

## HASSE PRINCIPLES AND THE $u$ -INVARIANT OVER FORMALLY REAL FIELDS

ROGER WARE

### 0. Introduction

In this paper we investigate the connection between the  $u$ -invariant,  $u(F)$ , of a formally real field  $F$  as defined by Elman and Lam [2] and certain Hasse Principles studied by Elman, Lam and Prestel in [3].

In section 2 the notion of an effective diagonalization of a quadratic form is introduced and in section 3 it is shown that if  $F$  is a field having at most a finite number of orderings such that every form over  $F$  has an effective diagonalization (which happens, for example, if  $F$  is any field having at most one ordering) then the finiteness of the  $u$ -invariant is equivalent to the Hasse Principle  $H_n$  holding for all  $n$  larger than some fixed integer  $m$ .

In section 4 we present two generalizations of a theorem of Kneser which states that if  $F$  is a non-formally real field then  $u(F) \leq q$ , where  $q$  denotes the number of distinct square classes of  $F$ . If  $F$  is a formally real field such that every form over  $F$  can be effectively diagonalized then it is shown that  $u(F) \leq t$  where  $t$  is the number of distinct square classes of *totally positive* elements of  $F$  and  $H_n$  is satisfied for all  $n > \frac{1}{2}q$ .

I would like to express my thanks to T. Y. Lam who pointed out the improved bounds in the proof of Theorem 3.1 and the statement of Theorem 4.4.

### 1. Notations and terminology

The terminology and notations will primarily follow [2,3,6]. All fields  $F$  will have characteristic different from two,  $\hat{F}$  denotes the multiplicative group of  $F$ ,  $\hat{F}^2$  the subgroup of non-zero squares, and  $\Sigma\hat{F}^2$  the

---

Received September 10, 1975.

subgroup consisting of all sums of squares (= totally positive elements). Isometries of quadratic forms over  $F$  will be written as  $\cong, \phi \perp \psi$  and  $\phi \otimes \psi$  will denote, respectively, the orthogonal sum and tensor product of two forms  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ , and for any natural number  $m$  the form  $\phi \perp \phi \perp \cdots \perp \phi$  ( $m$  times) will be denoted by  $m\phi$ . We will write  $\phi = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$  to mean  $\phi$  has an orthogonal basis  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  with  $\phi(e_i) = a_i \in \bar{F}$ . The *Witt ring* of non-singular quadratic forms over  $F$  will be denoted by  $W(F)$  and its torsion subgroup by  $W_t(F)$ . The *u-invariant* of  $F$  is defined to be  $u(F) = \max \{\dim \phi\}$  where  $\phi$  ranges over all anisotropic forms in  $W_t(F)$  [2].

If  $F$  is a formally real field then any ordering  $<$  on  $F$  induces a ring homomorphism  $\sigma_<: W(F) \rightarrow Z$  via  $\sigma_<(\phi) = \sum_i \sigma_<(a_i)$ , where  $\phi = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  and  $\sigma_<(a_i) = 1$  if  $0 < a_i, \sigma_<(a_i) = -1$  if  $a_i < 0$ . If  $\phi$  is a form over  $F$ ,  $\sigma_<(\phi)$  is called *the signature of  $\phi$  relative to the ordering  $<$* . From [7, Satz 22] it follows that  $W_t(F)$  consists precisely of those forms which have signature zero relative to all orderings on  $F$ . A form  $\phi$  is called *totally indefinite* (or locally isotropic) over  $F$  if  $|\sigma_<(\phi)| < \dim \phi$  for all orderings  $<$  on  $F$ . Thus a form  $\phi$  is totally indefinite if and only if  $\phi$  is isotropic over all real closures  $F_<$  of  $F$  as  $<$  runs through the orderings of  $F$ . The formally real field  $F$  satisfies the *Hasse Principle  $H_n$*  (for some  $n \geq 2$ ) if every totally indefinite form of dimension  $n$  over  $F$  is isotropic [3].

We denote by  $X = X(F)$  the topological space of orderings on  $F$  [1,5]. The space  $X$  is compact, Hausdorff, and totally disconnected with a subbase of the topology given by the sets  $W(a) = \{< \in X \mid a < 0\}$ ,  $a \in F$ . We say  $F$  (or  $X$ ) satisfies the *Strong Approximation Property* (SAP) if given any two disjoint closed subsets  $U, V$  of  $X$  there exists an element  $a$  in  $F$  which is positive at the orderings in  $U$  and negative at the orderings in  $V$ .

## 2. Effective diagonalization of quadratic forms

A form  $\phi = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$  over a formally real field  $F$  is said to be *effectively diagonalized* if  $W(a_i) \subset W(a_{i+1}), i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . The field  $F$  is said to satisfy *ED* if every form over  $F$  can be effectively diagonalized.

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose  $F$  is a formally real field and  $\phi$  is a form which*

can be effectively diagonalized. Then

(i) If  $\phi$  is totally indefinite then we can write  $\phi = \beta \perp \phi'$  where  $\beta = \langle a, b \rangle$  is a binary form with  $a$  totally positive and  $b$  totally negative,

(ii) If  $\phi$  is totally indefinite then there exists an integer  $m \geq 1$  such that  $m\phi$  is isotropic (i.e.  $\phi$  is weakly isotropic in the sense of [3,8]).

(iii) If  $\phi \in W_t(F)$  then  $\phi = \beta_1 \perp \cdots \perp \beta_n$  where  $\beta_i = \langle a_i, b_i \rangle \in W_t(F)$  with  $a_i$  totally positive and  $b_i$  totally negative. In particular,  $\phi$  is strongly balanced in the sense of [7].

(iv) If  $\phi \in W_t(F)$  with  $\dim \phi = 2n$  then  $\phi = \phi_1 \perp \phi_2$  with  $\dim \phi_i = n$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and where  $\phi_1$  has signature  $n$  and  $\phi_2$  has signature  $-n$  relative to all orderings on  $F$ .

*Proof.* (i) Write  $\phi = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \rangle$  with  $W(a_i) \subset W(a_{i+1})$  for all  $i$ . Since  $\phi$  is totally indefinite  $W(a_1)$  must be empty and  $W(a_k) = X$ . Thus  $a_1$  is totally positive and  $a_k$  is totally negative so we can take  $\beta = \langle a_1, a_k \rangle$ .

(ii) Write  $\phi = \beta \perp \phi'$  with  $\beta = \langle a, b \rangle \in W_t(F)$ . Choose  $m \geq 1$  so that  $m\beta = 0$  in  $W(F)$ . Then  $m\phi$  is isotropic.

(iii) Write  $\phi = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \rangle$  with  $W(a_i) \subset W(a_{i+1})$  for all  $i$ . Since  $F$  is formally real and  $\phi \in W_t(F)$  it follows that  $k = 2n$  is even,  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are totally positive and  $a_{n+1}, \dots, a_k$  are totally negative. Hence we can take  $b_i = a_{n+i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

(iv) follows immediately from (iii).

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *If  $F$  is a formally real field satisfying ED then  $F$  satisfies SAP.*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Lemma 2.1 (ii), [3, Th. C], and [8, Satz 3.1] (see also [9, Th. 3.1]).

**EXAMPLES.** (i) If  $F$  has a unique ordering then  $F$  satisfies ED.

(ii) Let  $F = \mathcal{Q}((t))$  be the field of formal power series over  $\mathcal{Q}$ . As observed by Elman, Lam, and Prestel [3], the form  $\langle t, -2t \rangle \in W_t(F)$  does not represent a totally negative element and consequently cannot be effectively diagonalized. Thus  $F$  does not satisfy ED. Since  $F$  has only two orderings,  $F$  does satisfy SAP. Thus SAP does not imply ED.

However, we do have the following

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *A formally real field  $F$  satisfies SAP if and only*

if for any form  $\phi$  over  $F$  there exists an effectively diagonalized form  $\psi = \langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle$ ,  $n = \dim \phi$ , such that  $\phi - \psi \in W_t(F)$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) As in [9, Th. 3.1] we let  $Y_k = \{< \text{in } X \mid \sigma_{<}(\phi) = -n + 2k\}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Then the family  $\{Y_k \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, n\}$  is a partition of  $X$  and each  $Y_k$  is an open and closed subset of  $X$ . Since  $F$  satisfies SAP, there exist elements  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n+1}$  in  $\dot{F}$  such that  $W(b_i) = Y_0 \cup Y_1 \cup \dots \cup Y_{i-1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ . Then  $W(b_i) \subset W(b_{i+1})$  for all  $i$  and one readily checks that  $\sigma_{<}(\langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle) = \sigma_{<}(\phi)$  for all orderings  $<$  in  $X$ . Hence  $\phi - \langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle$  lies in  $W_t(F)$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) By [3, Th. C] and [8, Satz 3.1] it is enough to show that if  $\phi$  is totally indefinite then there exists  $m \geq 1$  such that  $m\phi$  is isotropic. Let  $\psi = \langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle$ ,  $n = \dim \phi$ , be an effectively diagonalized form with  $\phi - \psi \in W_t(F)$ . Then there exists an integer  $r \geq 1$  such that  $r\phi \cong r\psi$ . Since  $\phi$  is totally indefinite, this implies  $\psi$  is also totally indefinite so by Lemma 2.1 (ii) there exists an integer  $s \geq 1$  such that  $s\psi$  is isotropic. Hence if  $m = rs$  then  $m\phi$  is isotropic.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *For a formally real field  $F$  the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $F$  satisfies ED.
- (ii) If  $\phi$  is a form over  $F$  which represents 1 over all real closures of  $F$  then  $\phi$  represents a totally positive element of  $F$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Write  $\phi = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$  with  $W(a_i) \subset W(a_{i+1})$ . Since  $\phi$  represents 1 over all real closures it follows that  $W(a_1) = \phi$ , i.e.  $a_1$  is totally positive.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). We first show that any totally indefinite form over  $F$  is weakly isotropic and hence, in view of [3,8],  $F$  satisfies SAP. If  $\phi$  is totally indefinite then  $\phi$  represents 1 over all real closures and hence we can write  $\phi = \langle a \rangle \perp \phi_1$  where  $a$  is totally positive element of  $F$ . But then  $\phi_1$  represents  $-1$  over all real closures so  $\phi_1$  represent a totally negative element  $b$  in  $\dot{F}$ . Since  $\langle a, b \rangle \in W_t(F)$  it follows that  $\phi = \langle a, b \rangle \perp \psi$  is weakly isotropic.

Now let  $\psi$  be any form over  $F$ . Since  $F$  satisfies SAP there exists  $b$  in  $\dot{F}$  such that  $W(b) = \{< \in X \mid \sigma_{<}(\psi) = -\dim \psi\}$ . If  $W(b)$  is empty then  $\psi$  represents 1 over all real closures and hence represents a totally positive element. In this case the proof is finished by induction on  $\dim \psi$ . Hence we can assume that  $W(b)$  is non empty. Now  $W(b) \subset W(c)$  for

all elements  $c \neq 0$  represented by  $\psi$  and  $\psi \perp \langle -b \rangle$  represents 1 over all real closures. Thus  $\psi \perp \langle -b \rangle$  represents a totally positive element  $d$ . Since  $-b$  is not totally positive we can write  $d = a - bx^2$  where  $a \neq 0$  is represented by  $\psi$ . Then  $W(a) \subset W(b)$  so that  $W(a) \subset W(c)$  for all  $c$  in  $\bar{F}$  represented by  $\psi$ . Thus induction on  $\dim_{\psi}$  completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *If  $F$  is a formally real field satisfying some Hasse Principle  $H_n$  with  $n \geq 4$  then  $F$  satisfies ED.*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$  be a form over  $F$  which represents 1 over all real closure of  $F$ . Then  $\phi \perp n\langle -1 \rangle$  is totally indefinite whence isotropic. Thus there exists  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $F$  such that  $\phi$  represents the totally positive element  $x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 \in \bar{F}$ .

**COROLLARY 2.6** (cf. [1, Th. 5.3]). *For a formally real pythagorean field  $F$  the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $F$  satisfies SAP.
- (ii)  $F$  satisfies ED.
- (iii)  $F$  satisfies  $H_n$  for all  $n \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is a consequence of Proposition 2.3 and the equivalence of (ii) and (iii) follows from Lemma 2.1 (i) and Corollary 2.5.

### 3. Hasse principles and the $u$ -invariant

Any non-formally real field vacuously satisfies ED since  $X = X(F)$  is empty but need not satisfy  $H_n$  for any  $n$ . In fact, for  $F$  non-formally real,  $F$  satisfies  $H_n$  for some  $n \geq 2$  if and only if  $u = u(F)$  is finite. For formally real fields we have

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $F$  be a formally real field having at most a finite number of orderings. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $F$  satisfies  $H_n$  for some  $n \geq 4$ .
- (ii)  $F$  satisfies ED and  $u(F) < \infty$ .

Before proving Theorem 3.1 we introduce some terminology. A quadratic form  $\phi$  over  $F$  will be called *totally positive* if every non zero element of  $F$  represented by  $\phi$  is totally positive. Thus  $\phi$  is totally positive if and only if  $\phi = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  with  $a_i \in \Sigma \bar{F}^2, i = 1, \dots, n$ , if and only if  $\sigma_{\langle}(\phi) = \dim \phi$  for all orderings  $\langle$  of  $F$ . Denote by  $h$  the exponent

of  $W_t(F)$ .  $h$  is called the *height of  $F$*  and (when finite)  $h = 2^m$  where  $m \geq 0$  is the smallest integer such that every totally positive element of  $F$  is a sum of  $2^m$  squares in  $F$  [6, p. 311]. It follows immediately that if  $u(F)$  is finite then  $h$  is finite and  $h \leq u(F)$ .

The proof of Theorem 3.1 will use the following lemma:

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Suppose  $F$  is a field with  $u = u(F) < \infty$ . If  $\phi$  is a totally positive form over  $F$  with  $\dim \phi > 4^m(u + 1)$  for some  $m \geq 0$  then there exists  $a$  in  $\Sigma \dot{F}^2$  such that  $\phi = 2^{m+1}\langle a \rangle \perp \psi$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $m$ . If  $m = 0$  then  $\dim \phi > u + 1$  so there exists an integer  $n$  with  $u + 1 \leq 2n \leq \dim \phi$ . Write  $\phi = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle \perp \phi'$ . Then  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n, -b_1, \dots, -b_n \rangle \in W_t(F)$  and has dimension larger than  $u$ . Hence  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  and  $\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle$  represent a common element  $a \in \Sigma \dot{F}^2$ . Thus  $\phi = 2\langle a \rangle \perp \psi$ .

Now assume  $m > 0$  and choose  $\phi_1$  of biggest dimension such that  $\phi = 2\phi_1 \perp \phi_2$ . Then the foregoing argument shows that  $\dim \phi_2 \leq u + 1$ . Hence  $\dim \phi_1 > \frac{1}{2}(4^m - 1)(u + 1)$ . But  $m > 0$  implies that  $\frac{1}{2}(4^m - 1) > 4^{m-1}$  so  $\dim \phi_1 > 4^{m-1}(u + 1)$ . Hence by the induction hypothesis there exists  $a$  in  $\Sigma \dot{F}^2$  such that  $\phi_1 = 2^m\langle a \rangle \perp \psi_1$ . But then  $\phi = 2^{m+1}\langle a \rangle \perp \psi$  where  $\psi = 2\psi_1 \perp \phi_2$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). This follows from Corollary 2.5 and the fact that if  $H_n$  holds for some  $n \geq 2$  then  $u(F) < n$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $s < \infty$  be the number of orderings on  $F$ . Since  $u = u(F)$  is finite the height  $h$  of  $F$  is also finite (with  $h \leq u$ ) so we can write  $h = 2^m$  for some integer  $m \geq 0$ . We now assert that if  $n > (s + 1)\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^2 \cdot (u + 1)$  then  $H_n$  holds. To see this let  $\phi$  be a totally indefinite form over  $F$  with  $\dim \phi > (s + 1)\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^2(u + 1)$ . Since  $F$  satisfies ED we can find elements  $a_{ij}$  in  $F$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n_i$ , such that for each  $i$ ,  $W(a_{i1}) = \dots = W(a_{in_i})$ ,  $W(a_{i1}) \subseteq W(a_{i+1,1})$ , and  $\phi = \phi_1 \perp \phi_2 \perp \dots \perp \phi_k$  where  $\phi_i = \langle a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{in_i} \rangle$ . Then by choosing orderings in  $W(a_{i+1,1}) - W(a_{i1})$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$  we see that  $s \geq k - 1$ . Hence  $\dim \phi = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k > (s + 1)\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^2(u + 1) \geq k\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^2(u + 1)$ . Thus there must exist some  $i$

with  $n_i > \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^2(u + 1) = 4^{m-1}(u + 1)$ . Now  $W(a_{i1}) = \dots = W(a_{in_i})$  so the form  $\langle a_{i1} \rangle \phi_i = \langle a_{i1} \rangle \otimes \phi_i$  is totally positive and hence by Lemma 3.2,  $\langle a_{i1} \rangle \phi_i = 2^m \langle a \rangle \perp \psi$  for some  $a$  in  $\Sigma \dot{F}^2$ . Hence  $\langle a_{i1} \rangle \phi = 2^m \langle a \rangle \perp \phi'$  for some subform  $\phi'$ . Let  $\phi' = \langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r \rangle$  be an effective diagonalization of  $\phi'$ . Then  $\langle a_{i1} \rangle \phi = 2^m \langle a \rangle \perp \langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r \rangle$  is an effective diagonalization. Since  $\phi$  is totally indefinite so is  $\langle a_{i1} \rangle \phi$  so  $b_r$  must be totally negative. But  $h = 2^m$  implies that  $2^m \langle a \rangle$  represents all totally positive elements of  $F$ . Thus  $\langle a_{i1} \rangle \phi$  is isotropic whence  $\phi$  is also isotropic.

*Remark.* For many fields the bound  $\left(n > (s + 1) \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^2 (u + 1)\right)$  obtained in the proof of Theorem 3.1 is not very precise. In the case that  $F = Q$ , the proof shows that  $H_n$  holds for all  $n > 40$  while it is well known that  $n \geq 5$  suffices. Moreover, there exist fields having an infinite number of orderings (for example, the pythagorean closure of  $Q$ ) which satisfy the equivalent conditions of the theorem.

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *Let  $F$  be a field having a unique ordering. Then  $u(F) < \infty$  if and only if  $F$  satisfies  $H_n$  for some  $n \geq 2$ . In this case,  $F$  satisfies  $H_n$  for all  $n > \frac{1}{2}h^2(u + 1)$ .*

*Proof.* A field having a unique ordering satisfies ED.

**EXAMPLE.** If  $F = Q((t))$  then  $F$  has exactly two orderings and  $u(F) = 8$  but as observed in [3],  $F$  fails to satisfy  $H_n$  for any  $n \geq 2$ .

**4. Kneser's Theorem**

In this section we present two more generalizations (cf. [2, Th. 2.4, Cor. 2.5, and Th. 3.1]) of Kneser's Theorem which states that if  $F$  is a non-formally real field and  $q = |\dot{F}/\dot{F}^2|$  then  $u(F) \leq q$ . For this purpose we introduce the following notation. For a form  $\phi$  over  $F$ , let  $D(\phi) = \{a \in \dot{F}/\dot{F}^2 | a \text{ is represented by } \phi\}$ .

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Let  $F$  be a field and  $\phi$  a totally positive form over  $F$ . If  $D(\phi) \neq \Sigma \dot{F}^2/\dot{F}^2$  then for any  $a$  in  $\Sigma \dot{F}^2$ ,  $D(\phi \perp \langle a \rangle) \neq D(\phi)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $D(\phi \perp \langle a \rangle) = D(\phi)$  then for any integer  $n \geq 1$ ,  $D(\phi \perp n \langle a \rangle) = D(\phi)$ . Now if  $b \in \Sigma \dot{F}^2$  then  $ab$  is a sum of  $k$  squares in  $F$  for some  $k \geq 1$  which implies that  $b$  is represented by the form  $k \langle a \rangle$ . Hence

$b \in D(\phi \perp k\langle a \rangle) = D(\phi)$ , contrary to assumption.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *If  $F$  is a formally real field satisfying ED then  $u(F) \leq |\Sigma\dot{F}^2/\dot{F}^2|$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t = |\Sigma\dot{F}^2/\dot{F}^2|$ . It is enough to show that if  $\phi \in W_t(F)$  with  $\dim \phi \geq t + 2$  then  $\phi$  is isotropic. Since  $F$  is formally real and satisfies ED we can write  $\phi = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_m \rangle$  where  $a_i \in \Sigma\dot{F}^2$ ,  $b_i \in -\Sigma\dot{F}^2$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $m \geq \frac{t+2}{2}$ . Then by Lemma 4.1,  $|D(\langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle)| > \frac{t}{2}$  and  $|D(\langle -b_1, \dots, -b_m \rangle)| > \frac{t}{2}$ . Thus there exists  $a \in D(\langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle) \cap D(\langle -b_1, \dots, -b_m \rangle)$ . But then  $-a \in D(\langle b_1, \dots, b_m \rangle)$ , whence  $\phi$  is isotropic.

**EXAMPLE.** The hypothesis that  $F$  satisfies ED is needed here since if we let  $F_0$  be a formally real field having square classes  $\{\pm 1, \pm 2\}$  (such fields exist by [4, p. 302]) and let  $F = F_0((t))$  then  $u(F) = 4$  but  $t = |\Sigma\dot{F}^2/\dot{F}^2| = 2$ .

**COROLLARY 4.3.** *Let  $F$  be a formally real field satisfying ED. If  $q = |\dot{F}/\dot{F}^2| < \infty$  then  $u(F) \leq 2^{-s}q$  where  $s$  is the number of distinct orderings of  $F$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $F$  satisfies ED,  $F$  also satisfies SAP so it follows from (the proof of) Example 4.10 (iii) in [5] that  $|\dot{F}/\Sigma\dot{F}^2| = 2^s$ . Hence  $q = |\dot{F}/\dot{F}^2| = |\dot{F}/\Sigma\dot{F}^2| |\Sigma\dot{F}^2/\dot{F}^2| = 2^s |\Sigma\dot{F}^2/\dot{F}^2|$ .

**THEOREM 4.4.** *Let  $F$  be a formally real field which satisfies ED and suppose  $q < \infty$ . Write  $q = 2^s t$  where  $t = |\Sigma\dot{F}^2/\dot{F}^2|$  and  $s$  is the number of orderings on  $F$ . Then  $F$  satisfies  $H_n$  for all  $n > s(t-1) + 1$ .*

*In particular,  $H_n$  holds for all  $n \geq \frac{q}{2} + 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$  be a totally indefinite form over  $F$  and write  $\phi = \langle a_{11}, \dots, a_{1n_1}, a_{21}, \dots, a_{2n_2}, \dots, a_{k1}, \dots, a_{kn_k} \rangle$  where, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ,  $W(a_{i1}) = \dots = W(a_{in_i})$  and  $W(a_{i1}) \subseteq W(a_{i+1,1})$ . Then  $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k = \dim \phi$  and  $k \leq s + 1$ . If  $\phi$  is anisotropic then by Lemma 4.1,  $n_1 + n_k \leq t$  since otherwise  $D(\langle a_{11}, \dots, a_{1n_1} \rangle)$  and  $D(\langle -a_{k1}, \dots, -a_{kn_k} \rangle)$  would have an element in common. Moreover, by replacing  $\phi$  by  $\langle a_{i1} \rangle \phi$  and using effective diagonalization (as in the proof of Theorem 3.1) we see that



$n_i \leq t - 1$  for  $i = 2, \dots, k - 1$ . Hence  $\dim \phi = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k \leq t + (k - 2)(t - 1) \leq t + (s - 1)(t - 1) = s(t - 1) + 1$ . Thus if  $\dim \phi > s(t - 1) + 1$  then  $\phi$  is isotropic. For the last statement, note that  $\frac{q}{2} + 1 = 2^{s-1}t + 1 > s(t - 1) + 1$ .

**COROLLARY 4.5.** *Let  $F$  be a field having a unique ordering. If  $q < \infty$  then  $H_n$  holds for all  $n > \frac{q}{2}$ .*

**COROLLARY 4.6.** *Let  $F$  be a formally real field satisfying ED. If  $F$  has more than one ordering then  $H_n$  holds for all  $n \geq \frac{q}{2}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $s \geq 2$  then  $\frac{q}{2} = 2^{s-1}t > s(t - 1) + 1$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] R. Elman and T. Y. Lam, Quadratic forms over formally real fields and pythagorean fields, *Amer. J. Math.* **94** (1972), 1155–1194.
- [ 2 ] R. Elman and T. Y. Lam, Quadratic forms and the  $u$ -invariant. I, *Math. Z.* **131** (1973), 283–304.
- [ 3 ] R. Elman, T. Y. Lam, and A. Prestel, On some Hasse Principles over formally real fields, *Math. Z.* **134** (1973), 291–301.
- [ 4 ] H. Gross and H. R. Fischer, Non-real fields  $k$  and infinite dimensional  $k$ -vector spaces, *Math. Ann.* **159** (1965), 285–308.
- [ 5 ] M. Knebusch, A. Rosenberg, and R. Ware, Signatures on semilocal rings, *J. Algebra* **26** (1973), 208–250.
- [ 6 ] T. Y. Lam, “The Algebraic Theory of Quadratic Forms”, W. A. Benjamin, Reading, Massachusetts, 1973.
- [ 7 ] A. Pfister, Quadratische Formen in beliebigen Körpern, *Invent. Math.* **1** (1966), 116–132.
- [ 8 ] A. Prestel, Quadratische Semi-Ordnungen und quadratische Formen, *Math. Z.* **133** (1973), 319–342.
- [ 9 ] A. Rosenberg and R. Ware, Equivalent topological properties of the space of signatures of a semilocal ring, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, to appear.

*Department of Mathematics  
Pennsylvania State University*

