

## *On the Geometry of Hopf Manifolds*

By Mikio ISE

### § 1. Introduction

The purpose of the present note is to compute the cohomology groups  $H^q(X, \Omega^p(F))$ , ( $0 \leq q \leq n$ ) of an  $n$ -dimensional Hopf manifold  $X$ , where  $\Omega^p(F)$  denotes the analytic sheaf of germs of holomorphic  $p$ -forms with values in a complex line bundle  $F$  over  $X$ . Throughout the arguments we make use of the fact that the Hopf manifold is a homogeneous compact complex manifold. Recently, R. Bott [3] and the author [7] have made some researches concerning the complex line bundles over a class of homogeneous compact complex manifolds (=C-manifolds in the sense of Wang). The essential difference between Hopf manifolds and Wang's C-manifolds lies in the non-triviality of the fundamental group of the former. But a Hopf manifold admits the so-called *Hopf fibering*, which plays the quite analogous role to the fundamental fiberings of non-kählerian C-manifolds (cf. [7]), and which allows us to have the similar results for them. Our principal tools are Leray's spectral sequences and the knowledge of the cohomology groups  $H^q(P^n, \Omega^p(F))$  of the  $n$ -dimensional complex projective space  $P^n$ , which have been computed by Bott [3] and Matsumura [10].

The author wishes to express here his hearty thanks to Prof. S. Murakami, who has read through the manuscript and has given kind criticisms.

### § 2. Hopf manifolds

We recall here the definition of Hopf manifolds (cf. [6]). Let  $C^n$  denote a complex  $n$ -dimensional euclidean space and  $W^n$  the complement of the origin  $o=(0, \dots, 0) \in C^n$ , and take a non-zero complex number  $d$  with the absolute value  $|d| \neq 1$ . Let  $\Delta_d$  be the cyclic group generated by  $d$  in the multiplicative group  $C^*$  of all non-zero complex numbers. We denote also by  $\Delta_d$ , for brevity, the subgroup of  $GL(n, C)$  generated by the scalar matrix  $d \cdot I$  ( $I$  is the unit matrix of  $GL(n, C)$ ):

$$\Delta_d = \{d^m \cdot I \mid m \in Z\}$$

( $Z$  is the ring of all integers)

The group  $\Delta_d$  being a properly discontinuous group of  $W^n$  without fixed points, the quotient manifold  $W^n/\Delta_d = X$  has a natural complex analytic structure. The complex manifold  $X$  thus obtained is, by definition, an *n-dimensional Hopf manifold* (corresponding to the number  $d$ )<sup>1)</sup>. As is well known,  $X$  is diffeomorphic to the product manifold  $S^1 \times S^{2n-1}$  of two odd dimensional spheres, since the group  $\Delta_d$  is isomorphic to  $Z$ . The complex general linear group  $GL(n, C)$  operates on  $W^n$  effectively and transitively; as  $\Delta_d$  is contained in the centre of  $GL(n, C)$ ,  $GL(n, C)$  operates on  $X$  also transitively and holomorphically. Now we take the basic point  $w_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in W^n$  and the corresponding point  $x_0 \in X$  modulo  $\Delta_d$ . Then the isotropy subgroup  $U_d$  of  $GL(n, C)$  at  $x_0$  consists of the matrices of the form :

$$u = \left( \begin{array}{c|cccc} d^m & * & \dots & * \\ \hline 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & * & \\ 0 & & & \end{array} \right), \quad d^m \in \Delta_d.$$

So we can identify  $X$  with the complex coset space :

$$X = GL(n, C)/U_d.$$

Note that the action of  $GL(n, C)$  is not effective; if we take the quotient groups  $\tilde{G} = GL(n, C)/\Delta_d$  and  $\tilde{U}_d = U_d/\Delta_d$ , then  $\tilde{G}$  acts on  $X$  effectively and we can put

$$X = \tilde{G}/\tilde{U}_d.$$

The connected complex reductive Lie group  $\tilde{G}$  is considered as a Lie subgroup of the connected analytic automorphism group  $A(X)$  of  $X$ . As a matter of fact, we show the following

**Theorem 1.**  $A(X) = \tilde{G}$ .

The proof is quite similar to the case of non-kählerian  $C$ -manifolds ([7], Proposition 6), but we state it here for the completeness sake and for the convenience in the later discussions. First we need some definitions :

**DEFINITION 1.** Let  $G(1, n-1; C)$  denote the subgroup of  $GL(n, C)$  consisting of the matrices :

---

1) The 1-dimensional Hopf manifold in this definition is nothing but an elliptic curve  $T^1$ . We shall exclude this trivial case in the sequel; therefore assume  $n \geq 2$ .

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|c} * & 0 \dots\dots 0 \\ \hline * & \\ \vdots & \\ * & * \end{array} \right).$$

Then we can identify  $GL(n, C)/GL(1, n-1; C)$  with the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional complex projective space  $P^{n-1}$  and  $GL(1, n-1; C)/U_d$  with an elliptic curve  $T^1$  respectively. Therefore we have a natural (holomorphic) principal fibering of  $X$  with  $P^{n-1}$  as base,  $T^1$  as group and the natural mapping  $\phi$  of  $X$  onto  $P^{n-1}$  as projection; this fibering  $X(P^{n-1}, T^1, \phi)$  is called the *Hopf fibering* of  $X$ .

DEFINITION 2. Let  $X=G/U$  be a complex homogeneous space with a connected complex Lie group  $G$  and a (not nec. connected) closed complex Lie subgroup  $U$ , and let  $\rho$  be a holomorphic homomorphism of  $U$  into another complex Lie group  $B$ . Then the coset bundle  $G(X, U, \pi)$  ( $\pi$  is the canonical projection of  $G$  onto  $X$ ) and  $\rho$  induce a new holomorphic principal bundle  $P(X, B, \varpi)$  over  $X$ , which is called a *homogeneous B-bundle over X with respect to the Klein form G/U*. In particular, when  $B=GL(m, C)$ , we have the associated  $m$ -dimensional vector bundle  $E(X, C^m, GL(m, C), \varpi)$  which is simply written as  $E_X(\rho, C^m)$  and which is called a *homogeneous vector bundle over X (with respect to the Klein form G/U)*. This is the quotient space of  $G \times C^m$  by the equivalence relation:

$$(g, \xi) \sim (gu, \rho(u^{-1})\xi)$$

for  $g \in G$ ,  $u \in U$  and  $\xi \in C^m$ .

Now we denote the Lie algebras of  $GL(n, C)$ ,  $GL(1, n-1; C)$  and  $U_d$  by  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\hat{\mathfrak{u}}$  and  $\mathfrak{u}$  respectively. Then  $\mathfrak{u}$  is an ideal of  $\hat{\mathfrak{u}}$  and the exact sequence of modules:

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{u}}/\mathfrak{u} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{u} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\hat{\mathfrak{u}} \rightarrow 0$$

is considered as an exact sequence of  $U_d$ -modules under the adjoint actions. Therefore we can construct the exact sequence of homogeneous vector bundles over  $X$  with respect to the Klein form  $GL(n, C)/U_d$ :

$$0 \rightarrow E_X(Ad, \hat{\mathfrak{u}}/\mathfrak{u}) \rightarrow E_X(Ad, \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{u}) \rightarrow E_X(Ad, \mathfrak{g}/\hat{\mathfrak{u}}) \rightarrow 0.$$

If we denote here by  $\Theta$  and  $\hat{\Theta}$  the tangential vector bundles over  $X$  and  $\hat{X}=P^{n-1}$  respectively, then, as is easily verified,

$$E_X(Ad, \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{u}) = \Theta, \quad E_X(Ad, \mathfrak{g}/\hat{\mathfrak{u}}) = \phi^*\hat{\Theta}$$

and  $E_X(Ad, \hat{\mathfrak{u}}/\mathfrak{u})$  is the trivial line bundle (which is denoted by  $I$ ).

Therefore we have the exact sequence:

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow \Theta \rightarrow \phi^*\hat{\Theta} \rightarrow 0.$$

This induces the corresponding exact sequence of the complex vector spaces of cross sections:

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_X(I) \rightarrow \Gamma_X(\Theta) \rightarrow \Gamma_X(\phi^*\hat{\Theta}).$$

Here,  $\Gamma_X(\Theta)$  may be identified with the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}(X)$  of all holomorphic vector fields on  $X$ , and  $\Gamma_X(I)$  is then identified with the 1-dimensional ideal  $C$  of  $\mathfrak{a}(X)$ . Moreover  $\Gamma_X(\phi^*\hat{\Theta})$  is isomorphic with  $\Gamma_{\hat{X}}(\hat{\Theta})$  or with the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}(P^{n-1})$  of all holomorphic vector fields on  $P^{n-1}$ , since the fibres of  $\phi: X \rightarrow P^{n-1}$  are compact, connected. Hence, we obtain the exact sequence of Lie algebras:

$$0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}(X) \xrightarrow{\dot{\phi}} \mathfrak{a}(P^{n-1}),$$

where the homomorphism  $\dot{\phi}$  means that every holomorphic vector field on  $X$  is constant on each fibre and that it induces a vector field over  $P^{n-1}$  (This fact has been recognized by Blanchard [2] in general case; see [2], Proposition 1.1). Now we know that  $\mathfrak{a}(P^{n-1})$  is isomorphic to the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, C)$  of  $SL(n, C)$  and that  $\mathfrak{a}(X)$  contains the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $\tilde{G}$  which is clearly isomorphic to that of  $GL(n, C)$ . Therefore  $\dot{\phi}$  is surjective and  $\mathfrak{a}(X) = \mathfrak{g}$ . Hence  $A(X) = \tilde{G}$ . This proves Theorem 1.

Here we add the following theorem which can be proved in the same way as in [7], Proposition 7 (see, also Remark 2 in § 6).

**Theorem 2.**  $\dim H^1(X, \Theta) = n^2$  and  $H^q(X, \Theta) = \{0\}$  for  $q \geq 2$ .

The first result is known by Kodaira-Spencer [8] in the case  $n=2$ . The discussions in [8], § 15 about deformations of complex analytic structures of a 2-dimensional Hopf manifold might be immediately extended to the case  $n > 2$ .

### § 3. Complex line bundles

In this section we shall concern ourselves with the homogeneous line bundles over a Hopf manifold  $X$ . For this sake, it is more appropriate to take the Klein form  $GL(n, C)/U_d$  rather than to take  $G/U_d$ . We call, from now on, a homogeneous bundle with respect to the Klein form  $GL(n, C)/U_d$  simply a *homogeneous bundle*. Then we have

**Theorem 3.** *Every complex line bundle over a Hopf manifold  $X$  is*

homogeneous and has an integrable holomorphic connection. Moreover the group  $H^1(X, \mathbf{C}^*)$  of all complex line bundles over  $X$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{C}^*$ .

Proof. First consider the sheaf exact sequence over  $X$ :

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbf{C}^* \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathbf{C}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{C}^*$ )<sup>2)</sup> denotes the sheaf of germs of holomorphic (resp. non-vanishing holomorphic) functions on  $X$ ,  $Z$  the constant sheaf of integers and  $\varepsilon$  the homomorphism induced from the homomorphism  $\varepsilon$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  onto  $\mathbf{C}^*$  defined by  $\varepsilon(\xi) = \exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\xi$  for any  $\xi \in \mathbf{C}$ . Because  $H^2(X, Z) = \{0\}$ , we have from (1) the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(X, Z) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} H^1(X, \mathbf{C}^*) \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand, we consider the exact sequence of the abelian groups:

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(U_d, Z) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(U_d, \mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \text{Hom}(U_d, \mathbf{C}^*),$$

where  $\text{Hom}(U_d, B)$  means the abelian group of all holomorphic homomorphisms of  $U_d$  into the complex abelian Lie group  $B$  (If  $B$  is discrete, then holomorphic homomorphisms should be understood as abstract ones). We see readily that  $\text{Hom}(U_d, B) \cong \text{Hom}(\Delta_d \times GL(n-1, \mathbf{C}), B) \cong \text{Hom}(\Delta_d \times \mathbf{C}^*, B) \cong \text{Hom}(\Delta_d, B) \times \text{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^*, B)$ ; hence we have

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} \text{Hom}(U_d, Z) \cong \text{Hom}(\Delta_d, Z) \cong Z, \\ \text{Hom}(U_d, \mathbf{C}) \cong \text{Hom}(\Delta_d, \mathbf{C}) \cong \mathbf{C}, \\ \text{Hom}(U_d, \mathbf{C}^*) \cong \text{Hom}(\Delta_d, \mathbf{C}^*) \times \text{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{C}^*) \cong \mathbf{C}^* \times Z. \end{cases}$$

Now there is a natural homomorphism  $\eta_B$  of the group  $\text{Hom}(U_d, B)$  into the group  $H^1(X, \mathbf{B})$  of all holomorphic  $B$ -bundles over  $X$  by assigning to every  $\rho \in \text{Hom}(U_d, B)$  the corresponding homogeneous  $B$ -bundle over  $X$  defined by  $\rho$ . Then we have the commutative diagram:

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(U_d, Z) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(U_d, \mathbf{C}) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(U_d, \mathbf{C}^*) \\ & & \downarrow \eta_Z & & \downarrow \eta_{\mathbf{C}} & & \downarrow \eta_{\mathbf{C}^*} \\ 0 & \rightarrow & H^1(X, Z) & \rightarrow & H^1(X, \mathbf{C}) & \rightarrow & H^1(X, \mathbf{C}^*) \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

On the other hand, the universal covering manifold  $\tilde{X}$  of  $X$  is given by

2) Hereafter, for a given complex Lie group  $B$ , we denote by  $\mathbf{B}$  the sheaf (of group) of holomorphic mappings of a certain complex manifold  $X$  into  $B$ . Similarly, for a given complex analytic vector bundle  $E$  over  $X$ , we denote by  $\mathbf{E}$  the sheaf of germs of holomorphic sections of  $E$ .

$\tilde{X} = GL(n, C)/U_1$  where  $U_1$  is the subgroup of  $GL(n, C)$  consisting of matrices of the form:

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|cccc} 1 & * & \cdots & * & \\ \hline 0 & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & \\ 0 & & & * & \end{array} \right)$$

and  $U_d/U_1 \cong \Delta_d$  is the covering transformation group of the covering  $\psi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ . The bundle  $\tilde{X}(X, \Delta_d, \psi)$  is obtained from the coset bundle  $GL(n, C)(X, U_d, \pi)$  by the natural bundle homomorphism  $\tau: GL(n, C) \rightarrow GL(n, C)/U_1 = \tilde{X}$ , and is therefore the homogeneous  $\Delta_d$ -bundle defined by the natural homomorphism  $\tau: U_d \rightarrow U_d/U_1 = \Delta_d$ . Now we say a *holomorphic B-bundle over X* is defined by an (abstract) representation of the fundamental group if it is induced from the bundle  $\tilde{X}(X, \Delta_d, \psi)$  by a group homomorphism of  $\Delta_d$  into  $B$ , and the homomorphism of  $\text{Hom}(\Delta_d, B)$  into  $H^1(X, B)$  which is obtained in this procedure is denoted by  $\zeta_B$ .

We know that a holomorphic  $B$ -bundle has an integrable holomorphic connection if and only if it is defined by a representation of the fundamental group [1]. On the other hand, the homomorphism  $U_d \rightarrow \Delta_d$  induces naturally a homomorphism  $\tau_B$  of  $\text{Hom}(\Delta_d, B)$  into  $\text{Hom}(U_d, B)$  and the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(U_d, B) & \xleftarrow{\tau_B} & \text{Hom}(\Delta_d, B) \\ \eta_B \searrow & & \swarrow \zeta_B \\ & H^1(X, B) & \end{array}$$

Returning to the diagram (4), if we show that  $\eta_C$  is bijective, the theorem will be proved. In fact, in this case, it is obvious that  $\eta_{C^*}$  is surjective and this means that every line bundle is homogeneous. Moreover,  $H^1(X, C^*) \cong \text{Hom}(U_d, C)/\text{Hom}(U_d, Z) \cong C/Z \cong C^*$ , and the first isomorphism implies that  $\zeta_{C^*}: \text{Hom}(\Delta_d, C^*) \rightarrow H^1(X, C^*)$  is bijective. Therefore, every line bundle is defined by a representation of the fundamental group  $\Delta_d$  of  $X$ . Now we show first that  $\eta_C$  is injective. In fact, if we take the element  $\rho_0 \in \text{Hom}(\Delta_d, Z)$  which is defined by  $\rho_0(d^m) = m$  for every  $m \in Z$ , then the bundle  $\zeta_Z(\rho_0)$  is isomorphic with the bundle  $\tilde{X}(X, \Delta_d, \psi)$  and so is not trivial, which implies that  $\eta_Z$  is injective. Then  $\eta_C$  being a linear homomorphism,  $\eta_C$  must be also injective. Next we shall prove that  $H^1(X, C) \cong C$ . For this sake we employ the spectral sequence associated to the Hopf fibering  $X(P^{n-1}, T^1, \phi)$  and the sheaf  $C$ . That is to say, there exists a spectral sequence  $\{E_k\}$  with  $E_2^{r,s} = H^r(P^{n-1}, \phi^s(C))$  and with the final term  $E_\infty^q$  associated to  $H^q(X, C)$ , where  $\phi^s(C)$

is the sheaf defined by the presheaf  $\phi^s(\mathbf{C})_N = H^s(\phi^{-1}(N), \mathbf{C})$  (for every open set  $N \subset P^{n-1}$ ). In our discussion, it needs only the case  $q=1$ ; so we are concerned only with  $E_2^1 = E_2^{1,0} + E_2^{0,1}$  and with  $\phi^s(\mathbf{C})$  ( $s=0,1$ ). If we choose  $N$  as a Stein open set on which the bundle  $\phi^{-1}(N)$  is trivial, then  $\phi^{-1}(N) = N \times T^1$  and so by the Künneth relation we have:

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\phi^{-1}(N), \mathbf{C}) &\cong H^0(N, \mathbf{C}) \\ H^1(\phi^{-1}(N), \mathbf{C}) &\cong H^1(N, \mathbf{C}) \otimes H^0(T^1, \mathbf{C}) + H^0(N, \mathbf{C}) \otimes H^1(T^1, \mathbf{C}). \end{aligned}$$

Because  $H^1(N, \mathbf{C}) = \{0\}$ ,  $H^s(T^1, \mathbf{C}) \cong \mathbf{C}$  ( $s=0, 1$ ), it follows that  $\phi^0(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\phi^1(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C}$ . Therefore  $E_2^{1,0} = H^1(P^{n-1}, \mathbf{C}) = \{0\}$ ,  $E_2^{0,1} = H^0(P^{n-1}, \mathbf{C}) \cong \mathbf{C}$ . While, the  $d_2$ -differential operator sends  $E_2^{0,1}$  into  $E_2^{2,0} = H^2(P^{n-1}, \mathbf{C}) = \{0\}$ . This implies that  $E_2^{0,1} = E_3^{0,1} = E_\infty^{0,1} = E_\infty^1$ , and consequently that  $H^1(X, \mathbf{C}) \cong \mathbf{C}$ . The proof is now completed.

#### § 4. The cohomology groups $H^q(X, \Omega^p(F))$

By Theorem 3 we can write every complex line bundle over a Hopf manifold  $X$  as  $F_\lambda$ ;  $\lambda \in C^* = \text{Hom}(\Delta_d, C^*)$ . Our next step is to compute the cohomology groups  $H^q(X, \Omega^p(F_\lambda))$ , ( $0 \leq q \leq n$ ) with coefficients in the analytic sheaf  $\Omega^p(F_\lambda)$  ( $0 \leq p \leq n$ ) of germs of holomorphic  $p$ -forms with values in  $F_\lambda$ .

To state our results of computations, we remark first the following situation. As to the Hopf fibering  $X(P^{n-1}, T^1, \phi)$ , we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(U_d, C^*) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{C^*}} & H^1(X, \mathbf{C}^*) \rightarrow 0 \\ \uparrow \sigma & & \nwarrow \phi^* \\ \text{Hom}(GL(1, n-1; C), C^*) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & H^1(P^{n-1}, \mathbf{C}^*) \rightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the restriction mapping of homomorphisms and  $\eta$  is the assignment of the defining homogeneous line bundle to each homomorphism belonging to  $\text{Hom}(GL(1, n-1; C), C^*)$  (cf. [7], § 4). Now by the proof of Theorem 3 and Theorem 1 in [7], the above diagram yields the following one:

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Delta_d, C^*) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{C^*}} & H^1(X, \mathbf{C}^*) \rightarrow 0 \\ \uparrow \sigma & & \uparrow \phi^* \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C^*, C^*) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & H^1(P^{n-1}, \mathbf{C}^*) \rightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where each row is an exact sequence. Furthermore we shall identify, in the sequel,  $\text{Hom}(\Delta_d, C^*)$  with  $C^*$  and  $\text{Hom}(C^*, C^*) = \{\mu \in \text{Hom}(C, C) \mid \mu(Z) \subset Z\}$  with  $Z$  respectively by means of the correspondences :

$$\text{Hom}(\Delta_d, C^*) \ni \lambda \leftrightarrow \lambda(d) \in C^*,$$

$$\text{Hom}(C^*, C^*) \ni \mu \leftrightarrow \mu(1) \in Z.$$

Under these identifications, the mapping  $\sigma$  is given by  $\sigma(m) = d^m$  for  $m \in Z$ , so that  $\sigma$  is an isomorphism of  $Z$  into  $C^*$  and its image is nothing but  $\Delta_d$ . The mapping  $\phi^*$  is, therefore, injective.

Thus we can state our main result.

**Theorem 4.** Set  $h^{p,q}(\lambda) = \dim H^q(X, \Omega^p(F_\lambda))$ . Then  $h^{p,q}(\lambda) = 0$  for any  $p$  and  $q$  if  $\lambda \notin \Delta_d$ , and in the case  $\lambda \in \Delta_d$  we have :

(A) if  $\lambda = d^m$ ,  $m \neq 0$ ,  $p > 0$ ,

$(n > 2)$

(i)  $h^{p,q}(\lambda) = 0$ , if  $2 \leq q \leq n-2$

(ii)  $h^{p,0}(\lambda) = h^{p,1}(\lambda)$

$$= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } m < p \\ \binom{n}{p}, & \text{if } m = p \\ \binom{n+m-p-1}{n-p-1} \binom{m-1}{p} + \binom{n+m-p}{n-p} \binom{m-1}{p-1}^{3)}, & \text{if } m > p. \end{cases}$$

(iii)  $h^{p,n-1}(\lambda) = h^{p,n}(\lambda)$

$$= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } m > p-n \\ \binom{n}{p}, & \text{if } m = p-n \\ \binom{-m+p}{p} \binom{-m-1}{n-p-1} + \binom{-m+p-1}{p-1} \binom{-m-1}{n-p}, & \text{if } m < p-n. \end{cases}$$

$(n = 2)$

In this case  $h^{p,0}(\lambda)$  and  $h^{p,2}(\lambda)$  are given by the same formula as the case  $n > 2$ , setting  $n=2$ ; but  $h^{p,1}(\lambda)$  is not, and  $h^{p,1}(\lambda) = h^{p,0}(\lambda) + h^{p,2}(\lambda)$ .

---

3) For any given two integers  $r$  and  $s$ ,  $\binom{r}{s}$  means the usual combination if  $r, s > 0$  and, otherwise we shall understand it as follow;  $\binom{r}{s} = 0$  if  $r$  or  $s$  is negative and  $\binom{r}{s} = 1$  if  $r, s \geq 0$ ,  $rs = 0$ .



- (B) if  $\lambda = d^m$ ,  $p = 0$ ,
- (i)  $h^{0,q}(\lambda) = 0$ , if  $2 \leq q \leq n-2$
  - (ii) ( $n > 2$ ),  $h^{0,0}(\lambda) = h^{0,1}(\lambda) = \binom{n+m-1}{m}$   
 $h^{0,n-1}(\lambda) = h^{0,n}(\lambda) = \binom{-m-1}{-m-n}$
  - (iii) ( $n = 2$ ),  $h^{0,0}(\lambda) = \binom{m+1}{m}$   
 $h^{0,1}(\lambda) = \binom{-m-1}{1} + \binom{m+1}{m}$   
 $h^{0,2}(\lambda) = \binom{-m-1}{1}$
- (C) if  $\lambda = 1$ ,
- (i)  $h^{n,q}(1) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } q \leq n-2 \\ 1, & \text{if } q = n-1, n \end{cases}$
  - (ii)  $h^{p,q}(1) = 0$ , if  $1 \leq p \leq n-1$ ,
  - (iii)  $h^{0,q}(1) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } q \geq 2 \\ 1, & \text{if } q = 0, 1 \end{cases}$

## § 5. Summary of some known results.

Let  $X(P^{n-1}, T^1, \phi)$  be the Hopf fibering of  $X$  and let  $E = E_X(\rho, C^m)$  be the homogeneous vector bundle over  $X$  defined by the representation  $(\rho, C^m)$  of  $U_d$  and let  $\mathbf{E}$  be the sheaf of germs of holomorphic sections of  $E$ . The restriction  $E/T^1$  of  $E$  on  $T^1 = GL(1, n-1, C)/U_d$  is also homogeneous with respect to the Klein form  $GL(1, n-1; C)/U_d$ . Therefore every element of  $GL(1, n-1; C)$  induces a bundle automorphism of  $E|T^1$ , and so a linear isomorphism of the cohomology group  $H^s(T^1, \mathbf{E}|T^1)$ , ( $s=0, 1$ ). The holomorphic representation of  $GL(1, n-1; C)$  thus obtained will be denoted by  $\rho^s$  ( $s=0, 1$ )<sup>4)</sup>, and the corresponding homogeneous vector bundle  $E_{P^{n-1}}(\rho^s, H^s(T^1, \mathbf{E}|T^1))$  over  $P^{n-1}$  will be denoted simply by  $\phi^s(E)$ .

Now we take a spectral sequence  $\{E_k\}$  whose final term  $E_\infty$  is associated to  $H^*(X, \mathbf{E})$  and the second term  $E_2$  is given by  $E_2^{r,s} = H^r(P^{n-1}, \phi^s(\mathbf{E}))$ , where  $\phi^s(\mathbf{E})$  is the so-called  $s$ -dimensional direct image sheaf of  $\mathbf{E}$  by  $\phi$ . While, in our case, it is known the following result of Bott (cf. [3], Theorem VI).

4) This representation  $\rho^s$  is called, according to Bott, the  $s$ -dimensional induced representation of  $\rho$ .

**Lemma 1.**  $\phi^s(E)$  coincides with the sheaf of germs of holomorphic sections of  $\phi^s(E)$ ; therefore  $\phi^s(E)$  are zero sheaves for  $s \geq 2$ .

In particular, let  $E$  be a (homogeneous) line bundle. Then as to the restriction  $E|T^1$  we know the following lemma (cf. [9], Proposition 3.6).

**Lemma 2.** The 0-dimensional cohomology group  $H^0(T^1, E|T^1)$  does not vanish if and only if  $E|T^1$  is the trivial line bundle; therefore  $\phi^0(E)$  is the zero sheaf unless  $E|T^1$  is trivial.

Now, for the computations in the next section, we need to know the cohomology groups  $H^q(P^{n-1}, \Omega^p(\hat{F}))$ , where  $\Omega^p(\hat{F})$  is the sheaf of germs of holomorphic  $p$ -forms with values in the line bundle  $\hat{F}$  over  $P^{n-1}$ ; the dimensions of these cohomology groups have been computed by Bott [3] and by Matsumura [10] independently. That is,

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\hat{F}_m (m \in \mathbb{Z})$  be the line bundle over  $P^{n-1}$  corresponding to  $m \in \text{Hom}(C^*, C^*)$  (cf. § 4, (1)), and set  $\hat{h}^{p,q}(m) = \dim H^q(P^{n-1}, \Omega^p(\hat{F}_m))$ . Then we have,

- (i)  $\hat{h}^{p,p}(0) = 1 \quad (0 \leq p \leq n-1)$
- (ii)  $\hat{h}^{p,0}(m) = \binom{n+m-p-1}{n-p-1} \binom{m-1}{p} \quad (m > p)$
- (iii)  $\hat{h}^{p,n-1}(m) = \binom{-m+p}{p} \binom{-m-1}{n-p-1} \quad (p-n+1 > m)$
- (iv)  $\hat{h}^{p,q}(m) = 0$  for other cases.

## § 6. The proof of the main theorem.

For the proof of our Theorem 4, we need the following extension of vector bundles over  $P^{n-1}$ :

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow Q(X) \rightarrow \hat{\Theta} \rightarrow 0,$$

which are the homogeneous vector bundles over  $P^{n-1}$  induced by the exact sequence (1) in § 2 of  $GL(1, n-1; C)$ -modules. For instance  $Q(X) = E_{P^{n-1}}(Ad, \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{u})$ ; and we note that  $\Theta = \phi^*Q(X)$ . By  $\Omega$  and  $\hat{\Omega}$  are meant the analytic sheaves of germs of holomorphic sections of  $\Theta^*$  and  $\hat{\Theta}^*$  respectively (\* means the dual vector bundle). Moreover we denote by  $\Xi$  the sheaf of germs of holomorphic sections of  $Q(X)^*$ . From (1) we have the exact sequence of analytic sheaves on  $P^{n-1}$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \hat{\Omega} \rightarrow \Xi \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0.$$

From this we can construct the following exact sequences:

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}^p \rightarrow \Xi^p \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}^{p-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad (1 \leq p \leq n),$$

where  $\Xi^p$  is the sheaf of germs of holomorphic sections of the vector bundle  $Q(X)^{*p}$  which is the  $p$ -exterior product of  $Q(X)^*$  (see, for detail, [5], Satz 4.1.3\*) and  $\hat{\Omega}^p$  denotes the sheaf of germs of holomorphic  $p$ -forms on  $P^{n-1}$ .

Now we consider the spectral sequence  $\{E_k\}$  associated to the Hopf fibering and the sheaf  $\Omega^p(F_\lambda)$  over  $X$ . Then, the sheaf  $\phi^s(\Omega^p(F_\lambda))=0$  except for  $s=0,1$  by Lemma 1 and  $\phi^s(\Omega^p(F_\lambda))=\phi^s(F_\lambda) \otimes \Xi^p$ , as is known by an easy argument on the induced representation, since  $\Theta^{*p}=\phi^*(\Xi^p)$ . On the other hand, the theorem of Riemann-Roch concerning the elliptic curve  $T^1$  and the line bundle  $F_\lambda|T^1$  (=the restriction of  $F_\lambda$  on  $T^1$ ) implies that

$$\dim \phi^0(F_\lambda) - \dim \phi^1(F_\lambda) = 0,$$

because  $F_\lambda|T^1$  has a holomorphic connection by a theorem of Matsushima [9] and so has the vanishing Chern class (cf. Atiyah [1]). Moreover, by Lemma 2,  $\dim \phi^0(F_\lambda) > 0$  if and only if  $F_\lambda|T^1$  is trivial. The latter condition means that  $F_\lambda$  is induced from a line bundle  $\hat{F}_m$  over  $P^{n-1}$  by  $\phi$ ; therefore in this case  $\lambda=d^m$  (cf. (1) in §4). Hence, if  $\lambda \notin \Delta_d$  then  $\phi^s(\Omega^p(F_\lambda))=0$  for all  $s$  (and  $p$ ), which implies  $E_2=E_\infty=H^*(X, \Omega^p(F_\lambda))=\{0\}$ .

We assume hereafter that  $\lambda=d^m \in \Delta_d$ , and that  $F_\lambda=\phi^*\hat{F}_m$ . Then  $F_\lambda|T^1$  is trivial and  $\phi^s(\Theta^{*p} \otimes F_\lambda) \cong Q(X)^{*p} \otimes \hat{F}_m$  for  $s=0,1$  by an easy argument on the induced representations; hence we have  $E_2^{*,s}=H^*(P^{n-1}, \Xi^p \otimes \hat{F}_m)$  ( $s=0,1$ ) and  $E_2^{*,s}=\{0\}$  ( $s \geq 2$ ), which implies that

$$(3) \quad E_2^q = E_2^{q,0} + E_2^{q,-1,1} = H^q(P^{n-1}, \Xi^p \otimes \hat{F}_m) + H^{q-1}(P^{n-1}, \Xi^p \otimes \hat{F}_m)$$

for  $0 \leq q \leq n$ . Now we shall divide the subsequent discussions into three cases.

(A) The case  $m \neq 0$ ,  $p > 0$ .

The sequence (2) implies the following sheaf exact sequences:

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}^p(\hat{F}_m) \rightarrow \Xi^p \otimes \hat{F}_m \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}^{p-1}(\hat{F}_m) \rightarrow 0 \quad (1 \leq p \leq n).$$

The corresponding cohomology exact sequence is

$$\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow H^{q-1}(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^p(\hat{F}_m)) \rightarrow H^{q-1}(P^{n-1}, \Xi^p \otimes \hat{F}_m) \rightarrow H^{q-1}(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^{p-1}(\hat{F}_m)) \\ & \rightarrow H^q(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^p(\hat{F}_m)) \rightarrow H^q(P^{n-1}, \Xi^p \otimes \hat{F}_m) \rightarrow H^q(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^{p-1}(\hat{F}_m)) \rightarrow \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if  $1 \leq q \leq n-2$ , then  $H^q(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^p(\hat{F}_m))=\{0\}$  for any  $p$  by Lemma 3, so that  $E_2^{q,0}=\{0\}$ , and  $E_2^{q,-1,1}=\{0\}$  also for the case  $q > 1$ . Let  $n > 2$ . If  $q=0$ ,  $E_2^0=E_\infty^0$  and  $E_2^0=E_2^{0,1}=H^0(P^{n-1}, \Xi^p \otimes \hat{F}_m)$  is given by

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^p(\hat{F}_m)) \rightarrow E_2^0 \rightarrow H^0(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^{p-1}(\hat{F}_m)) \rightarrow 0;$$

hence  $\dim E_2^0 = \hat{h}^{p,0}(m) + \hat{h}^{p-1,0}(m)$ . If  $q = n-1$ ,  $E_2^{n-2,1} = E_2^{n-2,0} = \{0\}$  and  $E_2^{n-1,0} = E_2^{n-1}$  is given by

$$0 \rightarrow H^{n-1}(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^p(\hat{F}_m)) \rightarrow E_2^{n-1} \rightarrow H^{n-1}(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^{p-1}(\hat{F}_m)) \rightarrow 0;$$

hence  $\dim E_2^{n-1} = \hat{h}^{p,n-1}(m) + \hat{h}^{p-1,n-1}(m)$ . If  $q = n$ ,  $E_2^{n,0} = H^n(P^{n-1}, \Xi^p \otimes \hat{F}_m) = \{0\}$  and  $E_2^{n-1,1} = E_2^{n-1,0}$ . Thus the spectral sequence is trivial and we obtain

- (i)  $h^{p,q}(\lambda) = 0$  for  $2 \leq q \leq n-2$
- (ii)  $h^{p,0}(\lambda) = h^{p,1}(\lambda) = \hat{h}^{p,0}(m) + \hat{h}^{p-1,0}(m)$
- (iii)  $h^{p,n-1}(\lambda) = h^{p,n}(\lambda) = \hat{h}^{p,n-1}(m) + \hat{h}^{p-1,n-1}(m)$ .

In case  $n=2$ , from (3), (4) and Lemma 3, we can deduce readily the following results:

- (i)  $h^{p,0}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \hat{h}^{p,0}(m) + \hat{h}^{p-1,0}(m), & \text{if } m \geq p-1 \\ \hat{h}^{p,0}(m), & \text{if } m \leq p-1. \end{cases}$
- (ii)  $h^{p,2}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \hat{h}^{p-1,1}(m), & \text{if } m \geq p-1 \\ \hat{h}^{p,1}(m) + \hat{h}^{p-1,1}(m), & \text{if } m \leq p-1. \end{cases}$
- (iii)  $h^{p,1}(\lambda) = h^{p,0}(\lambda) + h^{p,2}(\lambda)$ .

(B) The case  $p=0$ .

By Lemma 3 and (3), we have  $E_2^q = \{0\}$  for  $2 \leq q \leq n-2$ . Furthermore, if  $n > 2$ , we have  $E_2^0 = E_2^1 = H^0(P^{n-1}, \hat{F}_m)$ ,  $E_2^{n-1} = E_2^n = H^{n-1}(P^{n-1}, \hat{F}_m)$ , and if  $n=2$ , we have  $E_2^0 = H^0(P^1, \hat{F}_m)$ ,  $E_2^1 = H^0(P^1, \hat{F}_m) + H^1(P^1, \hat{F}_m)$  and  $E_2^2 = H^1(P^1, \hat{F}_m)$ . Moreover the spectral sequence is trivial, and we obtain:

- (i)  $h^{0,q}(\lambda) = 0$ , for  $2 \leq q \leq n-2$
- (ii)  $(n > 2) \begin{cases} h^{0,0}(\lambda) = h^{0,1}(\lambda) = \hat{h}^{0,0}(m) \\ h^{0,n-1}(\lambda) = h^{0,n}(\lambda) = \hat{h}^{0,n-1}(m) \end{cases}$
- (iii)  $(n = 2) \begin{cases} h^{0,0}(\lambda) = \hat{h}^{0,0}(m), \\ h^{0,1}(\lambda) = \hat{h}^{0,0}(m) + \hat{h}^{0,1}(m) \\ h^{0,2}(\lambda) = \hat{h}^{0,1}(m). \end{cases}$

(C) The case  $m=0$ ,  $p > 0$ .

From (2) and (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\rightarrow H^{q-1}(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^p) \rightarrow E_2^{q-1,0} = E_2^{q-1,1} \rightarrow H^{q-1}(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^{p-1}) \\ &\rightarrow H^q(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^p) \rightarrow E_2^{q,0} = E_2^{q,1} \rightarrow H^q(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^{p-1}) \end{aligned}$$

If  $p=n$ , then  $H^q(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^n) = \{0\}$  and so  $E_2^q = E_2^{q,0} + E_2^{q-1,1} = H^q(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^{n-1}) + H^{q-1}(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^{n-1})$ ; hence by Lemma 3 we have

$$h^{n,q}(1) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } q \leq n-2 \\ 1, & \text{if } q = n-1, n. \end{cases}$$

We assume hereafter that  $1 \leq p \leq n-1$ . If  $q \neq p \pm 1, p$ , then we have  $E_2^{q,0} = \{0\}$ ,  $E_2^{q-1,1} = \{0\}$ ; hence  $h^{p,q}(1) = 0$ . If  $q = p-1$ , then  $E_2^{p-2,1} = \{0\}$ ,  $E_2^{p-1,0} = E_\infty^{p-1}$ . If  $q = p+1$ , then  $E_2^{p+1,0} = \{0\}$ ,  $E_2^{p,1} = E_\infty^{p+1}$ . If  $q = p$ , then we have

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow E_2^{p-1,0} \rightarrow H^{p-1}(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^{p-1}) \xrightarrow{\delta^*} H^p(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^p) \rightarrow E_2^{p,0} \rightarrow 0.$$

We remark here that  $\dim H^{p-1}(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^{p-1}) = \dim H^p(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^p) = 1$  and that  $E_2^{p-1,0} = E_2^{p,0} = \{0\}$  if and only if  $\delta^*$  is not the zero homomorphism (i.e. bijective). While by the following Lemma 4, we have in reality  $E_2^{p-1,0} = E_2^{p-1,1} = E_2^{p,0} = E_2^{p,1} = \{0\}$  for  $1 \leq p \leq n-2$ ; hence we have then  $h^{p,q}(1) = 0$  also for  $q = p \pm 1, p$ . It remains only the case  $p = n-1$ ; in this case we have  $h^{n-1,q}(1) = h^{1,n-q}(1) = 0$  by Serre's duality for  $n > 2$  (The case  $n=2$  is contained in the proof of Lemma 4).

**Lemma 4.** *In the above exact sequence (5), if  $1 \leq p \leq n-2$ ,  $\delta^*$  is bijective; hence  $E_2^{p-1,0} = E_2^{p,0} = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* First we shall consider the case  $p=1$  (in (2) we set  $\hat{\Omega}^0 = \mathbf{C}$ ). In this case,  $\delta^*: H^0(P^{n-1}, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H^1(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega})$  is not the zero homomorphism; in fact, if otherwise, the extension:  $0 \rightarrow \hat{\Omega} \rightarrow \Xi \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \rightarrow 0$  is splittable by a lemma of Atiyah (Proc. London Math. Soc., 7 (1957), p. 429, Lemma 13), and then, by the same argument as in our previous paper [7] (cf. the foot-note 7)), the Hopf fibering must be trivial; however  $S^1 \times S^{2n-1}$  and  $T^1 \times P^{n-1}$  are clearly not homeomorphic. This proves the lemma in our case.

In general case we prove the lemma by induction on  $n$ . In case  $n=2$ , it must be  $p=1$ ; therefore the lemma has been proved by the above discussions. We assume  $n > 2$  and consider the exact sequence (2) over the base space  $P^{n-2}$ , which will be written as:

$$(2_*) \quad 0 \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}_*^p \rightarrow \Xi_*^p \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}_*^{p-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{over } P^{n-2}.$$

On the other hand, the imbedding of  $GL(n-1, \mathbf{C})$  into  $GL(n, \mathbf{C})$ , defined by  $g \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $g \in GL(n-1, \mathbf{C})$ , induces an imbedding of  $P^{n-2}$  into  $P^{n-1}$  as a hyperplane, which we shall fix once for all. The sheaves in  $(2_*)$  are naturally extendable to the sheaves over  $P^{n-1}$  by assuming that the fibres on the complement of  $P^{n-2}$  vanish, and they shall be denoted with the

same letters as in (2<sub>\*</sub>). Now we shall show that there are natural sheaf homomorphisms  $\alpha_p: \Xi^p \rightarrow \Xi_*^p$  and  $\beta_p: \hat{\Omega}^p \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}_*^p$  which yield the following commutative diagram:

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \hat{\Omega}^p & \rightarrow & \Xi^p & \rightarrow & \hat{\Omega}^{p-1} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \beta_p & & \downarrow \alpha_p & & \downarrow \beta_{p-1} \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \hat{\Omega}_*^p & \rightarrow & \Xi_*^p & \rightarrow & \hat{\Omega}_*^{p-1} \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

For this sake, we identify the exact sequence of  $\hat{U}$ -modules (1) in §2 with the one:

$$0 \rightarrow C^1 \rightarrow C^n \rightarrow C^{n-1} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\hat{U}$  acts on each module as the identity representation on  $C^1$ , as  $\frac{1}{b}\hat{u} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{b}^* \\ 0 & \frac{1}{b}B \end{pmatrix}$  on  $C^n$  and as  $\frac{1}{b}B$  on  $C^{n-1}$  respectively, for every element  $\hat{u} = \begin{pmatrix} b & * \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \in \hat{U}$ . Then the restrictions of  $\hat{\Theta}^{*p}$  and  $Q(X)^{*p}$  on  $P^{n-2}$  are given by  $GL(n-1, C) \times \hat{U}_*(C^{n-1})^{*p}$ <sup>5)</sup> and  $GL(n-1, C) \times \hat{U}_*(C^n)^{*p}$  respectively where  $\hat{U}_* = GL(1, n-2; C)$  acts on  $(C^n)^{*p}$  and  $(C^{n-1})^{*p}$  as defined above. Then we have the commutative diagram of modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & (C^{n-1})^{*p} & \rightarrow & (C^n)^{*p} & \rightarrow & (C^{n-1})^{*p-1} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{\beta}_p & & \downarrow \tilde{\alpha}_p & & \downarrow \tilde{\beta}_{p-1} \\ 0 & \rightarrow & (C^{n-2})^{*p} & \rightarrow & (C^{n-1})^{*p} & \rightarrow & (C^{n-2})^{*p-1} \rightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where  $\tilde{\alpha}_p$  and  $\tilde{\beta}_p$  denote the restriction mappings of alternating  $p$ -forms. This diagram, considered as the one of  $\hat{U}_*$ -modules, is commutative as is easily seen. Therefore it induces the commutative diagram of homogeneous vector bundles over  $P^{n-2}$ ; this implies that there are corresponding sheaf homomorphisms  $\alpha_p, \beta_p$  and  $\beta_{p-1}$  as in (6). Thus we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{p-1}(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^{p-1}) & \xrightarrow{\delta^*} & H^p(P^{n-1}, \hat{\Omega}^p) \\ \downarrow \beta_{p-1} & & \downarrow \beta_p \\ H^{p-1}(P^{n-2}, \hat{\Omega}_*^{p-1}) & \xrightarrow{\delta^*} & H^p(P^{n-2}, \hat{\Omega}_*^p), \end{array}$$

where the mappings  $\beta_{p-1}$  and  $\beta_p$  are bijective for  $1 \leq p \leq n-2$  since they coincide with the restriction mappings of harmonic forms *via* the

5)  $(C^{n-1})^{*p}$  denotes the vector space of all alternating  $p$ -forms on  $C^{n-1}$ .

Dolbeault isomorphisms. While,  $\delta^*$  in the under column is bijective by induction assumption, so our  $\delta^*$  must be bijective.

REMARK 1. Theorem 4 tells us that both Riemann-Roch's theorem with respect to any line bundle and Hodge's index theorem are valid for Hopf manifolds (cf. [8]). In fact, for any line bundle  $F_\lambda$  over a Hopf manifold  $X$ , we can readily check that

$$\chi(X, F_\lambda) = \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q \dim H^q(X, F_\lambda) = \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q h^{0,q}(\lambda) = 0;$$

while the Todd genus  $T(X, F_\lambda) = 0$  since  $H^2(X, Z) = \{0\}$ . Furthermore, the index  $\tau(X)$  of  $X$  is clearly 0, since  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $S^1 \times S^{2n-1}$ ; while we see immediately, from (C) in Theorem 4, that

$$\sum_{p,q} (-1)^q h^{p,q}(1) = 0.$$

REMARK 2. Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 can be readily derived from Theorem 4. In fact, by Serre's duality theorem, we have  $H^q(X, \Theta) \cong H^{n-q}(X, \Omega^1(K))$  where  $K$  denotes the canonical line bundle of  $X$ . While, from the exact sequence (2) in § 2, we get immediately  $K = \phi^* \hat{K}$ , where  $\hat{K}$  is the canonical bundle of  $P^{n-1}$  and coincides with  $\hat{F}_{-n}$ . Therefore, Theorem 4, (A) yields that  $\dim H^0(X, \Theta) = \dim H^1(X, \Theta) = n^2$ ,  $H^q(X, \Theta) = \{0\}$  for  $q \geq 2$ .

REMARK 3. The proof of Theorem 4 suggests us the possibilities of computing the cohomology groups  $H^p(X, \Omega^p(F))$  for other class of  $C$ -manifolds with the *fundamental fibering*  $X(\hat{X}, T^1, \phi)$  (cf. [7]) provided that the cohomology groups  $H^q(\hat{X}, \Omega^p(\hat{F}))$  are known. For instance, Calabi-Eckmann's example (cf. [4], [7]) or  $SU(3)$  with a left invariant complex structure is such a manifold. However, for them  $\hat{X} = P^2 \times P^q$  or  $\hat{X} = F(3)$  (=the 3-dimensional flag manifold) respectively and the corresponding cohomology groups  $H^q(\hat{X}, \Omega^p(\hat{F}))$  are rather complicated; consequently the computations of  $H^q(X, \Omega^p(F))$  might be more difficult than for Hopf manifolds.

But we shall exhibit here the number  $h^{p,q} = h^{p,q}(1)$  for  $SU(3)$ , since Bott's computations in [3] for then are incorrect.

$$\begin{aligned} h^{0,0} &= h^{0,1} = h^{1,1} = h^{1,2} = 1, \\ h^{4,4} &= h^{4,3} = h^{3,3} = h^{3,2} = 1, \\ h^{p,q} &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

(Received September 16, 1960)

**References**

- [1] M. F. Atiyah: Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1957), 181-207.
- [2] A. Blanchard: Sur les variétés analytiques complexes, *Ann. Ecol. Norm. Sup.* **73** (1956), 157-202.
- [3] R. Bott: Homogeneous vector bundles, *Ann. of Math.* **66** (1957), 203-248.
- [4] E. Calabi and B. Eckmann: A class of compact complex manifolds which are not algebraic, *Ann. of Math.* **98** (1953), 494-500.
- [5] F. Hirzebruch: Neue topologische Methoden in der algebraischen Geometrie, *Erg. de Math.* **9**, 1956.
- [6] H. Hopf: Zur Topologie der komplexen Mannigfaltigkeiten, in *Studies and Essays presented to R. Courant*, New York, 1948.
- [7] M. Ise: Some properties of complex analytic vector bundles over compact complex homogeneous spaces, *Osaka Math. J.* **12** (1960), 217-252.
- [8] K. Kodaira and D. C. Spencer: On deformations of complex analytic structures, I-II, *Ann. of Math.* **67** (1958), 328-466.
- [9] Y. Matsushima: Fibrés holomorphes sur un tore complexe, *Nagoya Math. J.* **14** (1958), 1-24.
- [10] H. Matsumura: Geometric structure of the cohomology rings in abstract algebraic geometry, *Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto, Ser. A Math.* **32** (1959), 33-84.