# AN INTEGRAL FORMULA FOR THE CHERN FORM OF A HERMITIAN BUNDLE

# HIDEO OMOTO

# Introduction

We shall consider a Hermitian *n*-vector bundle E over a complex manifold X. When X is compact (without boundary), S.S. Chern defined in his paper [3] the Chern classes (the basic characteristic classes of E)  $\hat{C}_i(E)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , in terms of the basic forms  $\Phi_i$  on the Grassmann manifold H(n, N) and the classifying map f of X into H(n, N). Moreover he proved ([3],[4]) that if  $E_k$  denotes the k-general Stiefel bundle associated with E, the (n-k+1)-th Chern class  $\hat{C}_{n-k+1}(E)$  coincides with the characteristic class  $C(E_k)$  of  $E_k$  defined as follows: Let K be a simplicial decomposition of X and  $K^{2(n-k)+1}$  the 2(n-k)+1- shelton of K. Then there exists a section s of  $E_k|K^{2(n-k)+1}$  so that one can define the obstruction cocycle c(s) of s. The cohomology class of c(s) is independent of such a section s. Thus one denotes by  $C(E_k)$  the cohomology class of c(s) which is called the characteristic class of  $E_k$ . The above fact is well known as the second definition of the Chern classes ([3]).

On the other hand, in case when X is with boundary, R. Bott and S.S. Chern established the so-called Gauss-Bonnet theorem ([1]), which gives an integral formula for the above second definition of the *n*-th Chern class  $\hat{C}_n(E)$ , that is, if  $C_n(E)$  denotes the *n*-th Chern form induced by a norm on E (c.f. Prop. 2.1),

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} C_n(E) = \int_{\partial \mathcal{X}} s^* \eta_n + \sum_{j=1}^l \operatorname{zero}(p_j; s),$$

where the  $p_j$  are the zero points of a section s of X into E, the zero  $(p_j; s)$  denote the zero-numbers of s at  $p_j$ , and  $\eta_n$  is the *n*-th boundary form of E (cf. Def. 3.1).

Received April 24, 1970.

The main purpose of this paper is to generalize their theorem to give an integral formula (Theorem 4.1) for the *i*-th Chern form  $C_i(E)$   $(1 \le i \le n)$ induced by a norm on a Hermitian *n*-vector bundle *E* over a complex manifold *X* of a complex dimension *m*, according to [1] and the obstruction theory [3] and [4].

Roughly speaking, our main theorem 4.1, which is called the general lized realtive Gauss-Bonnet theorem, is as follows: Let  $E_k$  be the k-general Stiefel bundle associated with E and  $\pi_k^* E$  the induced bundle of E under the projection  $\pi_k$  of  $E_k$  onto X. Suppose there exisit a real 2(m-n+k-1)dimensional oriented submanifold A (with smooth boundary  $\partial A$ ) of X (here  $m = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} X$ ), and a smooth section s of (X - A) into E. Then for any interior point q of A we can define the k-th complement obstruction number  $obs_k^{\perp}(q, s, A)$  (c.f. Def. 4.2). Let V be a real 2(n - k + 1)-dimensional oriented manifold and D a compact domain with smooth boundary  $\partial D$ . Now given a smooth map f of V into X, we obtain the intersection numbers  $n(p_i, f, A)$  of the singular chain  $f: D \to X$  and A at the points  $p_j \in D \cap f^{-1}$  $(A) (i = 1, \dots, l)$ .

Then our integral formula is given by

$$\begin{split} \int_{D} f^* C_{n-k+1}(E) &= \int_{\partial D} f^* s^* \eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^* E) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^i obs_k^{\perp}(f(p_j), s, A) \cdot n(p_j, f, A). \end{split}$$

As an application of our theorem, we obtain Levine's "The First Main Theorem [7]" concerning holomorphic mappings f from a non-compact complex manifold V into the *n*-complex projective space  $P^n(C)$  (c.f. §5).

Finally we note that technics in [2] are used in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

In Section 1 we review the theory of the Chern forms as described in [1]. In Section 2 we refine this theory for the case of complex analytic Hermitian bundles and state the duality formula according to [1]. In Section 3 we define an (n, k)-trivial bundle and its boundary form (c.f. Def. 3.1 and 3.2). Furthermore we study the boundary form  $\eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^*E)$  of the (n, k)-trivial bundle  $\pi_k^*(E)$  associated with a Hermitian *n*-bundle *E* over a complex manifold *X*, which plays an important role in our theorem. In Section 4 we define the *k*-th obstruction number (c.f. Def. 4.1 and 4.2), and prove the generalized relative Gauss-Bonnet theorem.

In preparing this paper, I have received many advices from Dr. N. Tanaka. I would like to express my cordial thanks to him.

### §1. The Chern forms

**1.1 The Chern forms.** Let E be a  $C^{\infty}$ -vector bundle of fibre dimension n over a  $C^{\infty}$ -manifold X. We denote by  $T^* = T^*(X)$  the cotangent bundle of X and by  $A(X) = \sum_j A^j(X)$  the graded ring of  $C^{\infty}$ -complex valued differential forms on X. More generally we write A(X; E) for the differential forms on X with values in E. Thus if  $\Gamma(E)$  denotes the smooth sections of E, then it follows that  $A(X; E) = A(X) \bigotimes_{A \in (X)} \Gamma(E)$ .

DEFINITION 1.1. A connection on E is a differential operator  $D: \Gamma(E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(T^* \otimes E)$  satisfying the following rule:

(1.1) 
$$D(f \cdot s) = df \cdot s + f \cdot Ds$$

for  $f \in A^0(X)$ ,  $s \in \Gamma(E)$ .

Suppose now that *E* has a definite connection *D*. Let  $s = \{s_i\}_{1 \le i \le n}$  be a frame of *E* over *V*, where *V* is an open subset of *X*. Then there exist 1-forms  $\theta_{ij}$  on *V* which satisfy the following relations:

(1.2) 
$$Ds_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_{ij} s_j \qquad i = 1, \cdots, n$$

These 1-forms  $\theta_{ij}$  define a matrix of 1-forms on V, denoted by  $\theta(s, D) = ||\theta_{jj}||$ , which is called the *connection matrix* relative to the frame s. From  $\theta(s, D)$  we now define a matrix  $K(s, D) = ||K_{ij}||$  of 2-forms on V by  $K_{ij} = d\theta_{ij} - \sum_k \theta_{ik} \wedge \theta_{kj}$ . In matrix notation:

(1.3) 
$$K(s,D) = d\theta(s,D) - \theta(s,D) \wedge \theta(s,D).$$

K(s, D) is called the *curvature matrix* of D relative to the frame s,

Let us consider any two frames s and s' of E|V. Then there exist elements  $A_{ij} \in A^0(V)$  such that  $s'_i = \sum_j A_{ij} s_j$  and in matrix notation we write simply s' = As. Then we have the following transformation law

(1.4) 
$$AK(s, D) = K(s, D)A$$
  $s' = As.$ 

From this and the fact that even forms commute with one another, we have

DEFINITION 1.2. The Chern form of E relative to D, denoted by C(E, D), is a global form on X defined as follows: Let us cover X by  $\{V_{\alpha}\}$  which

admit frames  $s^{\alpha}$  over  $V_{\alpha}$ : Let det  $\{1_n + iK(s, D)/2\pi\}$  denote determinants of matrices  $1_n + iK(s^{\alpha}, D)/2\pi$ , where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$  and  $1_n$  is the unit matrix. Then we set

(1.5) 
$$C(E,D)|V_{\alpha} = \det \{1_n + iK(s^{\alpha},D)/2\pi\}.$$

Moreover in terms of the transformation law (1.4), the curvature matrices  $K(s^{\alpha}, D) = ||K_{ij}||$  determine a definite element  $K[E, D] \in A^2(X: \operatorname{Hom}(E, E))$ as follows: Let t be any elemet of  $\Gamma(E)$ . Then for each open set  $V_{\alpha}$  there exists elements  $f_i^{\alpha} \in A^0(V_{\alpha})$  such that  $t = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i^{\alpha} s_i^{\alpha}$ ,  $s^{\alpha} = \{s_i^{\alpha}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ . Here we put

(1.6) 
$$K[E,D] \cdot t = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} f_i^{\alpha} K_{ij}^{\alpha} \cdot s_j^{\alpha} \quad \text{on} \quad V_{\alpha}.$$

K[E, D] is called the curvature element of E relative to D.

1.2. Reformulation of the Chern forms. We observe that by using the curvature element K[E, D], we can reformulate the Chern form C(E, D) in the following manner.

DEFINITION 1.3. Let  $M_n$  denote the vector space of  $n \times n$  matrices over C. A k-linear function  $\varphi$  on  $M_n$  is called *invariant* if for any  $B \in GL(n; C)$ ,

(1.7) 
$$\varphi(A_1, \cdots, A_k) = \varphi(BA_1B^{-1}, \cdots, BA_kB^{-1}) \text{ for } A_i \in M_n.$$

We denote by  $I^{k}(M_{n})$  the vector space of all the k-linear invariant functioons.

Now given  $\varphi \in I^k(M_n)$  and an open set V of X, we ixtend  $\varphi$  to a k-linear mapping, denoted by  $\varphi_v$ , from  $M_n \otimes A(V)$  into A(V) by putting

$$\omega_{\mathbf{v}}(A_1\omega_1,\cdots,A_k\omega_k)=\varphi(A_1,\cdots,A_k)\omega_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\omega_k$$

for  $A_i \in M_n$ ,  $\omega_i \in A(V)$ .

On the other hand if  $\xi \in A(X: \text{Hom}(E, E))$  and if  $s = \{s_i\}$  is a frame of E|V, then  $\xi$  determines a matrix of forms  $\xi(s) = ||\xi(s)_{ij}|| \in M_n \otimes A(V)$  by  $\sum_j \xi(s)_{ij} s = \xi \cdot s_i$ , and under the substitution s' = As. these matrices transform by  $\xi(s') = A\xi(s)A^{-1}$ . Hence given  $\xi_i \in A(X: \text{Hom}(E, E))$   $(i = 1, \dots, k)$  and  $\varphi \in I^k(M_n)$ ; we can define a form  $\varphi(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in A(X)$  as follows: Let s be a frame of E|V. Then set

(1.8) 
$$\varphi(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_k) | V = \varphi_v(\xi_1(s), \cdots, \xi_k(s))$$

where the  $\xi_i(s)$  are matrices of  $\xi_i$  relative to s.

For simplicity we put  $\varphi(\xi, \cdot \cdot \cdot, \xi) = \varphi((\xi))$ .

Now let D be a connection on E and let C(E, D) and K[E, D] denote the Chern form and the curvature element of E relative to D respectively. Then we want to construct k-linear invariant functions  $b_k^n \in I^k(M_n)$   $(k=1, \dots, n)$ such that

$$C(E, D) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k^n (\kappa K[E, D]))$$
  $\kappa = i/2\pi.$ 

For this purpose let L be a k-tuples  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  of integers from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $i_1 < \dots < i_k$ . Then we define linear mappings  $L_i$  on  $M_n$   $(l = 1, \dots, k)$  as follows: For any  $A = ||a_{ij}|| \in M_n$ , we put

$$L_l(A) = \begin{pmatrix} {}^a i_1 i_1 \\ \vdots \\ {}^a i_k i_l \end{pmatrix} \qquad l = 1, \cdots, k.$$

If  $A_{\alpha} = ||a_{ij}^{\alpha}|| \in M_n$ ,  $(a = 1, \dots, k)$ , then det  $\{L_1(A_1), \dots, L_k(A_k)\}$  denotes the determinant of the matrix  $||a_{i_{\beta}i_{\gamma}}^{\alpha}||_{1 \leq \beta, \gamma \leq k}$ . With this notation k-linear functions  $b_k^n$  are defined as follows: For any  $A_{\alpha} \in M_n$   $(a = 1, \dots, k)$ ,

(1.9) 
$$b_k^n(A_1, \cdots, A_k) = \sum_{\sigma, L} \frac{1}{k!} \det \{ L_1(A_{\sigma(1)}), \cdots, L_k(A_{\sigma(k)}) \},$$

where the summation is extended over all permutations  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  and all k-tuples  $L = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$  of integers from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $i_1 < \dots < i_k$ .

It is clear from definition that the  $b_k^n$  are symmetric, that is, for any permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, k\}$ ,

$$b_k^n(A_1, \cdots, A_k) = b_k^n(A_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, A_{\sigma(k)}) \quad A_i \in M_n$$

Therefore in a case of  $A_1 = \cdots = A_k = A$ , it follows that

(1.10) 
$$b_k^n((A)) = \sum_L \det \{L_1(A), \cdots, L_k(A)\}$$

Hence we find that

(1.11) 
$$\det (1_n + A) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^n(A)) \quad A \in M_n,$$

where  $1_n$  is the unit matrix of  $M_n$ .

LEMMA 1.1. The k-linear function  $b_k^n$  is invariant, i.e.,  $b_k^n \in I^k(M_n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  be indeterminates and let  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  be any fixed elements of  $M_n$ . Then it follows from (1.10) and (1.11) that

(1.12) 
$$\det \left( 1_n + \sum_{\alpha=1}^k \lambda_\alpha A_\alpha \right) = 1 + \sum_{r=1}^n \left[ \sum_{L=(i_1, \dots, i_k) j_1, \dots, j_r=1}^k \lambda_{j_1, \dots, \lambda_{j_r}} \right]$$
$$\det \left\{ L_1(A_{j_1}) \cdot \cdot \cdot L_r(A_{j_r}) \right\} \right]$$

Since both sides of (1.2) are considered smooth functions of k variables  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ , we operate  $\partial^k / \partial \lambda_1 \dots \partial \lambda_k$  on each side of (1.12) at the origin  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) = (0, \dots, 0) = 0$ . Then from  $\frac{\partial^k}{\partial \lambda_1 \dots \partial \lambda_k} \Big|_0 (\lambda_{j_1} \dots \lambda_{j_r})$  $= \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } r = k \text{ and } \{j_1, \dots, j_r\} = \{1, \dots, k\} \\ 0 \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$ 

(1.13) 
$$\frac{\partial^k}{\partial \lambda_1 \cdots \partial \lambda_k} \Big|_0 \det \left( 1_n + \sum_{\alpha=1}^k \lambda_\alpha A_\alpha \right) = \sum_{\sigma, L = (i_1, \cdots, i_k)} \det \left\{ L_1(A_{\sigma(1)}), \cdots L_k(A_{\sigma(k)}) \right\}$$

Thus it follows from (1.9) and (1.13) that

(1.14) 
$$b_k^n(A_1, \cdots, A_k) = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \lambda_1 \cdots \partial \lambda_k} \Big|_0 \det (1_n + \sum_{\alpha=1}^k \lambda_\alpha A_\alpha).$$

It is clear from (1.14) that  $b_k^n$  is invariant.

Q.E.D.

Now let C(E, D) and K[E, D] be as before. Then in views of Lemma 1.1 and (1.11), we find that the  $b_k^n$  are invariant and satisfy the next relation:

(1.15) 
$$C(E,D) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k^n ((\kappa K[E,D])).$$

Notice that  $b_k^n((\kappa K[E, D]))$  becomes a global form of degree 2k on X because of  $K[E, D] \in A^2(X: \text{Hom}(E, E))$ . Here we have

DEFINITION 1.4. Let K[E, D] be the curvature element of E relative to D. Let  $b_k^n$  denote the k-linear invariant function defined by (1.9). Then the 2k-form  $b_k^n((\kappa K[E, D]))$  is called the k th Chern form of E relative to D, denoted by  $C_k(E, D)$ .

With this notation the relation (1.15) becomes

$$(1.15)' C(E,D) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_k(E,D), \ C_k(E,D) = b_k^n((\kappa K[E,D])).$$

Moreover, applying the next proposition to the invariant functions  $b_k^n$ , it follows that

(1.16) 
$$dC_k(E,D) = 0$$
  $k = 1, \cdots, n$ 

so that

$$(1.17) dC(E,D) = 0$$

PROPOSITION 1.2. [1]. Let E be a C<sup>\*</sup>-vector bundle of fibre dimension n over a C<sup>\*</sup>-manifold X with a connection D. Let K[E, D] be the curvature element. Given any  $\varphi \in I^{k}(M_{n})$ , then we obtain

$$(1.18) d\varphi((K[E, D])) = 0.$$

Next we introduce notations used in the later sections, For  $\varphi \in I^k(M_n)$  we abbreviate  $\sum_{\alpha=1}^k \varphi(A, \dots, B, \dots, A)$  to  $\varphi'((A:B))$ . We put for any  $A, B \in M_n$ 

$$\widetilde{\det}((A)) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k^n((A))$$
 and  $\widetilde{\det}'((A:B)) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k^n((A:B))$ .

Then it follows that

(1.19) 
$$\widetilde{\det}'((A:B)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\Big|_{0} \det(1_{n} + A + \lambda B),$$

(1.20) 
$$\widetilde{\det}((\kappa K[E,D])) = C(E,D).$$

In order to prove (1.19) it is sufficient to notice that  $det(1_n + A + \lambda B) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k^n((A + \lambda B))$ . (1.20) is trivial.

**REMARK.** A connection D on E is extended uniquely to an antiderivation of the A(X) module A(X: E), so as to satisfy the law:

$$(1.21) D(\theta \cdot s) = d\theta \cdot s + (-1)^p \theta \cdot Ds \theta \in A^p(X), \ s \in \Gamma(E).$$

Then from the definition (1.6) of the curvature element K[E, D], we find that

(1.22) 
$$D^2s = K[E, D] \cdot s$$
 for any  $s \in \Gamma[E]$ .

### §2. The duality formula

2.1. The canonical connection of a Hermitian bundle. Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle over a complex manifold X. Then a norm N on E is a real-valued function  $N: E \longrightarrow R$  such that the restriction of N to any fibre is a Hermitian norm on that fibre. Thus for each  $x \in X$ , a positive definite Hermitian form, denoted by  $\langle u, v \rangle_N$ , or simply  $\langle u, v \rangle$ , is defined by putting for any  $u, v \in E_x$ ,

$$\langle u, v \rangle_N = \frac{1}{2} \{ N(u+v) - N(u) - N(v) \} + i \frac{1}{2} \{ N(u+iv) - N(u) - N(v) \}.$$

Moreover this Hermitian form  $\langle , \rangle_N$  is extended as follows: For any sections s and s', we define  $\langle s, s' \rangle$  as the function  $\langle s, s' \rangle \langle x \rangle = \langle s(x), s'(x) \rangle$  and we set in general  $\langle \theta \cdot s, \theta' \cdot s' \rangle = \theta \wedge \overline{\theta}' \langle s, s' \rangle \theta, \theta' \in A(X)$ . A holomorphic vector bundle with a norm is called a hermitian vector bundle. Let E be a Hermitian vector bundle. Then we will find from the following Proposition 2.1 that E has a canonical connection induced by a norm on E. It is our aim to study the Chern form of E relative to this canonical connection.

Now let X be a complex manifold. The complex valued differential froms A(X) split into a direct sum  $\sum A^{p,q}(X)$  where  $A^{p,q}(X)$  is generated over  $A^{0}(X)$  by forms of the type  $df_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{p} \wedge d\bar{f}_{p+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{f}_{p+q}$ , the  $f_{i}$ being local holomorphic functions on X. Therefore d splits into d' + d''where

$$d': A^{p,q} \longrightarrow A^{p+1,q}$$
 and  $d'': A^{p,q} \longrightarrow A^{p,q+1}$ .

If E is a vector bundle over X, then A(X; E) split into the direct sum  $\sum A^{p,q}(X; E) = \sum A^{p,q}(X) \otimes \Gamma(E)$  according to the decomposition of A(X). Hence any connection D on E is decomposed into D' + D'':

$$D': \Gamma(E) \longrightarrow A^{1,0}(X; E) \text{ and } D'': \Gamma(E) \longrightarrow A^{0,1}(X; E).$$

With these preliminaries we obtain

PROPOSITION 2.1. [1]. Let N be a norm on a Hermitian vector bundle E. Then N induces a canonical connection D = D(N) on E which is characterized by the two conditions:

(2.1) D preserves the norm N, i.e., for any  $s, s' \in \Gamma(E)$  $d\langle s, s' \rangle = \langle Ds, s' \rangle + \langle s, D' \rangle$ .

(2.2) If s is a holomorphic section of E|V, then D''s = 0 on V.

This proposition shows that if  $s = \{s_i\}$  is a holomorphic frame of E|Vand if N(s) denotes the matrix of functions  $N(s) = ||\langle s_i, s_j \rangle||$ , then the connection matrix  $\theta(s, N)$  of D(N) relative to the frame s is given by

(2.3) 
$$\theta(s, N) = d'N(s) \cdot N(s)^{-1} \quad \text{on} \quad V,$$

and the curvature matrix K(s, N) is expressed as follows:

(2.4) 
$$K(s, N) = d''\theta(s, N), \text{ whence } K(s, N) \text{ is of type (1,1)}$$
  
and  $d''K(s, N) = 0.$ 

It follows from (2.4) and Definition 1.4 that the kth Chern forms  $C_k$  (E, D(N)) are of type (k, k).

Suppose now that E is a line bundle. Then a holomorphic frame is a nonvanishing holomorphic section s of E|V, so that, relative to s,

 $\theta(s, N) = d' \log N(s)$  and  $K[E, D(N)] \cdot s = d''d' \log N(s)$ .

Thus if E admits a global nonvanishing holomorphic sections s, then

(2.5) 
$$C_1(E, D(N)) = -\frac{i}{2\pi} d'' d' \log N(s).$$

(Note that the invariant function  $b_1^i$  defining  $C_1(E, D(N))$  becomes the identity mapping of  $M_1 = C$ .)

**2.2. Homotopy lemma.** We state the homotopy lemma on which the duality formula is based.

DEFINITION 2.1. A connection D on a holomorphic bundle E over X, is called of type (1,1) if

(i) For any holomorphic section s of E|V, D''s = 0

(ii) The curvature matrix K(s, D) relative to a holomorphic frame s over V, are of type (1, 1), i.e.,  $K[E, D] \in A^{1,1}(X: \text{Hom } (E, E))$ .

It is obvious from (2.4) that a cannonical connection D(N) is of type (1.1).

DEFINITION 2.2. A family of connections Dt of type (1,1) will be called bounded by  $L_t \in A^0(X: \text{Hom } (E, E))$  if for any frame s,

$$dD_t(s)/dt = d'L_t(s) + \{L_t(s) \cdot \theta(s, D_t) - \theta(s, D_t)L_t(s)\}.$$

Then we obtain the following homotopy lemma.

PROPOSITION 2.2. [1]. Let  $D_t$  be a smooth family of connections of type (1,1) on a holonorphic vector bundle E. Suppose that  $D_t$  is bounded by  $L_t \in A(X: \text{Hom}(E, E))$ . Then for any  $\varphi \in I^k(M_n)$ ,  $n = \dim E$ ,

(2.6) 
$$\varphi((K[E, D_b])) - \varphi((K[E, D_a]))$$
$$= d''d' \int_a^b \varphi'((K[E, D_t]; L_t)) dt$$

2.3. The duality formula. Now let us consider an exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles:

$$(2.7) 0 \longrightarrow E_I \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E_{II} \longrightarrow 0$$

over a complex manifold X. We twrite  $\xi$  for the homomorphism from E onto  $E_{II}$  defining (2.7). Let N be a norm on E. Then the norm N on E induces norms  $N_I$  on  $E_I$  and  $N_{II}$  on  $E_{II}$  as follows: Let  $E_T^{\perp}$  be the orthocomplement of  $E_I$ , i.e., if for each  $x \in X$ , we put  $(E_I^{\perp})_x = \{a \in E_x : \langle a, b \rangle_N = 0, \}$ 

for all  $b \in E_x$ , then  $E_I^{\perp} = \bigcup_{x \in X} (E_I^{\perp})_x$ .

Hence  $E_{I}^{\perp}$  becomes the  $C^{\infty}$ -vector bundle over X. The restriction of  $\xi$  to  $E_{I}^{\perp}$  is the  $C^{\infty}$ -isomorphism of  $E_{I}^{\perp}$  and  $E_{II}$ . Let  $\hat{\xi}$  denote the inverse mapping of  $\xi | E_{I}^{\perp}$ . Then the norm  $N_{II}$  on  $E_{II}$  is defined by

$$N_{II}(a') = N(\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \cdot a')$$
 for any  $a' \in E_{II}$ 

On the other hand, the norm  $N_I$  on  $E_I$  is the restriction of N to  $E_I$ .

To the exact sequence (2.7), there correspond the canonical connections D(N) = D (on E),  $D(N_i)$  (on  $E_i$ ) and the Chern forms C(E) = C(E, D((N))),  $C(E_i, D(N_i))$ .

Now let  $P_i(i = I, II)$  be the orthogonal projections

$$(2.8) P_I: E \longrightarrow E_I and P_{II}: E \longrightarrow E_{\downarrow}.$$

Since  $P_i(i = I, II)$  are elements of  $\Gamma(\text{Hom}(E, E))$ , these are interpreted as degree zero operator, that is,  $P_i(\theta \cdot s) = \theta \cdot P_i \cdot s$ ,  $\theta \in A(X)$ ,  $s \in \Gamma(E)$ . Then the connection D = D(N) is decomposed into four parts

$$(2.9) D = \sum_{i,j} P_i D P_j j, i = I, II.$$

With these preliminaries we obtain

LEMMA 2.3, [1]. In the decomposition

(i)  $P_iDP_i$   $(i \neq j)$  are degree zero operators of type (1.0) and (0,1) respectively:

(2.10) 
$$P_{II}D''P_I = 0, \ P_ID'P_{II} = 0.$$

(ii)  $P_iDP_i$  induces the connection  $D(N_i)$  on  $E_i \cdot i = I$ , II.

*Proof.* The first statement is already proved in [1]. We shall prove only (ii). Let  $\xi$ ,  $\hat{\xi}$  be as above. Then  $\xi$  and  $\hat{\xi}$  are considered as degree zero operators. Therefore it is clear that  $\xi D\hat{\xi}$  defines a connection on  $E_{II}$ . We show that  $\xi D\hat{\xi}$  is the canonical connection  $D(N_{II})$ . In order to prove this, it is sufficient to check the conditions (2.1) and (2.2) in Proposition

2.1. At first, (2.1) follows directly from the definition of  $N_{II}$  and the fact that D preserves the inner product  $\langle , \rangle_N$ :

Let t, t' be sections of  $E_{II}$ . Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d\langle t, t' \rangle_{N_{II}} &= d\langle \hat{\xi}t, \hat{\xi}t' \rangle = \langle D\hat{\xi}t, \hat{\xi}t' \rangle_N + \langle \hat{\xi}t, D\hat{\xi}t' \rangle_N \\ &= \langle \xi D\hat{\xi}t, t' \rangle_{N_{II}} + \langle t, \xi D\hat{\xi}t' \rangle_{N_{II}}. \end{aligned}$$

For (2.2), let s be a holomorphic section of E|V. Then, D satisfying the condition (2.2), it follows that D''s = 0 on V. Hence from (2.9) we have

$$0 = D''s = (P_I D'' P_{II} + P_I D'' P_I) \cdot s + P_{II} D' P_{II} s + P_{II} D'' P_I s.$$

Thus we find from (2.10) that if s is a holomorphic section of E|V, then

(2.11) 
$$P_{II}D''P_{II}s = 0$$
 on V.

Now let t be a holomorphic section of  $E_{II}|V$ . Then for each  $x \in V$ , there exist a neighborhood  $V(x) \subset V$  of x and a holomorphic section s of E|V(x) such that  $\xi \cdot s = t$  on V(x). On the other hand, it is clear that  $(\xi D\hat{\xi})'' = \xi D''\hat{\xi}, \ \xi = \xi P_{II}$  and  $\hat{\xi}\xi = P_{II}$ . Therefore we have

$$(\xi D\hat{\xi})^{\prime\prime} \cdot t = \xi D^{\prime\prime} \hat{\xi} \cdot t = \xi D^{\prime\prime} \hat{\xi} \cdot \xi s = \xi P_{II} D^{\prime\prime} P_{II} s.$$

From (2.11) it follows that  $(\xi D\hat{\xi})''t = 0$  on V(x). Thus we have proved that  $(\xi D\hat{\xi})''t = 0$  on V. Therefore  $\xi D\hat{\xi}$  is the canonical connection  $D(N_{II})$ .

Hence if we identify  $E_{I}^{\perp}$  and  $E_{II}$  under the isomorphism  $\hat{\xi}$ , then we can zalso identify  $P_{II}DP_{II}$  and  $\xi D\hat{\xi}$ . Therefore, as we have proved,  $P_{II}DP_{II}$  is regarded as the connection  $D(N_{II})$  on  $E_{II}$ . Similarly it is proved that  $P_IDP_I$  induces the connection  $D(N_I)$  on  $E_I$ . Q.E.D.

Now a family  $D_t$  which we need for the duality theorem is given by

$$(2.12) D_t = D + (e^t - 1)P_{II}DP_I for all t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

From (i) in Lemma 2.3 and the fact that D is the connection of type (1.1),  $D_t$  is a connection of type (1,1) for every  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . We have further

LEMMA 2.4, [1]. The family  $D_t$  defined by (2.12) is "bounded" by the element  $P_I \in \Gamma$  (Hom (E, E)).

Using the identifications  $P_i DP_i = D(N_i)$  (i = I, II), we obtain the following decompositions of  $K[E, D_i]$  according to  $P_i$  (i = I, II), [1]: Let  $P_i K$   $[E, D_i]P_j$  be denoted by  $K_{f_i}[E, D_i]$ . Then we have

(2.13) 
$$K_{II}[E, D_t] = K[E_I, D(N_I)] + e^t \Box_I$$

(2.14) 
$$K_{II II}[E, D_t] = K[E_{II}, D(N_{II})] + e^t \Box_{II}$$

(2.15) 
$$K_{III}[E, D_t] = e^t K_{III}[E, D], K_{III}[E, D_t] = K_{III}[E, D]$$

where  $\Box_I = P_I D P_{II} D P_I$  and  $\Box_{II} = P_{II} D P_I D P_{II}$ .

Notice that  $\xi K[E, D]\hat{\xi} \in A^{1,1}(X; \operatorname{Hom}(E_{II}, E_{II}))$  is identified with  $K_{II,II}[E, D]$ under the isomorphism  $\hat{\xi}: E_{II} \longrightarrow E_{I}^{\perp}$ . Under this identification,  $\Box_{II}$  is also considered as the element of  $A^2(X: \operatorname{Hom}(E_{II}, E_{II}))$ , that is, from (2.14),

 $\Box_{II} = K_{II II}[E, D] - K[E_{II}, D(N_{II})] \in A^{2}(X: \text{Hom}(E_{II}, E_{II})).$ 

We are now in a position to state the duality theorem. Let us suppose that dim E=n and let  $b_k^n \in I^k(M_n)$ .  $(k=1, \dots, n)$  and let det be as defined in §1. Then from Lemma 2.4 we can apply Proposition 2.2 to  $D_t, P_I$  and det. Here it follows that

(2.16) 
$$C(E,D) - C(E,D_t) = d^{\prime\prime}d^{\prime}\int_t^0 \widetilde{\det}^{\prime}\left((\kappa K[E,D_t];\kappa P_I)\right).$$

In the case of dim  $E_I = 1$ , we calculate (2.16). Let us take a frame  $u = \{u_i\}_{1 \le i \le n}$  of E over an open set V of X such that  $u_1$  and  $v = \{u_i\}_{2 \le i \le n}$ , respectively, form frames of  $E_I | V$  and  $E_I^+ | V$ . Then  $v = \{u_i\}_{2 \le i \le n}$  is considered as the frame of  $E_{II} | V$ . As, relative to the frame u,  $P_I(u) = \left(\frac{1}{0} \mid -\frac{0}{0}\right)$  we find from (1.19), (2.13), (2.14) and (2.15) that  $\det'(\kappa K[E, D_i]: \kappa P_I))|_V =$ .  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=0}\{1_n + \kappa K[E, D_i](u) + \lambda \kappa P_I(u)\} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=0} \det \left(\frac{1 + \kappa K[E_I, D(N_I)](u_1) + \kappa e^t \Box_I(u_1) + \lambda \kappa}{\kappa K_{III}[E, D](u)} \mid \frac{\kappa e^t K_{III}[E, D](u)}{\kappa K_{III}[E, D](u)}\right)$   $= \kappa \det \{1_{n-1} + \kappa K[E_{II}, D(N_{II})](v) + e^t \kappa \Box_{II}(v)\}$  $= \kappa \{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k^{n-1} v((\kappa K[E_{II}, D(N_{II})](v) + \kappa e^t \Box_{II}(v))\}$ 

$$= \kappa \{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k^{n-1} ((\kappa K [E_{II}, D(N_{II})] + \kappa e^t []_{II}))\} | V,$$

so that,  $\widetilde{\det}'((\kappa K[E, D_t]: \kappa P_I)) = \kappa \{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k^{n-1}((\kappa K[E_{II}, D(N_{II})] + e^t \kappa \Box_{II})) \text{ on } X.$ For simplicity put  $b_a^{n-1}((A:(l)B)) = b_a^{n-1}(\widetilde{A}, \cdots, \widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}, \cdots, \widetilde{B}) A, B \in M_{n-1}$  and set  $b_0^{n-1}((A)) = 1$ ,  $A \in M_{n-1}$ . Then in terms of the symmetry of  $b_a^{n-1}$  and  $K[E_{II}, D(N_{II})], \Box_{II} \in A^2(X: \operatorname{Hom}[E_{II}, E_{II}]))$ , it follows that  $b_a^{n-1}((\kappa K[E_{II}] + e^t \kappa \Box_{II})))$   $= \sum_{l=1}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{l} e^{lt} b_a^{n-1}((\kappa K[E_{II}]: (l)\kappa \Box_{II})))$  where  $K[E_{II}] = K[E_{II}, D(N_{II})]$  and  $\binom{\alpha}{0} = 1$ for l = 0. Therefore it follows that

$$\begin{split} & \widetilde{\det}'\left((\kappa K[E,D_t]:\kappa P_I)\right) \\ &= \kappa \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} b_{\alpha}^{n-1}\left((\kappa K[E_{II}])\right) + \kappa \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{\alpha} {\binom{\alpha}{l}} e^{lt} b_{\alpha}^{n-1}\left((\kappa K[E_{II}]:(l)\kappa \Box_{II})\right). \quad \text{Hence as} \\ & d''d'(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} b_{\alpha}^{n-1}((\kappa K[E_{II}])) = d''d'C_{\alpha}(E_{II}) = 0, \text{ we have} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \lim_{t = -\infty} d^{\prime \prime} d^{\prime} \int_{t}^{0} \widetilde{\det}^{\prime} \left( (\kappa K[E, D_{t}]: \kappa P_{I}) \right) \\ &= \kappa \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{l} \binom{\alpha}{l} b_{\alpha}^{n-1} ((\kappa K[E_{II}, D(N_{II})]: (l) \kappa \Box_{II})). \end{split}$$

On the other hand, it is obvious that

$$\lim_{t=-\infty} C(E, D_t) = C(E_I) \cdot C(E_{II}).$$

Thus we obtain from (2.16) the duality formula for the case of dim  $E_I = 1$ :

$$(2.17) C(E) - C(E_I) \cdot C(E_{II}) = \kappa d^{\prime\prime} d^{\prime} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{l} {\alpha \choose l} b_{\alpha}^{n-1} ((\kappa K[E_{II}, D(N_{II})]; (l)\kappa \Box_{II})).$$

Here we put, in general,

$$C_0(E) = 1$$
 and  $C_\alpha(E) = 0$  if  $\alpha > \dim E$ .

Then using  $b_{\alpha}^{n-1}((\kappa K[E_{II}, D(N_{II})]; (l)\kappa \square_{II})) \in A^{2\alpha}(X)$ , we obtain from (2.17) the following

**PROPOSITION** 2,5. Let  $0 \longrightarrow E_I \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E_{II} \longrightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles over a complex manifold X, and let C(E), and  $C(E_i)$  i = I, II be the Chem forms induced by a norm N on E. Suppose now dim E=n. Then if dem  $E_I = 1$ , we obtain

(2.18) 
$$C_{n-k+1}(E) - C_{1}(E_{I}) \cdot C_{n-k}(E_{II}) - C_{n-k+1}(E_{II})$$
$$= \kappa d'' d' \sum_{l=1}^{n-k} \frac{1}{l} {n-k \choose l-k} (\kappa K[E_{II}, D(N_{II})]: (l) \kappa \Box_{II})),$$
$$k = 1, \cdots, n,$$

where  $\Box_{II} = P_{II}K[E, D(N)]P_{II} - K[E_{II}, D(N_{II})] \in A^2(X: \text{Hom } (E_{II}, E_{II})).$ 

Here we require explicit representations of  $K[E_{II}, D(N_{II})]$  and  $\Box_{II}$ .

LEMMA 2.6. Notations being as above, let  $u = \{u_i\}_{1 \le i \le n}$  be a frame of E|V such that  $u_1$  and  $v = \{u_i\}_{2 \le i \le n}$ , respectively, are frames of  $E_I|V$  and  $E_T^{\perp}|V$ . Then, relative to the frame v,

(2.19) 
$$K[E_{II}, D(N_{II})](v) = \|d\theta_{ij} - \sum_{k=2}^{n} \theta_{ik} \wedge \theta_{kj}\|_{2 \le i, j \le n}$$

(2.20) 
$$\square_{II}(v) = \| - \theta_{i1} \wedge \theta_{1j} \|_{2 \le i,j \le n}$$

Proof. It is trivial from assumptions that

$$P_{II}DP_{II} \cdot u_i = \sum_{j=2}^n \theta_{ij} \cdot u_j$$
  $i = 2, \cdots, n.$ 

Therefore it follows from (1.22) and  $P_{II}DP_{II} = D(N_{II})$  that

$$\begin{split} K[E_{II}, D(N_{II})] \cdot u_i &= (P_{II}DP_{II})^2 \cdot u_i \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^n (d\theta_{ij} - \sum_{k=2}^n \theta_{ik} \wedge \theta_{kj}) u_j, \ 2 \leq i \leq n. \end{split}$$

Thus (2.19) is proved. On the other hand, it follows that; for each integer  $i \ (2 \le i \le n)$ ,

$$P_{II}K[E, D]P_{II} \cdot u_i = P_{II}D^2P_{II}u_i = P_{II}D^2u_i$$
$$= \sum_{j=2}^n (d\theta_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^n \theta_{ik} \wedge \theta_{kj})u_j$$

Then, relative to the frame v,

$$P_{II}K[E,D]P_{II}(v) = \|d\theta_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^n \theta_{ik} \wedge \theta_{kj}\|_{2 \le i,j \le n}.$$

Therefore (2.20) follows immediately:

$$\Box_{II}(v) = P_{II}K[E, D]P_{II}(v) - K[E_{II}, D(N_{II})](v).$$
  
=  $\| - \theta_{i1} \wedge \theta_{1j} \|_{2 \le i, j \le n}$ . Q.E.D.

Using these relations (2.19) and (2.20), we shall apply Proposition 2.5 to the case when E is the product bundle  $X \times \mathbb{C}^n$  over X. Let (,) be the inner product of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  defined as follows: Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be the natural basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $z^1, \dots, z^n$  denote the complex coordinates corresponding to this basis. Then put

$$(2.21) (u,v) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{z}^{i}(u) \overline{z}^{i}(v) u, v \in \mathbb{C}^{n}.$$

We take a norm  $N_0$  on the product bundle E to be one induced by the inner product (,) of  $C^n$ . Then we have

COROLLARY 2.7. Let  $0 \longrightarrow E_I \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E_{II} \longrightarrow 0$  be as in Proposition 2.5. Suppose that E is the product bundle  $X \times \mathbb{C}^n$  over X and that dim  $E_I = 1$ . Then it follows that

(2.22) 
$$C_k(E_{II}) = (-C_1(E_I))^k \quad 1 \le k.$$

*Proof.* Let  $s = \{s_i\}_{1 \le i \le n}$  be a global holomorphic frame of E defined by  $s_i(x) = (x, e_i)$   $x \in X$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ 

Further let  $E_I$  denote the orthocomplement to  $E_I$  and let us take a frame  $u = \{u_i\}_{1 \le i \le n}$  of E|V as defined in Lemma 2.6. Then there exist elements  $a_{ij} \in A(V)$  such that  $v_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \cdot s_j$   $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Let A be the matrix of functions  $||a_{ij}||$ , and let put  $A^{-1} = ||b_{ij}||$ . Then from  $D(N_0) \cdot s_i = 0$   $(i = 1, \dots, n)$  we have

$$D(N_0) \cdot u_i = \sum_{k=1}^n (\sum_{k=1}^n da_{ik} b_{kj}) \cdot u_j.$$

Therefore if we put  $\omega_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} da_{ik}b_{kj}$   $(i, j = 1, \dots, n)$ , it follows that, relative to the frame u,

$$\theta(u, D(N_0)) = \|\omega_{ij}\|_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$$

Thus if  $N_{oII}$  denotes a norm on  $E_{II}$  induced by  $N_0$ , we find from (2.19) and (2.20) that, relative to the frame  $v = \{u_i\}_{2 \le i \le n}$ ,

(2.23) 
$$K[E_{II}, D[N_{oII}]](v) = \|d\omega_{ij} - \sum_{k=2}^{n} \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj}\|$$

(2.24) 
$$\square_{II}(v) = \| - \omega_{i1} \wedge \omega_{1j} \|.$$

On the other hand, it is proved that

$$(2.25) d\omega_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \cdots, n$$

We obtain from (2.23), (2.24) and (2.25),

•

$$(2.26) K[E_{II}, D(N_{oII})] = - \Box_{II}.$$

Hence the right hand side of (2.17) equals zero. Indeed it follows that, for each k,  $(1 \le k \le n)$ ,

$$b_{n-k}^{n-1}((\kappa K[E_{II}, D(N_{oII})]; (l)\kappa \square_{II})) = (-1)^{l} b_{n-k}^{n-1}((\kappa K[E_{II}, D(N_{oII})])$$
$$= (-1)^{l} C_{n-k}(E_{II}).$$

From  $dC_{n-k}(E_{II}) = 0$ , we find that  $d''d'b_{n-k}^{n-1}((\kappa K[E_{II}]; (l)\kappa \square_{II})) = 0$  Thus we have from (2.17)

(2.27) 
$$C_{n-k+1}(E) - C_1(E_I) \cdot C_{n-k}(E_{II}) = C_{n-k+1}(E_{II}), \quad k = 1, \cdots, n.$$

It is trivial that C(E) = 1, that is,  $C_o(E) = 1$  and  $C_k(E) = 0$ , if  $k \ge 1$ . Therefore from (2.27)

(2.28) 
$$C_l(E_{II}) = -C_l(E_I) \cdot C_{l-1}(E_{II})$$
  $l = 1, \dots, n.$ 

By noting  $C_n(E_{II}) = 0$  and  $C_o(E_{II}) = 1$ , (2.22) follows directly from (2.28). Q.E.D.

## § 3. The (n, k)-trivial bundle

**3.1.** Let *E* be a Hermitian vector bundle of fibre dimension *n* over a complex manifold *X*, which admits *k* linearly independent holomorphic sections, say  $s_1, \dots, s_k$ ,  $(1 \le k \le n)$ . At first, let us introduce the next notation: Let *V* be a complex vector space and let  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  be *k* vectors of *V*. Then we denote by  $[v_1, \dots, v_k]$  the linear subspace of *V* spanned by the vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_k$ .

Since  $s_1, \dots, s_k$  are k linearly independent holomorphic sections of E, we can define, with the notation above, the following holomorphic vector bundles over X:

 $(3.1) E_0^I = \bigcup_{x \in X} [s_1(x)]$ 

(3.2) 
$$E_i^I = \bigcup_{x \in X} [s_{i+1}(x)]/[s_1(x), \cdots, s_i(x)] \qquad i = 1, \cdots, k-1$$

$$(3.3) E_i^{II} = \bigcup_{x \in X} E_x / [s_1(x), \cdots, s_i(x)] i = 1, \cdots, k.$$

For convenience sake put  $E_0^{II} = E$ . Then one notes that each  $E_i^I$  is a subbundle of  $E_i^{II}$  of fibre dimension 1, and that  $E_i^{II}$  is of fibre dimension (n-i) for  $i = 0, \dots, k$ . Now let  $\xi_i \colon E_{i-1}^{II} \longrightarrow E_i^{II}$   $(i = 1, \dots, k)$  be homomorphisms defined by setting, for each  $x \in X$ 

$$\xi_1(e) = e/[s_1(x)]$$
 and  $\xi_i(e/[s_1(x), \cdots, s_i(x)]) = e/[s_1(x), \cdots, s_{i+1}(x)],$   
 $i = 2, \cdots, k$ 

for any  $e \in E_x$ . Then there exists a system of exact sequences:

$$(3.4) 0 \longrightarrow E_{i-1}^{I} \longrightarrow E_{i-1}^{II} \longrightarrow E_{i}^{II} \longrightarrow 0 (i = 1, \cdots, k)$$

over X. Let N be a norm on E. First of all, in terms of the exact sequence:  $0 \longrightarrow E_0^I \longrightarrow E_0^{II} \longrightarrow E_1^{II} \longrightarrow 0$ , the norm N on  $E = E_0^{II}$  induces norms  $N_0^I$  on  $E_0^I$  and  $N_1^{II}$  on  $E_1^{II}$  as defined in § 2. Next  $N_1^{II}$  induces norms  $N_1^{II}$  on  $E_1^I$  and  $N_2^{II}$  on  $E_2^{II}$  from  $0 \longrightarrow E_1^I \longrightarrow E_1^{II} \longrightarrow E_2^{II} \longrightarrow 0$ . Thus the norm N on E induces norms  $N_{i-1}^I$  on  $E_{i-1}^I$  and  $N_i^{II}$  on  $E_i^{II}$  induces norms number of the norm N on E induces norms  $N_{i-1}^I$  on  $E_{i-1}^I$  and  $N_i^{II}$  on  $E_i^{II}$  induces norms induced by the norm N. We shall now apply the duality formula (2.17) to each exact sequence of (3.4). Let  $0 \longrightarrow E_{i-1}^I \longrightarrow E_{i-1}^{II} \longrightarrow E_{i-1}^{II} \longrightarrow E_{i-1}^{II}$ 

and let  $P_{i-1}^{II}: E_{i-1}^{II} \longrightarrow (E_{i-1}^{I})^{\perp}$  be the projection. Then we define an element  $\Box_i \in A^2(X: \text{Hom}(E_i^{II}, E_i^{II}))$  by

(3.5) 
$$\Box_i = P_{i-1}^{II} K[E_{i-1}^{II}, D(N_{i-1}^{II})] P_{i-1}^{II} - K[E_i^{II}, D(N_i^{II})]$$

where  $K(E_{\alpha}^{II}, D(N_{\alpha}^{II})]$  is the curvature element of the cannonical connection  $D(N_{\alpha}^{II})$  induced by  $N_{\alpha}^{II}$  ( $\alpha = i - 1, i$ ). Then noting that dim  $E_{i-1}^{II} = (n-i+1)$ , we have from (2.17)

$$(3.6) C_{n-k+1}(E_{i-1}^{II}) - C_1(E_{i-1}^{I}) \cdot C_{n-k}(E_i^{II}) - C_{n-k+1}(E_i^{II}) \\ = \kappa d'' d' \sum_{l=1}^{n-k} \frac{1}{l} {n-k \choose l} b_{n-k}^{n-1} ((\kappa K E_i^{II}, D(N_i^{II})]; (l) \kappa \Box_i)), i = 1, \cdots, k.$$

Let  $\tilde{s}_i: X \to E_{i-1}^I$   $(i = 1, \dots, k)$  be holomorphic sections defined as follows: For each  $x \in X$ ,

(3.7) 
$$\tilde{s}_1(x) = s_1(x)$$
, and  $\tilde{s}_i(x) = s_i(x)/[s_1(x), \cdots, s_{i-1}(x)]$  for  $i = 2, \cdots, k$ .

Then these sections become global nonvanishing holomorphic sections, so that from (2.5)

(3.8) 
$$C_1(E_{i-1}^I) = \chi d'' d' \log N_{i-1}^I(s_i)$$
  $i = 1, \dots, k.$ 

As  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \{C_{n-k+1}(E_{i-1}^{II}) - C_{n-k+1}(E_{i}^{II})\} = C_{n-k+1}(E)$  and  $d'C_{n-k}(E_{i}^{II}) = 0$   $i = 1, \dots, k$ , it follows from (3.6) and (3.8) that

(3.9) 
$$C_{n-k+1}(E) = \kappa d'' d' \sum_{i=1}^{k} \{ \log N_{i-1}^{I}(\tilde{s}_{i}) C_{n-k}(E_{i}^{II}) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-k} \frac{1}{l} {n-k \choose l} b_{n-k}^{n-i}$$
$$((\kappa K E_{i}^{II}, D N_{i}^{II})]: \kappa \Box_{i})).$$

Put

$$(3.10) \qquad \eta_{n-k+1}(E, N, \{s_i\}_{1 \le i \le k}) \\ = -\frac{1}{4} d^c \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Big\{ \log N_{i-1}^{I}(\tilde{s}_i) \cdot C_{n-k}(E_i^{II}) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-k} \frac{1}{l} \binom{n-k}{l} b_{n-k}^{n-i} \\ ((\kappa K[E_i^{II}, D(N_i^{II}): (l)\kappa \Box_i))) \Big\}.$$

where  $d^{c} = i(d' - d')$ .

Then from  $dd^{c} = -2id''d'$ ,  $C_{n-k+1}(E) = d\eta_{n-k+1}(E, N, \{s_i\}_{1 \le i \le k})$ . One notes that  $\eta_{n-k+1}(E, N, \{s_i\}_{1 \le i \le k})$  is an element of  $A^{2(n-k)+1}(X)$ .

DEFINITION 3.1. Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle of fibre dimension n with a norm N, over a complex manifold X. Suppose further *E* admits *k* linearly independent holomorphic sections  $s_1, \dots, s_k$ . Then *E* is called the (n, k)-trivial bundle with the norm *N* and the *k*-frames =  $\{s_i\}_{1 \le i \le k}$ , over *X*, or simply the (n, k)-trivial bundle with (N, s) over *X*. Moreover the 2(n-k) + 1-form  $\eta_{n-k+1}(E, N, s)$  on *X* defined by (3.10) is called the boundary form of the (n, k)-trivial bundle *E*.

With this definition, we resume discussions above as

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let E be an (n,k)-trivial bundle with (N,s), over a complex manifold X, and let  $\eta_{n-k+1}(E, N, s)$  be the boundary form of E. If  $C_{n-k+1}(E)$  denotes the (n-k+1) th Chern form induced by the norm N on E, then

(3.11) 
$$C_{n-k+1}(E) = d\eta_{n-k+1}(E, N, s).$$

**3.2. The properties of boundary forms.** We shall next study a local expression of the boundary form  $\eta_{n-k+1}(E, N, s)$ . Let E be an (n, k)-trivial bundle with  $(N, s = \{s_i\})$  over X. Then a frame  $u = \{u_i\}_{1 \le i \le n}$  of E over an open set V of X, is called a *compatible frame* with the k-frame s if:

- (i) u is an orthonormal frame of E|V.
- (ii) For each  $x \in X$ ,  $[u_1(x), \dots, u_i(x)] = [s_1(x), \dots, s_i(x)]$   $i = 1, \dots, k$ , i.e.,  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  are global orthonormal sections constructed from the k-frame s, in terms of Schmidt's orthogonalization.

Let  $0 \to E_{i-1}^I \to E_{i-1}^{II} \to E_i^{II} \to 0$  be as defined in (3.4) and put  $\xi_0 =$ identity mapping of E. Let  $u = \{u_i\}_{1 \le i \le n}$  be a compatible frame of E|V with the k-frame s. Then for each i,  $(1 \le i \le k)$ ,  $\{\xi_{i-1} \cdots \xi_0 u_i\}_{i \le t \le n}$  becomes an orthonormal frame of  $E_{i-1}^{II}$  such that  $\xi_{i-1} \cdots \xi_0 u_i$  and  $\{\xi_i \cdots \xi_1 u_t\}_{i+1 \le t \le n}$  form orthonormal frames of  $E_{i-1}^I|V$  and  $E_i^{II}|V$  respectively. Moreover if  $\xi_i : E_i^{II} \to (E_{i-1}^I)^{\perp}$  denotes the inverse mapping of  $\xi_i|(E_{i-1}^I)^{\perp}$ ,  $i = 1, \cdots, k$ , then from (ii) in Lemma 2.3 it follows that  $D(N_i^{II}) = \xi_i \cdots \xi_1 D \xi_1 \cdots \xi_i, i = 1, \cdots, k$ . Combining these facts with Lemma 2.6, we can prove inductively

LEMMA 3.2. Let u be a compatible frame of E|V with the k-frame  $\theta$  and let  $\theta(u, D(N)) = ||\theta_{ij}||$  be the connection matrix of the connection D(N) relative to the frame u. Let us put, for each i,  $(i = 1, \dots, k)$ ,

- (3.12)  $\Theta_{ii} = \|d\theta_{st} \sum_{l=i+1}^{n} \theta_{sl} \wedge \theta_{lt}\|_{i+1 \le s, t \le n}$
- $(3.13) \qquad \qquad \Theta_i = \| \theta_{si} \wedge \theta_{it} \|_{i+1 \le s, t \le n}$

(3.14) 
$$s_i = \sum_{j=1}^i g_{ij} u_j, \quad g_{ij} \in A^o(X).$$

Then relative to the frame  $\{\xi_{i-1} \cdots \xi_1 u_t\}_{i+1 \le t \le n}$ ,

$$(3.15) K[E_i^{II}, D(N_i^{II})] = \Theta_{ii}$$

$$(3.16) \qquad \qquad \square_i = \Theta_i$$

(3.17)  $N_{i-1}^{I}(\tilde{s}_{i}) = |g_{ii}|^{2}, \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, k.$ 

Therefore we obtain from (3.10)

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{n-k+1}(E, N, s) | V \\ &= \frac{-1}{4\pi} d^{c} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Big\{ \log |g_{ii}|^{2} b_{n-k}^{n-i} \left( (\kappa \Theta_{ii}) \right) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-k} \frac{1}{l} {n-k \choose l} b_{n-k}^{n-i} \left( (\kappa \Theta_{ii}) \right) \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

From this lemma we have

COROLLARY 3.3. The boundary form  $\eta_{n-k+1}(E, N, s)$  is a real form on X.

*Proof.* At first, let  $u = \{u_i\}_{1 \le i \le n}$  be a compatible frame of E|V with s and put  $\theta(u, D(N)) = ||\theta_{ij}||$ . Then since D(N) preserves the inner product  $\langle , \rangle_N$  and  $\langle u_i, u_j \rangle_N = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ , we observe that  $\overline{\theta}_{ij} = -\theta_{ji}$   $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore if  $\Theta_{ii}$  and  $\Theta_i$  are as defined by (3.12) and (3.13) respectively, then  $\overline{\Theta}_{ii} = -{}^t\Theta_{ii}$  and  $\overline{\Theta}_i = -{}^t\Theta_i$  for each i. On the other hand, from the definition (1.9) of  $b_k^n$ ,

$$b_k^n(A_1, \cdots, A_k) = b_k^n({}^tA_1, \cdots, {}^tA_k) \qquad A_i \in M_n.$$

Hence,  $b_{n-k}^{n-i}((\overline{\kappa}\overline{\Theta}_{ii})) = b_{n-k}^{n-i}((\kappa^{t}\Theta_{ii})) = b_{n-k}^{n-i}((\kappa\Theta_{ii}))$ , and  $b_{n-k}^{n-i}((\overline{\kappa}\overline{\Theta}_{ii}; (l)\overline{\kappa}\overline{\Theta}_{i})) = b_{n-k}^{n-i}((\kappa\Theta_{ii}; (l)\kappa\overline{\Theta}_{ii}))$ . Further, as  $\overline{d}^{c} = d^{c}$  this corollary is proved. Q.E.D.

**3.3 Naturality of boundary forms.** We shall next state the naturality of the boundary form. For this purpose, in general, let E be a Hermitian vector bundle over a complex manifold X, and let Y be a complex manifold. Now given a holomorphic mapping  $f: Y \longrightarrow X$ , we have the induced bundle, denoted by  $f^*E$ , of E under f defined as follows: Let  $\Pi: E \longrightarrow X$  be the projection. Then

$$f^*E = \{(y, e) \in Y \times E \colon f(y) = \Pi(e)\}.$$

If  $t \in \Gamma(E)$ , then t.f is considered as an element of  $\Gamma(f^*E)$ . Let N be a norm on E. Then a norm  $f^*N$  on  $f^*E$  is defined by,  $f^*N(y,e) = N(e)$ ,  $(y,e) \in f^*E$ . This norm  $f^*N$  is called the *induced norm* of N under f. It is

trivial from definition that

(3.20)  $f^*\langle t, t' \rangle_N = \langle t, f, t', f \rangle_{f^*N}, \quad t, t' \in \Gamma(E).$ 

Moreover we can define a connection  $f^*D$  on  $f^*E$  as follows: Let  $t \in \Gamma(f^*E)$ . For each  $x \in X$ , we take a neighborhood V of x such that there exists a frame  $s = \{s_i\}$  of E | V. Then there exist elements such  $f_i \in A^o(f^{-1}(V))$  that  $t = \sum_i f_i \cdot (s \cdot f)$  on  $f^{-1}(V)$ . If  $\theta(s, D(N)) = ||\theta_{ij}||$  the connection matrix relative to the frame s, then put

(3.21) 
$$f^*D \cdot t = \sum_i df_i \cdot (s_i f) + \sum_{i,j} f_i \cdot f^*\theta_{ij}(s_j f) \text{ on } V.$$

That this definition is well-defined need not the assumption that f is holomorphic. However the next Lemma 3.4 follows from the facts that f is holomorphic and that D(N) = D is the cannonical connection induced by the norm N on E.

LEMMA 3.4. The connection  $f^*D$  is equal to the canonical connection  $D(f^*N)$ , i.e.,  $f^*D(N) = D(f^*N)$ .

This is proved as (ii) in Lemma 2.3. Let  $u = \{u_i\}$  be a frame of E|V. Then we denote by  $f^*u = \{u_i \cdot f\}$  the induced frame of  $f^*E|f^{-1}(V)$ . Then we observe from Lemma 3.4 that

(3.22) 
$$f^*\theta(u, D(N)) = \theta \ (f^*u, D(f^*N)).$$

If C(E) and  $C(f^*E)$  denote the Chern form induced by norms N and  $f^*N$ , respectively, then

(3.23) 
$$f^*C(E) = C(f^*E).$$

Now let *E* be an (n, k)-trivial bundle with (N, s) over a complex manifold *X*. Let *Y* be a complex manifold and let  $f: Y \longrightarrow X$  be a holomorphic mapping. Then the induced bundle  $f^*E$  becomes the (n, k)-trivial bundle with  $(f^*N, f^*s)$  over *Y*. Hence if  $\eta_{n-k+1}(E, N, s)$  and  $\eta_{n-k+1}(f^*E, f^*N, f^*s)$  denote the boundary forms of *E* and  $f^*E$  respectively, then we obtain

**PROPOSITION 3.5.** (Naturality of boundary form)

(3.24) 
$$f^*\eta_{n-k+1}(E, N, s) = \eta_{n-k+1}(f^*E, f^*N, f^*s)$$

*Proof.* As  $d^c f^* = f^* d^c$ , this proposition follows directly from (3.18), (3.20) and (3.22). Q.E.D.

**3.4.** The *k*-general Stiefel bundle. We shall study properties of the boundary form of an (n,k)-trivial bundle constructed from a Hermitian vector bundle. At first let V be a complex vector space of dimension n. Then we denote by  $F_k(V)$  the k-general Stiefel manifold consisting of all the k-frames  $(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  of V. Now let E be a Hermitian vector bundle of fibre dimension n over a complex manifold X. Then let  $E_k$  be a holomorphic bundle defined by

$$(3.25) E_k = \bigcup_{x \in X} F_k(E_x).$$

This bundle  $E_k$  is called the *k*-general Stiefel bundle of *E*. Clearly  $E_k$  has the *k*-general Stiefel manifold  $F_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$  as fibre. Let  $\pi_k: E_k \longrightarrow X$  be the projection. Then we obtain the induced bundle  $\pi_k^{\sharp}E$  of *E* under  $\pi_k$ . This induced bundle  $\pi_k^{\sharp}E$  is a holomorphic vector bundle of fibre dimension *n* over  $E_k$ , which admits *k* linearly independent holomorphic sections of  $\pi_k^{\sharp}E$ , say  $s_1, \dots, s_k$ , defined by setting

$$(3.26) \qquad s_i(v_1, \cdots, v_k) = \{(v_1, \cdots, v_k), v_i\}, \ (v_1, \cdots, v_k) \in E_k \ i = 1, \cdots, k.$$

Moreover let N be a norm on E. Then  $\pi_k^{\sharp}E$  becomes the (n,k)-trivial bundle with the induced norm  $\pi_k^{\sharp}N$  and the k-frame  $s = \{s_i\}_{1 \le i \le k}$ , over  $E_k$ . Therefore if  $\eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^{\sharp}E \ \pi_k^{\sharp}N, s)$  denotes the boundary form of  $\pi_k^{\sharp}E$ , and if  $C_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^{\sharp}E)$  is the (n-k+1) th Chern form induced by the norm  $\pi_k^{\sharp}N$  on  $\pi_k^{\sharp}E$ , then from Proposition 3.1,  $C_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^{\sharp}E) = d\eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^{\sharp}E, \ \pi_k^{\sharp}N, s)$ . Further let  $C_{n-k+1}(E)$  be the (n-k+1) the Chern form induced by the norm N on E. Then it follows from (3.23) that  $\pi_k^{\sharp}C_{n-k+1}(E) = C_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^{\sharp}E)$ . We have

(3.27) 
$$\pi_k^* C_{n-k+1}(E) = d\eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^* E, \pi_k^* N, s)$$
 on  $E_k$ .

Let x be any fixed point of X, and let us take a neighborhood V of x such that  $\varphi: V \times F_k(\mathbb{C}^n) \longrightarrow \pi_k^{-1}(V)$  is a trivialization of  $E_k|V$ . Then we define a holomorphic mapping  $\varphi_x: F_k(\mathbb{C}^n) \longrightarrow E_k$  by

$$(3.28) \qquad \varphi_x(v_1, \cdots, v_k) = \varphi\{x, (v_1, \cdots, v_k)\} (v_1, \cdots, v_k) \in F_k(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

This mapping  $\varphi_x$  is called the inclusion map at x. Then it is obvious from (3.27) that a 2(n-k) + 1-form

$$\varphi_x^* \eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^* E, \pi_k^* N, s)$$
 on  $F_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is a closed form, i.e.,  
$$d\varphi_x^* \eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^* E, \pi_k^* N, s), = 0,$$

and that  $\varphi_x^* \pi_k^* E = (\pi_k \cdot \varphi_x)^* E$  is the product bundle  $F_k(\mathbb{C}^n) \times E_x$  over  $F_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Let us consider the product bundle  $F_k(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \mathbb{C}^n$  over  $F_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . We consider  $F_k(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \mathbb{C}^n$  as the (n, k)-trivial bundle with (No.  $s^o$ ) defined as follows: We take a norm No to be one induced by the inner product (, ) of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as defined in § 2, and we define a k-frame  $s^o = \{s_i^o\}_{1 \le i \le k}$  by  $s_i^o(v_1, \cdots, v_k) = \{(v_1, \cdots, v_k), v_i\}$  for  $(v_1, \cdots, v_k) \in F_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ ,  $i = 1, \cdots, k$ .

Then the boundary form of  $F_k(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \mathbb{C}^n$  is also a cocycle form.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let  $-\Phi_k$  be the boundary form of the (n, k)-trivial bundle  $F_k(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \mathbb{C}^n$  with (No,  $s^\circ$ ). Then  $\Phi_k$  is called *the obstruction form* of  $F_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.6.** Notations being as above, let  $\{\varphi_x^*\eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^*E, \pi_k^*N, s)\}$  and  $\{\Phi\}_k$ , respectively, denote the cohomology class of  $\varphi_x^*\eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^*E, \pi_k^*N, s)$  and  $\Phi_k$ . Then

- (3.29)  $\{ \Phi_k \} = \{ \varphi_x^* \eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^* E, \pi_k^* N, s) \}$
- (3.30) { $\Phi_k$ } is a generator of 2(n-k) + 1-dimensional cohomology group of  $F_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ ,  $H^{2(n-k)+1}(F_k(\mathbb{C}^n); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* At first we shall prove (3.29). Since  $\varphi_x$  is a holomorphic map, it follows from (3.24) that

$$\varphi_x^* \eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^{\sharp} E, \ \pi_k^{\sharp} N, s) = \eta_{n-k+1}((\pi_k \varphi_x)^{\sharp} E, \ (\pi_k \varphi_x)^{\sharp} N, \ \varphi_x^{\sharp} s).$$

There exists an element  $g \in GL(n; \mathbb{C})$  such that the (n, k)-trivial bundle  $(\pi_k \varphi_x)^{\sharp} \mathbb{E}$  with  $\{(\pi_k \varphi_x)^{\sharp} N, \varphi_x^{\sharp} s\}$  is identified with the (n, k)-trivial bundle  $F_k(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \mathbb{C}^n$  with (No,  $s^o$ ) under the transformation  $T_g$  of  $F_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$  defined by,  $T_g(v_1, \dots, v_k) = (g \cdot v_1, \dots, g \cdot v_k)$  for any  $(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in F_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , that is,

$$\begin{split} T_g^*\eta_{n-k+1}((\pi_k\varphi_x)^*E, & (\pi_k\varphi_x)^*N, \ \varphi_x^*s) \\ &= \eta_{n-k+1}(T_g^*(\pi_k\varphi_x)^*E, \quad T_g^*(\pi_k\varphi_x)^*N, \quad T_g^*\varphi_x^*s) \\ &= \eta_{n-k+1}(F_k(C^n) \times C^n, \quad \text{No, } s^o) = - \mathcal{P}_k. \end{split}$$

However  $T_g$  is homotopic to the identity mapping of  $F_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Thus, (3.29) is proved. On the other hand, (3.30) follows from the next lemma.

LEMMA 3.7. Let  $F: \mathbb{C}^{n-k+1} - \{0\} - F_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be a mapping defined by  $F(v) = (e_1, \cdots, e_{k-1}, v)$  for any  $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n-k+1} - \{0\}$ 

where  $C^{n-k+1}$  is regarded as the subspace  $0 \times \cdots \times 0 \times C^{n-k+1}$  of  $C^n$ , and  $e_1, \cdots, e_n$  is the natural basis of  $C^n$ .

Then if  $S_{n-k+1}(C)$  is the unit sphare about the origin in  $C^{n-k+1}$ , it follows that the restriction of  $F^*\Phi_k$  to  $S_{n-k+1}(C)$  becomes the normalized volume element of  $S_{n-k+1}(C)$ , i.e.,

$$(3.31) \qquad \qquad \int_{\mathcal{S}^{n-k+1(c)}} F^* \mathcal{O}_k = 1.$$

*Proof.* For simplicity put  $E = F_k(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \mathbb{C}^n$ . Since  $- \Phi_k$  is the boundary form of E with (No,  $s^o$ ) and  $F: \mathbb{C}^{n-k+1} - \{0\} \longrightarrow F_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is holomorphic,  $F^*(-\Phi_k)$  is the boundary form of the (n, k)-trivial bundle  $F^*E$  with  $(F^* \operatorname{No}, F^*s^o)$ , over  $\mathbb{C}^{n-k+1} - \{0\}$ . In terms of the definitions of F and the k-frame  $s^o$ , we have

$$s_i^o F(v) = e_i$$
  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ , and  $s_k^o F(v) = v$  for  $v \in C^{n-k+1} - \{0\}$ .

Hence  $F^*(-\Phi_k)$  is equal to the boundary form of the (n-k+1,1)-trivial bundle  $E(\mathbf{C}) = (\mathbf{C}^{n-k+1} - \{0\}) \times \mathbf{C}^{n-k+1}$  with the norm No and the 1-frame  $s_1$  defined by  $s_1(v) = v \times v$ ,  $v \in \mathbf{C}^{n-k+1} - \{0\}$ . Here let us consider the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow E(\boldsymbol{C})_0^I \longrightarrow E(\boldsymbol{C}) \longrightarrow E(\boldsymbol{C})_1^{II} \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $E(C)_{0}^{I} = \bigcup_{v \in C^{n-k+1}-\{0\}} [s_{1}(v)]$  and  $E(C)_{1}^{II} = \bigcup_{v \in C^{n-k+1}-\{0\}} C^{n-k+1}/[s_{1}(v)]$ . Then  $C_{1}(E(C)_{0}^{I}) = \frac{i}{2\pi} d''d' \log \operatorname{No}(s_{1})$ , so that, from Corollary 2.7,  $C_{n-k}(E(C)_{1}^{II}) = \left(-\frac{i}{2\pi} d''d' \log \operatorname{No}(s_{1})\right)^{n-k}$ . Let  $z^{1}, \dots, z^{n-k+1}$  be complex coordinates of  $C^{n-k+1}$ . Then as  $\operatorname{No}(s_{1}(v)) = (v, v) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-k+1} z^{j}(v) \overline{z}^{j}(v)$ , we obtain

$$F^*(-\varPhi_k) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} d^c \log \operatorname{No} (s_1) \cdot C_{n-k}(E(C)_1^{II})$$
  
=  $-\frac{1}{4\pi} d^c \log \sum_{j=1}^{n-k+1} |z^j|^2 \cdot \left(-\frac{i}{2\pi} d^{\prime\prime} d^\prime \log \sum_{j=1}^{n-k+1} |z^j|^2\right)^{n-k}.$ 

Therefore  $F^* \Phi_k$  is the normalized volume element of  $S_{n-k+1}(C)$ , [2]. Q.E.D.

One notes that in the case of k = 1, the mapping F defined in Lemma 3.7 becomes the identity mapping of  $C^n - \{0\}$ , so that, the restriction of the

obstruction from  $\Phi_1$  of  $F_2(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$  to the unit sphere  $S_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}^n), \Phi_1 | S_{n-1(\mathbb{C})},$ is the normalized volume element of  $S_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ .

### §4. The generalized relative Gauss-Bonnet formula.

4.1. In this section we shall establish an integral formula for the *i*th Chern form  $C_i(E)$ . In the case of  $i = \dim E = \dim X$ , Bott and Chern established the integral formula of  $C_n(E)$  as the relative Gauss-Bonnet theorem. Here we want to extend this theorem.

Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle of fibre dimension n with a norm N, over an m-dimensional complex manifold X, and let  $E_k$  be the kgeneral Stiefel bundle of E with the projection  $\pi_k \colon E_k \longrightarrow X$ . Let  $\pi_k^{\sharp}E$  be the (n, k)-trivial bundle with the induced norm  $\pi_k^{\sharp}N$  and the k-frame defined by (3.26). We denote by  $\eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^{\sharp}E)$  the boundary form of  $\prod_k^{\sharp}E$  and by  $C_{n-k+1}(E)$  the (n-k+1) th Chern form induced by the norm N on E. Now let A be a real 2(m-n+k-1)-dimensional oriented submanifold of X with boundary  $\partial A$ , and let  $s \colon (X-A) \longrightarrow E_k$  be a smooth section. Moreover let V be a real 2(n-k+1)-dimensional (non-compact) oriented manifold and let  $D \subset V$  be a compact domain with the smooth boundary  $\partial D$ . Then we obtain

THEOREM 4.1. Let us suppose that there exists a smooth mapping  $f: V \longrightarrow X$ such that  $f^{-1}(A) \cap D = \{p_1, \dots, p_l\}$  is a set of isolated points,  $f^{-1}(A) \cap \partial D = \phi$ , and  $f(D) \cap \partial A = \phi$ . If  $n(p_j, f, A)$  denotes the intersection number at  $(p_j; f(p_j))$  of the singular chains  $f: D \longrightarrow X$  and  $e_A: A \longrightarrow X$  ( $e_A$  = the inclusion map), for each j, then

(4.1) 
$$\int_{D} f^{*}C_{n-k+1}(E) = \int_{\partial D} f^{*} s^{*}\eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_{k}^{*}E) + \sum_{j=1}^{l} obs_{k}(p_{j}, sf, D)$$

(4.2) 
$$obs_k(p_j, sf, D) = obs_k^{\perp}(f(p_j), s, A)n(p_j, f, A), \quad j = 1, \cdots,$$

(4.3) 
$$\int_{D} f^{*}C_{n-k+1}(E) = \int_{\partial D} f^{*} s^{*}\eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_{k}^{*}E) + \sum_{j=1}^{l} obs_{k}^{\perp}(f(p_{j}), s, A)n(p_{j}, f, A)$$

where  $obs_k(p_j, sf, D)$  and  $obs_k^{\perp}(f(p_j), s, A)$  are integers defined in Definition 4.1 and 4.2, respectively.

**4.2.** Definition of obstruction numbers. Before the proof of this theorem we define  $obs_k(p_j, sf, D)$  and  $obs_k^{\perp}(f(p_j), s, A)$ . Let  $\Phi_k$  be the obstruction form of the k-general Stiefel manifold  $F_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Let Y be a real 2(n-k+1)-

demensional oriented manifold Y with boundary  $\partial Y$ . Let p be any point in  $(Y - \partial Y)$ . Now, given a smooth mapping  $t: Y - \{p\} \longrightarrow E_k$  such that  $\pi_k t$  can be regarded as the smooth mapping from Y into X, we define an integer, denoted by  $obs_k(p, t, Y)$  as follows: Let  $\pi_k t(p) = q \in X$  and choose a neighborhood V(q) of q which admits a trivialization  $\varphi: V(q) \times F_k(\mathbb{C}^n) \longrightarrow \pi_k^{-1}(V(q))$  of  $E_k|V(q)$ . Then let  $\psi: \pi_k^{-1}(V(q)) \longrightarrow F_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be a holomorphic mapping defined by

$$(4.4) \qquad \psi \cdot \varphi \{q', (v_1, \cdots, v_k)\} = (v_1, \cdots, v_k), \quad q' \in V(q), \quad (v^1, \cdots, v^k) \in F_k(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

Next take a chart  $(U_{\delta}(p), h = (y^1, \dots, y^{2(n-k+1)}))$  of Y at p such that h(p)=0,  $h(U_{\delta}(p))$  is the ball of raduis  $U\delta$ ,  $(\delta > 0)$  and  $\pi_k t$   $(U_{\delta}(p)) \subset V(q)$ . For an  $\varepsilon$ -ball  $U_{\epsilon}(p), 0 < \varepsilon < \delta$ , let us take the normalized volume element  $\omega_k$  of  $\partial U_{\epsilon}(p)$ . Further let  $\gamma: U_{\delta}(p) - \{p\} \longrightarrow \partial U_{\epsilon}(p)$  be a smooth mapping defined by

(4.5) 
$$\Upsilon_{\epsilon}(p') = h^{-1} \left( \varepsilon \; \frac{y^{1}(p')}{\|h(p')\|}, \cdots, \varepsilon \; \frac{y^{2(p-k+1)}(p')}{\|h(p')\|} \right) \; p' \in U_{\delta}(p),$$

where  $||h(p')|| = (\sum_{j=1}^{2(n-k+1)} (y^j(p')^2))$ 

Then  $\tau_i^* \omega_k$  becomes a cocycle form on  $(U_{\delta}(p) - \{p\})$  whose cohomology class  $\{\tau^* \omega_k\}$  is a generator of  $H^{2(n-k)+1}(U_{\delta}(p) - \{p\}; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ . On the other hand as  $\{\Phi_k\}$  is also a generator of  $H^{2(n-k)+1}(F_k(\mathbb{C}^n); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ , it follows from the fact that  $\varphi \cdot t$  is a smooth mapping of  $(U_{\delta}(p) - \{p\})$  into  $F_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$  that there exists an integer n such that

(4.6) 
$$\{(\psi \cdot t)^* \Phi_k\} = n\{\gamma_*^* \omega_k\}, \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$(4.6)' n = \int_{\partial U_{\epsilon}(\mathcal{D})} (\mathcal{O}t)^* \mathcal{O}_k.$$

Here put,  $obs_k(p, t, Y) = n = \int_{\partial U_k(p)} (\psi \cdot t)^* \Phi$ 

DEFINITION 4.1. The integer  $obs_k(p, t, Y)$  defined by (4.6) or (4.6)' is called *the k th obstruction number of t at p relative to Y*. We show that (4.6)' is independent of  $U_{\epsilon}(p)$  and  $\phi$ . It is clear from  $d\Phi_k = 0$  and Stockes formula that

(4.7) 
$$\int_{\partial U_{\epsilon}(p)} (\psi t)^{*} \Phi_{k} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial U_{\epsilon}(p)} (\psi t)^{*} \Phi_{k}$$

We have

LEMMA 4.2. Let notations be as above. Then

(4.8) 
$$\int_{\partial U_{\varepsilon}(p)} (\psi \cdot t)^* \varPhi_k = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial U_{\varepsilon}(p)} t^* \eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k E), \quad 0 < \varepsilon < \delta.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi_q \colon F_k(\mathbb{C}^n) \longrightarrow E_k$  be the inclusion map at  $q = \pi_k t(p)$  defined from the trivialization  $\varphi \colon V(q) \times F_k(\mathbb{C}^n) \longrightarrow \pi_k^{-1}(V(q))$ . From  $d\eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^* E) = \pi_k^* C_{n-k+1}(E)$ , we have

$$d\varphi^*\eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^*E) = C_{n-k+1}(E) \quad \text{on} \quad V(q) \times F_k(C^n).$$

Moreover, as  $dC_{n-k+1}(E) = 0$ , we obtain a 2(n-k) + 1-form  $\omega$  on U(q) such that  $C_{n-k+1}(E)|V(q) = d\omega$ . Then  $\varphi^*\eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k E) - \omega$  is a cocycle form on  $V(q) \times F_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . However  $H^{2(n-k)+1}(V(q) \times F_k(\mathbb{C}^n)) = H^{2(n-k)+1}(F_k(\mathbb{C}^n)) = \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore there exists a real number a such that

$$\{\varphi^*\eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k E) - \omega\} = a\{\varphi_k\} \text{ on } V(q) \times F_k(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

Let  $j_q: F_k(\mathbb{C}^n) \longrightarrow V(q) \times F_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be a mapping defined by

$$j_q(v_1, \cdots, v_k) = \{q, (v_1, \cdots, v_k)\} \quad (v_1, \cdots, v_k) \in F_k(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

Then from (3.29),  $a\{\Phi_k\} = a\{j_q^*\Phi_k\} = \{(\varphi j_q)^*\eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^*E) - j_q^*\omega\} = \{\varphi_q^*\eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^*E)\}$ =  $-\{\Phi_k\}$ . Hence a = -1. Therefore we have

$$(4.9) \qquad \qquad \{\varphi^*\eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k E) - \omega\} = -\{\varphi_k\} \text{ on } V(q) \times F_k(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

Since  $\pi_k t$  is a smooth mapping of  $U_{\delta}(p)$  into V(q), Lemma 4.2 follows directly from (4.7) and (4.9) as follows:

$$\begin{split} \int_{\partial U_{\epsilon}(p)} (\varphi t)^{*} \varPhi_{k} &= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial U_{\epsilon}(p)} (\varphi t)^{*} \varPhi_{k} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int (\varphi^{-1} t)^{*} \varPhi_{k} \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial U_{\epsilon}(p)} (\varphi^{-1} t)^{*} (\omega - \varphi^{*} \eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_{k}^{*} E)) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial U_{\epsilon}(p)} (\pi_{k} t)^{*} \omega - \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial U_{\epsilon}(p)} t^{*} \eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_{k}^{*} E) \\ &= -\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial U_{\epsilon}(p)} t^{*} \eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_{k}^{*} E). \end{split}$$
Q.E.D.

Thus Definition 4.1. is well-defined. This definition is extended as follows: Let  $p \in Y - \partial Y$ . If p is an isolated singular point of a smooth mapping t, that is, there exists a neighborhood U(p) of p such that t is a smooth mapping of  $(U(p) - \{p\})$  into  $E_k$ , and  $\pi_k t$  is differentiable on U(p), then we can

define  $obs_k(p, t, U(p))$ . Then put

$$obs_k(p, t, Y) = obs_k(p, t, U(p)).$$

In particular, the 1 th obstruction,  $obs_1(p, t, Y)$ , becomes the degree of t at p because  $\Phi_1$  is regarded as the normalized volume element of the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . If t is a smooth mapping of Y into E such that

- $\alpha) \quad t \neq 0 \text{ on } \partial Y$
- $\beta$ ) t has isolated zeroes only, say  $p_1, \dots, p_l$ ,

then for each point  $p_j$ ,  $obs_1(p_j, t, Y)$  is the order of vanishing of t, so that we write by zero  $(p_j, t, Y)$  the 1 th obstruction of t at  $p_j$  relative to Y.

**4.3.** Let A be the submanifold of X as defined in Theorem 5.1. Let q be a point in  $(A - \partial A)$ . Then a complemental submanifold to A at q, denoted by  $A_{q}^{\perp}$ , is a real 2(n - k + 1)-dimensional oriented submanifold of X (with boundary  $A_{q}^{\perp}$ ) satisfying the following conditions:

(4.11) There exists a chart  $(U, h = (z^1, \dots, z^{2(n-z_0+k-1)})$  $y^1, \dots, y^{2(n-k+1)})$  at q in X such that,  $h(q) = (0, \dots, 0)$  $A_{\frac{1}{q}} \cap U = \{q' \in U : z^1(q') = \dots = z^{2(m-n+k-1)}(q') = 0\}$  $A \cap U = \{q' \in U : y^1(q') = \dots = y^{2(n-k+1)}(q') = 0\}$ 

(4.12)  $A_{\frac{1}{q}}$  is compact.

Then we choose the orientation of  $A_{\overline{q}}^{\perp}$  as follows: Put  $u = (z^1, \dots, z^{2(m-n+k-1)})$ and  $u = (y^1, \dots, y^{2(n-k+1)})$ . If *h* and *u* are positive coordinates systems on *U* and  $A \cap U$  respectively, then *v* is also the positive coordinates system on  $A_{\overline{q}}^{\perp} \cap U$ .

Since A is the submanifold of X, there exists, of course, such a submanifold of X. Now let  $s: (X - A) \longrightarrow E_k$  be the smooth cross section and let  $q \in (A - \partial A)$ . Then taking a complemental submanifold  $A_{\frac{1}{q}}$  to A at q, we can define the k th obstruction number  $obs_k(q, s, A_{\frac{1}{q}})$ . It will be shown in the proof of Theorem 4.1 that  $obs_k(q, s, A_{\frac{1}{q}})$  is independent of  $A_{\frac{1}{q}}$ .

**DEFINITION 4.2.** For any point  $q \in (A - \partial A)$ ,  $obs_{k}^{\perp}(q, s, A)$  which is called the kth obstruction number of s at q corresponding to A, is defined as follows:

Let  $A_q^{\perp}$  be a complemental sybmanifold to A at q. Then put

$$(4.13) \qquad obs_{k}(q, s, A) = obs_{k}(q, s, A_{q}).$$

**4.4. Proof of Theorem 4.1.** Withoutloss of generality we can assume that  $f^{-1}(A) \cap D = \{p\}$ ,  $p \notin \partial D$  and  $f(p) \notin \partial A$ . and that f(d) is contained in a coordinate  $\delta_1$ -ball  $U_{\delta_1}$  of f(p) which admits a trivialization  $\varphi: U_{\delta_1} \times F_k(\mathbb{C}^n) \longrightarrow \pi_k^{-1}(U_{\delta_1})$  of  $E_k|U_{\delta_1}$ . Let  $V_{\epsilon_1}(p)$  be an  $\epsilon_1$ -ball of p contained completely in D and let put  $D_{\epsilon_1} = D - V_{\epsilon_1}(p)$ . Since  $s.f: D_{\epsilon_1} \longrightarrow E_k$  is the smooth mapping and  $\pi_k^* C_{n-k+1}(E) = d\eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^* E)$  on  $E_k$ , we obtain from Stokes formula

$$\int_{D_{\ell_1}} f^* C_{n-k+1}(E) = \int_{\partial D} f^* \left\{ s^* \eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^* E) \right\} - \int_{\partial_\ell V_1(p)} (s \cdot f)^* \eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^* E).$$

Here let  $\psi: \pi_k^{-1}(U_{\delta_1}) \longrightarrow F_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be as defined by (4.4). Then from (4.7),

$$-\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial V_{\epsilon}(\mathcal{D})}(s\cdot f)^*\eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k E) = \int_{\partial V_{\epsilon}(\mathcal{D})}(\phi(sf))^*\varPhi_k \qquad 0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_1.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{D} f^{*}C_{n-k+1}(E) = \int_{\partial D} f^{*} \{ s^{*}\eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_{k}E) \} + \int_{\partial V_{\epsilon}(\mathcal{D})} (\psi(sf))^{*} \Phi_{k}.$$

This relation implies (4.1) because of  $\int_{\partial V_{\epsilon}(p)} (\mathcal{O}(s \cdot f)^* \Phi_k = obs_k(p, s. f, D)$ . In order to prove (4.2) and (4.3), we calculate the integration  $\int_{\partial V_{\epsilon}(p)} (\mathcal{O}(sf))^* \Phi_k$ , Let  $\varepsilon$  be fixed  $(0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1)$ . Let us put  $q = f(p) \in X$  and take a complemental submanifold  $A_q^{\perp}$  to A at q. Then from the conditions (4.10) and (4.11) it follows that  $A_q^{\perp} \cap A = \{q\}$  and that there exists a chart  $\{U, h = (z^1, \cdots, z^{2(z_k - n + k - 1)}, y^1, \cdots, y^{2(n - k + 1)})\}$  in X at q such that h(q) = 0

$$\begin{aligned} A \cap U &= \{q' \in U \colon y^1(q') = \cdot \cdot \cdot = y^{2(n-k+1)}(q') = 0\} \\ A_{\overline{q}}^\perp \cap U &= \{q' \in U \colon z^1(q') = z^{2(m-n+k-1)}(q') = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

Assume  $U = U_{\delta_1}$  and put  $U_{\delta_1}(q) = U_{\delta_1}$ . Further we assume that  $f(V_{\epsilon}(p)) \subset U_{\delta}(q)$  $\subseteq U_{\delta_1}(q), \ 0 < \delta < \delta_1$ . Let put  $u = (z^1, \dots, z^{2(m-n+k-1)})$  and  $v = (y^1, \dots, y^{2(n-k+1)})$ . Then let us consider a homotopy mapping  $H_t$  given by

$$H_t = h^{-1}\{(1-t)u \times v)f\} \colon V_{\epsilon_1}(p) \longrightarrow U_{\delta_1}(q), \text{ for all } t \in [0,1].$$

For t = 1,  $H_1$  is the smooth mapping of  $V_{\epsilon_1}(p)$  into  $A_q^{\perp} \cap U_{\delta_1}(q)$ , and for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $V(p) \cap H_t^{-1}(A) = \phi$  and  $H_t(V_{\epsilon}(p)) \cap A = \{q\}$ . Hence, as  $f = H_0$  is ho-

motopic to  $H_1$ , we obtain

(4.14) 
$$\int_{\partial V_{\epsilon}(p)} (\varphi sf)^* \Phi_k = \int_{\partial V_{\epsilon}(p)} H_1^* (\psi s)^* \Phi_k$$

If  $\iota_{A_{\overline{q}}^{\perp}}: A_{\overline{q}}^{\perp} \longrightarrow X$  denotes the inclusion mapping, then from  $H_1(V_{\iota}(p)) \subset A_{\overline{q}}^{\perp} \cap U_{\delta}(q)$ , (note  $f(V_{\iota}(p)) \subset U_{\delta}(q)$ ),

(4.15) 
$$\int_{\partial V_{\epsilon}(p)} H^{*}(\psi s)^{*} \Phi_{k} = \int_{\partial V_{\epsilon}(p)} H^{*}_{1}(\psi s \iota_{A_{q}})^{*} \Phi_{k},$$

Here if  $\omega_k$  denotes the normalized volume element of  $\partial(A_q^{\perp} \cap U_{\delta}(q))$ , and if  $\gamma_{\delta}: (A_q^{\perp} \cap U_{\delta_1}(q) - \{q\}) \longrightarrow \partial(A_q^{\perp} \cap U_{\delta}(q))$  denotes a smooth mapping as defined by (4.5), then from  $\{(\psi_{\delta_{\ell_q}})^* \Phi_k\} = obs_k(q, s, A_q^{\perp})\{\gamma_{\delta}^* \omega_k\},$ 

(4.16) 
$$\int_{\partial V_{\epsilon}(\mathcal{D})} H_{1}^{*}(\psi, s, A_{\overline{q}}^{\perp})^{*} \Phi_{k} = obs_{k}(q, s, A_{\overline{q}}^{\perp}) \int_{\partial V_{\epsilon}(\mathcal{D})} (\tilde{r}_{\delta} H_{1})^{*} \omega_{k}$$

It follows from (4.14), (4.16) and (4.16) that

(4.17) 
$$\int_{\partial V_{\epsilon}(p)} (\psi \cdot sf)^* \Phi_k = obs_k(q, s, A_{\frac{1}{q}}) \int_{\partial V_{\epsilon}(p)} (\gamma_{\delta} H_1)^* \omega_k$$

where  $H_1$  is homotopic to f.

To prove that  $\int_{\partial V_{\epsilon}(p)} (\mathcal{I}_{\delta}H_{1})^{*} \omega_{k}$  is equal to the intersection number at  $(p, H_{1}(p) = q)$  of the singular chains  $H_{1} = h^{-1}(0 \times vf) : V_{\epsilon}(p) \longrightarrow X$  and  $\epsilon_{A} : A \longrightarrow X$ , we change the mapping v.f for a mapping  $g_{1}$ .  $V_{\epsilon_{1}}(p) \longrightarrow v(U_{\delta_{1}}(q)) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2(n-k+1)}$  which agrees with v.f on a neighborhood of the boundary  $\partial V_{\epsilon}(p)$ , which is homotopic to v.f, and which has a maximal rank at each  $p' \in g_{1}^{-1}(0)$ . In terms of Thom's Transversality Lemma [6], there exists such a mapping  $g_{1}$ . Hence put  $G_{1} = h^{-1}(0 \times g_{1})$ . Then  $G_{1}$  is, of course, homotopic to  $H_{1}$ . Thus from (4.17),

(4.18) 
$$\int_{\partial V_{\epsilon}(p)} (\psi sf)^* \Phi_k = obs_k(q, s, s, A_{\frac{1}{q}}) \int_{\partial V_{\epsilon}(p)} (\tilde{\tau}_{\delta} G_1)^* \omega_k$$

- $(4.19) \qquad G_1 = h^{-1}(0 \times g_1) \colon V_{\epsilon_1}(p) \longrightarrow U_{\delta_1}(q), \text{ has a maximal rank at each } p' \in G_1^{-1}(q).$
- (4.20)  $G_1$  is homotopic to f, and each  $p' \in G_1^{-1}(q)$  belongs to  $V_*(p) \partial V_*(p)$

Then we have

LEMMA 4.3.

(4.21) 
$$\int_{\partial V_{\bullet}(\mathcal{D})} (\mathcal{T}_{\delta}G_{1})^{*} \omega_{k} = n(q, f, A).$$

Proof. From definition of  $G_1$  it is clear that  $G_1(V_{\epsilon}(p)) \cap A = \{q\}$ ,  $G_1(\partial V_{\epsilon}(p)) \cap A = \phi$  and  $G_1(V_{\epsilon}(p)) \cap \partial A = \phi$ . Therefore from (4.20),  $n(p, f, A) = n(V_{\epsilon}(p), G_1, A)$ . Hence, at first, we compute  $n(V_{\epsilon}(p), G_1, A)$ . Let put  $\alpha = 2(m - n + k - 1)$  and  $\beta = 2(n - k + 1)$ . Let  $h = (z^1, \dots, z^a, y^1, \dots, y^{\beta})$ ,  $u = (z^1, \dots, z^a)$  and  $v = (y^1, \dots, y^{\beta})$ , respectively, be coordinate systems on  $U_{\delta_1}(q), A \cap U_{\delta_1}(q)$  and  $A_q^{\perp} \cap U_{\delta_1}(q)$ , as before. Assume now that h and u are positive coordinate systems. Then, from the choice of the orientation of  $A_q^{\perp}, v$  is also the positive coordinate system. Let  $(x^1, \dots, x^{\beta})$  be a coordinate system of  $V_{\epsilon_1}(p)$  which is positive. Let us put  $G_1^{-1}(q) = \{p'_1, \dots, p'_s\}$ , that is,  $g_q^{-1}(0) = \{p'_1, \dots, p'_s\}$ . Then we define a mapping  $\epsilon_A \times G_1: (A \cap U_{\delta_1}(q)) \times$  $V_{\epsilon_1}(p) \longrightarrow X$  by

$$\begin{aligned} x^{i}(\iota_{A} \times G_{1})(q', p') &= z^{i}\iota_{A}(q') \qquad i = 1, \cdots, \alpha \\ y^{i}(\iota_{A} \times G_{1})(q', p') &= y^{i}G_{1}(p') \qquad i = 1, \cdots, \beta \end{aligned}$$

Here for each  $p'_j \in G_1^{-1}(q)$ , let  $J_{(p'_j,q)}(\iota_A \times G_1)$  be the Jacobian of the mapping  $\iota_A \times G_1$  at  $(p'_j,q)$ , that is,

$$J_{(p'_j,q)}(\iota_A \times G) = \left| \frac{\partial z^1(\iota_A \times G_1), \cdots, z^{\alpha}(\iota_A \times G_1), y^1(\iota_A \times G_1), \cdots, y^{\beta}(\iota_A \times G_1))}{\partial (z^1, \cdots, z^{\alpha} \quad x^1, \cdots, x^{\beta})} \right|_{(p'_j,q)}$$

Then it follows from  $z^i(\iota_A \times G_1) = z^i$  that

(4.22) 
$$J_{(p'_p,p)}(\iota_A \times G_1) = \left| \frac{\partial (y^1(\iota_A \times G_1), \cdots, y^{\beta}(\iota_A \times G_1))}{\partial (x^1, \cdots, x^{\beta})} \right|_{(p',q)}$$
$$= \left| \frac{\partial (y^1 \cdot g_1, \cdots, y^{\beta} \cdot g_1)}{\partial (x^1, \cdots, x^{\beta})} \right|_{p'} \quad \text{for each } p'_j \in g_1^{-1} \in (0)$$

so that, from (4.19),  $J_{(p'_j,q)}(\iota_A \times G_1) \neq 0$  for each  $p'_j$ . Since the right hand side of (4.22) is the Jacobian  $J_{p'_j}(g_1)$  of the mapping  $g_1: V_{\epsilon}(p) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2(n-k+1)}$ . at  $p'_j$ , it follows from definition of the intersection number ([5]) that

(4.23) 
$$n(V_{s}(p), G_{1}, A) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \operatorname{sign} J_{p'_{s}}(g_{1})$$

Thus we have:  $n(p, f, A) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \operatorname{sign} J_{p'_{j}}(g_{1})$  where the  $p'_{j}$  are points of  $g_{1}^{-1}(0)$ . Next we shall calculate  $\int_{\partial T_{j}} (\tau_{j} G_{1})^{*} \omega_{k}$ . Since  $\omega_{k}$  is the normalized volume

element of  $\partial(A_{\overline{q}} \cap U_{\delta}(q))$ , and for each  $p' \in (V_{\epsilon_1}(p) - g_1^{-1}(0))$ 

$$\Upsilon_{\delta}G_{1}(p') = h^{-1}\left(\overbrace{0, \cdots, 0}^{\alpha}, \delta \frac{y^{1}g_{1}(p')}{\|g_{1}(p')\|}, \cdots, \delta \frac{y^{\beta}g_{1}(p')}{\|g_{1}(p')\|}\right)$$

where  $||g_{1}(p')| = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{\beta} (y^{j}(p'))^{2}}$ ,

We can reformulate  $\int_{\delta V_{\epsilon}(p)} (\tau_{\delta}G_{1})^{*}\omega_{k}$  as follows: Let  $y^{1}, \dots, y^{n}$  be coordina es of  $\mathbf{R}^{n}$  and let  $S_{n-1}$  be the unit sphere about the origin in  $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ . We denote by  $\omega$  the normalized volume element of  $S_{n-1}$ . Let  $\tau: \mathbf{R}^{n} - \{0\} \longrightarrow S_{n-1}$ be the boundary mapping defined by

$$\Upsilon(y^1, \cdots, y^n) = (y^1/(\sqrt{\sum(y^i)^2}), \cdots, y^n/(\sqrt{\sum(y^i)^2}).$$

Further let  $D_1$  be a compact domain of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Now, given a smooth mapping  $g: \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $g_1^{-1}(0) \cap D_1 = \{p'_1, \cdots, p'_s\}, g_1^{-1}(0) \cap \partial D_1 = \phi$  and for each  $p'_j, J_{p'_j}(g_1) \neq 0$ .

Under this situation, we show that

(4.24) 
$$\int_{\partial D_1} (\gamma g_1)^* \omega = \sum_{j=1}^s \operatorname{sign} J_{\mathcal{D}'_j}(g_1).$$

Indeed, let  $V_{\epsilon}(p'_{j})$  be  $\varepsilon$ -balls about  $p'_{j}$  in  $D_{1}$  which are pairwise disjoint. Put  $D_{1,} = D - \bigcup V_{\epsilon}(p'_{j})$ . Then, as  $\tau \cdot g_{1} = g/||g_{1}||$  is differentiable on  $D_{1,\epsilon}$ , we have from Stokes formula,  $\int_{\partial D} (\tau g_{1})^{*} \omega = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \int_{\partial V_{\epsilon}(p'_{j})} (\tau g_{1})^{*} \omega$ . In terms of  $J_{p'_{j}}(g_{1}) = 0$ ,  $(j, \dots, s)$ , we can assume that for each j,  $||g_{1}|| = \varepsilon$  on  $\partial V_{\epsilon}(p'_{j})$ , and  $J(g_{1}) \neq 0$  on  $V_{\epsilon}(p'_{j})$ . Now let  $\operatorname{vol}(S_{n-1})$  denote the volume of  $S_{n-1}$  and let put  $\tau = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{j-1} y^{j} dy^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^{j-1} \wedge dy^{j+1} \cdots \wedge dy^{n}$ . Then  $\omega = \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(S_{n-1})}$  $\tau|_{S_{n-1}}$ . By noting that  $y^{i} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_{1}\right) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} y^{i}(g_{1}), (i = 1, \dots, n)$ , we have: for each j,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}(p'_{j})} (\mathcal{V}g_{1})^{*} \omega &= \int_{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}(p'_{j})} \left(\frac{g_{1}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{*} \omega = \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(S_{n-1})} \int_{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}(p'_{j})} \left(\frac{g_{1}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{*} \tau \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{n} \operatorname{vol}(S_{n-1})} \int_{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}(p'_{j})} g_{1}^{*} \tau \\ &= \frac{n}{\varepsilon^{n} \operatorname{vol}(S_{n-1})} \int_{\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}(p'_{j})} g_{1}^{*} (dy_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_{n}) \\ &= \frac{n}{\varepsilon^{n} \operatorname{vol}(S_{n-1})} \operatorname{sign} J_{p'_{j}}(g_{1}) \int_{(y^{1}g_{1})^{2} + \cdots + (y^{n}g_{1})^{2} \leq \varepsilon^{2}} d(y'g_{1}) \cdots d(y^{n}g_{1}) \\ &= \operatorname{sign} J_{p'_{j}}(g_{1}). \end{split}$$

Thus (4.24) is proved, so that, we have proved Lemma 4.3. Q.E.D.

Now we return to the proof of Theorem 4.1. At first it follows from (4.18), (4.21) and q = f(p) that

$$\int_{\partial V_{\mathfrak{s}}(p)} (\psi s f)^* \Phi_k = obs_k(f(p), s, A_{f(p)}^{\perp}) n(p, f, A),$$

that is,

(4.25) 
$$obs_k(p, sf, D) = obs_k(f(p), s, A_{f(p)}^{\perp}) n(p, f, A).$$

In particular, let us take any complemental submanifold  $A'_{\frac{1}{q}}$  to A at  $q \in (A - \partial A)$  as a compact domain D and the inclusion mapping  $\iota_{A'_{q}} \perp \longrightarrow X$ . Then clearly  $n(q, \iota_{A'_{q}} \perp, A) = 1$ , so that, from (4.25) we have

$$obs_k(q, s, A'_q \perp) = obs_k(q, s, A_{\frac{1}{q}}).$$

Thus  $obs_{\overline{k}}^{\perp}(q, s, A)$  is independent of  $A_{\overline{q}}^{\perp}$ . Therefore

$$obs_k(p, sf, D) = obs_k^{\perp}(f(p), s, A) \cdot n(p, f, A).$$

Hence (4.2) is proved. On the other hand, (4.3) follows immediately from (4.1) and (4.2). Q.E.D.

**4.5.** COROLLARY 4.4, (c.f. [1]). Let E be a Hermitian vector bundle of fibre dimension n over an m-dimensional complex manifold X,  $(n \le m)$  and let  $s: X \longrightarrow E$  be a smooth section of E which is  $\neq 0$  on  $\partial X$ , and which is transversal to the zero of s. Let zero (s) be the set of zeroes of s. Then zero (s) becomes a real 2(m - n)-dimensional oriented closed submanifold of X and the proper homology class of zero (s) is the Poincaré dual of  $C_n(E)$ .

**Proof.** Notice that the 1-general Stiefel bundle  $E_1$  of E is the subbundle of E, i.e.,  $E_1 = \{e \in E : e \neq 0\}$ . Let q be any point of zero(s). From  $q \in X$  $\longrightarrow \partial X$ , we can take a neighborhood V in X about q, which admits a trivialization  $\varphi: V \times \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow E | V$ . Here let  $\varphi: E | V \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a holomorphic mapipng defined by,

(4.26) 
$$\psi \cdot \varphi(q', v) = v, \qquad q' \in V, \quad v \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Then put  $\psi s = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$  and  $s_i = s^i + \sqrt{-1} s^{n-i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . That s is transversal to the zero section of X in E, implies that  $ds_{q_i}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge ds_{q_i}^{2n} = 0$ 

for each  $q' \in V \cap \text{zero}(s)$ . We obtain a family of charts  $\{V_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha} = (s_{\alpha}^{1}, \cdots, s_{\alpha}^{2n}, t_{\alpha}^{1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha}^{2(m-n)})\}$  of X such that  $\{V_{\alpha}\}$  cover zero(s), and for each  $\alpha$ ,

(i)  $V_{\alpha}$  admits a trivialization  $\varphi_{\alpha}: V \times \mathbb{C}^{n} \longrightarrow E | V_{\alpha}$ , and so,

 $\psi_{\alpha}: E | V_{\alpha} \longrightarrow C^n$  defined by (4.26).

(ii)  $s_{\alpha}^{1}, \dots, s_{\alpha}^{2n}$  are real-valued functions defined by  $\psi_{\alpha}$  and s,

i.e., 
$$\psi_{\alpha}s = (s_{\alpha}^1 + \sqrt{-1} s_{\alpha}^n, \cdots, s_{\alpha}^n + -1s_{\alpha}^{2n}).$$

- (iii)  $V_{\alpha} \cap \operatorname{zero}(s) = \{q \in V_{\alpha} : s_{\alpha}^{1}(q) = \cdots = s_{\alpha}^{2^{n}}(q) = 0\}$
- (iv)  $h_{\alpha}$  is the positive coordinate system on  $V_{\alpha}$ .

Therefore zero(s) is a real 2(m-n)-dimensional closed submanifold of X, which admits charts  $\{V_{\alpha} \cap \text{zero}(s), (t_{\alpha}^{1}, \dots, t_{\alpha}^{2(m-n)})\}$ . We want to prove that zero(s) is orientable. Let us suppose  $V_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta} \cap \text{zero}(s) \neq \phi$ . Then there exists a translation function  $g_{\alpha\beta} = ||(g_{\alpha\beta})_{\beta}^{i}||$  on  $V_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta}$  such that

$$s^i_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (g_{\alpha\beta})^i_j s^j_{\beta}$$
  $i = 1, \cdots, 2n$ , and  $\det(g_{\alpha\beta}) > 0$ .

Let us put 
$$a(q) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial t_a^1}{\partial t_b^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial t_a^1}{\partial t_{\beta}^{2(m-n)}}, \frac{\partial t_a^1}{\partial s_{\beta}^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial t_a^1}{\partial s_{\beta}^{2n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial t_a^{2(m-n)}}{\partial t_{\beta}^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial t_a^1}{\partial t_{\beta}^{2(m-n)}}, \frac{\partial t_a^{2(m-n)}}{\partial s_{\beta}^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial t_a^{2(m-n)}}{\partial s_{\beta}^{2n}} \\ \frac{\partial s_a^1}{\partial t_{\beta}^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial s_a^1}{\partial t_{\beta}^{2(m-n)}}, \frac{\partial s_a^1}{\partial s_{\beta}^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial s_a^1}{\partial s_{\beta}^{2n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial s_a^2}{\partial t_{\beta}^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial s_a^2}{\partial t_{\beta}^{2(m-n)}}, \frac{\partial s_a^1}{\partial s_{\beta}^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial s_a^1}{\partial s_{\beta}^{2n}} \end{pmatrix}_q$$

for each  $q \in V_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta}$ . Hence, as  $\partial s_{\alpha}^{i} / \partial t_{\beta}^{j}(q) = 0$  for any  $q \in V_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta} \cap$  zero(s),  $i = 1, \dots, 2n, \ j = 1, \dots, 2(m-n)$ , it follows from (iv) that  $a(q) = \det\left(\frac{\partial t_{\alpha}^{i}}{\partial t_{\beta}^{j}}\right)$  $\det(g_{\alpha\beta}) > 0 \ q \in V_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta} \cap \text{zero}(s)$ , so that, from  $\det(g_{\alpha,\beta}) > 0$ , we find that

$$\det\left(\frac{\partial t_{\mathfrak{a}}^{j}}{\partial t_{\beta}^{j}}\right) > 0 \text{ on } V_{\mathfrak{a}} \cap V_{\beta} \cap \operatorname{zero}(s).$$

Therefore zero(s) is orientable. As  $s \neq 0$  on  $\partial X$ , zero(s) has not the boundary. We shall next prove the second statement. For simplicity put A = zero(s). Since s is the smooth cross-section of E|(X-A), and  $\partial A = \phi$ , we can define  $obs \pm (q, s, A)$  for any  $q \in A$ . Let  $q \in V_a \cap A$ . Then we

calculate  $obs_{\frac{1}{4}}(q, s, A)$ . From the condition (iii) the set  $A_{\overline{q}}^{\perp} = \{q' \in V_a : t_a^{\perp}(q') = \cdots = t_a^{2(m-n)}(q') = 0\}$  becomes a complemental submanifold to A at q. Then, of course,  $(s_a^{\perp}, \dots, s_a^{2n})$  is the coordinate system of  $A_{\overline{q}}^{\perp} \cap V_a$ . Hence the restriction of  $\psi_a \cdot s$  to  $A_{\overline{q}}^{\perp}$  is consider as the inclusion mapping as follows: Let us put  $v_a(s_a^{\perp}, \dots, s_a^{2n})$  and let  $z^1, \dots, z^n$  be complex coordinates of  $C^n$ . If  $x^1, \dots, x^{2n}$  are coordinates of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  with  $x^i + \sqrt{-1} x^{n+1} = z_i$ , then from definition of  $s_a^i$ ,  $(i = 1, \dots, 2n)$ ,

$$x^i \phi_{\alpha} s v_{\alpha}^{-1}(s_{\alpha}^1, \cdots, s_{\alpha}^{2n}) = s_{\alpha}^i \qquad i = 1, \cdots, 2n.$$

Therefore we have from  $obs_1(q, s, A_{\overline{q}}^{\perp}) = \operatorname{zero}(q, s, A_{\overline{q}}^{\perp})$ ,  $obs_1(q, s, A_{\overline{q}}^{\perp})=1$ . Thus for any  $q \in A$ , we obtain

$$(4.27) obs_{1}^{\perp}(q, s, A) = 1 A = \operatorname{zero}(s).$$

Now let  $\tau$  be a smooth singular 2n-cycle in the interior of X such that every singular chain  $\sigma$  in  $\tau$  which intersects zero(s), meets  $\sigma$  in an isolated intersior point. Hence we can apply Theorem 4.1 to each singular chain  $\sigma$  in  $\tau$ . Then from (4.3) and (4.27),

$$\int_{\sigma} C_n(E) = \int_{\partial \sigma} s^* \eta_n(\pi_1^* E) + n(\sigma, \text{ zero(s)})$$

where  $n(\sigma, \text{zero}(s))$  is the intersection number of  $\sigma$  and zero(s). Hence summing over  $\sigma$  in  $\tau$ , we find

$$\int_{\tau} C_n(E) = n(\tau, \text{ zero(s)}). \qquad Q.E.D.$$

COROLLARY 4.5. [1]. (The relative Causs-Bonnet theorem). Let E be a Hermitian n-bundle over an n-complex manifold X with the boundary  $\partial X$ . Now, given a smooth section s of E such that

i)  $s \neq 0$  on  $\partial X$ , ii) s has isolated zeroes only, then we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l} \text{zero } (p_j; s) = \int_{X} C_n(E) - \int_{\partial X} s^* \eta_n(\pi_1^* E)$$

where the  $p_j$  are zeroes of s.

Indeed, if we apply (4.1) to the case when k = 1, dim  $X = \dim E = n$ , D = X, and f = the identity mapping of X, then this corollary follows from the fact that  $obs_1(p_j, s, X) = zero(p_j; s)$   $j = 1, \dots, l$  Q.E.D.

# §5. An application to complex projective space

In this section we will inverstigate Levine's "The First Main Theorem" for holomorphic mappings of non-compact, complex manifolds into complex projective space [2].

Let  $p^n(C)$  be *n*-dimensional complex projective space of all the 1dimensional subspaces of  $C^{n+1}$ , and let V be a non-compact real 2(n-k+1)dimensional oriented manifold. Let  $D \subset V$  be a compact domain with the smooth boundary  $\partial D$ . We assume that there exists a smooth mapping f of V into  $p^n(C)$ .

THEOREM 5.1, ([2]). Let A be a complex (k-1)-dimensional linear subspace of  $\mathbf{p}^n(\mathbf{C})$  such that  $f^{-1}(A) \cap D$  is a set of isolated points in  $(D - \partial D)$ . Let  $\iota$  denoted the inclusion mapping of A into  $\mathbf{p}^n(\mathbf{C})$ . If n(D, f, A) denotes the intersection number of the singular chains  $f: D \longrightarrow \mathbf{p}^n(\mathbf{C})$  and  $\iota: A \longrightarrow \mathbf{p}^n(\mathbf{C})$ , and if V(D) denotes the volume of f(D), then

(5.1) 
$$V(D) - n(D, f, A) = \int_{\partial D} f^* A$$

where  $\Lambda$  is a real 2(n-k) + 1-form on  $(p^n(C) - A)$ , which is given by (5.11).

The volume element of  $p^n(C)$  is the one induced by the standard unitary invariant Kähler metric, normalized so that the volume of  $p^n(C)$  equals 1.

(Levine assumes in [2] that V is a complex manifold and that f is holomorphic.)

*Proof.* In order to prove this by using Theorem 4.1, let us consider the canonical holomorphic vector bundles L, T, and E over  $p^{n}(C)$ , defined a as follows, ([1]):

- (5.2) T is the product bundle  $p^n(C) \times C^{n+1}$
- (5.3) L is the subbundle of T consisting of all the pairs (l, v), where  $v \in l$ .
- (5.4) E is the quotient bundle T/L (Note dim E = n). Then, over  $p^n(C)$  we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$(5.5) \qquad 0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let  $N_0$  be the norm on T induced by the inner product (,) of  $C^{n+1}$  as before. In terms of (5.5), the norm  $N_0$  on T induces norms  $N_1$  on L and  $N_2$  on E as stated in §2. We shall apply Theorem 4.1 to this holomorphic

*n*-bundle E with the norm  $N_0$ , over  $p^n(C)$ . Let C(E),  $E_k$  and  $\eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^*E)$  be as defined in previous sections. Now let  $z^0, \cdots z^n$  be homogeneous coordinates of  $p^n(C)$  coorresponding to the natural basis  $e_0, \cdots, e_n$  of  $C^{n+1}$ . Here put

(5.6) 
$$\Omega = \frac{i}{2\pi} d' d'' \log \sum_{j=0}^{n} z^j \bar{z}^j.$$

It is well-known ([5]) that  $\Omega$  is the real 2-form on  $p^n(C)$  induced by the standard, unitary invariant, Kähler metric. Then we have

LEMMA 5.2. Let  $C_l(E)$  be the *l* th Chern form of *E*. Then we obtain (5.7)  $C_l(E) = Q^l, \quad (l = 1, \dots, n)$ 

*Proof.* Let  $V_j$  be open sets defined by  $V_j = \{l \in p^n(C) : z^j(l) \neq 0\}, i = 0, \dots, n$ . For each j let  $(\xi^0, \dots, \xi^{j-1}, \xi^{j+1}, \dots, \xi^n)$  be the coordinate system on  $V_j$  defined by  $\xi^i = z^i/z^j, i = 0, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, n$ . Then we obtain a holomorphic nonvanishing section  $s_j; V_j \longrightarrow L$  given by

$$s_j(l) = \{l, (\xi^0(l), \cdots, \xi^{j-1}(l), 1, \xi^{j+1}(l), \cdots, \xi^n(l))\}.$$

Of course, from definition of the norm N, on L,

 $N_1(s_j(l)) = 1 + (\xi(l), \xi(l))_j$  for each  $l \in V_j$ 

where  $(\xi(l), \xi(l)_j = \xi^0(l)\bar{\xi}^0(l) + \cdots + \xi^{j-1}(l)\bar{\xi}^{j-1}(l) + \xi^{j+1}(l)\bar{\xi}^{j+1}(l) + \cdots + \xi^n(l)\bar{\xi}^n(l)$ . Therefore it follows from (2.5) that  $C_1(L)|V_j = -\frac{i}{2\pi} d' d'' \log(1 + (\xi, \xi)_j)$ , so that, from (5.6) we have  $C_1(L) = -\Omega$ . However in terms of Corollary 2.7,  $C_l(E) = (-C_1(L))^l$ . Hence (5.7) is proved. Q.E.D.

Further we can prove

LEMMA 5.3.

(5.8) 
$$\int_{p^n(\boldsymbol{C})} C_n(\boldsymbol{E}) = 1$$

*Proof.* Let  $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  and let  $\hat{s}_v : p^n(\mathbb{C}) - [v] \longrightarrow E_1 \subset E$  be a holomorphic section defined by  $\hat{s}_v(l) = (l, v/l), \ l \in p^n(\mathbb{C}) - [v]$ . Then from Corollary 4.5 we have

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{p}^n(\boldsymbol{C})} C_n(\boldsymbol{E}) = \text{zero } ([v], \, \hat{s}_v).$$

It is sufficient to prove zero  $([v], \hat{s}_v) = 1$ . For convernience sake we assume

 $v = e_0$ . Then we obtain a frame  $t = \{t_i\}_{1 \le i \le n}$  of  $E|V_0$  given by  $t_i(l) = (l, -e_i/l)$   $l \in V_0$ . Let  $\varphi: V_0 \times \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow E|V_0$  be the trivialization defined by

$$\varphi(l, v) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} z^{i}(v) t_{i}(l) \qquad (l, v) \in V_{0} \times C^{n}$$

where  $z^1, \dots, z^n$  are complex coordinates of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Further let  $\psi: E|V_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be a holomorphic mapping defined by  $\varphi$ , i.e.,  $\psi\varphi(l, v) = v$ , for  $(l, v) \in V_0 \times \mathbb{C}^n$ . To show zero  $([e_0], \hat{s}_{e_0}) = 1$ , we estimate the mapping  $\psi \cdot \hat{s}_{e_0}: V_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ . If  $\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n$  denote the coordinates on  $V_0$ , as before, then it is easy to prove that

Therefore

From Lemma 5.2 and 5.3,  $C_n(E) = \Omega^n$  becomes the normalized volume element of  $p^n(C)$ . Moreover from the fact that C(E) (or  $\Omega$ ) is invariant under unitary transformations it follows that: Let  $A^{\perp}$  be any complex (n-k+1)-dimensional linear subspace of  $p^n(C)$ . Then

(5.9) 
$$\int_{A} C_{n-k+1}(E) = \int_{A} \Omega^{n-k+1} = 1.$$

Now let f, D, V(D) and A be as described in Theorem 5.1. Then, of course, we have

(5.10) 
$$V(D) = \int_{D} f^* \Omega^{n-k+1} = \int_{D} f^* C_{n-k+1}(E).$$

Let l be any fixed point in A and let us take an orthonormal basis  $v_0, \dots, v_n$  of  $C^{n+1}$  such that

- (a)  $v_0, \dots, v_{k-1}$  belong to A
- $(\beta) \qquad v_{k-1} \! \in \! l.$

Then we denote by  $A_{l}^{\perp}$  the complex (n - k + 1)-dimensional projective space consisting of all the 1-dimensional subspace of  $[v_{k-1}, \dots, v_n]$ . Note  $A \cap A_{l}^{\perp} = \{l\}$ . It is obvious that  $A_{l}^{\perp}$  is a complemental submanifold to A at l without boundary. Moreover we define a holomorphic s section  $s: (p^n(C) - A) \longrightarrow E_k$  by  $s(l) = \{l, (v_0/l, \dots, v_{k-1}/l)\}$  for all  $l \in (p^n(C) - A)$ . It is clear that s is the well-defined section. Here put

(5.11) 
$$\Lambda = s^* \eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^* E) \quad \text{on } p^n(C) - A$$

The boundary form  $\eta_{n-k+1}(\pi_k^{\sharp}E)$  is a real 2(n-k) + 1-form, and so is. Hence, from (4.3) we have:  $\int_{A_t^{\perp}} C_{n-k+1}(E) = \int_{A_t^{\perp}} A + obs_k^{\perp}(l, s, A)n(l, A_t^{\perp}, A)$  where  $\iota_{A_t^{\perp}}$ :  $A_t^{\perp} \longrightarrow p^n(C)$  is the inclusion mapping. However  $\partial A_t^{\perp} = \phi$ ,  $n(l, A_t^{\perp}, A) = 1$ , and from (5.9),  $\int_{A_t^{\perp}} C_{n-k+1}(E) = 1$ . so that, we have: for any  $l \in A \ obs_k^{\perp}(l, s, A) = 1$ . Again using (4.3) we have

(5.12) 
$$\int_{D} f^{*}C_{n-k+1}(E) = \int_{\partial D} f^{*}A + \sum_{j=1}^{l} n(p_{j}, f, A)$$

where

$$f^{-1}(A)\cap D = \{p_1, \cdots, p_l\}.$$

But, from definition of n(D, f, A),  $\sum_{j=1}^{l} n(p_j, f, A) = n(D, f, A)$ . (5.1) follows from (5.10) and (5.12). Q.E.D.

#### References

- [1] R. Bott and S.S. Chern: Hermitian vector bundles and the equidistribution of the zeroes of their holomorphic sections. Acta Math., 114 (1965), 71-112.
- H.I. Levine: A theorem on holomorphic mappings into complex projective space. Ann. Math., 71 (1960), 529-535.
- [3] S.S. Chern: Characteristic classes of Hermitian manifold. Ann. Math., 47 (1946), 85-121.

[4] N. Steenrod: The topology of fibre bundles. Princeton University Press (1951).

[5] Y. Akizuki: The theory of harmonic integrals. Iwanami (Japan), (1955-1956).

[6] S. Sternberg: Lectures on Differential Geometry. New Jersey, Prentice-Hall, Inc., (1964). Mathematical Institute

Nagoya University