## On a Theorem of Gaschütz

## By Masatoshi Ikeda

In his paper "Über den Fundamentalsatz von Maschke zur Darstellungstheorie der endlichen Gruppen"," W. Gaschütz studied two types of G- $\Omega$ -modules, named  $M_u$ - and  $M_0$ -modules, where G and  $\Omega$  are a finite group and an arbitrary domain of G-endomorphisms of the modules respectively. There he obtained a criterion for a G- $\Omega$ -module to be an  $M_u$ - or  $M_0$ -module, which is a generalization of the well-known theorem of I. Schur that every representation of a finite group of order g in a field with characteristic  $p(\not|g)$  is completely reducible.

In the present note we take, instead of G and  $\Omega$ , a Frobenius algebra A over a commutative ring R and a ring P which contains R in its centre respectively, and derive a criterion for an A-P-module to be an  $M_u$ - or  $M_0$ -module, which is essentially a generalization of Gaschütz's result.

Let R be a commutative ring with the unit element 1.

DEFINITION. A is called an algebra over R if A is an associative ring as well as a two-sided R-module with a right linearly independent R-basis  $\{u_i\}$  which satisfies  $u_i\omega=\omega u_i$  and  $u_i1=1u_i=u_i$  for every  $\omega\in R$  and i.

Now let  $\{u_i\}$   $(i=1,\dots,n)$  be an R-basis of A and  $u_iu_j=\sum_k\alpha_{i,j}^ku_k$   $(\alpha_{i,j}^k\in R)$ ; then we obtain the right and left regular representations with respect to  $\{u_i\}$  in the usual manner.

DEFINITION. An algebra A over R is called a *Frobenius algebra* if A has a unit element and its right and left regular representations with respect to an R-basis are equivalent.

DEFINITION. Let  $\{u_i\}$   $(i=1,\cdots,n)$  be an R-basis of an algebra A over R and  $u_iu_j=\sum\limits_k\alpha_{i,j}^ku_k$ . Then the matrix  $(\sum\limits_k\alpha_{i,j}^k\lambda_k)_{i,j}$  is called a parastrophic matrix belonging to the basis  $\{u_i\}$  and the parameters  $\lambda_i\in R$   $(i=1,\cdots,n)$ .

<sup>1)</sup> W. Gaschütz, Math. Zeitschr. 56, 1952.

Then we have

**Lemma.**<sup>2)</sup> An algebra A over R is a Frobenius algebra if and only if A has a non-singular parastrophic matrix. Moreover if A is a Frobenius algebra over R, then every matrix intertwining right and left regular representations is expressed as a parastrophic matrix belonging to suitable parameters.

If A is a Frobenius algebra over R then, for every R-basis  $\{u_i\}$ , there exists an R-basis  $\{v_i\}$  such that the right regular representation with respect to  $\{v_i\}$  coincides with the left regular representation with respect to  $\{u_i\}$ . We say that  $\{v_i\}$  is dual to  $\{u_i\}$ .

DEFINITION. Let A be an algebra over R and P a ring whose centre contains R.

i) A module m is called an A-P-module if m is a left A-module as well as a right P-module and satisfies

$$(a\omega)m = (am)\omega$$
,  $(am)\rho = a(m\rho)$ 

for every  $a \in A$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{M}$ ,  $\omega \in R$  and  $\rho \in P$ .

- ii) An A-P-module  $\mathfrak{m}$  on which the unit element of A acts as the identity operator is called an  $M_n$ -module if, for every A-P-module  $\mathfrak{n}$  containing  $\mathfrak{m}$ , a direct decomposition  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{m}'$  as a P-module implies a direct decomposition  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{m}''$  as an A-P-module.
  - iii ) An A-P-module  $\mathfrak m$  on which the unit element of A acts as the identity operator is called an  $M_0$ -module if, for every A-P-module  $\mathfrak m$  which contains an A-P-submodule  $\mathfrak m'$  such that  $\mathfrak m/\mathfrak m' \cong \mathfrak m$ , a direct decomposition  $\mathfrak m = \mathfrak m' + \mathfrak m'$  as a P-module implies a direct decomposition  $\mathfrak m = \mathfrak m' + \mathfrak m''$  as an A-P-module.

**Theorem.** Let A be a Frodenius algebra over a commutative ring R with an R-basis containing the unit element of A and P a ring whose centre contains R. Then an A-P-module m is an  $M_u$ - or  $M_0$ -module if and only if there exists a P-endomorphism  $\beta$  of m such that  $\sum_i u_i \beta v_i$  is the identity endomorphism of m for every R-basis  $\{u_i\}$  of A and its dual basis  $\{v_i\}$ .

Proof. 1) Proof of sufficiency. Let n be an A-P-module which contains m and n = m + m' as a P-module. By our assumption, there exists a P-endomorphism  $\beta$  of m. Let  $\beta^*$  be a P-endomorphism which

<sup>2)</sup> The proof of this lemma is quite similar to that of footnotes 6) and 7) in Nakayama & Nesbitt: Note on symmetric algebras, Annals of Math. 39, 1938.

coincides with  $\beta$  on m and  $\beta^*m'=0$ . Then  $\sum_i u_i \beta^*v_i = \varepsilon$  is a P-endomorphism and  $\varepsilon m = (\sum_i u_i \beta^*v_i) m = \sum_i u_i \beta^*(v_i m) = \sum_i u_i \beta(v_i m)$   $= (\sum_i u_i \beta v_i) m = m$  for every  $m \in m$ , by our assumption. Moreover it can easily be seen that  $\varepsilon n = m$ . Therefore  $\varepsilon^2 = \varepsilon$ . Now we show that  $\varepsilon$  is an A-P-endomorphism. Let n be an arbitrary element of n and a an arbitrary element of A. Since  $\{v_i\}$  is dual to  $\{u_i\}$ , if  $a(u_1, \cdots, u_n)$ 

$$=(u_1,\cdots,u_n)(\alpha_{i,j}), \text{ then } \left(\begin{array}{c} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{array}\right) a = (\alpha_{i,j}) \left(\begin{array}{c} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{array}\right). \text{ Then }$$

$$(a\varepsilon)n = (a\sum_i u_i\beta^*v_i)n = \sum_i au_i(\beta^*v_in) = \sum_{i,k} (u_k\alpha_{k,i})(\beta^*v_in).$$

By the definition of A-P-modules and the fact that  $\beta^*$  is a P-endomorphism,

$$(u_k \alpha_{k,i})(\beta^* v_i n) = u_k((\beta^* v_i n) \alpha_{k,i}) = u_k(\beta^* ((v_i n) \alpha_{k,i})) = (u_k \beta^* (v_i \alpha_{k,i})) n.$$

Therefore

$$(a\varepsilon)n = \sum_{i,k} (u_k \beta^*(v_i \alpha_{k,i}))n = (\sum_k u_k \beta^*(\sum_i v_i \alpha_{k,i}))n.$$

On the other hand

$$(\varepsilon a)n = (\sum_{k} u_k \beta^*(v_k a))n = (\sum_{k} u_k \beta^*(\sum_{i} v_i \alpha_{k,i}))n$$
.

Thus  $a\varepsilon = \varepsilon a$  and consequently  $\varepsilon$  is an A-P-endomorphism. Therefore we have the direct decomposition of  $\mathfrak{n}$ :  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m} + (1-\varepsilon)\mathfrak{n}$ , where 1 is the identity endomorphism of  $\mathfrak{n}$ . This shows that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is an  $M_u$ -module.

Next we show that m is also an  $M_0$ -module. Let n be an A-P-module which contains an A-P-submodule n' such that  $n/n' \cong m$  and n = n' + m' as a P-module. Since  $m' \cong m$  as a P-module, we can see  $\beta$  as a P-endomorphism of m'. Let  $\beta^*$  be a P-endomorphism of n which coincides with  $\beta$  on m' and  $\beta^*n' = 0$ . From our assumption,  $(\sum_i u_i \beta^* v_i) n \equiv n \pmod{n'}$  for  $n \in n$ . In the same way as above, we see that the P-endomorphism  $\sum_i u_i \beta^* v_i = \varepsilon$  is an A-P-endomorphism and  $\varepsilon^2 = \varepsilon$ . Therefore  $\varepsilon' = 1 - \varepsilon$  is also an A-P-endomorphism and  $\varepsilon'^2 = \varepsilon'$ . Moreover it is easy to see that  $\varepsilon' n = n'$ . Consequently we have that  $n = n' + \varepsilon n$  and m is an  $M_0$ -module.

- 2. Proof of necessity. Let  $M_A$  be a module satisfying the following conditions:
  - (i)  $M_{\scriptscriptstyle A}$  is a module of linear forms  $\sum_i x_{u_i} a_{u_i} (a_{u_i} \in \mathfrak{m})$ .

(ii) 
$$\sum_{i} x_{u_i} a_{u_i} + \sum_{i} x_{u_i} b_{u_i} = \sum_{i} x_{u_i} (a_{u_i} + b_{u_i}).$$

56 M. IKEDA

(iii) 
$$(\sum_{i} x_{u_i} a_{u_i}) \rho = \sum_{i} x_{u_i} (a_{u_i} \rho)$$
 for  $\rho \in P$ .

(iv) 
$$u_i(\sum_i x_{u_i} a_{u_i}) = \sum_i x_{u_i}(\sum_k a_{u_k} \alpha_{i,j})$$
, if  $u_i u_j = \sum_k u_k \alpha_{i,j}$ .

(v) 
$$a(\sum_i x_{u_i} a_{u_i}) = \sum_i (u_i (\sum_i x_{u_i} a_{u_i})) \alpha_i$$
, if  $a = \sum_i u_i \alpha_i$ .

Then it is not hard to verify that  $M_A$  is an A-P-module.

Now, since  $\{v_i\}$  is dual to  $\{u_i\}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} = P^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $P = (\sum_k \alpha_{i,j}^k \lambda_k)_{i,j}$  is a non-singular parastrophic matrix belonging to  $\{u_i\}$  and  $\{\lambda_i\}$ . We write  $P = (p_{i,j})_{i,j}$  and  $P^{-1} = (p_{i,i}^*)_{i,j}$ . We assume that  $\sum_i u_i \eta_i = 1$ , the unit element of A. Then the mapping  $\beta \colon \sum_i x_{u_i} a_{u_i} \to \sum_i x_{u_i} (\sum_j a_{u_j} \eta_j) \lambda_i$  satisfies our condition, that is,  $\beta$  is a P-endomorphism and  $\sum_i u_i \beta v_i$  is the identity endomorphism of  $M_A$ . Since  $\beta$  is obviously a P-endomorphism, we are only to prove that  $\sum_i u_i \beta v_i$  is the identity endomorphism.

$$\begin{split} (\sum_{\mathbf{j}} u_{\mathbf{j}} \beta v_{\mathbf{j}}) (\sum_{i} x_{\mathbf{u}_{i}} a_{\mathbf{u}_{i}}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{j}} u_{\mathbf{j}} \beta (v_{\mathbf{j}} \sum_{i} x_{\mathbf{u}_{i}} a_{\mathbf{u}_{i}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{j}} u_{\mathbf{j}} \beta ((\sum_{\mathbf{k}} u_{\mathbf{k}} p_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}}^{*}) (\sum_{i} x_{\mathbf{u}_{i}} a_{\mathbf{u}_{i}})) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{j}} u_{\mathbf{j}} \beta (\sum_{\mathbf{k}} x_{\mathbf{u}_{i}} (\sum_{\mathbf{h},\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{u}_{h}} \alpha_{\mathbf{h},\mathbf{k}}^{*} p_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}}^{*})) = \sum_{\mathbf{j}} u_{\mathbf{j}} (\sum_{\mathbf{k}} x_{\mathbf{u}_{i}} \sum_{\mathbf{h},\mathbf{k},\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{u}_{h}} p_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}}^{*} \alpha_{\mathbf{h},\mathbf{k}}^{h} \eta_{\mathbf{j}}) \lambda_{i}). \end{split}$$

Since  $\sum_{l} u_{l} \eta_{l} = 1$ ,  $\sum_{l} \alpha_{l,k}^{h} \eta_{l} = \delta_{k,h}$  and consequently

$$\begin{split} (\sum_{\mathbf{j}} u_{\mathbf{j}} \beta v_{\mathbf{j}}) (\sum_{\mathbf{i}} x_{u_{\mathbf{i}}} a_{u_{\mathbf{i}}}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{j}} u_{\mathbf{j}} (\sum_{\mathbf{i}} x_{u_{\mathbf{i}}} (\sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{u_{\mathbf{k}}} p_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}}^{*} \lambda_{i})) = \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} x_{u_{\mathbf{i}}} (\sum_{\mathbf{m}} (\sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{u_{\mathbf{k}}} p_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}}^{*} \lambda_{m}) \alpha_{i,\mathbf{j}}^{n})) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} x_{u_{\mathbf{i}}} (\sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{u_{\mathbf{k}}} p_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}}^{*} (\sum_{\mathbf{m}} \lambda_{m} \alpha_{i,\mathbf{j}}^{m})) = \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} x_{u_{\mathbf{i}}} (\sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{u_{\mathbf{k}}} p_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}}^{*} p_{i,\mathbf{j}}) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{i}} x_{u_{\mathbf{i}}} (\sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{u_{\mathbf{k}}} (\sum_{\mathbf{j}} p_{i,\mathbf{j}} p_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}}^{*})) = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} x_{u_{\mathbf{i}}} (\sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{u_{\mathbf{k}}} \delta_{i,\mathbf{k}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} x_{u_{\mathbf{i}}} a_{u_{\mathbf{i}}}. \end{split}$$

Thus  $\beta$  satisfies our condition.

Next we show that  $M_A$  contains A-P-modules M and N such that  $M\cong \mathfrak{m}$  and  $M_A/N\cong \mathfrak{m}$ . The module  $M=\{\sum_i x_{u_i}(u_ia)|a\in\mathfrak{m}\}$  is P-isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{m}$  by the correspondence  $\mathfrak{m}\ni a\mapsto \sum_i x_{u_i}(u_ia)\in M$ . For, if  $\sum_i x_{u_i}(u_ia)=0$  then  $u_ia=0$  for all i and consequently a=1  $a=(\sum_i u_i\eta_i)a=\sum_i (u_ia)\eta_i=0$ . Therefore this correspondence is one-to-one and obviously P-isomorphism. Moreover this correspondence is A-isomorphism. For  $u_j(\sum_i x_{u_i}(u_ia))=\sum_i x_{u_i}(\sum_k (u_ka)\alpha_{i,j}^k)=\sum_i x_{u_i}((\sum_k u_k\alpha_{i,j}^k)a)=\sum_i x_{u_i}(u_i(u_ja))$ , that is,  $u_ja$  corresponds to  $u_j(\sum_i x_{u_i}(u_ia))$ . Therefore M is A-P-isomorphic to m. Since A has an R-basis containing 1, say  $w_1=1$ ,  $w_2$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $w_n$ , we can construct the module  $M_A$  satisfying (i),  $\cdots$ , (v) with respect to  $\{w_i\}$ . Let Q be a non-singular matrix such that  $(u_i)=(w_i)Q'$ . Then it is not hard to see that  $M_A$ 

and  $M_A$  are A-P-isomorphic by the correspondence  $\varphi\colon M_A'\ni\sum_i x_{w_i}a_{w_i}$   $\to\sum_i x_{u_i}b_{u_i}$ , where  $(b_{u_1},\cdots,b_{u_n})=(a_{w_1},\cdots,a_{w_n})Q'$ . By  $\varphi$ , M corresponds to  $M'=\{\sum_i x_{w_i}(w_ia)|a\in\mathbb{M}\}$ . It is obvious that  $M_A'=M'+M''$  as a P-module, where  $M''=\{\sum_i x_{w_i}a_{w_i}|a_{w_1}=0\}$ . Therefore we have that  $M_A=M+\varphi M''$  as a P-module and consequently  $M_A=M+M'''$  as an A-P-module if  $\mathbb{M}$  is an  $M_u$ -module. Next we consider the mapping  $\psi\colon M_A\ni\sum_i x_{u_i}a_{u_i}\to\sum_i u_i(\sum_j a_{u_j}p_{i,j}^*)\in\mathbb{M}$ . Since  $(p_{i,j}^*)=P^{-1}$  is non-singular, the linear equation  $\sum_j x_jp_{i,j}^*=a_{\eta_i}(a\in\mathbb{M},i=1,\cdots,n)$  have a unique solution  $\{a_j\}$  in  $\mathbb{M}$ . Then  $\sum_i x_{u_i}a_i$  corresponds to  $\sum_i u_i(a_{\eta_i})=(\sum_i u_i\eta_i)a=1$  a=a. This shows that  $\psi$  is an "onto" mapping. Furthermore it is easy to see that  $\psi$  is a P-homomorphism. We show that  $\psi$  is an A-P-homomorphism.

$$\begin{split} \psi(u_{\mathbf{J}}(\sum_{i} x_{u_{i}} a_{u_{i}})) &= \psi(\sum_{i} x_{u_{i}}(\sum_{k} a_{u_{k}} \alpha_{i,\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{k}})) = \sum_{i} u_{i}(\sum_{m} (\sum_{k} a_{u_{k}} \alpha_{m,\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{k}}) p_{i,m}^{\mathbf{k}}) \\ &= \sum_{i} u_{i}(\sum_{k} a_{u_{k}}(\sum_{m} \alpha_{m,\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{k}} p_{i,m}^{\mathbf{k}})). \end{split}$$

Since  $P = (p_{i,j})$  interwines right and left regular representations, we have  $\sum p_{i,m}^* \alpha_{m,j}^* = \sum \alpha_{j,m}^i p_{m,k}^*$  and consequently

$$\begin{split} \psi(u_{\mathbf{j}}(\sum_{i}x_{u_{i}}a_{u_{i}})) &= \sum_{i}u_{\mathbf{i}}(\sum_{k}a_{u_{k}}(\sum_{m}\alpha_{\mathbf{j},m}^{i}p_{m,k}^{\star})) = \sum_{k,m}(\sum_{i}u_{\mathbf{i}}\alpha_{\mathbf{j},m}^{i})a_{u_{k}}p_{m,k}^{\star}) \\ &= u_{\mathbf{j}}(\sum_{m}u_{\mathbf{m}}(\sum_{k}a_{u_{k}}p_{m,k}^{\star})) = u_{\mathbf{j}}\psi(\sum_{i}x_{u_{i}}a_{u_{i}}). \end{split}$$

This shows that  $\psi$  is an A-P-homomorphism and consequently  $M_A$  contains an A-P-submodule N auch that  $M_A/N \cong \mathfrak{m}$ . Moreover, as was shown above, the P-submodule  $N' = \{\sum_i x_{u_i} a_{u_i} | \sum_i a_{u_i} p_{i,j}^* = a \eta_i$ ,  $a \in \mathfrak{m}\}$  is mapped onto  $\mathfrak{m}$  by  $\psi$ . Therefore  $M_A = N + N'$  as a P-module and consequently  $M_A = N + N''$  as an A-P-module if  $\mathfrak{m}$  is an  $M_0$ -module. Thus we have that  $M_A$  is directly decomposable into  $\mathfrak{m}$  and an A-P-module. Since  $M_A$  has a P-endomorphism  $\beta$  satisfying our condition, we can easily construct a P-endomorphism satisfying our condition for  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

Next we show that our result is essentially a generalization of Gaschütz's result. Let m be a G-module, where  $G = \{g_i | i = 1, \cdots, n\}$  is a finite group and  $\Omega$  an arbitrary domain of G-endomorphisms of m. Let P be the ring of endomorphisms generated by  $\Omega$  and the identity endomorphism of m, and G the centre of G. Then the group ring G(G) of G over G is a Frobenius algebra with a G-basis containing the unit element of G. Furthermore  $\{g_i^{-1}\}$  is a dual basis to  $\{g_i\}$ . Considering m as G(G)-F-module in the natural way, we have

**Theorem.** (Gaschütz). Let  $G=\{g_i|i=1,\cdots,n\}$ , m and  $\Omega$  be a finite group, a G-module and an arbitrary domain of G-endomorphisms of m respectively. Then  $G-\Omega$ -module m is an  $M_u$ - or  $M_0$ -module if and only if m has an  $\Omega$ -endomorphism  $\beta$  such that  $\sum g_i\beta g_i^{-1}$  is the identity endomorphism of m.

(Received March 9, 1953)