Delta}_0$$

$$\prod_{1 \leq k \leq n} \tilde{D}_{p,\lambda}^{s,k} | \text{Ker } \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \equiv D_{p,n\lambda}^0 | \text{Ker } \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \pmod{\text{Im } \tilde{\Delta}_0}.$$

3. Throughout this section we suppose p is odd. For $\lambda \in K$ we define another element $\mu = \mu(\lambda) \in K$ by

$$\mu = \mu(\lambda) = \lambda/2.$$

Let A be a differential algebra (or coalgebra). We define another structure of differential algebra (or coalgebra) on A by endowing with multiplication ${}_{\mu}\varphi = \varphi(1 + \mu d\sigma \otimes d)$ (or comultiplication ${}_{\mu}\psi = (1 - \mu d\sigma \otimes d)\psi$) where σ is the canonical involution [1], (1.1). Denote this by ${}_{\mu}A$. Then we have

(3.1) **Lemma.** i) A is associative or λ -commutative if and only if ${}_{\mu}A$ is associative or commutative,

ii) ${}_{\mu}\varphi_n^{w_n} = \varphi_n^{w_n} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} (1 + \mu d_j d_k)$ (or ${}_{\mu}\psi_n^{w_n} = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} (1 - \mu d_j d_k) \psi_n^{w_n}$) for each $w_n \in W_n$, the set (1.7) of [1],

iii) $F^n({}_{\mu}A) = F^n(A)$ (or $G^n({}_{\mu}A) = G^n(A)$) for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof. First we prove ii) by an induction on n . In case $n=1$ it is the definition that ${}_{\mu}\varphi = \varphi(1 + \mu d_1 d_2)$ (or ${}_{\mu}\psi = (1 - \mu d_1 d_2)\psi$). As in [1], (1.18) we can express as $w_n = (1, w_s, s+1 + w_{n-s-1})$ for some s , $0 \leq s < n$. Then

$${}_{\mu}\varphi_n^{w_n} = {}_{\mu}\varphi({}_{\mu}\varphi_s^{w_s} \otimes {}_{\mu}\varphi_{n-s-1}^{w_{n-s-1}})$$

$$= \varphi(1 + \mu d_1 d_2) (\varphi_s^{w_s} \otimes \varphi_{n-s-1}^{w_{n-s-1}}) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq s+1} (1 + \mu d_j d_k) \prod_{1 \leq i < h \leq n-s} (1 + \mu d_{s+i+1} d_{s+h+1})$$

$$= \varphi_n^{w_n} \prod_{1 \leq r \leq s+1, 1 \leq t \leq n-s} (1 + \mu d_r d_{s+t+1}) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq s+1} (1 + \mu d_j d_k)$$

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < h \leq n-s} (1 + \mu d_{s+i+1} d_{s+h+1}) = \varphi_n^{w_n} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} (1 + \mu d_j d_k)$$

(or ${}_{\mu}\psi_n^{w_n} = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} (1 - \mu d_j d_k) \psi_n^{w_n}$),

where we apply induction hypotheses to s and $n-s-1$.

It follows immediately from ii) and [1], (1.8) (or (1.8*)) that $F^n({}_{\mu}A) \subset F^n(A)$ (or $G^n(A) \subset G^n({}_{\mu}A)$). On the other hand,

$$\varphi_n^{w_n} = {}_{\mu}\varphi_n^{w_n} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} (1 - \mu d_j d_k) \text{ (or } \psi_n^{w_n} = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} (1 + \mu d_j d_k) {}_{\mu}\psi_n^{w_n} \text{),}$$

hence $F^n(A) \subset F^n(\mu A)$ (or $G^n(\mu A) \subset G^n(A)$). Thus

$$F^n(A) = F^n(\mu A) \text{ (or } G^n(A) = G^n(\mu A)\text{)} .$$

i) is obvious by ii) and [1], (3.3). q.e.d.

$\Phi_\lambda(A)$ and $\Phi_0(\mu A)$ (or $\Psi_\lambda(A)$ and $\Psi_0(\mu A)$) become differential algebras (or coalgebras) which have multiplications $\Phi_\lambda(\varphi)$ and $\Phi_0(\mu\varphi)$ (or comultiplications $\Psi_\lambda(\psi)$ and $\Psi_0(\mu\psi)$) induced by $\varphi_\lambda = \varphi^{\otimes p} U_{p,\lambda}^{-1}$ and $\mu\varphi^{\otimes p} U_p^{-1}$ (or $\psi_\lambda = U_{p,\lambda}\psi^{\otimes p}$ and $U_{p,\mu}\psi^{\otimes p}$) respectively. Remark that differentials of them are trivial, [1], (5.12).

Here we obtain the following relationship between $\Phi_\lambda(A)$ and $\Phi_0(\mu A)$ (or $\Psi_\lambda(A)$ and $\Psi_0(\mu A)$).

(3.2) **Proposition.** *The map $B_{p,\lambda}$ induces a natural isomorphism*

$$\Phi(B_{p,\lambda}) : \Phi_0(\mu A) \rightarrow \Phi_\lambda(A) \text{ (or } \Psi(B_{p,\lambda}) : \Psi_0(\mu A) \rightarrow \Psi_\lambda(A)\text{)}$$

of differential algebras (or coalgebras).

The above proposition follows immediately from the following

(3.3) **Lemma.**

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi^{\otimes p} U_{p,\lambda}^{-1} (B_{p,\lambda} \otimes B_{p,\lambda}) | \text{Ker } \Delta_0 \otimes \text{Ker } \Delta_0 \equiv B_{p,\lambda} \mu \varphi^{\otimes p} U_p^{-1} | \text{Ker } \Delta_0 \otimes \text{Ker } \Delta_0 \\ & \hspace{20em} \text{mod Im } \Sigma_\lambda \\ \text{(or)} \quad & (B_{p,\lambda} \otimes B_{p,\lambda}) U_{p,\mu} \psi^{\otimes p} | \text{Ker } \Sigma_0 \equiv U_{p,\lambda} \psi^{\otimes p} B_{p,\lambda} | \text{Ker } \Sigma_0 \\ & \hspace{10em} \text{mod } (A^{\otimes p})_\lambda \otimes \text{Im } \Delta_\lambda + \text{Im } \Delta_\lambda \otimes (A^{\otimes p})_\lambda . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The case of algebras: Using (1.1), (1.2) and (1.6) we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi^{\otimes p} U_{p,\lambda}^{-1} (B_{p,\lambda} \otimes B_{p,\lambda}) \\ &= \varphi^{\otimes p} U_p^{-1} (\prod_{k-j \leq (p-1)/2} (1 - \lambda d_k d_{p+j}) \\ & \quad \prod_{k-j \geq (p+1)/2} (1 - \lambda d_k d_{p+j}) (1 + \lambda d_j d_k) (1 + \lambda d_{p+j} d_{p+k})) \\ &= \varphi^{\otimes p} U_p^{-1} \prod_{k-j \leq (p-1)/2} (1 - \lambda d_k d_{p+j}) \\ & \quad \prod_{k-j \geq (p+1)/2} (1 + \lambda (d_j + d_{p+j}) (d_k + d_{p+k})) (1 - \lambda d_j d_{p+k}) \\ &= B_{p,\lambda} \varphi^{\otimes p} U_p^{-1} \prod_{k-j \leq (p-1)/2} (1 - \lambda d_k d_{p+j}) \prod_{k-j \geq (p+1)/2} (1 - \lambda d_j d_{p+k}) \end{aligned}$$

where \prod runs over $1 \leq j < k \leq p$. By (2.7) we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} (\prod_{k-j \geq (p+1)/2} (1 - \lambda d_j d_{p+k}) \prod_{k-j \leq (p-1)/2} (1 - \lambda d_k d_{p+j})) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq s \leq (p-1)/2} (\prod_{1 \leq j \leq s} (1 - \lambda d_j d_{2p-s+j}) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq p-s} (1 - \lambda d_{s+j} d_{p+j})) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq s \leq (p-1)/2} \tilde{D}_{p,-\lambda}^{p-s} . \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we obtain

$$\varphi^{\otimes p} U_{p,\lambda}^{-1}(B_{p,\lambda} \otimes B_{p,\lambda}) = B_{p,\lambda} \varphi^{\otimes p} U_p^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq s \leq (p-1)/2} \tilde{D}_{p,-\lambda}^{p-s}.$$

On the other hand we obtain

$${}_{\mu}\varphi^{\otimes p} U_p^{-1} = \varphi^{\otimes p} U_p^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq p} (1 + \mu d_j d_{p+j}) = \varphi^{\otimes p} U_p^{-1} \tilde{D}_{p,\mu}^0.$$

Hence, by making use of (1.4) and (2.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi^{\otimes p} U_{p,\lambda}^{-1}(B_{p,\lambda} \otimes B_{p,\lambda}) | \text{Ker } \Delta_0 \otimes \text{Ker } \Delta_0 \\ &= B_{p,\lambda} \varphi^{\otimes p} U_p^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq s \leq (p-1)/2} \tilde{D}_{p,-\lambda}^{p-s} | \text{Ker } \Delta_0 \otimes \text{Ker } \Delta_0 \\ &\equiv B_{p,\lambda} \varphi^{\otimes p} U_p^{-1} \tilde{D}_{p,-((p-1)/2)\lambda}^0 | \text{Ker } \Delta_0 \otimes \text{Ker } \Delta_0 \\ &\equiv B_{p,\lambda\mu} \varphi^{\otimes p} U_p^{-1} | \text{Ker } \Delta_0 \otimes \text{Ker } \Delta_0 \quad \text{mod Im } \Sigma_{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

because $\text{Ker } \Delta_0 \otimes \text{Ker } \Delta_0 \subset \text{Ker } \tilde{\Delta}_0$.

In case of coalgebras, by the same argument as above we obtain

$$U_{p,\lambda} \psi^{\otimes p} B_{p,\lambda} = (B_{p,\lambda} \otimes B_{p,\lambda}) \prod_{1 \leq s \leq (p-1)/2} \tilde{D}_{p,\lambda}^{p-s} U_p \psi^{\otimes p}$$

and

$$U_{p\mu} \psi^{\otimes p} = \tilde{D}_{p,-\mu}^0 U_p \psi^{\otimes p}.$$

Here, from (1.4) and (2.12) follows the conclusion immediately. q.e.d.

Finally we discuss (d, λ) -Hopf algebras. Let A be a quasi (d, λ) -Hopf algebra. We can identify $\Phi_{\lambda}(A)$ with $\Psi_{\lambda}(A)$ by the canonical isomorphism κ , [1], (5.11). Then $\Phi_{\lambda}(A) = \Psi_{\lambda}(A)$ becomes a quasi Hopf algebra, called the derived quasi Hopf algebra of A , which has multiplication $\Phi_{\lambda}(\varphi)$ and comultiplication $\Psi_{\lambda}(\psi)$, [1], (5.16). On the other hand, we introduce another structure of differential quasi Hopf algebra on A , denoted by ${}_{\mu}A$, which has multiplication ${}_{\mu}\varphi = \varphi(1 + \mu d\sigma \otimes d)$ and comultiplication ${}_{\mu}\psi = (1 - \mu d\sigma \otimes d)\psi$. Identifying $\Phi_0({}_{\mu}A)$ with $\Psi_0({}_{\mu}A)$ by the canonical isomorphism, $\Phi_0({}_{\mu}A) = \Psi_0({}_{\mu}A)$ gains a structure of quasi Hopf algebra with multiplication $\Phi_0({}_{\mu}\varphi)$ and comultiplication $\Psi_0({}_{\mu}\psi)$.

Applying (3.2) to a quasi (d, λ) -Hopf algebra A , we obtain

(3.4) **Proposition.** *The map $B_{p,\lambda}$ induces a natural isomorphism*

$$\Phi(B_{p,\lambda}) : \Phi_0({}_{\mu}A) \rightarrow \Phi_{\lambda}(A)$$

of quasi derived Hopf algebras.

4. Let L be an extension field of K . We regard L as a G_2 -module over K by $L_0 = L$ and $L_1 = \{0\}$. Let A be a differential algebra (or coalgebra). We

can regard $L \otimes_{\kappa} A$ as a differential algebra (or coalgebra) over L equipped with multiplication

$$(L \otimes_{\kappa} A) \otimes_L (L \otimes_{\kappa} A) \cong L \otimes_{\kappa} (A \otimes_{\kappa} A) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \varphi} L \otimes_{\kappa} A$$

(or comultiplication

$$L \otimes_{\kappa} A \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \psi} L \otimes_{\kappa} (A \otimes_{\kappa} A) \cong (L \otimes_{\kappa} A) \otimes_L (L \otimes_{\kappa} A).$$

(4.1) **Lemma.** $L \otimes_{\kappa} F^n A = F^n(L \otimes_{\kappa} A)$ for all $n \geq 0$

(or $L \otimes_{\kappa} G^n A = G^n(L \otimes_{\kappa} A)$ for all $n \geq 0$).

The proof is obvious in case of algebras, and can be given by a choice of homogeneous bases of L and A as modules in case of coalgebras.

(4.2) **Lemma.** $Q^n(L \otimes_{\kappa} A) = L \otimes_{\kappa} Q^n A$ for all $n \geq 0$

(or $P^n(L \otimes_{\kappa} A) = L \otimes_{\kappa} P^n A$ for all $n \geq 0$).

Proof. $L \otimes_{\kappa}$ is an exact functor. Therefore the lemma follows from (4.1).

(4.3) **Proposition.** A is semi-connected if and only if $L \otimes_{\kappa} A$ is so.

Proof. The case of algebras: First suppose that $L \otimes_{\kappa} A$ is semi-connected, i.e., $\cap_{n \geq 1} F^n(L \otimes_{\kappa} A) = \{0\}$ [1], 1.8.. Take any $x \in \cap_{n \geq 1} F^n A$, then (4.1) implies

$$1 \otimes x \in \cap_{n \geq 1} F^n(L \otimes_{\kappa} A).$$

Hence A is semi-connected.

Conversely, suppose that A is semi-connected. Take any $y \in \cap_{n \geq 1} F^n(L \otimes_{\kappa} A)$. Choosing a homogeneous basis $T = \{x_i\}_{i \in J}$ of A , we may put $y = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} l_j \otimes x_j$ where $l_j \in L$ and $x_j \in T$. Since A is semi-connected there exists an integer $m > 0$ such that

$$K \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap F^m A = \{0\}$$

where $K \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ denotes the submodule of A generated by x_1, \dots, x_n . Moreover this means by (4.1) that

$$L \otimes_{\kappa} K \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap F^m(L \otimes_{\kappa} A) = L \otimes_{\kappa} (K \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap F^m A) = \{0\}$$

for some $m > 0$. However

$$y \in L \otimes_{\kappa} K \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap F^m(L \otimes_{\kappa} A), \text{ hence } y = 0.$$

Therefore $L \otimes_{\kappa} A$ is semi-connected.

The case of coalgebras can be proved by a routine discussion. q.e.d.

Let A be a quasi (d, λ) -Hopf algebra. Then $L \otimes_{\kappa} A$ becomes a quasi (d, λ) -Hopf algebra over L where $\lambda = \lambda \otimes 1 \in L = K \otimes_{\kappa} L$.

(4.4) **Proposition.** A is coprimitive (or primitive) if and only if $L \otimes_{\kappa} A$ is so.

Proof. From (4.1) and (4.2) it follows that

$$P(L \otimes_{\kappa} A) \cap F^2(L \otimes_{\kappa} A) = L \otimes_{\kappa} (P(A) \cap F^2 A)$$

and

$$P(L \otimes_{\kappa} A) + F^2(L \otimes_{\kappa} A) = L \otimes_{\kappa} (P(A) + F^2 A).$$

These prove the proposition.

5. Let K^p be the subfield of K generated by elements $k^p, k \in K$ and $\theta_K : K \rightarrow K$ be the monomorphism defined by $\theta_K(k) = k^p$. $\theta_K(K) = K^p$. Let M and N be modules. We say that a map $\theta : M \rightarrow N$ is θ_K -linear if

$$\theta(kx) = \theta_K(k)\theta(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(x+y) = \theta(x) + \theta(y)$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and all $k \in K$. If $\theta : M \rightarrow N$ is θ_K -linear, then $\theta(M)$ is a module over K^p . In particular we say that a θ_K -linear map $\theta : M \rightarrow N$ is a θ_K -isomorphism if θ is injective and $N = K \otimes_{K^p} \theta(M)$. Remark that a θ_K -isomorphism θ is bijective if K is a perfect field.

Let A be a differential algebra (or coalgebra).

Define a map $\varepsilon_m : A^{\otimes m} \rightarrow A^{\otimes m}$ by

$$\varepsilon_m(x_1 \cdots x_m) = \begin{cases} (-1)^n x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_m & p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_m & \text{others} \end{cases}$$

where $n = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \sigma(x_i)\sigma(x_j)$ and σ is the canonical involution [1], (1.1). By an induction on m we have the following relation

$$(5.1) \quad (\varphi \varepsilon_2)_{m}^{w_m} = \varphi_m^{w_m} \varepsilon_{m+1} \quad (\text{or } (\varepsilon_2 \psi)_{m}^{w_m} = \varepsilon_{m+1} \psi_{m}^{w_m}) \quad \text{for each } w_n \in W_n.$$

The diagonal map $\Delta : A \rightarrow A^{\otimes p}, \Delta(x) = x^{\otimes p}$ for a homogeneous element $x \in A$, induces a map

$$(5.2) \quad \theta_p : A \rightarrow \Phi_0(A) \text{ (or } \Psi_0(A)).$$

(5.3) **Lemma.** *The above map θ_p satisfies the following properties :*

- i) θ_p is a θ_K -isomorphism,
- ii) “multiplicative up to signs”, i.e.,

$$\theta_p \varphi_n^{w_n} \varepsilon_{n+1} = \Phi_0(\varphi)_n^{w_n} \theta_p^{\otimes n+1} \quad \text{for each } w_n \in W_n$$

(or ii)* “comultiplicative up to signs”, i.e.,

$$\theta_p^{\otimes n+1} \varepsilon_{n+1} \psi_n^{w_n} = \Psi_0(\psi)_n^{w_n} \theta_p \quad \text{for each } w_n \in W_n,$$

iii) compatible with η and ε , i.e.,

$$\theta_p \eta = \eta \theta_K \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon \theta_p = \theta_K \varepsilon, \quad \text{and}$$

iv) natural, i.e.,

$$\theta_p f = \Phi_0(f) \theta_p \quad (\text{or } \Psi_0(f) \theta_p)$$

for any morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ of algebras (or coalgebras).

Proof. θ_p is θ_K -linear because $(kx)^{\otimes p} = k^p x^{\otimes p}$ and $(x+y)^{\otimes p} \equiv x^{\otimes p} + y^{\otimes p} \pmod{\text{Im } \Sigma_0}$. Choosing a homogeneous basis $T = \{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ of A , we see by [1], 5.3. that $\Phi_0(A) \cong \Psi_0(A)$ is generated by $\{x_i^{\otimes p}; x_i \in T\}$. Hence θ_p is injective and $K \otimes_{K^p} \theta_p(A) = \Phi_0(A)$ (or $\Psi_0(A)$). Thus θ_p is a θ_K -isomorphism. Since iii) and iv) are obvious by the definition of θ_p it remains to prove ii) and ii)*.

Remark that $U_p(x \otimes y)^{\otimes p} = \varepsilon_2(x^{\otimes p} \otimes y^{\otimes p})$. Then we obtain

$$\varphi^{\otimes p} U_p^{-1}(x^{\otimes p} \otimes y^{\otimes p}) = (\varphi \varepsilon_2(x \otimes y))^{\otimes p}$$

and

$$U_p \psi^{\otimes p}(x^{\otimes p}) = U_p(\sum_i x_i \otimes x'_i)^{\otimes p} \equiv U_p(\sum_i (x_i \otimes x'_i)^{\otimes p}) \equiv \sum_i \varepsilon_2(x_i^{\otimes p} \otimes x'^{\otimes p}) \pmod{\text{Im } \tilde{\Sigma}_0},$$

where $\psi(x) = \sum_i x_i \otimes x'_i$, and

$$\text{Im } \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \subset \text{Im } \Delta_0 \otimes (A^{\otimes p}) + (A^{\otimes p}) \otimes \text{Im } \Delta_0.$$

Thus

$$\Phi_0(\varphi) \theta_p \otimes \theta_p = \theta_p(\varphi \varepsilon_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_0(\psi) \theta_p = \theta_p \otimes \theta_p(\varepsilon_2 \psi).$$

Using an induction on n we can easily verify that

$$\Phi_0(\varphi)_n^{w_n} \theta_p^{\otimes n+1} = \theta_p(\varphi \varepsilon_2)_n^{w_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_0(\psi)_n^{w_n} \theta_p = \theta_p^{\otimes n+1}(\varepsilon_2 \psi)_n^{w_n}$$

for all $w_n \in W_n$. Now by (5.1) we obtain ii) and ii)*.

q.e.d.

Since θ_p is multiplicative (or comultiplicative) up to signs $\theta_p(A)$ becomes an algebra (or coalgebra) over K^p with multiplication (or comultiplication) induced by that of $\Phi_0(A)$ (or $\Psi_0(A)$). We see by (5.3) that

$$(5.4) \quad \theta_p(F^n A) = F^n(\theta_p(A)) \quad (\text{or } \theta_p(G^n A) = G^n(\theta_p(A))) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

6. Here we consider a similar map to (5.2) when $p=2$ and $\lambda \neq 0$. Suppose $p=2$ and $\lambda \neq 0$. The diagonal map $\Delta : Z(A) \rightarrow Z(A) \otimes Z(A)$ given by $\Delta(x) = x \otimes x$, induces a map

$$(6.1) \quad \theta_{2,\lambda} : H(A) \rightarrow \Phi_\lambda(A) \quad (\text{or } \Psi_\lambda(A)).$$

(6.2) **Lemma.** *The above map $\theta_{2,\lambda}$ satisfies the following properties :*

- i) $\theta_{2,\lambda}$ is a θ_K -isomorphism,
- ii) “multiplicative”, i.e.,

$$\theta_{2,\lambda} H(\varphi)_n^{w_n} = \Phi_\lambda(\varphi)_n^{w_n} \theta_{2,\lambda}^{\otimes n+1}$$

(or ii)* “comultiplicative”, i.e.,

$$\theta_{2,\lambda}^{\otimes n+1} H(\psi)_n^{w_n} = \Psi_\lambda(\psi)_n^{w_n} \theta_{2,\lambda},$$

iii) compatible with η and ε , i.e.,

$$\theta_{2,\lambda} \eta = \eta \theta_K \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon \theta_{2,\lambda} = \theta_K \varepsilon, \quad \text{and}$$

iv) natural, i.e.,

$$\theta_{2,\lambda} H(f) = \Phi_\lambda(f) \theta_{2,\lambda} \quad (\text{or } \Psi_\lambda(f) \theta_{2,\lambda})$$

for any morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ of differential algebras (or coalgebras).

Proof. Choose a d -stable homogeneous basis $\{x_i, dx_i, y_\kappa\}_{i \in I, \kappa \in J}$ of A where $dy_\kappa = 0$. Then $\Phi_\lambda(A) = \Psi_\lambda(A)$ is generated by $\{y_\kappa^{\otimes 2}\}_{\kappa \in J}, [1]$, (5.8) and (5.9.2). Hence proofs are easy except ii)*.

As is well known

$$(6.3) \quad \psi(Z(A)) \subset Z(A) \otimes Z(A) + d(A \otimes A).$$

Put

$$\psi(x) = \sum_i z_i \otimes z'_i + \sum_k (du_k \otimes u'_k + u_k \otimes du'_k)$$

for $x \in Z(A)$ where $z_i, z'_i \in Z(A)$. Routine computations show :

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes T_\lambda \otimes 1) (\sum_{i,j} z_i \otimes z'_i \otimes z_j \otimes z'_j) &\equiv \sum_i z_i^{\otimes 2} \otimes z_i'^{\otimes 2}, \\ (1 \otimes T_\lambda \otimes 1) (\sum_{i,k} (z_i \otimes z'_i \otimes u_k \otimes du'_k + u_k \otimes du'_k \otimes z_i \otimes z'_i)) &\equiv 0, \\ (1 \otimes T_\lambda \otimes 1) (\sum_{i,k} (z_i \otimes z'_i \otimes du_k \otimes u'_k + du_k \otimes u'_k \otimes z_i \otimes z'_i)) &\equiv 0, \\ (1 \otimes T_\lambda \otimes 1) (\sum_{k,l} (u_k \otimes du'_k \otimes u_l \otimes du'_l + du_k \otimes u'_k \otimes du_l \otimes u'_l)) &\equiv 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\equiv \sum_k (u_k^{\otimes 2} \otimes (du'_k)^{\otimes 2} + (du_k)^{\otimes 2} \otimes u_k'^{\otimes 2}), \\ &(1 \otimes T_\lambda \otimes 1) (\sum_{k,i} (u_k \otimes du'_k \otimes du_i \otimes u'_i + du_k \otimes u'_i \otimes u_i \otimes du'_i)) \equiv 0 \\ &\quad \text{mod } (A^{\otimes 2})_\lambda \otimes \text{Im } \Delta_\lambda + \text{Im } \Delta_\lambda \otimes (A^{\otimes 2})_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain that

$$(6.4) \quad (1 \otimes T_\lambda \otimes 1) \psi(x)^{\otimes 2} \equiv \sum_i z_i^{\otimes 2} \otimes z_i'^{\otimes 2} + \sum_k ((du_k)^{\otimes 2} \otimes u_k'^{\otimes 2} + u_k^{\otimes 2} \otimes (du'_k)^{\otimes 2}) \text{ mod } (A^{\otimes 2})_\lambda \otimes \text{Im } \Delta_\lambda + \text{Im } \Delta_\lambda \otimes (A^{\otimes 2})_\lambda.$$

Thus we have

$$\Psi_\lambda(\psi) \theta_{2,\lambda} = (\theta_{2,\lambda} \otimes \theta_{2,\lambda}) H(\psi).$$

General case is obtained immediately by an induction on n .

q.e.d.

(6.2) means that $\theta_{2,\lambda} : H(A) \rightarrow \Phi_\lambda(A)$ (or $\Psi_\lambda(A)$) is a θ_K -isomorphism of algebras (or coalgebras). Hence $\theta_{2,\lambda}(H(A))$ is an algebra (or coalgebra) over K^2 with multiplication (or comultiplication) induced by that of $\Phi_\lambda(A)$ (or $\Psi_\lambda(A)$). And we see by (6.2) that

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta_{2,\lambda}(F^n H(A)) &= F^n(\theta_{2,\lambda}(H(A))) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0 \\ (\text{or } \theta_{2,\lambda}(G^n H(A)) &= G^n(\theta_{2,\lambda}(H(A))) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0). \end{aligned}$$

7. Now we study properties of $\Phi_\lambda(A)$ (or $\Psi_\lambda(A)$) making use of maps θ_p and $\theta_{2,\lambda}$.

First we examine semi-connectedness of an algebra $\Phi_\lambda(A)$ (or coalgebra $\Psi_\lambda(A)$). Putting (3.2), (4.3), (5.3) and (6.2) together we have

(7.1) **Theorem.** *Let A be a differential algebra (or coalgebra) over a field K of characteristic $p \neq 0$ and $\lambda \in K$.*

- i) *When p is odd or $p=2$ and $\lambda d=0$, A is semi-connected if and only if $\Phi_\lambda(A)$ (or $\Psi_\lambda(A)$) is so.*
- ii) *When $p=2$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, $H(A)$ is semi-connected if and only if $\Phi_\lambda(A)$ (or $\Psi_\lambda(A)$) is so.*

Proof. First we shall prove the theorem in case $\lambda d=0$. Remark that $\Phi_\lambda(A) = \Phi_0(A)$ (or $\Psi_\lambda(A) = \Psi_0(A)$) in case $\lambda d=0$. By (5.4) and the injectivity of θ_p , A is semi-connected if and only if $\theta_p(A)$ is so. Since multiplication (or comultiplication) of $\Phi_0(A)$ (or $\Psi_0(A)$) induces that of $\theta_p(A)$, $K \otimes_{K^p} \theta_p(A)$ coincides with $\Phi_0(A)$ as an algebra (or coalgebra). Now (4.3) proves the theorem in case $\lambda d=0$.

Similarly (4.3), (6.2) and (6.5) prove that the theorem is true in case $p=2$ and $\lambda \neq 0$.

In case p odd we prove the theorem, combining the theorem in case $\lambda=0$

with (3.1) and (3.2).

q.e.d.

Next we examine coprimitivity and primitivity of the derived Hopf algebra $\Phi_\lambda(A) = \Psi_\lambda(A)$.

(7.2) **Theorem.** *Let A be a quasi (d, λ) -Hopf algebra over a field K of characteristic $p \neq 0$.*

- i) *When p is odd or $p=2$ and $\lambda d=0$, A is coprimitive (or primitive) if and only if $\Phi_\lambda(A) = \Psi_\lambda(A)$ is so.*
- ii) *When $p=2$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, $H(A)$ is coprimitive (or primitive) if and only if $\Phi_\lambda(A) = \Psi_\lambda(A)$ is so.*

Proof. Making use of (3.4), (4.4), (5.3), (5.4), (6.2) and (6.5) the theorem is proved in a parallel way to (7.1).

8. Let A be a differential algebra (or coalgebra) which is associative and λ -commutative. Suppose p is odd and $\mu = \lambda/2 \in K$. By (3.1) i) μA is associative and commutative. Therefore we can consider maps

$$\xi_\lambda : \Phi_\lambda(A) \rightarrow A \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_0 : \Phi_0(\mu A) \rightarrow \mu A$$

(or

$$\eta_\lambda : A \rightarrow \Psi_\lambda(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_0 : A \rightarrow \Psi_0(\mu A)$$

induced by φ_{p-1} and ${}_\mu\varphi_{p-1}$ (or ψ_{p-1} and ${}_\mu\psi_{p-1}$) respectively [1], 6.3.. ξ_λ and ξ_0 (or η_λ and η_0) become morphisms of differential algebras (or coalgebras) by the λ -commutativity of A and the commutativity of μA .

(8.1) **Proposition.** *The following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi_0(\mu A) & \xrightarrow{\xi_0} & \mu A \\ \Phi(B_{p,\lambda}) \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \Phi_\lambda(A) & \xrightarrow{\xi_\lambda} & A \end{array} \quad \left(\text{or} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mu A & \xrightarrow{\eta_0} & \Psi_0(\mu A) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \Psi(B_{p,\lambda}) \\ A & \xrightarrow{\eta_\lambda} & \Psi_\lambda(A) \end{array} \right)$$

is commutative.

Proof. The case of algebras: It is sufficient to show that

$$\varphi_{p-1} B_{p,\lambda} | \text{Ker } \Delta_0 = \varphi_{p-1} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} (1 + \mu d_j d_k) | \text{Ker } \Delta_0$$

because ${}_\mu\varphi_{p-1} = \varphi_{p-1} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} (1 + \mu d_j d_k)$ by (3.1). Using (1.1), (1.2) and (2.7) we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (8.2) \quad & B_{p,-\lambda} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} (1 + \mu d_j d_k) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq p, h-j \geq (p+1)/2} (1 - 2\mu d_j d_k) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} (1 + \mu d_j d_k) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} (\prod_{k-j \leq (p-1)/2} (1 + \mu d_j d_k) \prod_{k-j \geq (p+1)/2} (1 - \mu d_j d_k)) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq s \leq (p-1)/2} (\prod_{1 \leq j \leq p-s} (1 + \mu d_j d_{s+j}) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq s} (1 + \mu d_{p-s+j} d_j)) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq s \leq (p-1)/2} D_{p,\mu}^s . \end{aligned}$$

Making use of (1.4) and (2.11) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi_{p-1}(\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} (1 + \mu d_j d_k) - B_{p,\lambda})(\text{Ker } \Delta_0) \\ &= \varphi_{p-1} B_{p,\lambda} (B_{p,-\lambda} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} (1 + \mu d_j d_k) - 1)(\text{Ker } \Delta_0) \\ &= \varphi_{p-1} B_{p,\lambda} (\prod_{1 \leq s \leq (p-1)/2} D_{p,\mu}^s - 1)(\text{Ker } \Delta_0) \\ &\subset \varphi_{p-1} B_{p,\lambda} (\text{Im } \Sigma_0) = 0 . \end{aligned}$$

The case of coalgebras: Since

$$\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} (1 - \mu d_j d_k) B_{p,\lambda} = \prod_{1 \leq s \leq (p-1)/2} D_{p,-\mu}^s$$

by (8.2) and

$$B_{p,\lambda\mu} \psi_{p-1} - \psi_{p-1} = B_{p,\lambda} (\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} (1 - \mu d_j d_k) B_{p,\lambda} - 1) B_{p,-\lambda} \psi_{p-1}$$

by (3.1), we see by (1.4) and (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}(B_{p,\lambda\mu} \psi_{p-1} - \psi_{p-1}) &\subset B_{p,\lambda} (\prod_{1 \leq s \leq (p-1)/2} D_{p,-\mu}^s - 1)(\text{Ker } \Sigma_0) \\ &\subset B_{p,\lambda} (\text{Im } \Delta_0) \subset \text{Im } \Delta_\lambda . \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$B_{p,\lambda\mu} \psi_{p-1} \equiv \psi_{p-1} \pmod{\text{Im } \Delta_\lambda} .$$

Hence the proof is complete.

Finally we discuss $\text{Im } \xi_\lambda$. Let A be a quasi (d, λ) -Hopf algebra whose multiplication φ is associative and λ -commutative.

Define a map

$$\xi_p : A \rightarrow A$$

by $\xi_p(x) = x^p$ [2], **4.19.**, and by A_0 (or A_1) we denote the submodule of A of even (or odd) type.

First suppose p is odd and $\mu = \lambda/2 \in K$. We have

(8.3) **Lemma.** *The map ξ_p satisfies the following properties:*

- i) $\xi_p|_{A_0}$ is θ_K -linear,
- ii) $\xi_p(xy) = \xi_p(x)\xi_p(y)$ for $x, y \in A_0$, and
- iii) for $x \in A_0$, putting $\psi(x) = \sum_i y_i \otimes y'_i + \sum_j z_j \otimes z'_j$, $y_i, y'_i \in A_0$ and $z_j, z'_j \in A_1$, we obtain

$$\psi \xi_p(x) = \xi_p \otimes \xi_p (\sum_i y_i \otimes y'_i + \mu \sum_j dz_j \otimes dz'_j) .$$

Proof. By [1],(6.10) we can easily verify i) and ii).

iii) is proved as follows. By (3.1) i) a differential quasi Hopf algebra μA has associative and commutative multiplication $\mu\varphi$. Hence, as in classical case, we obtain

$$\mu\psi \mu\varphi_{p-1}(x^{\otimes p}) = \mu\varphi_{p-1} \otimes \mu\varphi_{p-1}(\sum_i (y_i^{\otimes p} \otimes y_i'^{\otimes p} + \mu^p (dz_j)^{\otimes p} \otimes (dz_j')^{\otimes p}))$$

because we can express as

$$\mu\psi(x) = \sum_i (y_i \otimes y_i' - \mu(dy_i \otimes dy_i')) + \sum_j (z_j \otimes z_j' + \mu(dz_j \otimes dz_j')).$$

By (3.1) and (8.1) we have

$$(8.4) \quad \varphi_{p-1} B_{p,\lambda}(w^{\otimes p}) = \mu\varphi_{p-1}(w^{\otimes p}) = \begin{cases} w^p & \text{if } w \in A_0 \\ 0 & \text{if } w \in A_1 \end{cases}$$

because by λ -commutativity of φ

$$(dw)^2 = 0 \quad \text{for } w \in A_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu\varphi(w \otimes w) = 0 \quad \text{for } w \in A_1$$

[1], (6.9). Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x^p) &= (1 + \mu d\sigma \otimes d)(\sum_i y_i^p \otimes y_i'^p + \mu^p \sum_j (dz_j)^p \otimes (dz_j')^p) \\ &= \sum_i y_i^p \otimes y_i'^p + \mu^p \sum_j (dz_j)^p \otimes (dz_j')^p \end{aligned}$$

using the fact $d(y^p)=0$ for $y \in A_0$, [1], (6.9).

q.e.d.

The above lemma says that

$$(8.5) \quad K \otimes_{K^p} \xi_p(A_0) \text{ becomes a quasi sub Hopf algebra of } A \text{ when } p \text{ is odd.}$$

Next suppose $p=2$. Then we have

(8.6) **Lemma.** *The map ξ_2 satisfies the following properties:*

- i) $\xi_2|_{\text{Ker } \lambda d}$ is θ_K -linear,
- ii) $\xi_2(xy) = \xi_2(x)\xi_2(y)$ for $x, y \in \text{Ker } \lambda d$, and
- iii) for $x \in \text{Ker } \lambda d$, putting $\psi(x) \equiv \sum_i y_i \otimes y_i' \pmod{\text{Im } \lambda d}$, $y_i, y_i' \in \text{Ker } \lambda d$, we obtain

$$\psi \xi_2(x) = \xi_2 \otimes \xi_2(\sum_i y_i \otimes y_i').$$

Proof. i) and ii) is obvious by [1], (6.10).

By (6.3) we may put

$$\psi(x) = \sum_i z_i \otimes z_i' + \sum_k (du_k \otimes u_k' + u_k \otimes du_k') \text{ with } z_i, z_i' \in Z(A).$$

Then by (6.4) we get

$$\psi(x^2) = \sum_i z_i^2 \otimes z_i'^2 + \sum_k (du_k)^2 \otimes u_k'^2 + u_k^2 \otimes (du_k')^2.$$

When $\lambda=0$ this completes the proof of iii). When $\lambda \neq 0$ remark that $(du)^2=0$ by [1], (6.9), hence also the proof is complete.

The above lemma says that

(8.7) $K \otimes_{K^2} \xi_2(\text{Ker } \lambda d)$ becomes a quasi sub Hopf algebra of A when $p=2$.

On the other hand we know that $\text{Im } \xi_\lambda$ is a quasi sub Hopf algebra of A because $\xi_\lambda : \Phi_\lambda(A) = \Psi_\lambda(A) \rightarrow A$ is a morphism of quasi (d, λ) -Hopf algebras and $\Phi_\lambda(A) = \Psi_\lambda(A)$ has a trivial differential, [1], (6.5).

Here we have

(8.8) **Proposition.** i) When $p=2$, $\text{Im } \xi_\lambda = K \otimes_{K^2} \xi_2(\text{Ker } \lambda d)$ and it is a quasi sub Hopf algebra of A .

ii) When p is odd, $\text{Im } \xi_\lambda = K \otimes_{K^p} \xi_p(A_0)$ and it is a quasi sub Hopf algebra of A .

Proof. When p is odd, we consider the following composition map

$$\xi'_p : A = \mu A \xrightarrow{\theta_p} \Phi_0(\mu A) \xrightarrow{B_{p,\lambda}} \Phi_\lambda(A) \xrightarrow{\xi_\lambda} A$$

where $\mu = \lambda/2 \in K$. By (3.4) and (5.3) i) we see that $\text{Im } \xi_\lambda = K \otimes_{K^p} \xi'_p(A)$. Since (8.4) is equivalent to say that

$$\xi'_p|_{A_0} = \xi_p|_{A_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi'_p|_{A_1} = 0.$$

we get the proposition in case p odd.

Next suppose $p=2$. We see easily that

$$\xi_2 = \xi_\lambda \theta_2 \quad \text{when } \lambda d = 0,$$

and

$$\xi_2|_{\text{Ker } \lambda d} = \xi_\lambda \theta_{2,\lambda} \pi \quad \text{when } \lambda \neq 0$$

where $\pi : Z(A) \rightarrow H(A)$ is the natural projection. Therefore it follows from (5.3) i) and (6.2) i) that

$$\text{Im } \xi_\lambda = K \otimes_{K^2} \xi_2(\text{Ker } \lambda d).$$

References

- [1] S. Araki and Z. Yosimura: *Differential Hopf algebras modelled on K-theory mod p*, Osaka J. Math. **8** (1971), 151–206.
- [2] J.W. Milnor and J.C. Moore: *On the structure of Hopf algebras*, Ann. of Math. **81** (1965), 211–264.