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## Introduction

In the recent study of real analytic varieties, one of the main problems is to decompose a given variety into some reasonable subsets. H. Whitney proved that a real algebraic variety can be expressed as a union of mutually disjoint manifolds of various dimensions [6], while A. H. Wallace decomposed it into sheets (analytically connected sets) [4]. Later, Whitney and F. Bruhat extended Whitney's result to the case of so-called $C$-analytic varieties [7], and Wallace also generalized his result to real analytic varieties in somewhat milder form [5]. In these studies, local connectivity of real analytic varieties (see, for example, [7], Prop. 2) plays a fundamental role.

In our present paper, we first prove that a real analytic variety $E$ is locally triangulable with given subvarieties as subcomplexes (Theorem 1), from which local connectivity follows immediately, and as a consequence of this Theorem, we show that a real algebraic variety is globally triangulable into a finite number of simplexes (Theorem 2). Next, in a global vein, we show that a real analytic variety admits, what we call, pseudo-cell docomposition (Corollary to Theorem 3).

When we carry out the proof by induction, the main difficulty lies in the fact that a (local) projection of $E$ on a subspace (with respect to a coordinate system) is not necessarily a variety, even though a coordinate system is $p$-proper (see $\S 1$ ) for the (local) complexification $E^{*}$ of $E$. The most part of our proof is devoted to eliminate this difficulty. The idea of our proof is to get a (local) triangulation of $E$ as a subcomplex of a bigger complex $\widetilde{G}$ which has a more convenient form than $E$ itself. To do so, we first construct two imbedding varieties $\widetilde{E}^{*}$ and $\hat{E}^{*}$ which locally contain $E$ in such a way that $\hat{E}^{*}$ contains the real part of $\widetilde{E}^{*}$ (Lemma 1). Next we introduce the notions of $p$-proper simplex and $p$-proper complex ( $\S 4$ and $\S 5$ ) and show that the triangulation of $p$-proper complex can be extended to the whole neighborhood (Lemma 3). Taking the real
part of $\widetilde{E^{*}}$, we obtain a desired $p$-proper complex $\tilde{G}$ for $E$. Lemma 3 and the property of $\hat{E}^{*}$ along with existence of proper coordinate system (Lemma 2) for a family of varieties which appear in the course of the induction enable us to complete the induction, where $E$ is automatically triangulated as a subcomplex of $\tilde{G}$ with given subvarieities of $E$ as subcomplexes.

The finite global triangulation of a real algebraic variety $A$ reduces to the above case by considering the local triangulation of the associated projective variety $A^{0}$ at the center of the projection. Here we again see the finiteness property of algebraic varieties which distinguishes them from general analytic varieties (cf., [6], Theorem 3, 4 and 5, and [4], Theorem 15).

After defining cell and pseudo-cell decompositions (§8), we observe that in the same way as we get local triangulation of $E$, we can obtain (local) cell decomposition of $E$ such that each cell is determined by real analytic varieties (Lemma 4). We then consider some family of real analytic varieties which we call scattered family of varieties (§9) and show that it admits pseudo-cell decomposition (Theorem 3). In this proof by induction, Lemma 4 plays a substantial role, namely, the fact that each cell in the (local) cell docomposition is determined by real analytic varieties makes it possible to carry out the proof by induction. As a special case of Theorem 3, we see that a real analytic variety in $R^{n}$ has a pseudocell decomposition (Corollary to Theorem 3).

The present writer wishes to express his sincere gratitude to Professor Andrew H. Wallace for his constant encouragement and valuable suggestions during the preparation of this paper. Expecially the projective method used in $\S 7$ is due to him.

## 1. Preliminaries ${ }^{1)}$

Let $M$ be a real analytic manifold of dimension $n$ and let $M^{*}$ be a complexification (see, for example, [7], Prop. 1) of $M$. A coordinate system $\varphi^{*}=\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ in a neighborhood $U^{*}$ (in $M^{*}$ ) of a point $a$ of $M$ is called real if every coordinate function $z_{j}(b)(1 \leq j \leq n)$ takes on real values if and only if $b$ belongs to $U=U^{*} \cap M$. Then $U$ is a coordinate neighborhood (in $M$ ) with the restriction $\mathscr{P}=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ of $\mathcal{P}^{*}$ to $U$ as a coordinate system. Let $E$ be a real analytic variety in $M$. Then, if $U^{*}$ is sufficiently small, there exists the complexification $E^{*}$ of $E$ in $U^{*}$, that is to say, $E^{*}$ is the smallest complex analytic variety in $U^{*}$ such

[^0]that $E^{*} \cap M=E \cap U^{*}$, the dimension of $E^{*}$ is equal to that of $E$ at $a$, its germ at $a$ is the complexification of that of $E$ at $a$, and the conjugate variety of $E^{*}$ coincides with $E^{*}$, provided that $U^{*}$ is invariant under the conjugate operation.

Let $E^{*^{\prime}}$ be an irreducible component of $E^{*}$ in $U^{*}$. Then, for simplicity, we call $E^{*^{\prime}} \cap M$ an irreducible ${ }^{2)}$ component of $E$ in $U=U^{*} \cap M$. For sufficiently small $U^{*}$, we may consider that the germs of $E^{\prime}=E^{*^{\prime}} \cap M$ and $E^{*^{\prime}}$ at $a$ are irreducible components of those of $E$ and $E^{*}$ respectively and $E^{*^{\prime}}$ is the complexification of $E^{\prime}$ in $U^{*}$.

For $1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{r} \leq n$, if $j_{1}<\cdots<j_{n-r}$ are the complement of $i_{1}, \cdots, i_{r}$, $C^{\left(i_{1} \cdots i_{r}\right)}$ denotes the subset of all the elements of $C^{n}$ (complex $n$-space) whose $j_{k}$-th coordinates are all zero ane $\pi_{\left(i_{1} \cdots i_{r}\right)}$ denotes the canonical projection of $C^{n}$ onto $C^{\left(i_{1} \cdots i_{r}\right)}$. For $0<p \leq n$, we identify $C^{(1 \cdots p)}$ with $p$ space and write $C^{p}$ for $C^{(1 \cdots p)}$ and $\pi_{p}$ for $\pi_{(1 \cdots p)}$. Finally, for $0<j \leq n-p$, we write $C^{(p, j)}$ for $C^{(1 \cdots p p+j)}$ and $\pi_{(p, j)}$ for $\pi_{(1 \cdots p p+j)}$.

## 2. Imbedding varieties

We use the same notations as above, and assume that $U^{*}$ is polycylindrical with radii $\left(\eta_{1}, \cdots, \eta_{n}\right)$ and that the coordinate system $p^{*}$ is zero at $a$. Suppose that $\mathscr{p}^{*}$ is $p$-proper ${ }^{3}$ for $E^{*}$ at $a$ (i.e., the first $p$ coordinates of a point which belongs to $E^{*}$ and distinct from $a$ are not all zero). Then if the radii $\eta_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ are sufficiently small, we know (see [3] and [7], pp. 137-138) that for $j=1, \cdots, n-p$, there exist distinguished pseudo polynomials $Q_{j}\left(W ; z_{1}, \cdots, z_{p}\right)$ with vertices at the origin and with coefficients defined in $\pi_{p}\left(U^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
E^{*} \subset \widetilde{E}^{*}
$$

where $\widetilde{E}^{*}$ is the imbedding variety of $E^{*}$ which is defined in $U^{*}$ by the equations:

$$
Q_{j}\left(z_{p+j} ; z_{1}, \cdots, z_{p}\right)=0 \quad j=1, \cdots, n-p
$$

Now we assume that $\mathscr{P}^{*}$ is real. Then, $Q_{j}$ can be taken as real, i.e., all the coefficients are real analytic.

A polycylindrical neighborhood $V^{*}$ of $a$ with radii $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{n}\right)$ such that $\varepsilon_{i}<\eta_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ is called standardized for $E^{*}$ with respect to $\varphi^{*}$, if for $\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) \in \pi_{p}\left(\bar{V}^{*}\right)$, all the roots of $Q_{j}$ are smaller than $\varepsilon_{j}(p<j \leq n)$ in their absolute values. We know that there exists a fundamental

[^1]system of neighborhoods of $a$ each member of which is standardized ${ }^{4}$.
Now, put $z_{i}=x_{i}+\sqrt{-1} y_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ and consider $\pi_{p}^{-1}\left(\pi_{p}\left(U^{*}\right) \cap M\right)$ to be a real $\{p+2(n-p)\}$-space in $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}, x_{p+1}, \cdots, x_{n}, y_{p+1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$. Then the equations:
$$
Q_{j}\left(x_{p+j}+\sqrt{-1} y_{p+j} ; x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}\right)=0 \quad(j=1, \cdots, n-p)
$$
define a real analytic variety in $\pi_{p}^{-1}\left(\pi_{p}\left(U^{*}\right) \cap M\right)$, which we denote by $\widetilde{E}_{r}$. We have $\widetilde{E}^{*} \cap \pi_{p}^{-1}\left(\pi_{p}\left(U^{*}\right) \cap M\right)=\widetilde{E}_{r}$. Let $C^{p+2(n-p)}$ be a copy of complex $\{p+2(n-p)\}$-space whose points are written as $\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}, w_{p+1}, \cdots, w_{n}\right)$. We identify a point of $\pi_{p}^{-1}\left(\pi_{p}\left(U^{*}\right) \cap M\right)$ with a point in the real part of $C^{p+2(n-p)}$ and a point of $U^{*}$ with a point in $\pi_{n}\left(C^{p+2(n-p)}\right)$. Write $Q_{j}\left(X+\sqrt{-1} Y ; x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}\right)=Q_{j}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}, X, Y\right)+\sqrt{-1} Q_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}, X, Y\right)$, where $Q_{j}^{\prime}$ and $Q_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ are real and imaginary part of $Q_{j}$ respectively, and denote by $\widetilde{E}_{c}^{*}$ the complex analytic variety at the origin of $C^{p+2(n-p)}$ defined by the equations:
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{j}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{p}, z_{p+j}, w_{p+j}\right) & =0 \quad \text { and } \\
Q_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{p}, z_{p+j}, w_{p+j}\right) & =0 \quad j=1, \cdots, n-p .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Since all the coefficients of $Q_{j}$ vanish at the origin, $Q_{j}^{\prime}$ and $Q_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ do not have common factors for $z_{1}=\cdots=z_{p}=0$. Because they are also factors of $\left(z_{p+j}+\sqrt{-1} w_{p+j}\right)^{m_{j}}$, where $m_{j}$ is the degree of $Q_{j}$. This shows that if we choose a sufficiently small polycylindrical neighborhood $U_{1}^{*}$ in $C^{p+2(n-p)}$, the coordinate system in $U_{1}^{*}$ is $p$-proper at the origin for $\widetilde{E}_{c}^{*}$. Hence, noticing that $\widetilde{E}_{c}^{*}$ is invariant under the conjugate operation, we see that there exist real distinguished pseudo polynomials $P_{j}^{1}\left(W ; z_{1}, \cdots, z_{p}\right)$ and $P_{j}^{2}\left(W ; z_{1}, \cdots, z_{p}\right)(j=1, \cdots, n-p)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P_{j}^{1}\left(z_{p+j} ; z_{1}, \cdots, z_{p}\right)=0 & \text { and } \\
P_{j}^{2}\left(w_{p+j} ; z_{1}, \cdots, z_{p}\right)=0 & \text { on } \widetilde{E}_{c}^{*} \text { in sufficiently }
\end{array}
$$

small $U_{1}^{*}$.
Let $\hat{E}^{*}$ denote the complex analytic variety of dimension $p$ in $\pi_{n}\left(U^{*}\right)$ which is defined by the equations:

$$
P_{j}^{1}\left(z_{p+j} ; z_{1}, \cdots, z_{p}\right)=0 \quad j=1, \cdots, n-p .
$$

Then, since $W$ is a factor of $Q_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{p}, Z, W\right), \widetilde{E}^{*} \subset \hat{E}^{*}$ in $\pi_{n}\left(U_{1}^{*}\right)$ (we can, of course, assume that $\pi_{n}\left(U_{1}^{*}\right) \subset U^{*}$ ), and if ( $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}, z_{p+1}, \cdots, z_{n}$ ) belongs to $\widetilde{E}^{*},\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}, x_{p+1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ belongs to $\hat{E}^{*}$. Summarizing the above results, we have

[^2]Lemma 1. Consider a real analytic variety $E$ in a real analytic manifold $M$ of dimension $n$ and take a complexification $M^{*}$ of $M$. Let a be a point in $M$ and let $U^{*}$ be a polycylindrical neighborhood of a in $M^{*}$ with a real coordinate system $\phi^{*}=\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$. Suppose that $\phi^{*}$ is p-proper at a for the complexification $E^{*}$ of $E$ in $U^{*}$. Then, if $U^{*}$ is sufficiently small, there exist complex analytic varieties $\widetilde{E}^{*}$ and $\hat{E}^{*}$ in $U^{*}$ which are defined by equations: $Q_{j}\left(z_{p+j} ; z_{1}, \cdots, z_{p}\right)=0$ and $P_{j}\left(z_{p^{+j}} ; z_{1}, \cdots, z_{p}\right)=0(j=1, \cdots, n-p)$ respectively, where $Q_{j}\left(W ; z_{1}, \cdots, z_{p}\right)$ and $P_{j}\left(W ; z_{1}, \cdots, z_{p}\right)$ are real distinguished pseudo polynomials in $W$ with vertices at the origin and with coefficients defined in $\pi_{p}\left(U^{*}\right)$, and the following relations hold ${ }^{5}$.
(2.1) $E \subset E^{*} \subset \widetilde{E}^{*} \subset \hat{E}^{*}$ in $U^{*}$.
(2.2) If $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}, z_{p^{+1}}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ belongs to $\widetilde{E}^{*},\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}, x_{p^{+1}}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ belongs to $\hat{E}^{*}$, where $x_{i}(i=1, \cdots, p)$ is real and $x_{j}(j=p+1, \cdots, n)$ is the real part of $z_{j}$.

Let $E^{\prime \prime *}$ be the union of the irreducible components of $E^{*}$ of dimension strictly less than $p$ and let $D^{*}$ be the set of zeros in $\pi_{p}\left(U^{*}\right)$ of the product of the discriminants of $P_{j}(j=1, \cdots, n-p)$. Put $D^{*}\left(E^{*}\right)=D^{*} \cup \pi_{p}\left(E^{\prime \prime *}\right)$. Since we may assume that $P_{j}$ does not have multiple factors, for sufficiently small $U^{*}, D^{*}\left(E^{*}\right)$ is a complex analytic variety of dimension $\leq p-1$ in $U^{*}$.

Remark 1. Since $\widetilde{E^{*}} \subset E^{*}$, the set of zeros of the product of the discriminants of $Q_{j}$ is contained in $D^{*}$.

## 3. Proper coordinate system for a family of subvarieties

Suppose that the dimension of $E$ is $p$ in $U=U^{*} \cap M$. Let $\mathscr{F}=\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}\right)$ be a (finite) family of subvarieties of $E$. For $E$ and for each $F_{i}$, we consider the decompositions $E=E^{\prime} \cup E^{\prime \prime}$ and $F_{i}=F_{i}^{\prime} \cup F_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, where $E^{\prime}$ and $F_{i}^{\prime}$ are the unions of the irrsducible components of dimension $p$ of $E$ and $F_{i}$ respectively and $E^{\prime \prime}$ and $F_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ are the unions of the irreducible components of dimension strictly less than $p$ of $E$ and $F_{i}$ respectively (see $\S 1)$. Then an irreducible component of $F_{i}^{\prime}$ coincides with an irreducible component of $E^{\prime}$. Put $F=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}^{\prime \prime}$. Then the dimension of $F$ is strictly less than $p$. The couple $(E, F)$ is called the reduced couple associated with $\mathscr{F}$.

[^3]We are goint to define proper coordinate system $\boldsymbol{p}^{*}=\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ in a polycylindrical neighborhood $U^{*}$ of $a(\in M)$ for the couple ( $E ; \mathscr{F}$ ). The definition is done by induction on $p$. For $p=0$, any real coordinate system is proper. Suppose that the notion is defined for $<p$. Then a real coordinate system $p^{*}$ is proper if it satisfies the following conditions:
(3.1) $\varphi^{*}$ is $p$-proper for $E$ at $a^{6)}$. Let $(E, F)$ denote the reduced couple associated with $\mathscr{F}$ and put:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D(E, F)=\left(D^{*}\left(E^{*}\right) \cup \pi_{p}\left(F^{*}\right)\right) \cap M \\
& T(E, F)=E \cap U^{*} \cap \pi_{p}^{-1}(D(E, F)) \\
& E^{1}(E, F)=\hat{E}^{*} \cap M \cap \pi_{p}^{-1}(D(E, F))
\end{aligned}
$$

We take $U^{*}$ so small that $D, T$ and $E^{1}$ are real analytic varieties of dimension $\leq p-1$ in $U=U^{*} \cap M$. Hence the following second condition has meaning.
(3.2) $\quad P^{*}$ is proper for $\left(E^{1} ;\left(T, T \cap F_{1}, \cdots, T \cap F_{m}\right)\right.$ ).

We notice that by the definition of $\hat{E}^{*}, \pi_{p}\left(E^{1}(E, F)\right)=D(E, F)$.
Now we prove the existence of proper coordinate system. Keeping the same notations as above, we have

Lemma 2. Let $\mathscr{F}=\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}\right)$ be a family of subvarieties of $E$ and suppose that $E$ is of dimension $p$. Then for a point $a \in M$, a proper coordinate system for $(E ; \mathcal{F})$ at a can be obtained by a linear transformation of the given real coordinate system $\rho^{*}=\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ and shrinking the given neighborhood of $a$, if necessary. If $9^{*}$ is $p^{-p r o p e r}$ for $E$ at a, the proper coordinate system can be obtained by a linear transformation of the first $p$ coordinates, leaving the others fixed.

Proof ${ }^{7}$. We prove this Lemma by induction on $p$. If $p=0$, Lemma is trivial for any $n$. By a linear transformation of $\mathcal{P}^{*}$, we get ${ }^{8)}$ a real $p$-proper coordinate system $p^{*}$ for $E$ at $a$. Since the dimension $p_{1}$ of $E^{1}$ is $\leq p-1$, making a linear transformation of the first $p$ coordinates

[^4]of $\rho^{*}$, we can get a real coordinate system which is $p$-proper for $E$ and ( $p-1$ )-proper for $E^{1}$ at $a^{9}$. Repeating the same process, we get a real coordinate system which is $p$-proper for $E$ and $p_{1}-$ proper for $E^{1}$ at $a$. Lemma follows from the assumption of the induction.

By repeating the construction leading from a couple ( $E ; \mathscr{F}$ ) to another (see (3.1) and (3.2)) and by picking up the first member (namely variety) of each couple ( $E, E^{\prime}$, etc.), we get a finite family $\mathcal{E}$ of real analytic varieties. Fix a proper coordinate system $q^{*}$ for $(E ; \mathcal{F})$ at $a$. Let $G$ be a real analytic variety of dimension $r$ which belongs to $\mathcal{E}$. Then $\mathscr{P}^{*}$ is $r$-proper for $G$ at $a$. A polycylindrical neighborhood $V^{*}$ in $U^{*}$ is called standardized for $(E ; \mathscr{F})$ with respect to $\mathscr{P}^{*}$, if it is standarized for each member of $\mathcal{E}$. Since $\mathcal{E}$ consists of a finite number of real analytic varieties, we see that there exists a standardized neighborhood and that such neighborhoods form a fundamental system of neighborhoods of $a$.

## 4. Proper simplexes

Let $M$ be a real analytic manifold of dimension $n$ imbedded in its complexification $M^{*}$ and let $\Delta^{r}$ be a straight-linear $r$-simplex in a real $N$-space $R^{N}$ with the dimension $N$ sufficiently large whose points will be denoted by $t$. We denote by $\Delta_{0}^{r}$ the interior of $\Delta^{r}$. Suppose that we have a continuous map $f$ of $\Delta^{r}$ into $M$. Then the combination $\mathfrak{S}=\left(f\left(\Delta^{r}\right), f, \Delta^{r}\right)$ is a singular $r$-simplex in $M$. We call $f\left(\Delta^{r}\right)$ and $\Delta^{r}$ the image of $\mathfrak{S}$ (or $\Delta^{r}$ ) and the model of $\mathfrak{S}$ (or $f\left(\Delta^{r}\right)$ ) respectively.

Let $U^{*}$ be a real polycylindrical neighborhood in $M^{*}$ with a coordinate system $\rho^{*}=\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ whose restriction to $U=U^{*} \cap M$ is $\rho=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$. Let $\mathscr{S}=\left(S, f, \Delta^{r}\right)$ be a singular $r$-simplex in $M$ such that $S=f\left(\Delta^{r}\right)$ is contained in $U$. Then $f$ is represented by $n$ continuous functions $x_{1}=f_{1}(t), \cdots, x_{n}=f_{n}(t)$ and we write $f=\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)$. Suppose that $0 \leq r \leq p \leq n$. Then $\mathcal{S}=\left(S, f, \Delta^{r}\right)$ is called $p$-proper $r$-simplex with respect to $\rho$, if the following conditions are satisfied:
(4.1) There exist $r$ functions $f_{i_{1}}, \cdots, f_{i_{r}}$ with $1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{r} \leq p$ such that $\Delta^{r}$ is homeomorphic onto the image $S^{i_{1} \cdots i_{r}}$ (in $\pi_{i_{1} \cdots i_{r}}(U)$ ) of $\Delta^{r}$ and $\Delta_{0}^{r}$ is analytically homeomorphic onto the interior $S_{0}^{i_{1} \cdots i_{r}}$ of $S^{i_{1} \cdots i_{r}}$ by $x_{i_{1}}=f_{i_{1}}(t), \cdots, x_{i_{r}}=f_{i_{r}}(t), x_{j_{1}}=0, \cdots, x_{j_{n-r}}=0$, where $\left\{j_{1} \cdots j_{n-r}\right\}$ is the complement of $\left\{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{r}\right\}$.
(4.2) Each $f_{j_{l}}(1 \leq l \leq n-r)$ depends on $f_{i_{1}}, \cdots, f_{i_{r}}$ continuously on $\Delta^{r}$ and analytically on $\Delta_{0}^{r}$, in other words, $f_{j_{l}}$, considered as a function on $S^{i_{1} \cdots i_{r}}$ by the homeomorphism mentioned above (4.1), is continuous
9) Cf., [7], p. 138.
on $S^{i_{1} \cdots i_{r}}$ and analytic on $S_{0}^{i_{1} \cdots i_{r}}$ in $\left(x_{i_{1}}, \cdots, x_{i_{r}}\right)$.
Remark 2. For $0 \leq j \leq n-p$, put $f^{p+j}=\pi_{p+j} \circ f$ and $S^{p+j}=f^{p+j}\left(\Delta^{r}\right)$, $S_{0}^{n+j}=f^{p+j}\left(\Delta_{0}^{r}\right)$. Then $\Delta^{r}$ is homeomorphic onto $S^{p+j}$ and $\Delta_{0}^{r}$ is analytically homeomorphic onto $S_{0}^{p+j}$ by $f^{p+j}$. Put $\mathfrak{S}_{p+j}=\left(S^{p+j}, f^{p+j}, \Delta^{r}\right)$. Then $\mathfrak{S}_{p+j}$ is a $p$-proper simplex. We call $\mathbb{S}_{p+j}$ the $(p+j)$-base of $p$-proper simplex $\mathfrak{S}=\left(S, f, \Delta^{r}\right)$ and we say that $\mathfrak{S}$ lies over $\mathfrak{S}_{p^{+j}} . \quad f^{p+j}=\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{p^{+j}}, 0, \cdots, 0\right)$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{n}=\mathfrak{S}$. If we restrict the projection $\pi_{p+j}$ to $S$, it is a homeomorphism of $S$ onto $S^{p+j}$ and an analytic homeomorphism of $S_{0}$ onto $S_{0}^{p+j}$. We denote this homeomorphism by $\pi\left(S, S^{p+j}\right)$.

Remark 3. For $1 \leq j \leq n-p$, we put $f^{(p, j)}=\pi_{(p, j)} \circ f$ and also put $S^{(p, j)}=f^{(p, j)}\left(\Delta^{r}\right), S_{0}^{(p, j)}=f^{(p, j)}\left(\Delta_{0}^{r}\right)$. Then the combination $\left(S^{(p, j)}, f^{(p, j)}, \Delta^{r}\right)$ is a $p$-proper simplex, which we denote by $\mathbb{S}_{(p, j)} . \mathbb{S}_{(p, j)}$ is called $(p, j)-$ base of $\mathfrak{S}=\left(S, f, \Delta^{r}\right), f^{(p, j)}=\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{p}, 0, \cdots, 0, f_{p+j}, 0, \cdots, 0\right)$ and $\mathscr{S}_{(p, j)}$ lies over $\mathfrak{S}_{p}$ (Remark 2).

## 5. Proper Triangulations

We use the same notations as the previous section. Let $K$ be a straight-linear complex in $R^{N}$ and let $f$ be a homeomorphism of $K$ into $M$. Then the combination $\Omega=(f(K), f, K)$ is a complex in $M$ or a triangulation of $f(K) . \quad f(K)$ and $K$ are called the image of $\Omega$ and the model of $\Omega$ (or $f(K)$ ) respectively. If $f$ is analytically homeomorphic on the interior of each simplex of each dimension which belongs to $K, \Re$ is called analytic or analytic triangulation of $f(K)$.

Let $V^{*}$ be a polycylindrical neighborhood with radii $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{n}\right)$ such that $V^{*} \subset U^{*}$. Put $V=V^{*} \cap M$. Let $\Re=(\widetilde{G}, g, K)$ be a complex of dimension $p$ in $M$. Then we say that $\Omega$ is a p-proper complex with respect to $\mathcal{P}$ and $\bar{V}$, if the following conditions are satisfies (5.1)-(5.6):
(5.1) $\widetilde{G}$ is contained in $\bar{V}$ and all simplexes which belong to $\Omega$ are $p$-proper with respect to $\varphi$.
(5.2) Each simplex of $\Re$ of dimension strictly less than $p$ is a face of at least one $p$-simplex of $\Omega$.

The boundary $\partial \Omega$ of $\Omega$ is the collection of all ( $p-1$ )-simplexes each of which is a face of only one $p$-simplex of $\Omega$ and all their faces. $\partial \Omega$ is a subcomplex of $\Re$ and is written as $(\partial \widetilde{G}, g, \partial K)$.
(5.3) Let $\left(S, g, \Delta^{r}\right)(0 \leq r \leq p-1)$ be a simplex of $\partial \Re$ and let $g=\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n}\right)$. Then $S^{p} \subset \pi_{p}(\bar{V})-\pi_{p}(V)$ (for $S^{p}$, see Remark 2) and for $p<j \leq n,-\varepsilon_{j}<g_{j}(t)<\varepsilon_{j}$ for $t \in \Delta^{r}$, where $\varepsilon_{j}$ are radii of $V^{*}$.
(5.4) There exists a triangulation $\Re_{p}=\left(\pi_{p}(\bar{V}), g_{p}, K_{p}\right)$ of $\pi_{p}(\bar{V})$ in such a way that $\pi_{p}$ gives rise to a non-degenerate simplicial map of $\Omega$ onto $\Re_{p}$, that is to say, there exists a non-degenerate simplicial map $h_{p}$ of $K$ onto $K_{p}$ such that $\pi_{p} \circ g=g_{p} \circ h_{p}$. We write $\pi_{p} \subseteq$ for $\left(\pi_{p} S, g_{p}, h_{p} \Delta\right)$, where $\mathfrak{S}=(S, g, \Delta) \in \Omega$.

Remark 4. If $(S, g, \Delta)$ is a simplex of $\Omega$, it is equivalent to $\left(S, \pi^{-1}\left(S, S^{p}\right) \circ g_{p}, h_{p}(\Delta)\right)$ and any simplex $\left(S^{\prime}, g_{p}, \Delta^{\prime}\right)$ of $\Re_{p}$ is equivalent to the $p$-base ( $S^{p}, g^{p}, \Delta$ ) of a simplex ( $S, g, \Delta^{\prime}$ ) of $\Omega$ such that $S^{p}=S^{\prime}$.
(5.5) Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be a ( $p-1$ )-simplex which does not belong to $\partial \Omega$. Then there exist at least two $p$-simplexes $\mathfrak{S}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{S}^{\prime \prime}$ of $\Re$ which have $\mathfrak{S}$ as the common face, such that $\pi_{p} \mathfrak{S}^{\prime}$ and $\pi_{p} \mathbb{S}^{\prime \prime}$ are different.

In this case, of course, $\pi_{p} \mathbb{S}^{\prime}$ and $\pi_{p} \mathbb{S}^{\prime \prime}$ have $\pi_{p} \mathscr{S}$ as the common face.
(5.6) For $1 \leq j \leq n-p$, there exists a triangulation $\Re_{(p, j)}=$ $\left(\pi_{(p, j)}(\widetilde{G}), g_{(p, j)}, K_{(p, j)}\right)$ of $\pi_{(p, j)}(\widetilde{G})$ in such a way that $\pi_{(p, j)}$ gives rise to a non-degenerate simplicial map of $\Omega$ onto $\Omega_{(p, j)}$, i.e., there exists a non-degenerate simplicial map $h_{(p, j)}$ of $K$ onto $K_{(p, j)}$ such that $\pi_{(p, j)} \circ g=g_{(p, j)} \circ h_{(p, j)}$.

We call $\Omega_{p}$ and $\Re_{(p, j)} p$-base and $(p, j)$-base of $\Omega$ with respect to $\rho$ and $\bar{V}$ respectively.

Remak 5. $\quad \Re_{p}$ is $p$-proper with respect to $\varphi$ and $\bar{V}$ with itself as the $p$-base and the $(p, j)$-base. And $\Omega_{(p, j)}$ is also $p$-proper with respect to $\varphi$ and $\bar{V}$ with itself as $(p, j)$-base and for $1 \leq k \leq n-p, k \neq j, \Re_{p}$ is the $(p, k)$-base. The simplicial map $h_{(p, k)}^{(p, j)}$ of $K_{(p, j)}$ onto $K_{p}$ is defined by $h_{p}=h_{(p, k)}^{(p, j)} \circ h_{(p, j)} . \quad \Omega_{p}$ is also $p$-base of $\Omega_{(p, j)}$ with $h_{p}^{(p, j)}=h_{(p, k)}^{(p, j)}$ again as the simplicial map of $K_{(p, j)}$ onto $K_{p}$.

Let $G$ be a subset in $\bar{V}$ and let $\Omega=(\widetilde{G}, g, K)$ be as above. Then we say that $G$ is $p$-properly triangulated in $\Re$ (or in $\widetilde{G}$ ), if $\widetilde{G}$ contains $G$ and $g^{-1}(G)$ is a subcomplex (of $K$ ) (i.e., $\left(G, g, g^{-1}(G)\right.$ ) is a subcomplex of $\Re$ ).

REmARK 6. Let $\mathbb{S}_{i}=\left(S_{i}, g_{p}, \Delta_{i}\right)$ be a simplex of $\Omega_{p}=\left(\pi_{p}(\bar{V}), g_{p}, K_{p}\right)$. Then $\pi_{p}^{-1}\left(\left(S_{i}\right)_{0}\right) \cap \pi_{(p, j)}(\widetilde{G})$ is the union $\bigcup_{l=1}^{l=k(i, j)}\left(S_{(i, j)}^{l}\right)_{0}$ of the interiors $\left(S_{(i, j)}^{l}\right)_{0}$ of all the simplexes $\left(S_{(i, j)}^{l}, g_{(p, j)},{ }^{l} \Delta_{(i, j)}\right)(1 \leq l \leq k(i, j))$ of $\Re_{(p, j)}$ which lies over $\mathfrak{S}_{i}$ (see Remark 5, (5.4) and (5.6)). Put $S_{(i, j)}^{0}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}, 0 \cdots 0\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.-\varepsilon_{p+j}, 0 \cdots 0\right) \mid\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}\right) \in S_{i}\right\} \quad$ and $S_{(\imath, j)}^{k(i, j)+1}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}, 0 \cdots 0, \varepsilon_{p+j}, 0 \cdots 0\right) \mid\right.$ $\left.\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}\right) \in S_{i}\right\}$, where $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{n}\right)$ are radii of $V^{*}$. Denote by $\left(S_{(i, j)}^{0}\right)_{0}$ and $\left(S_{(i, j)}^{k i, j)+1}\right)_{0}$ the interiors of $S_{(i, j)}^{0}$ and $S_{(j, j)}^{k(i, j)+1}$ respectively. Since $\left(S_{(i, j)}^{l}\right)_{0}(0 \leq l \leq k(i, j)+1)$ are mutually disjoint, we can naturally introduce
an order in $\mathcal{C}_{(i, j)}=\left\{S_{(i, j)}^{1}\right\} \quad(0 \leq 1 \leq k(i, j)+1)$ by means of the $(p+j)-$ th coordinates: $S_{(i, j)}^{0}<S_{(i, j)}^{1}<\cdots<S_{(i, j)}^{k(i, j)}<S_{(i, j)}^{k(i, j)+1}$.

Keeping the same notations as above, we prove
Lemma 3. If $\Omega=(\widetilde{G}, g, K)$ is a p-proper complex with respect to $\mathcal{P}$ and $\bar{V}$ such that the model $K_{p}$ of its p-base $\Re_{p}=\left(\pi_{p}(\bar{V}), g_{p}, K_{p}\right)$ is contained in $R^{m}, \bar{V}$ has an analytic triangulation $\Omega^{n}=\left(\bar{V}, f, K^{n}\right)$ which has the following properties (5. a)-(5.c):
(5. a) $K^{n}$ is imbedded in $R^{m+n-p}$ in such a way that $\pi_{n}$ (acting on $\left.R^{m+n-p}\right)$ yields a simplicial map of $K^{n}$ onto $K_{p}$.
(5. b) The model $K$ of the complex $\Re=(\widetilde{G}, g, K)$ can be taken to be a subcomplex of $K^{n}, f$ is an extension of $g, h_{p}$ is a restriction of $\pi_{m}$ to $K$ and $K_{(p, j)}=\pi_{(m, j)}(K)$ with $h_{(p, j)}=\pi_{(m, j)}$ (restricted to $K$ ).
(5.c) For $1 \leq j \leq n-p, \pi_{(m, j)}\left(K^{n}\right)$ has a triangulation in such a way that $\pi_{(m, j)}$ is a simplicial map of $K^{n}$ onto $\pi_{(m, j)}\left(K^{n}\right)$ and $\pi_{(p, j)}(\bar{V})$ has a triangulation $\Re_{(p, j)}^{n}=\left(\pi_{(p, j)}(\bar{V}), f_{(p, j)}^{n}, \pi_{(m, j)}\left(K^{n}\right)\right)$ such that $\pi_{(p, j)} \circ f=$ $f_{(p, j)}^{(n)} \pi_{(m, j)}$ and $\Re_{(p, j)}^{n}$ is an extension of $\Re_{(p, j)}\left(\right.$ i.e., $f_{(p, j)}^{n}$ is an extension of $g_{(p, j)}$ and $\Re_{(p, j)}$ is a subcomplex of $\left.K_{(p, j)}^{: i}=\pi_{(m, j)}\left(K^{n}\right)\right)$.

Proof. First we arbitrarily fix order in all the vertices of $\Omega_{p}$ : $\left(v_{1}, g_{p}, \alpha_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(v_{B}, g_{p}, \alpha_{B}\right)$. Suspose that $v_{(i, j)}^{i}(1 \leq i \leq B, i \leq i \leq n-p$, $0 \leq l \leq k(i, j)+1$ ) (see Remark 6) has coordinate ( $x^{i}, \cdots, x_{p}^{i}, 0 \cdots 0, x_{(i, j)}^{i}, 0 \cdots 0$ ), where ( $x_{1}^{i}, \cdots, x_{p}^{i}, 0 \cdots 0$ ) is ths coordinate of $v_{i}$, and put $\mathcal{C}_{(i, j)}^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha_{(i, j)}^{i}\right\}$ where $\alpha_{(i, j)}^{l}$ is a point in $\pi_{(m, j)}\left(R^{m+n-p}\right)$ which has the expression:
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{(i, j)}^{l} & =\left(t_{1}^{i}, \cdots, t_{m}^{i}, 0 \cdots 0, x_{(i, j)}^{l}, 0 \cdots 0\right) \\
\alpha_{i} & =\left(t^{i}, \cdots, t_{m}^{i}, 0 \cdots 0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put

$$
A=\left\{w \in \bar{V} \mid \pi_{(p, j)}(w)=v_{(i, j)}^{l}, 1 \leq i \leq B, 1 \leq j \leq n-p, 0 \leq l \leq k(i, j)+1\right\}
$$

and

$$
A^{\prime}=\left\{\beta \in R^{m+n-p} \mid \pi_{(m, j)}(\beta)=\alpha_{(i, j)}^{l}, 1 \leq i \leq B, 1 \leq j \leq n-p, 0 \leq l \leq k(i, j)+1\right\} .
$$

Let $\beta=\left(t_{1}^{i}, \cdots, t_{m}^{i}, x_{(i, 1)}^{l_{1}}, \cdots, x_{(i, n}^{\left.l_{n} \underline{p}_{p}\right)}\right) \in A^{\prime}$. Then the function $f^{\prime}$ which maps $\beta$ to the point ( $\left.x_{1}^{i}, \cdots, x_{p}^{i}, x_{(i, 1)}^{l_{1}}, \cdots, x_{(i, n}^{l_{n}, \underline{p}}\right)$ ) of $A$ is a one-to-one map of $A^{\prime}$ onto $A$. Let $f_{(p, j)}^{\prime}$ be the one-to-one map of $\mathcal{C}_{j}^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{B} \mathcal{C}_{(i, j)}^{\prime}$ onto $\mathcal{C}_{j}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{B} \mathcal{C}_{(i, j)}$ such that $\alpha_{(i, j)}^{2}$ corresponds to $v_{(i, j)}^{l}$. Then $\pi_{(p, j)} \circ f^{\prime}=f_{(p, j)}^{\prime} \circ \pi_{(m, j)}$. Let $\beta_{0}=\left(t_{1}^{i_{0}}, \cdots, t_{m}^{i_{0}}, x_{\left(i_{0}, 1\right)}^{l_{1}^{0}}, \cdots, x_{\left(i_{0}, n-p\right)}^{\left.l_{n}^{0}\right)}\right), \cdots, \beta_{r}=\left(t_{1}^{i_{r}}, \cdots, t_{m}^{i_{r}}, x_{\left(i_{r}, 1\right)}^{l_{1}^{r}}, \cdots, x_{\left(i_{r}, n-p\right)}^{l_{n-p}^{r}}\right)$ be $r+1$ different points of $A^{\prime}$ such that
i) $v_{i_{0}}=\pi_{m}\left(\beta_{0}\right), \cdots, v_{i_{r}}=\pi_{m}\left(\beta_{r}\right)$ are contained in a simplex $\Delta$ of $K_{p}$ and $i_{0} \leq \cdots \leq i_{r}$. Put $S=g_{p}(\Delta)$.
ii) For $1 \leq j \leq n-p$, there exists a number $q(0 \leq q \leq k(S, j))$ such that for $0 \leq t \leq r, f_{(p, j)}^{\prime} \circ \pi_{(m, j)}\left(\beta_{t}\right)$ belongs either $S_{(S, j)}^{q}$ or $S_{(S, j)}^{q+1}$ and if, for some $t, f_{(p, j)}^{\prime} \circ \pi_{(m, j)}\left(\beta_{t}\right)$ belongs to $S_{(S, j)}^{q}, f_{(p, j)}^{\prime} \circ \pi_{(m, j)}\left(\beta_{t-1}\right)$ belongs to $S_{(s, j)}^{q}$ (if it belongs to both of them, we consider that it belongs to $S_{(s, i)}^{a}$ ). Then $\beta_{0}, \cdots, \beta_{r}$ span a $r$-simplex in $R^{m+n-p}$ and all these simplexes form a complex in $R^{m_{+n-p}}$ which turns out to be the desired model of the triangulation of $\bar{V}$ mentioned in the Lemma, and hence is denoted by $K^{n}$. From the construction of $K^{n}$, we see that $\pi_{m}$ yields a simplicial map of $K^{n}$ onto $K_{p}$ and that $\pi_{(m, j)}\left(K^{n}\right)$ has a triangulation so that $\pi_{(m, j)}$ yields a simplicial map of $K^{n}$ onto it. $\pi_{(m, j)}\left(K^{n}\right)$ with this triangulation is to become the model of $\pi_{(p, j)}(\bar{V})$ mentioned in (5.c), and is denoted by $K_{(p, y)}^{n}$. Let $\Delta$ be a simplex of $K^{n}$ with vertices $\beta_{0}, \cdots, \beta_{r}$ such that $f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{0}\right), \cdots, f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{r}\right)$ are the images of vertices of a simplex of complex $\Omega$. Then all these simplexes $\Delta$ form a subcomplex of $K^{n}$ which turns out to be equivalent to the model of the given complex $\Omega$, and hence is denoted by $K$. Also from the construction of $K^{n}, \pi_{m}$ is a simplicial map of $K$ onto $K_{p}$, and $\pi_{(m, j)}(K)$ is considered a subcomplex of $K_{(p, j)}^{n}$ such that $\pi_{(m, j)}$ is a simplicial map of $K$ onto it. $\pi_{(m, j)}(K)$ with this triangulation is naturally going to be the model of $\Re_{(p, j)}$ and is denoted by $K_{(p, j)}$. Now by (5.4) and (5.6), the homeomorphisms $g$ and $g_{(p, j)}$ of $K$ onto $\widetilde{G}$ and of $K_{(p, j)}$ onto $\pi_{(p, j)}(\widetilde{G})$ are naturally defined with the properties; $\pi_{p} \circ g=g_{p} \circ \pi_{m}, \pi_{(p, j)} \circ g=g_{(p, j)} \circ \pi_{(m, j)}$ and $\pi_{p} \circ g_{(p, j)}=g_{p} \circ \pi_{m}$ so that $(\widetilde{G}, g, K)$ is equivalent to the given triangulation of $\widetilde{G}$, the given $\Omega_{p}$ and $\left(\pi_{(p, j)}(\widetilde{G}), g_{(p, j)}, K_{(p, j)}\right)$ are its $p$-base and $(p, j)$-base respectively.

Now we define a homeomorphism $f$ of $K^{n}$ onto $\bar{V}$ with the desired properties as an extension of $g$. Let $t=\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{m}, t_{m+1}, \cdots, t_{m+n-p}\right)$ be a point of $K^{n}$ and let $\Delta$ be the minimal simplex of $K_{p}$ which contains $\pi_{m}(t)$. For $1 \leq j \leq n-p$, let ${ }_{1}{ }^{j} \Delta$ and ${ }_{2}{ }^{j} \Delta$ be simplexes of $K_{(p, j)}$ such that $\pi_{m}\left({ }_{1}{ }^{j} \Delta\right)=\pi_{m}\left({ }_{2}{ }^{j} \Delta\right)=\Delta$ and such that if $t^{1}=\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{m}, 0 \cdots 0, t_{m+j}^{1}, 0 \cdots 0\right)$ and $t^{2}=\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{m}, 0 \cdots 0, t_{m+j}^{2}, 0 \cdots 0\right)$ are points of ${ }_{1}{ }^{j} \Delta$ and ${ }_{2}{ }^{j} \Delta$ respectively, $t_{m+j}^{1} \leq t_{m+j} \leq t_{m+j}^{2}$, and no $(m+j)$-th coordinate of any other simplex $\Delta^{\prime}$ of $K_{(m, j)}$ with $\pi_{m}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=\Delta$ comes between $t_{m+j}^{1}$ and $t_{m+j}^{2}$. Define $f(t)=x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}, x_{p+1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in \bar{V}$ as follows: Let $x_{m+j}^{1}$ and $x_{m+j}^{2}$ be the $(p+j)$-th coordinates of $g_{(p, j)}\left(t^{1}\right)$ and $g_{(p, j)}\left(t^{2}\right)$ respectively.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{p}(x) & =g_{p} \circ \pi_{m}(t), \\
x_{p^{+j}} & =x_{m+j}+\frac{t_{m+j}-t_{m+j}^{1}}{t_{m+j}^{2}-t_{m+j}^{1}}\left(x_{m+j}^{2}-x_{m+j}^{1}\right), \quad \text { if } \quad t_{m+j}^{2}>t_{m+j}^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
x_{p+j}=x_{m+j}^{1}=x_{m+j}^{2}, \quad \text { if } \quad t_{m+j}^{2}=t_{m+j}^{1} .
$$

It is now easy to check all the properties (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3) with all we have constructed.

Remark 7. For $0 \leq i \leq n-p, \pi_{m+j}\left(K^{n}\right)$ and $\pi_{m+j}(K)$ can be considered as complexes such that $\pi_{p^{+j}}$ is a simplicial map of $K^{n}$ onto $\pi_{m^{+j}}\left(K^{n}\right)$ and of $K$ onto $\pi_{m^{+j}}\left(K^{p}\right)$, and $\pi_{p^{+j}}(\bar{V})$ and $\pi_{p^{+j}}(\widetilde{G})$ have the natural triangulations $\left(\pi_{p+j}(\bar{V}), f_{p^{+j}}^{\prime 2}, \pi_{m+j}\left(K^{n}\right)\right)$ and $\left(\pi_{p+j}(\widetilde{G}), g_{p^{+j}}, \pi_{m^{+} j}\left(K^{p}\right)\right)$ respectively, where $f_{p+j}^{n}$ is defined as $\pi_{p+j} \circ f=f_{p+j}^{n} \circ \pi_{m+j}$ and $g_{p+j}$ is the restriction of $f_{p+j}^{n}$ to $\pi_{m+j}(K)$. Then $\left(\pi_{p+j}(\widetilde{G}), g_{p^{+j}}, \pi_{m+i}(k)\right)$ is a subcomplex of $\left(\pi_{p^{+j}}(\bar{V}), f_{p+j}^{n}, \pi_{m+j}\left(K^{n}\right)\right)$ and consists of all $(p+j)$-bases of simplexes of ( $\tilde{G}, g, K)$. If $G$ is $p$-properly triangulated in $\tilde{G}, \pi_{p^{+} j}(G)$ is also $p$-properly triangulated in $\pi_{p^{+} j}(\widetilde{G})$.

## 6. Local triangulation of real analytic verieties

Theorem 1. Let $E$ be a real analytic variety in a real analytic manifold $M$ and let $F_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}$ be a finite number of subvarieties of $E$. Then for any point a of $M$, there exists a neighborhood $V$ of a such that $E \cap \bar{V}$ is triangulable and each $F_{i} \cap \bar{V}(1 \leq i \leq m)$ is a subcomplex of $E \cap \bar{V}$ for the triangulation of $E \cap \bar{V} . E \cap \bar{V}$, considered as a complex, has a model $\hat{K}$ in the Euclidean space of the same dimension as that of $M$. The homeomorphism $g$ of $\hat{K}$ onto $E \cap \bar{V}$ is analytically homeomorphic on the interior of each simplex of each dimension of $\hat{K}$.

Proof. We may assume that $a$ belongs to each $F_{i}(1 \leq i \leq m)$. Suppose that the dimension of $E$ and $M$ are $\leq p$ and $n$ respectively, and $M$ is imbedded in a complexification $M^{*}$ of $M$. Let $U^{*}$ be a polycylindrical neighborhood of $a$ with real coordinate system $\mathcal{P}^{*}=\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ whose restriction to $U=U^{*} \cap M$ is $\varphi=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$. Suppose that $p^{*}$ is proper for ( $E ;\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}\right)$ ) at $a$ and let $V^{*}$ be a standardized neighborhood for $\left(E ;\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}\right)\right)$ such that $\bar{V}^{*} \subset U^{*}$. Put $V=V^{*} \cap M$. Then we are actually going to prove:

If such $V$ is sufficiently small, there exists a p-proper complex $\mathfrak{R}=(\widetilde{G}, g, K)$ with respect to $\mathcal{P}$ and $\bar{V}$ such that $E \cap \bar{V}$ is p-properly triangulated in $\Omega, K$ is imbedded in $R^{n}$ and the model $K_{p}$ of $p$-base $\Omega_{p}$ of $\Omega$ is imbedded in $\pi_{p}\left(R^{n}\right)$.

The proof is carried out by induction: Since for $p=0$, Theorem is trivial for any $n$, we fix $n$ arbitrarily and prove for $p$ under the assumption that it is true for $<p$. Since $\phi^{*}$ is proper for ( $E^{1} ;\left(T, T \cap F_{1}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.T \cap F_{m}\right)$ ), $V^{*}$ is standardized for it and since the dimension $p_{1}$ of $E^{1}$ is $\leq p-1$, by the assumption of the induction, there exists a $p_{1}$-proper
complex ${ }^{1} \mathfrak{\Re}=\left({ }^{1} \widetilde{G}_{1},{ }^{1} g,{ }^{1} K\right)$ with respect to $\varphi$ and $\bar{V}$ such that $E_{\mathrm{r}} \cap \bar{V}$ is $p_{1}-$ properly triangulated in ${ }^{1} \Re$ and $T \cap \bar{V}, T \cap F_{1} \cap \bar{V}, \cdots, T \cap F_{m} \cap \bar{V}$ are subcomplexs of $E^{1} \cap \bar{V}$, and such that the model ${ }^{1} K_{p_{1}}$ of $p_{1}$-base ${ }^{1} \Re_{p_{1}}$ of ${ }^{1} \Re$ is imbedded in $\pi_{p_{1}}\left(R^{n}\right)$. Hence, by Lemma 3, there exists an analytic triangulation ${ }^{1} \Omega^{n}=\left(\bar{V},{ }^{1} f,{ }^{1} K^{n}\right)$ of $\bar{V}$ with the properties (5. a), (5. b) and (5. c). We denote by ${ }^{1} \Re_{p}^{n}=\left(\pi_{p}(\bar{V}),{ }^{1} f_{p},{ }^{1} K_{p}^{n}\right.$ ) the $p$-base of ${ }^{1} \Re^{n}$ (see Remark 7), which gives a triangulation of $\pi_{p}(\bar{V})$.

Let $\mathfrak{S}=\left(S,{ }^{1} f_{p}, \Delta\right)$ be a simplex of dimension $p$ of ${ }^{1} \Re_{p}^{n}$ and let $\left(S_{0},{ }^{1} f_{p}, \Delta_{0}\right)$ be its interior. Since $\pi_{p}\left(E^{1}\right)=D(E, F)$ is of dimension $\leq p-1$, $\pi_{p}\left(E_{1}\right) \cap S_{0}=\emptyset$ and especially $S_{0} \cap D^{*}=\emptyset$. Furthermore, since $S_{0}$ is simply connected, $\pi_{p}^{-1}\left(S_{0}\right) \cap\left(\widetilde{E}^{*} \cap \bar{V}^{*}\right)$ consists of connected components each of which is homeomorphic to $S_{0}$ by $\pi_{p}$ (see Remark 1). Let $\widetilde{S}_{0}$ be one of the connected components. Then if $S_{0}$ is represented by $x_{1}=u_{1}(t), \cdots, x_{p}=u_{p}(t), x_{p+1}=\cdots=x_{n}=0, \widetilde{S}_{0}$ is represented by $x_{1}=u_{1}(t), \cdots$, $x_{p}=u_{p}(t), z_{p+1}=w_{p+1}(t), \cdots, z_{n}=w_{n}(t)$, where $w_{j}(p<j \leq n)$ depends analytically on $u_{1}, \cdots, u_{p}$ on $S_{0}$. Write $w_{j}(t)=u_{j}(t)+\sqrt{-1} v_{j}(t)$ where $u_{j}(t)$ and $v_{j}(t)$ are real and imaginary part respectively and consider an open simplex $\hat{S}_{0}$ which is represented by $u_{1}(t), \cdots, u_{p}(t), u_{p+1}(t), \cdots, u_{n}(t)$. Then $\hat{S}_{0}$ is contained in $\hat{E}^{*} \cap \bar{V}$ (see (2.2)). If $\hat{S}_{0}^{\prime}$ is another open simplex derived from $S_{0}$ as above and is represented by $u_{1}(t), \cdots, u_{p}(t), u_{p+1}^{\prime}(t), \cdots, u_{n}^{\prime}(t)$, for $p<j \leq n$, either $u_{j}(t)=u_{j}^{\prime}(t)$ on $\Delta_{0}$ or $u_{j}(t)$ is distinct from $u_{j}^{\prime}(t)$ at any point of $\Delta_{0}$, because $S_{0} \cap D^{*}=\emptyset$.

Denote by $\hat{S}$ the closure of $\hat{S}_{0}$ in $\bar{V}$ (therefore also in $\hat{E}^{*} \cap \bar{V}$ ). Since $E^{1} \cap \bar{V}$ is $p_{1}$-properly ( $p_{1}<p$ ) triangulated, by the continuity of roots of the distinguished pseudo polynomials $P_{j}(1 \leq j \leq n-p)$ which defines $\hat{E}^{*}$ and local connectivity of $\hat{E}^{*}$ (see [1], [3]), we see that all $u_{j}(t)(p<j \leq n)$ have unique continuous extensions to $\Delta$. Since, for $1 \leq i \leq p, u_{i}(t)$ has already the extension to $\Delta$ as a representation function of ${ }^{1} f_{p}$, $u=\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right)$ defines a homeomorphism of $\Delta$ onto $\hat{S}$ and $\mathfrak{S}^{\prime}=(\hat{S}, u, \Delta)$ is a $p$-proper $p$-simplex. Every face of $\mathfrak{S}^{\prime}$ lies over a simplex of the same dimension of ${ }^{1} \Re_{p}^{n}$ and analytic (notice that the image of its $p$-base is either contained in $\pi_{p}\left(E^{1}\right)$ or the interior is disjoint from $D^{*}$ ).

Now we denote by $\widetilde{G}$ the union of all these $\hat{S}$ and consider the collection $\mathcal{C}$ of all simplexes obtained by the barycentric subdivision of all these $\mathfrak{S}^{\prime}$. Then the collection $\mathcal{C}$ gives an analytic triangulation $\Re=(\widetilde{G}, g, K)$ of $\widetilde{G}$. Remembering that $\widetilde{E}^{*}$ is defined by distinguished pseudo polynomials and that $V^{*}$ is standardized, it is easy to see that $\Omega$ satisfies the condition (5.1)-(5.6), i.e., $\Omega$ is a $p$-proper complex with respect to $\rho$ and $\bar{V}$ and its $p$-base is the barycentric subdivision of ${ }^{1} \Re_{p}^{n}=\left(\pi_{p}(\bar{V}),{ }^{1} f_{p},{ }^{1} K_{p}^{n}\right)$.

From the construction of $\tilde{G}$, we see that $E \cap \bar{V} \subset \tilde{G}$ (see Lemma 1).

Suppose $x \ni F_{i}$ and $\pi_{p}(x) \notin \pi_{p}\left(E^{1}\right)$. Then $\pi_{p}(x) \notin D^{*}\left(E^{*}\right)$. Since, in this case, $x$ is a regular point of both $F_{i}^{*}$ and $\widetilde{E}^{*}$ (see, for example, [7], p. 138), $E^{*}$ is expressed locally by $n-p$ real analytic functions in ( $z_{1}, \cdots, z_{p}$ ) and $E$ is locally real analytic manifold of dimension $p$ expressed by the same functions restricted to real variables ( $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}$ ) (see, for example, [2], p. 92). Hence any simplex of $\widetilde{G}$ which contains $x$ in the interior is contained in $F_{i}$. This shows that each $F_{i}$ is a subcomplex of $\widetilde{G}$ and hence $E \cap \bar{V}$ is properly triangulated in $\Omega=(\widetilde{G}, g, K)$ in such a way as stated above.

Remark 8. It is easy to see that we can reconstruct the model $\hat{K}$ of $E \cap \bar{V}$ in such a manner that for any vertex $t$ of $\hat{K}, x=g(t)$ has the same coordinate as that of $t$, preserving all other properties.

Remark 9. In the above triangulation $\hat{\Omega}=(E \cap \bar{V}, g, K) ; E \cap \partial \bar{V}$ is a subcomplex of $E \cap \bar{V}$, where $\partial \bar{V}=\bar{V}-V$.

## 7. Global triangulation of real algebraic varieties

We consider a real algebraic variety $A$ in $R^{n}$ with an usual coordinate system $\varphi=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$. Suppose that $A$ is defined by polynomials $f_{i}\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ with degrees $r_{i}(1 \leq i \leq m<\infty)$. Let $f_{i}^{0}$ be a polynomial in $n+1$ variables defined by $f_{i}^{0}\left(X_{0}, X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)=X_{0}^{r_{i}} f_{i}\left(\frac{X_{1}}{X_{0}}, \cdots, \frac{X_{n}}{X_{0}}\right)$. Then $f_{1}^{0}, \cdots, f_{m}^{0}$ defines a real projective variety $A^{0}$ in $R^{n+1}$ with a coordinate system $\varphi_{0}=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$. We cell $A^{0}$, the projective variety associated with $A$. Let $H_{0}$ and $H_{1}$ be the hyper-planes of $R^{n+1}$ defined by $X_{0}=0$ and $X_{0}=1$ respectively. Then $A^{0}$ consists of a projective subvariety $A_{0}^{0}$ in $H_{0}$ and all the lines which join the origin $a$ of $R^{n+1}$ and a point of $A_{1}^{0}=A^{0} \cap H_{1}$, where $A_{1}^{0}$ is isomorphic to $A$ by the canonical map of $H_{1}$ to $R^{n}:\left(1, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$.

Let $F^{1}, \cdots, F^{k}$ be a finite number of algebraic subvarieties of $A$ and let $\left(F^{i}\right)^{0}$ be the projective variety associated with $F^{i}(1 \leq i \leq k)$. By Lemma 2, there exists a proper coordinate system $\varphi_{0}^{\prime}=\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$ for $\left(A_{0} ;\left(\left(F^{1}\right)^{0}, \cdots,\left(F^{k}\right)^{0}, A_{0}^{0}\right)\right)$ at $a$. Since $\varphi_{0}^{\prime}$ can be obtained from $\varphi_{0}$ by a linear transformation, $\varphi_{0}^{\prime}$ is also a global coordinate system. Then by Theorem 1, there exists a neighborhood $V$ of $a$ such that $A^{0} \cap \bar{V}$ has a triangulation $\hat{\mathscr{R}}=\left(A^{0} \cap \bar{V}, g, \hat{K}\right)$ and such $\left(F^{i}\right)^{0} \cap \bar{V}$ and $A_{0}^{0} \cap \bar{V}$ are subcomplexes of $A^{0} \cap \bar{V}$. Since, in Lemma 1, $\widetilde{E}^{*}$ and $\hat{E}^{*}$ can be taken to be symmetric with respect to the orign, and $A^{0}$ and each $\left(F^{i}\right)^{0}$ are symmetric with respect to the origin for $\varphi_{0}^{\prime}$ (hence also for $\mathcal{P}_{0}$ ), we may assume that the triangulation $\Omega$ is symmetric with respect to $a$ for $\varphi_{0}^{\prime}$ (hence also for $\mathcal{P}_{0}$ ). Therefore, considering the projection of $A^{\circ} \cap \partial \bar{V}$ (see Remark 9) from $a$,
we get a triangulation of $A^{0}$ (considered to be imbedded in projective $n$-space $P^{n}$ ) each $\left(F^{1}\right)^{0}$ and $A_{0}^{0}$ are subcomplexes of $A^{0}$. The model of $A^{0} \cap \partial \bar{V}$ can also be assumed as symmetric and the model $K\left(A^{0}\right)$ is obtained from the symmetric model of $A^{0} \cap \partial \bar{V}$ by the identification with respect to the symmetry, the homeomorphism is the projection preceded by $g$ and by the form of $A^{\circ} \cap \partial \bar{V}$, we see the triangulation is analytic.

Summarizing the above results, we have
Theorem 2. Let $A$ be a real algebraic variety in $R^{n}$ and let $F^{1}, \cdots, F^{k}$ be a finite number of algebraic subvarieties of $A$. Let $A^{0}$ and $\left(F^{i}\right)^{0}$ be the projective subvarieties associated with $A^{0}$ and $\left(F^{i}\right)^{0}$ respectively. Suppose that $A^{0}$ and $\left(F^{i}\right)^{0}$ are imbedded in projective $n$-space $P^{n}$ and consider that $A$ is a subset of $A^{0}$. Then there exists a triangulation $\mathfrak{Y}=\left(A^{0}, f, K\left(A^{0}\right)\right)$ of $A^{0}$ such that each $\left(F^{i}\right)^{0}$ is a subcomplex of $A^{0}$ and $A^{0}-A$ is also a subcomplex of $A^{0}$ imbedded in a hyperplane of $P^{n}$. The homeomorphism $f$ is analytic in the interior of each simplex of each dimension of $K\left(A^{0}\right)$.

## 8. Pseudo-cells and cells

If a real analytic manifold $e$ is analytically homeomorphic onto a relatively compact domain $D$ in $R^{r}$, $e$ is called analytic pseudo-cell or simply pseudo-cell (since from now onwards, we are concerned only with analytic case). The dimension of $e$ as a manifold is equal to $r$ and we say that pseudo-cell $e$ is of dimension $r$ and write $e^{r}$ if it is necessary to specify the dimension.

In the above definition if $e$, hence $D$, is homeomorphic to the interior of a sphere, we call e cell.

Let $X$ be a topological space and suppose that $X$ is a union of mutually disjoint pseudo-cells: $X=\bigcup_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}$. Then we say that $X$ has a pseude-cell decomposition $\left\{e_{\alpha}\right\}$, or $X$ is a pseudo-cell complex, if for each $e_{\alpha}^{r}$, there exist a relatively compact domain $D_{\infty}$ in $R^{r}$ and a homeomorphism $f_{\infty}$ of $\bar{D}_{\alpha}$ onto $\bar{e}_{\alpha}$, and if each combination $\left(e_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}, D_{\alpha}\right)$ satisfies the following conditions (8.1)-(8.4) :
(8.1) $\left\{e_{a}\right\}$ is locally finite.
(8.2) $\bar{e}_{\infty}$ is written as a union of a finite number of pseudo-cells in $\left\{e_{\alpha}\right\}$.

Each pseudo-cell of $\partial e_{\alpha}=\bar{e}_{\alpha}-e_{\alpha}$ is called a boundary pseudo-cell of $e_{\alpha}$.
(8.3) $f_{\alpha}$ is analytic homeomorphism of $D_{\alpha}$ onto $e_{\alpha}$. If $e_{\beta}$ is a boundary pseudo-cell of $e_{\alpha}^{r}, f_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(e_{\beta}\right)$ is an anlytic submanifold of the space
$R^{r}$ which contains $D_{a}$ and $f_{a}^{-1}\left(e_{\beta}\right)$ is analytically homeomorphic onto $e_{\beta}$ by $f_{\alpha}$, and $\partial D=\bar{D}-D=\bigcup_{\beta} f_{a}^{-1}\left(e_{\beta}\right)$, where the union is taken for all the boundary pseudo-cells $e_{\beta}$ of $e_{\alpha}$.
(8.4) For any point $a$ of $\partial D$, any neighborhood $V(a)$ of $a$ in $R^{r}$ contains a point of $R^{r}-\bar{D}$.

When $X$ has a pseudo-cell decomposition $\left\{e_{\alpha}\right\}$, if each $e_{\infty}$ is a cell, we say that $X$ has a cell decomposition or $X$ is a cell complex.

Let $E,\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}\right), \varphi, V$ be the same as appeared in the proof of Theorem 1. We may always assume that $\varphi, E, F_{k}(1 \leq k \leq m)$ are defined in some rectangular neighborhood $U$ which contains $\bar{V}$. Then just considering cells in place of simplexes, by the same method as used in the proof of Theorem 1, we can prove the following

Lemma 4. Let $E,\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}\right), \varphi, V$ be as above and suppose that $E$ is of dimension $\leq p$. Then, in addition to a triangulation $\hat{\Omega}=(E \cap \bar{V}, g, \hat{K})$ in a p-proper complex $\Omega=(\widetilde{G}, g, K)$ which is constructed in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1, there exists a cell decomposition $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ of $\bar{V}$ which satisfies the following conditions (8. a)-(8.e):
(8. a) The triangulation of $\bar{V}$ constructed from $\Omega=(\widetilde{G}, g, K)$ by Lemma 3 is a subdecomposition of $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$.
(8. b) $\tilde{G}, E \cap \bar{V}$, and each $F_{k} \cap \bar{V}(1 \leq k \leq m)$ are subcomplexes of $\bar{V}$ as cell complex.
(8.c) $\left\{\pi_{p}\left(e_{i}\right)\right\}$ gives a cell decomposition of $\pi_{p}(\bar{V})$ and for each $j(0<j \leq n-p),\left\{\pi_{(p, j)}\left(e_{i}\right)\right\}$ gives a cell decomposition of $\pi_{(p, j)}(\bar{V})$.
(8. d) For each cell $\pi_{p}\left(e_{i}\right)$ of $\pi_{p}(\bar{V})$, there exists a real analytic variety $H\left(\pi_{p}\left(e_{i}\right)\right)$ defined in $\pi_{p}(U)(\bar{V} \subset U)$ such that $\pi_{p}\left(e_{i}\right) \subset H\left(\pi_{p}\left(e_{i}\right)\right)$ and each point of $\pi_{p}\left(e_{i}\right)$ is a simple point (i.e., in a neighborhood of the point, $H$ is a real analytic manifold of the same dimension as $H$ ) of $H\left(\pi_{p}\left(e_{i}\right)\right)$.

We say that $H\left(\pi_{p}\left(e_{i}\right)\right)$ is attached to $\pi_{p}\left(e_{i}\right)$. Put $\mathcal{H}_{p}=\left\{H\left(\pi_{p}\left(e_{i}\right)\right)\right\}$ where $\pi_{p}\left(e_{i}\right)$ ranges over all the cells of $\pi_{p}(\bar{V})$. Take $H \in \mathcal{H}_{p}$. Then $\pi_{p}^{-1}(H)$ is a real analytic variety in $U$. Next, suppose that $\hat{E}^{*}$ is defined by $P_{j}=0(j=1, \cdots, n-p)$, where each $P_{j}$ is real (see Lemma 1). Then for each subset $\left\{j_{1}, \cdots, j_{r}\right\}$ of $\{1, \cdots, n-p\}$, we denote by $H_{j_{1} \cdots j_{r}}$ the real analytic variety defined in $U$ by $P_{j_{1}}=0, \cdots, P_{j_{r}}=0$. Now put $\mathscr{H}=\left\{\pi_{p}^{-1}(H), \pi_{p}^{-1}(H) \cap H_{j_{1} \cdots j_{r}}, H_{j_{1} \cdots j_{r}}\right\}$, where $H$ ranges over every element of $\mathscr{H}_{p}$ and $\left\{j_{1}, \cdots, j_{r}\right\}$ ranges over every subset of $\{1, \cdots, n-p\}$.
(8. e) For each $e_{i}$, there exists a real analytic variety $H\left(e_{i}\right)$ in $\mathscr{H}$ such that $e_{i} \subset H\left(e_{i}\right)$ and each point of $e_{i}$ is a simple point of $H\left(e_{i}\right)$.

## 9. Scattered family of varieties in $\boldsymbol{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$

We consider a combination $(E, \mathcal{F}, D)$, where $D$ is a relatively compact domain in $R^{n}, E$ is a real analytic variety defined in a domain $D^{\prime}$ in $R^{n}$ such that $\bar{D} \subset D^{\prime}$ and $\mathscr{F}=\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}\right)$ is a finite set of subvarieties of $E$ defined also in $D^{\prime}$. Let $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, \mathscr{E}^{\alpha}, D_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$ be a set of these combinations. We call $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}^{\alpha}, D_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$ scattered family of varieties, if the set $\left\{D_{\alpha}\right\}$ is locally finite.

Let $a$ be a point in $R^{n}$ with usual coordinate system $\varphi=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ and let $E$ be a real analytic variety $E$ defined in a neighborhood $U$ of $a$. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a finite family of subvarieties $\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}\right)$ of $E . \quad R^{n}$ is considered to be contained in complex $n$-space $C^{n}$ with coordinate system $\varphi^{*}=\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ whose restriction to $R^{n}$ is $\varphi$. $U$ is also considered the intersection of $R^{n}$ with a neighborhood $U^{*}$ of $a$ in $C^{n}$. Suppose that we have a proper coordinate system $\phi^{*}=\left(z_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, z_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ for $(E ; \mathscr{F})$ at $a$ and let $\mathscr{P}^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ be the restriction of $\varphi^{*}$ to $U$. Then we can consider that $\mathscr{P}^{\prime}$ is obtained by an affine transformation of $\varphi$. Finally let $V^{*}\left(\bar{V}^{*} \subset U^{*}\right)$ be a standardized neighborhood for $(E ; \mathscr{F})$ with respect to $\mathscr{Q}^{*}$ which is so small that triangulation of $E \cap \bar{V}\left(V=V^{*} \cap R^{n}\right)$ can be carried out in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1. In this case, we call $\left(E, \mathcal{F}, \mathscr{P}^{\prime}, V\right.$ ) normalized combination for triangulation at the center $a$ and if we wish to specify the center, we write $\left(E, \mathscr{F}, \mathscr{\varphi}^{\prime}, V\right)_{a}$ for $\left(E, \mathscr{F}, \mathscr{甲}^{\prime}, V\right)$.

Let $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}^{\alpha}, \mathscr{P}^{\alpha}, V_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$ be a set of normalized combinations $\left(E_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}^{\alpha}, \mathscr{P}^{\alpha}, V_{\alpha}\right)_{a_{\alpha}}$ for triangulation at the center $a_{n} \in R^{n}$. We call the set $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}^{a}, \mathscr{P}^{\infty}, V_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$ normalized scattered family of varieties, if the set $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}^{\alpha}, V_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$ is a scattered family of varieties (i.e. if $\left\{V_{\alpha}\right\}$ is locally finite).

Lemma 5. Let $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}^{\alpha}, D_{\alpha}\right)\right\}(\alpha \in A)$ be a scattered family of varieties, where by definition $E_{\infty}$ and each element $F_{k}^{\alpha}\left(1 \leq k \leq m_{\alpha}\right)$ of $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}$ are defined in a domain $D_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ such that $D_{\alpha}^{\prime} \supset \bar{D}_{\alpha}$. Then there exists a normalized scattered family of varieties $\left\{\left(E_{(\alpha, j)}, \mathscr{F}^{(\alpha, j)}, \mathscr{甲}^{(\alpha, j)}, V_{(\alpha, j)}\right) a_{(\alpha, j)}\right\}$ ( $\alpha \in A$; for fixed $\left.\alpha, 1 \leq j \leq j_{\alpha}<\infty\right)$ which satisfies the following conditions (9.1)-(9.2):
(9.1) $\quad E_{(\alpha, j)}=E_{\alpha} \quad$ and $\quad \mathscr{F}^{(\alpha, j)}=\mathscr{F}^{\alpha}\left(1 \leq j \leq j_{\alpha}\right)$.
(9.2) $a_{(\alpha, j)} \in E_{\alpha} \cap \bar{D}_{\alpha}$ and $E_{\alpha} \cap \bar{D}_{\alpha} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{j_{\alpha}} V_{(\alpha, j)}, \bigcup_{j=1}^{j_{\alpha}} \bar{V}_{(\alpha, j)} \subset D_{a}^{\prime}$.

Proof. We have only to remember that $\left\{D_{\alpha}\right\}$ is locally finite and for each $\alpha, E_{\alpha} \cap \bar{D}_{\alpha}$ is compact.
10. Pseudo-cell decomposition of a scattered family of varieties

Theorem 3. Let $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}^{\alpha}, \mathscr{P}^{\alpha}, V_{\alpha}\right)\right\}(\alpha \in A)$ a normalized scattered family of varieties in $R^{n}$. Then $E=\bigcup_{\alpha \in A}\left(E_{\alpha} \cap \bar{V}_{\alpha}\right)$ has a pseudo-cell decomposition which satisfies the following conditions (10.1)-(10.2):
(10.1) For each $\alpha$ and each $F_{k}^{\alpha} \in \mathscr{F}^{\alpha}\left(1 \leq k \leq m_{\alpha}\right) . \quad E_{\alpha} \cap \bar{V}_{\alpha}$ and $F_{k}^{\alpha} \cap \bar{V}_{\alpha}$ are subcomplexes (as pseudo-cell complex).
(10.2) The decomposition of $E_{\alpha} \cap \bar{V}_{a}$ is a subdivision of a cell decomposition of $E_{\alpha} \cap \bar{V}_{\alpha}$ obtained along the line of Lemma 4.

Proof. By definition $E_{\alpha}$ is defined in a domain $U_{\alpha}$ such that $U_{\alpha} \supset \bar{V}_{\alpha}$. We may also assume that $\mathscr{P}^{\alpha}$ is defined on $U_{\infty}$ and $U_{\infty}$ is rectangular with the center at the same $a_{\infty}$ as that of $V_{\alpha}$. We define: $\operatorname{Dim} E=\operatorname{Max}_{\alpha \in A} \operatorname{dim} E_{\alpha}$ and prove this Theorem by imduction on the dimension $p$ of $E$. For $p=0$, the Theorem is trivial. Then we prove for $p$ assuming that the Theorem is true for $<p$. For each $\alpha$, we have the imbedding varieties $\widetilde{E}_{\alpha}^{*}$ and $\hat{E}_{\alpha}^{*}$ both of which are of dimension $p$ (Lemma 1) and $p$-proper complex $\widetilde{G}_{\infty}$ which is obtained as the real part of $\widetilde{E}_{\alpha}^{*}$ in $\bar{V}_{\alpha}$, and by Lemma 4, we have a cell decomposition of $\bar{V}_{\alpha}$ which satisfies the conditions (8. a)-(8. e).

Let $e$ be a cell of $\pi_{p}\left(\bar{V}_{a}\right)$ of dimension $\leq p-1$ (see (8.c)) and let $H(e)$ be the real analytic variety attached to $e$ (see (8. d.)). Define $\hat{H}(e)=\hat{E}^{*} \cap U_{\alpha} \cap \pi_{p}^{-1}(H(e))$. Then $\hat{H}(e)$ is a real analytic variety of dimension $\leq p-1$ defined in $U_{a}$ (notice $U_{\alpha} \sqsupset \bar{V}_{\alpha}$ ). Put: $\hat{H}_{\alpha}=\bigcup_{\bullet} H(e)$ and $\hat{\mathscr{F}}^{\alpha}=\{\hat{H}(e)\}$, where $e$ ranges over all the cells of $\pi_{p}\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha}\right)$ of dimension $\leq p-1$.

We fix a index $\alpha$ and for $\beta \in A$, we put $\hat{E}_{\alpha \beta}=\left(\hat{E}_{\alpha}^{*} \cap U_{\alpha}\right) \cap\left(\hat{E}_{\beta}^{*} \cap U_{\beta}\right)$. Then $\hat{E}_{\alpha \beta}$ is a real analytic variety defined in $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$. Now suppose that $\hat{E}_{\alpha \beta} \cap\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha} \cap \bar{V}_{\beta}\right) \neq \emptyset$. In this case we construct a normalized scattered family $\left\{\left(\hat{E}_{\alpha \beta}^{\iota}, \hat{\mathscr{I}}_{l}^{\alpha \beta}, \mathscr{P}_{l}^{\alpha \beta}, V_{\alpha \beta}^{\iota}\right)_{a_{\alpha \beta}^{l}}^{c}\right\} \quad\left(l \in I_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ as follows:

1) $\hat{E}_{\alpha \beta}^{l}=\hat{E}_{\alpha}^{*} \cap\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right), \hat{\mathscr{F}}_{l}^{\alpha \beta}=\left\{\hat{E}_{\alpha \beta}\right\}$.
2) $I_{\alpha \beta}$ is a finite set, for any $l$, $a_{\alpha \beta}^{\tau} \in \hat{E}_{\alpha \beta} \cap\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha} \cap \bar{V}_{\beta}\right)$ and $\hat{E}_{\alpha \beta} \cap\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha} \cap \bar{V}_{\beta}\right) \subset \bigcup_{l \in I_{\alpha \beta} \beta} V_{\alpha \beta}^{c}, \bigcup_{l \in I_{\alpha \beta}} \bar{V}_{\alpha \beta}^{c} \subset U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$.
3) $\varphi_{\imath}^{\alpha \beta}$ is obtained from $\varphi^{a}$, by making a linear transformation over the first $p$ coordinates of $\varphi_{a}$ and next, making a translation of origin from $a_{\infty}$ to $a_{\alpha \beta}^{l}$, where $a_{\infty}$ is the center of $V_{\alpha}$. The existence of such a family follows directly from the fact that $\hat{E}_{\alpha \beta} \cap\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha} \cap \bar{V}_{\beta}\right)$ is compact and from Lemma 2.

Now, again by Lemma 4, $\bar{V}_{\alpha \beta}^{l}$ has a cell decomposition which satisfies the conditions (8.a)-(8.e). Fix indices $\alpha, \beta, l$ arbitrarily and let $e^{\prime}$ be a cell of $\pi_{p}\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha \beta}^{l}\right)$ of dimension $\leq p-1$. Let $H\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ be the real analytic variety attached to $e^{\prime}$ and consider that $H\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ is defined in a rectangular domain $U_{\alpha \beta}^{l}$ such that $\bar{V}_{\alpha \beta \beta}^{l} \subset U_{\alpha \beta}^{l} \subset U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$. Define $\widehat{H}\left(e^{\prime}\right)=E_{\alpha \beta}^{l} \cap U_{\alpha \beta}^{l} \cap \pi_{p}^{-1}\left(H\left(e^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and put $\widehat{\mathscr{F}}_{l}^{\alpha_{\beta}}=\left\{\widehat{H}\left(e^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ and $\widehat{H}_{a \beta}^{l}=\bigcup_{e^{\prime}} \widehat{H}\left(e^{\prime}\right)$, where $e^{\prime}$ ranges over all the cells of $\pi_{p}\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha \beta}^{l}\right)$ of dimension $\leq p-1$.

We consider the set $\left\{\left(\hat{H}_{\alpha}, \hat{\mathscr{F}}^{\alpha}, V_{\alpha \alpha}\right),\left(\widehat{H}_{\alpha \beta}^{l}, \widehat{\mathscr{F}}_{l}^{\alpha \beta}, V_{\alpha \beta}^{\iota}\right) \mid \alpha \in A, \hat{E}_{\alpha \beta} \cap\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha} \cap \bar{V}_{\beta}\right)\right.$ $\left.\neq \emptyset, l \in I_{\alpha \beta}\right\}$. As is easily seen, this set can be considered as a scattered family of varieties. Hence by Lemma 5 there exists a normarized scattered family of varieties $\left\{E_{\gamma}^{1}, \mathscr{F}_{1}^{\gamma}, \mathscr{P}_{1}^{\gamma}, V_{\gamma}^{1}\right\}\left(\gamma \in A_{1}\right)$ which satisfies the conditions (9.1) and (9.2). Since the dimension $E^{1}=\bigcup_{\gamma \in A_{1}} E_{\gamma}^{1}$ is $\leq p-1$, by the assumption of the induction, $E^{1}$ has a pseudo-cell decomposition which satisfies the conditions (10.1) and (10.2).

For each $\alpha \in A, \widetilde{G}_{\infty}$ has a cell decomposition (see (8. b)). Let $\left\{e_{(\alpha, i)}^{p}\right\}$ ( $1 \leq i \leq i_{\alpha}$ ) be the set of $p$-cells $e_{(a, i)}^{p}$ on $\widetilde{G}_{a}$ and let $\widetilde{G}_{a}^{1}$ denote the ( $p-1$ )skelton $\widetilde{G}_{\alpha}-\bigcup_{i=1}^{i \omega} e_{(\alpha, i)}^{p}$. Since, for each $\hat{H}(e) \in \hat{\mathscr{F}}^{\omega}, E^{1}$ gives a pseudo-cell decomposition to $\bar{V}_{\alpha} \cap \hat{H}(e), E^{1}$ also gives psendo-cell decomposition to $\widetilde{G}_{a}^{1}$ which is finer than the original cell decomposition. Next, for each $\alpha, \beta, l$, we also have a cell decomposition of $E_{\alpha \beta}^{l} \cap \bar{V}_{\alpha \beta}^{l}=\hat{E}_{\alpha}^{*} \cap \bar{V}_{\alpha \beta}^{l}$. Let $\left\{e_{(\alpha, \beta, l, j)}^{p}\right\} \quad(1 \leq j \leq j(\alpha, \beta, l))$ be the set of $p$-cells $e_{(\alpha, \beta, l, j)}^{p}$ on $\hat{E}_{\alpha}^{*} \cap \bar{V}_{\alpha \beta}^{l}$ such that $e_{(\alpha, \beta, l, j)}^{p} \cap \widetilde{G}_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$. Then by the condition 3) for $\varphi_{l}^{\alpha \beta}$, we see that $e_{(\alpha, \beta, l, j)}^{p} \cap \bar{V}_{x}=\cap e_{(\alpha, \beta, l, j)}^{p} \cap \widetilde{G}_{\infty}$ and that if $\widetilde{G}_{(\alpha, \beta, l)}^{1}$ is the intersection of $\widetilde{G}_{\infty}$ with the ( $p-1$ )-skelton of $\hat{E}_{\alpha}^{*} \cap \bar{V}_{\alpha \beta}^{l}, E^{1}$ gives a pseudo-cell decomposition to $\widetilde{G}_{(\alpha, \beta, l)}^{1}$. Now put $\widetilde{G}_{\alpha}^{2}=\widetilde{G}_{\alpha}^{1} \cup\left(\bigcup_{\beta, l} G_{(\alpha, \beta, l)}^{1}\right)\left(\hat{E}_{\alpha \beta} \cap\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha} \cap \bar{V}_{\beta}\right) \neq \emptyset, l \in I_{\alpha \beta}\right)$. Then $\widetilde{G}_{a}^{2}$ has a pseudo-cell decomposition given by that of $E^{1}$ and from the construction we see that each pseudo-cell of $\widetilde{G}_{\infty}^{2}$ is a boundary pseudocell of a $p$-cell $e_{(a, i)}^{p}$ or $e_{\left(a, \beta, l_{, j)}^{p}\right.}^{p}$ and any pseudo-cell of dimension $<p-1$ of $\widetilde{G}_{\alpha}^{2}$ is a boundary cell of a ( $p-1$ )-pseudo-cell of $\widetilde{G}_{\alpha}^{2}$. We consider the open set $\widetilde{G}_{\alpha}-\widetilde{G}_{\alpha}^{2}$ in $\widetilde{G}_{\alpha}$ and express it as the union of the connected components $\hat{e}_{(\alpha, k)}\left(k \in I_{\alpha}\right): \widetilde{G}_{\alpha}-\widetilde{G}=\bigcup_{k \in I_{\alpha}} \hat{e}_{(\alpha, k)}$. Each $\hat{e}_{(\alpha, k)}$ is open in $\widetilde{G}_{\alpha}$ and analytically homeomorphic to relatively compact domain $\pi_{p}\left(\hat{e}_{(\alpha, k)}\right)$ in $\pi_{p}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)$ and hence is a $p$-pseudo-cell. Let $e^{p-1}$ be a $(p-1)$-pseudo-cell of $\widetilde{G}_{\alpha}^{2}$. Then $e^{p-1}$ has a neighborhood $W$ in $\widetilde{G}_{\infty}$ such that $W \cap\left(\widetilde{G}_{a}^{2}-e^{p-1}\right)=\emptyset$, and if $e^{p-1} \subset \bar{V}_{a}-V_{a}, W-e^{p-1}$ is connected and if $e^{p-1} \subset V_{a}, W-e^{p-1}$ has a finite number of connected components. Hence we see that if $e^{p-1} \subset \bar{V}_{\alpha}-V_{\alpha}$, there exists one and only one $\hat{e}_{(\alpha, k)}$ whose boundary contains $e^{p-1}$, and if $e^{p-1} \subset V_{\alpha}$, there exist a finite number of connected components of $\widetilde{G}_{\alpha}-\widetilde{G}_{\alpha}^{2}$ which have $e^{p-1}$ as a common boundary pseudo-cell. This shows especially that the boundary of each $\hat{e}_{(a, k)}$ has a (finite) pseudo-cell decomposition
with cells in $\widetilde{G}_{a}^{2}$ and that $I_{a}$ is a finite set. Now it is easy to see that we get a pseudo-cell decomposition of $\widetilde{G}_{\alpha}$ by attaching a finite number of $p$-pseudo-cells $\hat{e}_{(\alpha, k)}\left(k \in I_{\alpha}\right)$ to $\widetilde{G}_{\alpha}^{2}$.

For each $\alpha \in A$, we consider a pseudo-cell decomposition of $\widetilde{G}_{\alpha}$ obtained in the above manner. Let $\beta \in A$ be such that $\hat{E}_{\alpha \beta} \cap\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha} \cap \bar{V}_{\beta}\right) \neq \emptyset$. We consider again the cell decomposition of $\hat{E}_{\alpha}^{*} \cap \bar{V}_{\alpha \beta}^{l}$ given by Lemma 4. Then, by the condition 3) for $\mathscr{\varphi}_{l}^{\alpha_{\beta}}$, using the similar argument as above we can obtain a psendo-cell decomposition of $\hat{E}_{\alpha}^{*} \cap\left(\bigcup_{l \in I_{\alpha \beta}} \bar{V}_{\alpha \beta}^{l} \cap \bar{V}_{\alpha}\right)$ such that $\hat{E}_{\alpha \beta}$ and $\widetilde{G}_{\alpha}$ are subcomplexes in $\bigcup_{l \in I_{\alpha \beta} \beta} \bar{V}_{\alpha \beta}^{l} \cap \bar{V}_{\alpha}$ where ( $p-1$ )-skelton of $\widetilde{G}_{\alpha} \cap\left(\bigcup_{l \in I_{\alpha \beta}} \bar{V}_{\alpha \beta}^{l} \cap V_{\alpha}\right)$ is $\bigcup_{l \in I_{\alpha \beta}} G_{(\alpha, \beta, l)}^{1}$. Applying the same discussion to $\beta$, we have a pseudo-cell decomposition of $\hat{E}_{\beta}^{*} \cap\left(\bigcup_{l \in I_{\beta \alpha}} \bar{V}_{\beta \alpha}^{l} \cap \bar{V}_{\beta}\right)$ in such a way that $\hat{E}_{\beta \alpha}\left(=\hat{E}_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ and $\tilde{G}_{\beta}$ are subcomplexes in $\bigcup_{l \in I_{\beta \alpha}} \bar{V}_{\beta \alpha}^{l} \cap \bar{V}_{\beta}$. Let $\hat{E}_{\alpha \beta}^{1}$ be the $(p-1)$-skelton of $\hat{E}_{\alpha \beta} \cap\left(\bigcup_{l \in I_{\alpha \beta}} \bar{V}_{\alpha \beta}^{l} \cap \bar{V}_{\alpha}\right)$. Then $\quad{ }^{l \in I_{\beta \alpha}}\left(\hat{E}_{\alpha \beta}^{1} \cup \hat{E}_{\beta \alpha}^{1}\right) \cap\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha} \cap \bar{V}_{\beta}\right)$ has a pseudo-cell decomposition given by $E^{1}$. Now attaching the connected components of $\hat{E}_{\alpha \beta} \cap\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha} \cap \bar{V}_{\beta}\right)-\left(\hat{E}_{\alpha \beta}^{1} \cup \hat{E}_{\beta \alpha}^{1}\right) \cap\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha} \cap \bar{V}_{\beta}\right)$ to $\left(\hat{E}_{\alpha \beta}^{1} \cap E_{\beta \alpha}^{1}\right) \cap\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha} \cap \bar{V}_{\beta}\right)$, we get a new pseudo-cell decomposition of $\hat{E}_{\alpha \beta} \cap\left(V_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta}\right)$ and $\tilde{G}_{\alpha} \cap \widetilde{G}_{\beta}$ is a subcomplex. We denote by $\widetilde{G}_{\alpha \beta}^{1}$ the $(p-1)$-skelton of $\widetilde{G}_{\alpha} \cap \widetilde{G}_{\beta}$. Put $\widetilde{G}_{a i}^{3}=\widetilde{G}_{a}^{2} \cup\left(\bigcup \widetilde{G}_{\alpha \beta}^{1}\right)$. Then $\tilde{G}_{a \alpha}^{3}$ has a pseudo-cell decomposition given by $E^{1}$ and we notice that any pseudo-cell of dimension $<p$ of $\widetilde{G}_{\alpha \beta}^{1}$ which is not a boundary pseudo-cell of some $p$-pseudo-cell of $\widetilde{G}_{\alpha} \cap \widetilde{G}_{\beta}$ is already contained in $\widetilde{G}_{\alpha}^{2}$. Hence, by attaching the connected components of $\widetilde{G}_{a}-\widetilde{G}_{a}^{3}$ to $\widetilde{G}_{a}^{3}$, we obtain a pseudo-cell decomposition of $\widetilde{G}_{\alpha}$. Suppose that each $\tilde{G}_{\alpha}(\alpha \in A)$ has a pseudo-cell decomposition obtained in the above manner. Then $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \widetilde{G}_{\alpha}$ has a pseudo-cell decomposition, where each $\widetilde{G}_{a}$ is a subcomplex with the decomposition just mentioned above and $E$ is also a subcomplex with desired properties.

Let $E$ be a real analytic variety in $R^{n}$ and let $F_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}$ be subvarieties of $E$. Then there exists a normalized scattered family $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}^{\infty}, \mathscr{P}^{\infty}, V_{\alpha}\right)\right\}(\alpha \in A)$ such that for any $\alpha, E_{\alpha} \cap V_{\alpha}=E \cap V_{\alpha}$ and $\mathscr{F}^{\alpha}=\left\{E_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}\right\}$. Hence, as a direct consequence of the above Theorem, we have

Corollary. Let E be a real analytic variety in $R^{n}$ and let $F_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}$ be a finite number of subvarieties ${ }^{10}$ of $E$. Then $E$ has a pseudo-cell decomposition in such a way that each $F_{k}(1 \leq k \leq m)$ is a subcomplex.
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[^0]:    1) For the definitions and fundamental properties with respect to complex varieties, see [1], [3]; for real varieties, see [2], [7]. Especially, [7] is our good guide.
[^1]:    2) In the terminology of [7], this is called C-irreducible. Notice that any real analytic variety is locally C -analytic.
    3) See [7], p. 137,
[^2]:    4) For details, see [7], $\S 2$ and [3]. For later convenience (see $\S 5,(5.3)$ ), in the statement of the definition, we take the closure $\pi_{p}\left(\bar{V}^{*}\right)$ of $\pi_{p}\left(V^{*}\right)$ instead of $\pi_{p}\left(V^{*}\right)$.
[^3]:    5) Since $Q_{j}$ and $P_{j}$ are not unique, in a strict sense, it is not proper to use such notations as $\hat{E}^{*}, \hat{E}^{*}$ and those which appear in later sections. But, since there are no confusions, we use them without refering to the polynomials,
[^4]:    6) This means that $\varphi^{*}$ is $p$-proper for the complexification $E^{*}$ of $E$. We sometimes use the same expression later.
    7) Cf., [7], Proof of Lemma 1.
    8) If a complex analytic variety $E^{*}$ is of dimension $\leq p$, by a linear transformation, we can always find a $p$-proper coordinate system at a point of complex manifold $M^{*}$ where $E^{*}$ is imbedded (see [3]). In fact, this property is taken as the definition of the dimension in [3], while, in [1], $E^{*}$ is of dimension $\leq p$ if each connected component of the set of its regular points is a manifold of pimension $\leq p$. Furthermore, we can find a real coordinate system as a $p$-proper coordinate system at a real point, if $M^{*}$ is a complexification of a real analytic manifold $M$.
[^5]:    10) Obviously, Corollary is also true for a locally finite family of subvarieties instead of a finite number of subvarieties,
