# Decompositions of Semi-Prime Rings and Jordan Isomorphisms 

By Yoshiki Kurata

§1. Recently, in his paper [1], A. W. Goldie studied a minimal decomposition set of a semi-simple ring. In §2, we shall consider a minimal decomposition set of a semi-prime ring which is an extension of that of semi-simple rings, and shall obtain a generalization of a theorem of M. Nagata [4], Proposition 34.

In $\S 3$, we shall consider a Jordan isomorphism of a ring onto a semi-prime ring. Combining with the results in $\S 2$. we shall obtain some generalizations of theorems due to I. Kaplansky ([2], Theorems 1 and 3) under the assumption that the prime rings are not of characteristic 2.

The writer is grateful to Mr. H. Tominaga for his kind advices.
$\S 2$. A ring $R$ is called a semi-prime ring if $R$ is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of prime rings, that is, if there exist prime ideals $\mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}(\lambda \in \Lambda)$ in $R$ such that $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}=0$. Whenever $\Lambda_{0}$ is a subset of $\Lambda$ such that $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}} \mathfrak{P}_{\lambda}=0, R$ is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of prime rings $R / \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}\left(\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}\right)$. Such a representation of $R$ is said to be irredundant if $R$ is not isomorphic to a subdirect sum of any proper subset of these rings.

A semi-prime ring $R$ has an irredundant representation if and only if $R$ satisfies the following conditions: There exist prime ideals $\mathfrak{B}_{\lambda}\left(\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}\right)$ in $R$ such that $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}} \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}=0$ and $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{1}} \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda} \neq 0$ for any proper subset $\Lambda_{1}$ in $\Lambda_{0}$. A set of prime ideals which satisfies the above conditions is called a minimal decomposition set for $R$ (we shall abbreviate it to m.d.s.). If we denote $\mathfrak{P}_{\lambda}^{*}=\bigcap_{\nu \in \Lambda_{0}, \nu \neq \lambda} \mathfrak{F}_{v}$, the latter condition may be replaced by $\mathfrak{P}_{\lambda}^{*} \neq 0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}$.

Lemma 1. Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a prime ideal of a ring $R$.
(i) If $\mathfrak{A}$ is a two-sided ideal of $R$, then we have either $\mathfrak{A} \cong \mathfrak{Y}$ or $r(\mathfrak{X})^{1)} \cong \mathfrak{F}$.

[^0](ii) If, in particular, $R$ is semi-prime and $r(\mathfrak{F}) \neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{F}$ is a minimal prime ideal in $R$.

Proof. The first part is obvious by the definition of prime ideals. To prove the second part we suppose that $\mathbb{E}$ is a prime ideal in $R$ such
 implies $r(\mathfrak{F})^{2}=0$, which is a contradiction because $R$ has no non-zero nilpotent ideals.

Lemma 2. Let $\left\{\mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda_{\in \Lambda_{0}}}$ be an m.d.s. for a semi-prime ring $R$. Then $\mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}$ is a minimal prime ideal in $R$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1 (ii).
The following theorem is a generalization of [4], Proposition 34.
Theorem 3. A semi-prime ring $R$ has at most one minimal decomposition set of prime ideals.

Proof. Let $\left\{\Re_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}}$ be an m.d.s. for $R$ and let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a member of another m.d.s. for $R$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}$, we have either $\mathfrak{S}_{3} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_{\lambda}$ or $r(\mathfrak{F}) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}$ by Lemma 1 (i). Since $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}} \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}=0$ and $r\left(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{B}}\right) \neq 0$, $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_{\lambda}$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}$. It follows from Lemma 2 that $\mathfrak{F}=\mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}$.

Remark. From the above proof, we see that an m.d.s. for a semiprime ring $R$ (if there exists) consists of all prime ideals of $R$ with non-zero right (left) annihilators.

Let $S$ be the complete direct sum of prime rings $R_{\lambda}(\lambda \in \Lambda)$. Then, for $x_{\lambda} \in R_{\lambda},\left(x_{\lambda}\right)$ will signify the element of $S$ whose $\lambda$-component is $x_{\lambda}$. Now let $R_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}$ be the subring of $S$ consisting of all elements with zeros in all $\nu$-th places for $\nu \neq \lambda$. Identifying $R_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}$ with $R_{\lambda}$, we obtain $S=R_{\lambda} \oplus R_{\lambda}^{\circ}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, where $R_{\lambda}^{\circ}$ is an ideal of $S$ consisting of all elements with zero in the $\lambda$-th place. A subring $R$ of $S$ is a subdirect sum of the prime rings $R_{\lambda}(\lambda \in \Lambda)$, if the set of its $\lambda$-th components is equal to $R_{\lambda}$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Theorem 4. $\left\{R \cap R_{\lambda}^{\circ}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is an m.d.s. for a subdirect sum $R$ of $R_{\lambda}(\lambda \in \Lambda)$ if and only if $R \cap R_{\lambda} \neq 0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Proof. The ideal $R \cap R_{\lambda}^{\circ}$ is a prime ideal of $R$, since $R /\left(R \cap R_{\lambda}^{\circ}\right)$ $\cong\left(R+R_{\lambda}^{\circ}\right) / R_{\lambda}^{\circ} \cong R_{\lambda}$. Evidently we have $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left(R \cap R_{\lambda}^{\circ}\right)=R \cap \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_{\lambda}^{\circ}=0$, and $\left(R \cap R_{\lambda}^{\circ}\right)^{*}=R \cap\left(R_{\lambda}^{\circ}\right)^{*}=R \cap R_{\lambda} \neq 0$.

The converse part is clear.

Next, we assume that $R$ is a special subdirect sum ${ }^{2)}$ of prime rings $R_{\lambda}(\lambda \in \Lambda)$, that is, $R$ contains $R_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. In this case we have $R=R_{\lambda} \oplus\left(R \cap R_{\lambda}^{\circ}\right)$, and hence we have

Corollary. If $R$ is a special subdirect sum of prime rings $R_{\lambda}(\lambda \in \Lambda)$, $R$ has an m.d.s. $\left\{R \cap R_{\lambda}^{\circ}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$.

Furthermore, by Theorem 3, $\left\{R \cap R_{\lambda}^{\circ}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is the unique m.d.s. for $R$, and hence the totality of $R_{\lambda}$ which is equal to ( $\left.R \cap R_{\lambda}^{\circ}\right)^{*}$ exhausts the unique prime components in our special subdirect sum representation of $R$.
$\S 3$. A mapping $\varphi$ of a ring $R$ into another ring $R^{\prime}$ is called a Jordan homomorphism of $R$ into $R^{\prime}$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x+y)=\varphi(x)+\varphi(y), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x y+y x)=\varphi(x) \varphi(y)+\varphi(y) \varphi(x) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x$ and $y$ in $R$. In case $R^{\prime}$ is not of characteristic $2\left(2 x^{\prime}=0\right.$ implies $x^{\prime}=0$ ), ( $2^{\prime}$ ) is equivalent to

$$
\varphi\left(x^{2}\right)=\varphi(x)^{2} .
$$

If, in particular, $\varphi$ is one-to-one, then we shall say it is a Jordan isomorphism.

In his paper [5], M. F. Smiley proved that a Jordan homomorphism of a ring onto a prime ring which is not of characteristic 2 is either a homomorphism or an anti-homomorphism. In this section, we shall consider a Jordan isomorphism of a ring $R$ onto a semi-prime ring $R^{\prime}$. Throughout this section, we assume that $R^{\prime}$ is a semi-prime ring which is represented as a subdirect sum of prime rings $R_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}(\lambda \ni \Lambda)$.

Theorem 5. Let $\varphi$ be a Jordan isomorphism of a ring $R$ onto $R^{\prime}$. Suppose that $R_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ is not of characteristic 2 for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then $R$ is also semi-prime and isomorphic to a subdirect sum of prime rings each of which is either isomorphic or anti-isomorphic to some of $R_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}$.

Proof. The mapping $\varphi_{\lambda}: R \ni x \rightarrow \varphi(x)_{\lambda} \in R_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}$ is an onto Jordan homomorphism by our assumptions, where $\varphi(x)=\left(\varphi(x)_{\lambda}\right)$. By a theorem obtained by M.F. Smiley, $\varphi_{\lambda}$ is either a homomorphism or an antihomomorphism. If we denote the kernel of $\varphi_{\lambda}$ by $\mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}$, then $\mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}$ is a two-sided ideal in $R$ and $\rho_{\lambda}$ induces either an isomorphism or an antiisomorphism of $R / \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}$ onto $R_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}$. Hence $\mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}$ is a prime ideal in $R$. More-

[^1]over, if $x \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}, \varphi(x)_{\lambda}=0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and therefore $\varphi(x)=0$. Since $\varphi$ is one-to-one, $x=0$. Hence $R$ is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of the prime rings $R / \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}(\lambda \in \Lambda)$.

REMARK. In case $R^{\prime}$ is a special subdirect sum of $R_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}(\lambda \in \Lambda)$, our assumption for the characteristic is nothing but to say that $R^{\prime}$ is not of characteristic 2.

Now, we suppose that $R^{\prime}$ is a special subdirect sum of prime rings $R_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}(\lambda \in \Lambda)$ and is not of characteristic 2 , and suppose that $\varphi$ is a Jordan isomorphism of a ring $R$ onto $R^{\prime}$. Then as is shown in Theorem $5, R$ is semi-prime, that is, there exist prime ideals $\mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}(\lambda \in \Lambda)$ in $R$ such that $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}=0$. Evidently, $R=\mathfrak{B}_{\lambda}^{*} \oplus \mathfrak{B}_{\lambda}$ corresponding to $R^{\prime}=R_{\lambda} \oplus\left(R^{\prime} \cap R_{\lambda}^{\prime \circ}\right)$. Then we can see that $R$ is also a special subdirect sum of prime rings $\mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}^{*}(\lambda \in \Lambda) .{ }^{3)}$ And so, by Theorem 3 and Corollary to Theorem 4, $\left\{\mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is the unique m. d.s. for $R$. Accordingly, if we denote $\mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}^{*}$ by $R_{\lambda}$, then the totality of $R_{\lambda}$ exhausts the unique prime components in our special subdirect sum representation of $R$. On the other hand, the totality of $R_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}$ are those of $R^{\prime}$. Now we shall prove the following theorem which corresponds to [2], Theorem 3.

Theorem 6. Under the above situation, the prime components of $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ can be paired off in such a way that $\varphi$ is an isomorphism or an anti-isomorphism of each pair.

Proof. $\varphi\left(R_{\lambda}\right)=\varphi\left(\mathfrak{B}_{\lambda}\right)^{*}=\left(R^{\prime} \cap R_{\lambda}^{\prime 0}\right)^{*}=R_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}$. Hence, $\varphi$ is a Jordan isomorphism of $R_{\lambda}$ onto $R_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}$ and thus our proof is completed by [5].

Finally, we shall prove the following theorem which corresponds to [2], Theorem 1.

Theorem 7. Let $\varphi, R$ and $R^{\prime}$ be as in Theorem 5. Then $\varphi$ induces in $V_{R}(R)^{4)}$ an isomorphism onto $V_{R^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Let $x$ be in $V_{R}(R)$, and let $y_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}$ be in $R_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}$. Taking an element $y$ in $R$ with $\varphi_{\lambda}(y)=y_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(x)_{\lambda} \cdot y_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}=\varphi_{\lambda}(x) \cdot \varphi_{\lambda}(y) \\
= & \begin{cases}\varphi_{\lambda}(x y)=\varphi_{\lambda}(y x) & \text { if } \varphi_{\lambda} \text { is a homomorphism, } \\
\varphi_{\lambda}(y x)=\varphi_{\lambda}(x y) & \text { if } \varphi_{\lambda} \text { is an anti-homomorphism, }\end{cases} \\
= & \varphi_{\lambda}(y) \cdot \varphi_{\lambda}(x)=y_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime} \cdot \varphi(x)_{\lambda},
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves $\mathcal{P}\left(V_{R}(R)\right) \leqq V_{R^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$.

[^2]Conversely, let $\mathcal{\rho}(x)$ be an arbitrary element in $V_{R^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\mathscr{P}_{\lambda}(x) \cdot \varphi_{\lambda}(y)=\varphi_{\lambda}(y) \cdot \mathscr{\varphi}_{\lambda}(x)$ for any $y$ in $R$. As $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}$ is either a homomorphism or an anti-homomorphism, by the last equality one will readily see $\varphi(x y)=\varphi(y x)=\varphi(x) \cdot \varphi(y)$. This completes our proof.
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[^0]:    1) $r(\mathfrak{H})(l(\mathfrak{A}))$ denotes the right (left) annihilator of $\mathfrak{H}$ in $R$. If $R$ is semi-prime, then $r(\mathfrak{H})=l(\mathfrak{H})$ for any two-sided ideal $\mathfrak{H}$ in $R$.
[^1]:    2) See $[3], \S 9$.
[^2]:    3) See [3], Theorem 15.
    4) $\quad V_{R}(R)$ denotes the center of $R$.
