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## 1. Introduction

Let $A=k[X, Y, Z]$ and $k[U]$ be polynomial rings over a field $k$ and let $l$, $m$ and $n$ be positive integers with $\operatorname{gcd}(l, m, n)=1$. We denote by $p$ the defining ideal of the space monomial curve $x=u^{l}, y=u^{m}$, and $z=u^{n}$. In other words, $p$ is the kernel of the $k$-algebra homomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow k[U]$ defined by $\varphi(X)=$ $U^{l}, \varphi(Y)=U^{m}$, and $\varphi(Z)=U^{n}$. Let $R_{s}(p)$ be a symbolic Rees algebra of $p$, i.e., $R_{s}(p)=\sum_{i \geq 0} p^{(i)} t^{i}$, where $t$ is an indeterminate over $A$, and let $S$ be an $A$-subalgebra of $R_{s}(p)$ generated by $p t$ and $p^{(2)} t^{2}$, i.e., $S=A\left[p t, p^{(2)} t^{2}\right]$. In this paper we are mainly interested in the Cohen-Macaulay or Gorenstein property of $S$.

The research on the ring-theoretic property of $S$ was begun by Herzog and Ulrich [7], who show among many interesting results that, if $p$ is self-linked, that is $p=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right): p$ for some $x_{1}, x_{2} \in p$, then $S$ is a Gorenstein ring. When $p$ is not self-linked, however, there are examples where $S$ is Cohen-Macaulay but not Gorenstein (cf. [7, Example 2.4]), and examples where $S$ is not Cohen-Macaulay (cf. [4, Example (3.8)]). The principal aim of this paper is to determine exactly when $S$ is Cohen-Macaulay. To state our main result, we assume that $p$ is not a complete intersection and choose a matrix $M$ of the form

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
X^{a_{1}} & Y^{b_{1}} & Z^{c_{1}} \\
Y^{b_{2}} & Z^{c_{2}} & X^{a_{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

(here $a_{i}, b_{i}$ and $c_{\imath}$ are positive integers) so that the ideal $p$ is generated by the 2 by 2 minors of $M$. We note that this choice is possible, see [5]. Then as was shown in [7, Corollary 1.10], $p$ is not self-linked if and only if either $a_{1}>a_{2}, b_{1}$ $>b_{2}$ and $c_{1}>c_{2}$ or $a_{1}<a_{2}, b_{1}<b_{2}$ and $c_{1}<c_{2}$. If for simplicity we assume that $a_{1}>a_{2}, b_{1}>b_{2}$, and $c_{1}>c_{2}$, then our main result can be stated as follows.

[^0]Theorem 1.1. With the above notation the following two conditions are equivalent.
(1) $S=A\left[p t, p^{(2)} t^{2}\right]$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.
(2) $\left(a_{1}-2 a_{2}\right)\left(b_{1}-2 b_{2}\right)\left(c_{1}-2 c_{2}\right) \geq 0$

When this is the case, the Cohen-Macaulay type of $S$ is equal to three.

It follows from this theorem that $S$ is never a Gorenstein ring, unless $p$ is either a complete intersection or a self-linked ideal. Goto, Nishida and Shimoda have discovered that condition (2) in Theorem 1.1 implies condition (1) (cf. [4, Theorem (3.1)]). Thus our contribution is to show that condition (2) is also necessary for $S$ to be a Cohen-Macaulay ring. We shall prove Theorem 1.1 in the next section.

In section 3 we shall study certain projective space monomial curves. Let $B=k[X, Y, Z, W]$ and $k[U, V]$ be polynomial rings over $k$ and let $\Phi: B \rightarrow$ $k[U, V]$ be the $k$-algebra homomorphism defined by $\Phi(X)=U^{l}, \Phi(Y)=$ $U^{m} V^{l-m}, \Phi(Z)=U^{n} V^{l-n}$, and $\Phi(W)=V^{l}$, where $l>m, l>n$ and $m \neq n$. Let $P=\operatorname{Ker} \Phi$ and let $T=B\left[P t, P^{(2)} t^{2}\right]$ be a $B$-subalgebra of $R_{s}(P)$. We shall also discuss the Cohen-Macaulay property of $T$ and we get a result which is a projective analogy of Theorem 1.1 (see Theorem 3.7). The proof and some corollaries will be given in section 3 .

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $A=k[X, Y, Z]$ and $k[U]$ be polynomial rings over a field $k$. Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow k[U]$ be the $k$-algebra homomorphism defined by $\varphi(X)=U^{l}, \varphi(Y)=$ $U^{m}$, and $\varphi(Z)=U^{n}$, where $l, m, n$ are positive integers with $\operatorname{gcd}(l, m, n)=1$. We denote $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi$ by $p(l, m, n)$, then as is well-known, unless $p(l, m, n)$ is a complete intersection, $p(l, m, n)$ is generated by the maximal minors of a matrix $M$ of the form

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
X^{a_{1}} & Y^{b_{1}} & Z^{c_{1}} \\
Y^{b_{2}} & Z^{c_{2}} & X^{a_{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

with $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2}, c_{1}$, and $c_{2}$ positive integers (cf. [5]).
Throughout this section we assume that $p=p(l, m, n)$ is not a complete intersection. The purpose is to investigate the ring $S=A\left[p t, p^{(2)} t^{2}\right]$. To begin with we put

$$
e_{1}=Z^{c_{1}+c_{2}}-X^{a_{2}} Y^{b_{1}}, e_{2}=X^{a_{1}+a_{2}}-Y^{b_{2}} Z^{c_{1}}, \text { and } e_{3}=Y^{b_{1}+b_{2}}-X^{a_{2}} Z^{c_{2}}
$$

(hence $p=\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right) A$ ) and

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a=\min \left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}, & a_{3}=\max \left\{a_{1}-a_{2}, 0\right\}, & a_{3}^{\prime}=\max \left\{0, a_{2}-a_{1}\right\}, \\
b=\min \left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}, & b_{3}=\max \left\{b_{1}-b_{2}, 0\right\}, & b_{3}^{\prime}=\max \left\{0, b_{2}-b_{1}\right\}, \\
c=\min \left\{c_{1}, a_{2}\right\}, & c_{3}=\max \left\{c_{1}-c_{2}, 0\right\}, & c_{3}^{\prime}=\max \left\{0, c_{2}-c_{1}\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

Then we have the following
Lemma 2.1 ([3], [10], [12]). There exists an element $\Delta$ of $p^{(2)}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X^{a} \Delta-Y^{b_{3}} Z^{c_{3}^{\prime}} e_{2}^{2}+Y^{b_{3}^{\prime}} Z^{c_{3}} e_{1} e_{3}=0 \\
& Y^{b} \Delta-X^{a_{3}^{\prime}} Z^{c_{3}} e_{3}^{2}+X^{a_{3}} Z^{c_{3}} e_{1} e_{2}=0 \\
& Z^{c} \Delta-X^{a_{3}} Y^{b_{3}^{\prime}} e_{1}^{2}+X^{a_{3}^{\prime}} Y^{b_{3}} e_{2} e_{3}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have $p^{(2)}=p^{2}+(\Delta)$.
Proof. See [3, Proposition 2.4], [3, Corollary 2.5], or [10, Lemma 2.3].
Let $R=A\left[T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{4}\right]$ and $A[t]$ be polynomial rings and let $\psi: R \rightarrow A[t]$ be the $A$-algebra homomorphism such that $\psi\left(T_{i}\right)=e_{i} t$ for $i=1,2,3$, and $\phi\left(T_{4}\right)=\Delta t^{2}$. Then $J=\operatorname{Ker} \psi$ is a prime ideal in $R$ with $^{\text {ht }}{ }_{R} J=3$, and contains the following five elements

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=X^{a_{1}} T_{1}+Y^{b_{1}} T_{2}+Z^{c_{1}} T_{3}, \\
& f_{2}=Y^{b_{2}} T_{1}+Z^{c_{2}} T_{2}+X^{a_{2}} T_{3}, \\
& g_{1}=X^{a} T_{4}-Y^{b_{3}} Z^{c_{3}^{\prime}} T_{2}^{2}+Y^{b_{3}^{\prime}} Z^{c_{3}} T_{1} T_{3}, \\
& g_{2}=Y^{b} T_{4}-X^{a_{3}} Z^{c_{3}} T_{3}^{2}+X^{a_{3}} Z^{c_{3}} T_{1} T_{2}, \\
& g_{3}=Z^{c} T_{4}-X^{a_{3}} Y^{b_{3}^{\prime}} T_{1}^{2}+X^{a_{3}^{\prime}} Y^{b_{3}} T_{2} T_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We put $I=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right) R$. These $f_{1}, f_{2}, g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}$ are pfaffians with degree four in the skew symmetric matrix.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & Z^{\delta_{3}^{\prime}} T_{2} & X^{a_{3}^{\prime}} T_{3} & Y^{b_{3}^{\prime}} T_{1} & T_{4} \\
-Z^{c_{3}^{\prime}} T_{2} & 0 & Y^{b} & -X^{a} & Z^{\mathrm{c}_{3}} T_{3} \\
-X^{a_{3}} T_{3} & -Y^{b} & 0 & Z^{c} & X^{a_{3}} T_{1} \\
-Y^{b_{3}} T_{1} & X^{a} & -Z^{c} & 0 & Y^{b_{3}} T_{2} \\
-T_{4} & -Z^{c_{3}} T_{3} & -X^{a_{3}} T_{1} & -Y^{b_{3}} T_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since $A\left[T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}\right] /\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right) \cong R(p)$ (the Rees algebra of $p$ ), that is an integra domain (cf. [14, Theorem 3.6]), we get that $f_{1}, f_{2}$, and $g_{1}$ forms an $R$-regular sequ-
ence. Hence by [1, Theorem 2.1] we have the following
Lemma 2.2 ([4, Lemma (3.2)], [7]). $\quad R / I$ is a Gorenstein ring of dimension four.

We say that $p$ is self-linked if there exist elements $x_{1}, x_{2}$ in $p$ such that $p=$ $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right): p$. In [7, Corollary 1.10] it is proved on the local ring $\hat{A}=[[X, Y, Z]]$ that conditions (1) and (2) of the following lemma are equivalent. But we need the equivalence of these on $A=k[X, Y, Z]$.

Lemma 2.3 ([7, Corollary 1.10]). The following conditions are equivalent.
(1) $p$ is not a self-linked ideal.
(2) The matrix $M$ satisfies one of the following conditions.
(a) $a_{1}>a_{2}, b_{1}>b_{2}$, and $c_{1}>c_{2}$.
(b) $a_{1}<a_{2}, b_{1}<b_{2}$, and $c_{1}<c_{2}$.

Proof. If $p=I_{2}(M)$ is self-linked, then so is $p \hat{A}=I_{2}(M) \hat{A}$. By [7, Corollary 1.10] we have that condition (2) implies condition (1).

Next we assume that condition (2) is not satisfied. After elementary row and column operations on $M$, we may assume that the components of the first column of $M$ are part of a minimal system of generators of $I_{1}(M)$. So we suppose $a_{1} \leq a_{2}$, $b_{1} \geq b_{2}$ and construct a 2 by 3 matrix

$$
N=M\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
Y^{b_{1}-b_{2}} & X^{a_{2}-a_{1}} & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then the matrix obtained by deleting the last column of $N$ is symmetric and $p=I_{2}(N)$. We have by [15, Theorem 2.1] that $p$ is self-linked.

Let $\mathfrak{m}=(X, Y, Z) R$. Observing the generators $f_{1}, f_{2}, g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}$ of $I$, we see by Lemma 2.3 that $p$ is not self-linked if and only if $I \subset \mathrm{~m}$. Therefore we have by the lemma stated below that $p$ is self-linked if and only if $I=J$. Although the following lemma is proved in [4], we show the proof for the completeness of this paper.

Lemma 2.4 ([4, Lemma 3.3]). $\operatorname{Ass}_{R} R / I \subset\{J, \mathfrak{m}\}$ and $I R_{J}=J R_{J}$.
Proof. By Lemma 2.2, we have $J \in \operatorname{Min}_{R} R / I=\operatorname{Ass}_{R} R / I$. Choose $\xi \in$
$\left(X^{a}, Y^{b}, Z^{c}\right) A \backslash \cup \cup_{\left.Q \in \mathrm{Ass}_{R} R / \backslash \backslash \mathfrak{m}\right\}} Q$ and write $\xi=\lambda_{1} X^{a}+\lambda_{2} Y^{b}+\lambda_{3} Z^{c}$, where $\lambda_{i} \in A$. We put $g=\lambda_{1} g_{1}+\lambda_{2} g_{2}+\lambda_{3} g_{3}$, then $g=\xi T_{4}-\eta$ with $\eta \in A\left(\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right.\right.$, $\left.T_{3}\right]$ and $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, T_{4}-\eta / \xi\right) R[1 / \xi] \subset I R[1 / \xi] \subset J R[1 / \xi]$. Note that $A\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right.$, $\left.T_{3}\right] /\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ is an integral domain of dimension four and so $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, T_{4}-\eta / \xi\right)$. $R[1 / \xi]$ is a prime ideal in $R[1 / \xi]$ of height three. Therefore $I R[1 / \xi]=J R[1 /$ $\xi]$ and this implies the assertions of Lemma 2.4.

Here we note that, if $p$ is not self-linked, then we have a primary decomposition of $I$ of the form $I=J \cap Q$, where $Q$ is an m-primary ideal.

Let $\mathfrak{M}=\left(X, Y, Z, T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{4}\right) R$. The invariant $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Ext}_{S}^{4}(S / \mathfrak{M} S, S)$ with respect to $S$, we denote by $\mathrm{r}(S)$, is called Cohen-Macaulay type of $S$. It is known that $\mathrm{r}(S)=\mu_{S}\left(K_{S}\right)$, where $K_{S}$ is the canonical module of $S$ and $\mu_{S}()$ denotes the minimal number of generators (cf. [6]). The following proposition is the key in our proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 2.5. Suppose that $p$ is not a self-linked ideal. Then the following conditions are equivalent.
(1) $S=A\left[p t, p^{(2)} t^{2}\right]$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.
(2) $I R_{\mathfrak{m}} \cap R=\left(X^{\alpha}, Y^{\beta}, Z^{\gamma}\right) R$ for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 1$.

When this is the case, $\mathrm{r}(S)=3$.

Proof. Let $I=J \cap Q$ be the primary decomposition of $I$, where $Q$ is an m-primary ideal. Note that $\left[I:_{R} J\right]=Q$ and $\left[I:_{R} Q\right]=J$, and we have by [9, Proposition 3.1] that $S=R / J$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring if and only if $R / Q$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. Thus condition (2) implies condition (1). Now $K_{S}=$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{R / I}(R / J, R / I) \cong\left[I:_{R} J / I=Q / I\right.$ as $R$-modules and $l_{R}(Q / I+\mathfrak{M} Q)$ $=l_{R}(Q / \mathfrak{M} Q)=3$. Hence we get $\mathrm{r}(S)=3$.

Next we assume assertion (1), then $R / Q$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. We may assume that $a_{1}>a_{2}, b_{1}>b_{2}$, and $c_{1}>c_{2}$ by Lemma 2.3. We put positive integers $\alpha=\min \left\{a_{2}, a_{3}\right\}, \beta=\min \left\{b_{2}, b_{3}\right\}$, and $\gamma=\min \left\{c_{2}, c_{3}\right\} . Q=I R_{\mathrm{m}} \cap R$ is contained in $\left(X^{\alpha}, Y^{\beta}, Z^{\gamma}\right) R$, since $\left(X^{\alpha}, Y^{\beta}, Z^{\gamma}\right) R$ is an m-primary ideal and contains $I$. We shall show the opposite inclusion. $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{4}$ is a system of parameters of $(R / Q)_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{4}$ forms an $(R / Q)_{\mathfrak{M}}$-regular sequence, because $\operatorname{rad}\left(Q+\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{4}\right) R\right)=\mathfrak{M}$. Thus we have $\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{4}\right) R_{\mathfrak{M}} \cap$ $Q_{\mathfrak{M}}=\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{4}\right)=Q_{\mathfrak{M}}$, and this implies $\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{4}\right) R \cap Q=\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right.$, $\left.T_{3}, T_{4}\right) Q$.

We regard $R$ as a graded ring with $\operatorname{deg} X=\operatorname{deg} Y=\operatorname{deg} Z=0, \operatorname{deg} T_{1}$ $=\operatorname{deg} T_{2}=\operatorname{deg} T_{3}=1$, and $\operatorname{deg} T_{4}=2$. Then $Q$ is a graded ideal, since $I$ is
generated by homogeneous elements. We can choose homogeneous elements $u_{1}$, $u_{2}, \ldots, u_{s} \in Q \cap R_{0}$ and $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{t} \in Q \cap \oplus_{i \geq 1} R_{i}$ which generate $Q$. Then $q$ $=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{s}\right) A$ is an ideal of $A$ and each $v_{i}$ belongs to $Q \cap\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{4}\right) R$ $=\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{4}\right) Q$ and hence $Q=q R+\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{4}\right) Q$. By Nakayama's lemma we have $Q=q R$.

We have $X^{a_{1}}, Y^{b_{1}}, Z^{c_{1}} \in q R$, since $f_{1}=X^{a_{1}} T_{1}+Y^{b_{1}} T_{2}+Z^{c_{1}} T_{3} \in q R$. Similarly

$$
\left(X^{a_{1}}, Y^{b_{1}}, Z^{c_{1}}, X^{a_{2}}, Y^{b_{2}}, Z^{c_{2}}, X^{a_{3}}, Y^{b_{3}}, Z^{c_{3}}\right) A \subset q .
$$

Therefore $\left(X^{\alpha}, Y^{\beta}, Z^{\gamma}\right) R \subset q R=Q$, and thus we have $Q=\left(X^{\alpha}, Y^{\beta}, Z^{\gamma}\right) R$, as required.

Theorem 1.1 means that the Cohen-Macaulay property of $S$ is determined by the matrix $M$. In order to prove this theorem, we assume that $p$ is not self-linked and $a_{1}>a_{2}, b_{1}>b_{2}$, and $c_{1}>c_{2}$. We put positive integers $\alpha=\min \left\{a_{2}, a_{3}\right\}, \beta=$ $\min \left\{b_{2}, b_{3}\right\}, \gamma=\min \left\{c_{2}, c_{3}\right\}$, and a matrix

$$
U=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
X^{a_{2}-\alpha} T_{4} & X^{a_{3}-\alpha} T_{1} T_{2} & -X^{a_{3}-\alpha} T_{1}^{2} \\
-Y^{b_{3}-\beta} T_{2}^{2} & Y^{b_{2}-\beta} T_{4} & Y^{b_{3}-\beta} T_{1} T_{3} \\
Z^{c_{3}-\gamma} T_{1} T_{3} & -Z^{c_{2}-\gamma} T_{3}^{2} & Z^{c_{2}-\gamma} T_{4}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Lemma 2.6. The inequality $\left(a_{1}-2 a_{2}\right)\left(b_{1}-2 b_{2}\right)\left(c_{1}-2 c_{2}\right) \geq 0$ holds if and only if $\operatorname{det} U \notin \mathfrak{m}$.

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}= & X^{a_{2}-\alpha} Y^{b_{2}-\beta} Z^{c_{2}-\gamma} T_{4}^{3}+X^{a_{3}-\alpha} Y^{b_{2}-\beta} Z^{c_{3}-r} T_{1}^{3} T_{3} T_{4} \\
& +X^{a_{3}-\alpha} Y^{b_{3}-\beta} Z^{c_{2}-\gamma} T_{1} T_{2}^{3} T_{4}+X^{a_{2}-\alpha} Y^{b_{3}-\beta} Z^{c_{3}-\gamma} T_{2} T_{3}^{3} T_{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $\operatorname{det} U \notin \mathfrak{m}$ if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied.
(1) $X^{a_{2}-\alpha} Y^{b_{2}-\beta} Z^{c_{2}-r}=1$,
(2) $X^{a_{3}-\alpha} Y^{b_{2}-\beta} Z^{c_{3}-\tau}=1$,
(3) $X^{a_{3}-\alpha} Y^{b_{3}-\beta} Z^{c_{2}-\gamma}=1$,
(4) $X^{a_{2}-\alpha} Y^{b_{3}-\beta} Z^{c_{3}-r}=1$.

By the definition of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$, condition (1) is equivalent to saying that $a_{2} \leq a_{3}$, $b_{2} \leq b_{3}$, and $c_{2} \leq c_{3}$. Further by the definition of $a_{3}, b_{3}, c_{3}$, we have that condition (1) and the following condition (1)' are equivalent.
(1)' $a_{1}-2 a_{2} \geq 0, b_{1}-2 b_{2} \geq 0$, and $c_{1}-2 c_{2} \geq 0$.

Similarly (2), (3), (4) are equivalent to the following conditions (2)', (3)', (4)', respectively.
(2)' $a_{1}-2 a_{2} \leq 0, b_{1}-2 b_{2} \geq 0$, and $c_{1}-2 c_{2} \leq 0$.
(3)' $a_{1}-2 a_{2} \leq 0, b_{1}-2 b_{2} \leq 0$, and $c_{1}-2 c_{2} \geq 0$.
(4)' $a_{1}-2 a_{2} \geq 0, b_{1}-2 b_{2} \leq 0$, and $c_{1}-2 c_{2} \leq 0$.

This implies that the inequality $\left(a_{1}-2 a_{2}\right)\left(b_{1}-2 b_{2}\right)\left(c_{1}-2 c_{2}\right) \geq 0$ holds.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 it is sufficient to prove that $\operatorname{det} U \notin \mathrm{~m}$ if and only if $I R_{\mathrm{m}}=\left(X^{\alpha}, Y^{\beta}, Z^{\gamma}\right) R_{\mathrm{m}}$. Note that by Nakayama's lemma $I R_{\mathrm{m}}=\left(X^{\alpha}, Y^{\beta}, Z^{\gamma}\right) R_{\mathrm{m}}$ if and only if $I \otimes_{R} K=\left(X^{\alpha}, Y^{\beta}\right.$, $\left.Z^{\gamma}\right) \otimes_{R} K$, where $K=R_{\mathrm{m}} / \mathrm{m} R_{\mathrm{m}}$ the residue field of m . We put a matrix

$$
V=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
X^{a_{1}-\alpha} T_{1} & X^{a_{2}-\alpha} T_{3} & X^{a_{2}-\alpha} T_{4} & X^{a_{3}-\alpha} T_{1} T_{2} & -X^{a_{3}-\alpha} T_{1}^{2} \\
Y^{b_{1}-\beta} T_{2} & Y^{b_{2}-\beta} T_{1} & -Y^{b_{3}-\beta} T_{2}^{2} & Y^{b_{2}-\beta} T_{4} & Y^{b_{3}-\beta} T_{2} T_{3} \\
Z^{c_{1}-\gamma} T_{3} & Z^{c_{2}-\gamma} T_{2} & Z^{c_{3}-\gamma} T_{1} T_{3} & -Z^{c_{3}-\gamma} T_{3}^{2} & Z^{c_{2}-\gamma} T_{4}
\end{array}\right],
$$

then

$$
\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right]=\left[X^{\alpha}, Y^{\beta}, Z^{\gamma}\right] V
$$

We denote the $i$-th column vector of $V$ by $v_{i}$. We have $v_{1} \in \mathfrak{m} R^{3}$ and $T_{4} v_{2}=T_{3} v_{3}$ $+T_{1} v_{4}+T_{2} v_{5}$. We have $I \otimes_{R} K=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right) \otimes_{R} K$, since $\left[g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right]=\left[X^{\alpha}\right.$, $\left.Y^{\beta}, Z^{\gamma}\right] U$ and $U=\left[v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}\right]$. Therefore $\operatorname{det} U \notin \mathfrak{m} \Leftrightarrow\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right) \otimes_{R} K=$ $\left(X^{\alpha}, Y^{\beta}, Z^{\gamma}\right) \otimes_{R} K \Leftrightarrow I \otimes_{R} K=\left(X^{\alpha}, Y^{\beta}, Z^{\gamma}\right) \otimes_{R} K$.

Example 2.7 Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}=p\left(n^{2}+2 n+2, n^{2}+2 n+1, n^{2}+n+1\right), \text { where } n \geq 2, \\
& p_{2}=p\left(n^{2}, n^{2}+1, n^{2}+n+1\right), \text { where } n \geq 3, \\
& p_{3}=p\left(n^{2}+n+1, n^{2}+2 n-1,2 n^{2}-1\right), \text { where } n \geq 3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(1) ([4, Example (3.7)]) $S$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring of $\mathrm{r}(S)=3$ for $p=p_{1}$ or $p=p_{2}$.
(2) $S$ is not a Cohen-Macaulay ring for $p=p_{3}$.

Proof. The prime ideals $p_{1}, p_{2}$, and $p_{3}$ are respectively generated by the maximal minors of the matrices

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
X^{n} & Y^{n} & Z^{n+1} \\
Y & Z & X
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
X^{n} & Y^{n} & Z^{n-1} \\
Y & Z & X
\end{array}\right] \text { and }\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
X^{n} & Y^{n} & Z^{n} \\
Y & Z & X^{n-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since each $p_{i}$ is not self-linked, by Theorem 1.1 we get conclusions (1) and (2).

## 3. The projective cases

In this section we study a projective analogy of Theorem 1.1. For this purpose we need preliminaries, which are arguments on relations between non-homogeneous and homogeneous elements (cf. [16, Chap. VII §5]).

Let $A=k\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ and $B=k\left[Y_{0}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right]$ be polynomial rings. We regard $A$ and $B$ as graded rings with the grading

$$
\eta_{i}=\operatorname{deg} X_{i}=\operatorname{deg} Y_{i} \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, n \text { and } \eta_{0}=\operatorname{deg} Y_{0}
$$

where $\eta_{0}>0$ and $\eta_{0}$ divides $\eta_{i}$ for any $i(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$. For any polynomial $g=g\left(Y_{0}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)$ in $B$, we associate the polynomial $i_{Y_{0}}(g)$ in $A$ defined by

$$
i_{Y_{0}}(g)=g\left(1, X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)
$$

Then $i_{Y_{0}}: B \rightarrow A$ is a $k$-algebra homomorphism.
Conversely for any non-zero polynomial $f=f\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ in $A$, we define its homogenized polynomial ${ }^{h} f$ in $B$ as follows:

$$
{ }^{n} f=Y_{0}^{\operatorname{deg} f / n_{0}} f\left(\frac{Y_{1}}{Y_{0}^{\zeta_{1}}}, \ldots, \frac{Y_{n}}{Y_{0}^{\zeta_{n}}}\right)
$$

where $\zeta_{i}=\eta_{i} / \eta_{0}$. Note that $i_{Y_{0}}\left({ }^{h} f\right)=f$ for $0 \neq f \in A$. When $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal in $A$, we denote by ${ }^{h} \mathfrak{a}$ the ideal in $B$ which is generated by $\left\{^{h} f \mid f \in \mathfrak{a}\right\}$. We can check that, if $\mathfrak{b}$ is a graded ideal in $B$ and if $Y_{0}$ is a $B / \mathfrak{b}$-regular element, then $\mathfrak{b}={ }^{h}\left(i_{Y_{0}}(\mathfrak{b}) A\right)$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $C=k\left[U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{m}\right]$ and $D=k\left[V_{0}, V_{1}, \ldots, V_{m}\right]$ be polynomial rings. We regard $D$ as a graded ring with $\operatorname{deg} V_{0}>0$, and let $i_{V_{0}}: D \rightarrow C$ be the $k$-algebra homomorphism as above. Suppose that $\Phi: B \rightarrow D$ is a homomorphism of graded rings and that $\varphi: A \rightarrow C$ is a ring homomorphism such that $\varphi^{\circ} i_{Y_{0}}=i_{V_{0}}{ }^{\circ} \Phi$. Then $i_{Y_{0}}(\operatorname{Ker} \Phi) A=\operatorname{Ker} \varphi$.

Proof. Obviously, $i_{Y_{0}}(\operatorname{Ker} \Phi) A \subset \operatorname{Ker} \varphi$. Conversely, for any $\xi \in \operatorname{Ker} \varphi$, $\Phi\left({ }^{h} \xi\right)$ is a homogeneous element in $D$ and $\Phi\left({ }^{h} \xi\right) \in \operatorname{Ker} i_{V_{0}}=\left(V_{0}-1\right) D$. Hence we have $\Phi\left({ }^{h} \xi\right)=0$ and $\xi=i_{Y_{0}}\left({ }^{h} \xi\right) \in i_{Y_{0}}(\operatorname{Ker} \Phi) A$.

The purpose of this section is to give an analogy of Theorem 1.1 for the defining ideal $P$ of a projective space monomial curve. The ideal $P$ is given as
follows:
Let $B=k[X, Y, Z, W]$ and $k[U, V]$ be polynomial rings over a field $k$. Let $\Phi: B \rightarrow k[U, V]$ be the $k$-algebra homomorphism such that $\Phi(X)=U^{l}$, $\Phi(Y)=U^{m} V^{l-m}, \Phi(Z)=U^{n} V^{l-n}$, and $\Phi(W)=V^{l}$, where $l, m, n$ are positive integers with $\operatorname{gcd}(l, m, n)=1, l>m, l>n$, and with $m \neq n$. We denote by $P(l, m, n)$ the prime ideal $\operatorname{Ker} \Phi$ in $B$. Then we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \rightarrow P(l, m, n) & \rightarrow & B=k[X, Y, Z, W] & \xrightarrow{\oplus} & k[U, V] \\
\downarrow & & i_{W} \downarrow & & \downarrow i_{V} \\
0 & \rightarrow p(l, m, n) & \rightarrow & A=k[X, Y, Z] & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & k[U]
\end{array}
$$

where $\varphi$ is the map we defined in section 2 . Moreover we regard $B$ and $k[U, V]$ as graded rings with $\operatorname{deg} X=\operatorname{deg} Y=\operatorname{deg} Z=\operatorname{deg} W=l$ and $\operatorname{deg} U=\operatorname{deg} V$ $=1$. Then we get the following corollary of Lemma 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. $\quad i_{W}(P(l, m, n)) A=p(l, m, n)$.

For the prime ideal $P=P(l, m, n)$, we assume that $B / P$ is not a complete intersection but a Cohen-Macaulay ring. Then $P$ is generated by the maximal minors of a matrix $M^{\prime}$ of the form

$$
M^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
X^{a_{1}} W^{d_{1}} & Y^{b_{1}} & Z^{c_{1}} \\
Y^{b_{2}} & Z^{c_{2}} & X^{a_{2}} W^{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $a_{1}+d_{1}, b_{1}, b_{2}, c_{1}, c_{2}$, and $a_{2}+d_{2}$ are positive integers (cf. [8], [13]). We put $\varepsilon_{1}=Z^{c_{1}+c_{2}}-X^{a_{2}} W^{d_{2}} Y^{b_{1}}, \varepsilon_{2}=X^{a_{1}+a_{2}} W^{d_{1}+d_{2}}-Y^{b_{2}} Z^{c_{1}}$, and $\varepsilon_{3}=Y^{b_{1}+b_{2}}-$ $X^{a_{1}} W^{d_{1}} Z^{c_{2}}$, then $P$ is generated by $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}$, and $\varepsilon_{3}$.

Corollary 3.2 means that $p=p(l, m, n)$ is generated by $i_{W}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right), i_{W}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)$, and $i_{W}\left(\varepsilon_{3}\right)$. Hence the matrices $M$ corresponding to $p(l, m, n)$ and $M^{\prime}$ corresponding to $P(l, m, n)$ have the same exponents $a_{i}, b_{i}$, and $c_{i}$ for $i=1,2$.

We put

$$
d=\min \left\{d_{1}, d_{2}\right\}, d_{3}=\max \left\{d_{1}-d_{2}, 0\right\}, d_{3}^{\prime}=\max \left\{0, d_{2}-d_{1}\right\},
$$

as is in section 2. Then there exists an element $\Gamma$ of $P^{(2)}$ and we have the following three relations by the same method as is in Proposition 2.1.

$$
\begin{gathered}
X^{a} W^{d} \Gamma-Y^{b_{3}} Z^{c_{3}^{\prime}} \varepsilon_{2}^{2}+Y^{b_{3}^{\prime}} Z^{c_{3}} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{3}=0, \\
Y^{b} \Gamma-X^{a_{3}^{\prime}} W^{d_{3}^{\prime}} Z^{c_{3}} \varepsilon_{3}^{2}+X^{a_{3}} W^{d_{3}} Z^{c_{3}} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}=0, \\
Z^{c} \Gamma-X^{a_{3}} W^{d_{3}} Y^{b_{3}^{\prime}} \varepsilon_{1}^{2}+X^{a_{3}} W^{d_{3}^{3}} Y^{b_{3}} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Moralés and Simis gave the free resolution of $B / P^{2}+(\Gamma)$ and proved the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3 ([11, (2.1.2) Lemma]). $\quad P^{(2)}=P^{2}+(\Gamma)$.
From now on we regard $B$ as a graded ring with $\operatorname{deg} X=\operatorname{deg} Y=\operatorname{deg} Z$ $=\operatorname{deg} W=1$, so that $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}$ and $\Gamma$ are homogeneous elements. Let $R^{\prime}=B\left[T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{4}\right]$ and $B[t]$ be polynomial rings and let $\Psi: R^{\prime} \rightarrow B[t]$ be the $B$-algebra homomorphism such that

$$
\Psi\left(T_{i}\right)=\varepsilon_{i} t \text { for } i=1,2,3 \quad \Psi\left(T_{4}\right)=\Gamma t^{2}
$$

We also regard $R^{\prime}$ and $B[t]$ as graded rings so that $\Psi$ is graded, i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{deg} T_{i}=\operatorname{deg} \varepsilon_{i} \text { for } i=1,2,3, \operatorname{deg} T_{4}=\operatorname{deg} \Gamma, \text { and } \operatorname{deg} t=0
$$

Lemma 3.4. Suppose $\Psi$ is the map defined above corresponding to $P=P(l, m$, $n$ ) and $\psi$ is the map defined in section 2 corresponding to $p=p(l, m, n)$. Then $i_{W}(\operatorname{Ker} \Psi) R=\operatorname{Ker} \Psi$.

Proof. For the $k$-algebra homomorphisms $i_{W}: R^{\prime} \rightarrow R$ and $i_{W}: B[t] \rightarrow A[t]$, we have $\psi^{\circ} i_{W}=i_{W} \circ \Psi$. By Lemma 3.1 we get the proof of Lemma 3.4.

In the following section, we discuss the Cohen-Macaulay property of the algebra $T=\operatorname{Im} \Psi=B\left[P t, P^{(2)} t^{2}\right]$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $P=P(l, m, n)$ and $p=p(l, m, n)$. If $T=B\left[P t, P^{(2)} t^{2}\right]$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring, then so is $S=A\left[p t, p^{(2)} t^{2}\right]$.

Proof. Since $T$ is Cohen-Macaulay, we have proj. $\operatorname{dim}_{R^{\prime}} T=3$ and an $R^{\prime}$-graded free resolution $\mathbf{F}$.

$$
0 \rightarrow F_{3} \xrightarrow{d_{3}} F_{2} \xrightarrow{d_{2}} F_{1} \xrightarrow{d_{1}} F_{0} \xrightarrow{\underline{T}} T \rightarrow 0,
$$

where $F_{0}=R^{\prime}$. Since there is a natural identification $\left(R^{\prime}[1 / W]\right)_{0} \cong R$ and since Ker $\Psi$ is a graded ideal in $R^{\prime}$, we have

$$
i_{W}(\operatorname{Ker} \Psi) R \cong\left((\operatorname{Ker} \Psi) \otimes_{R^{\prime}} R^{\prime}[1 / W]\right)_{0}
$$

We put $\mathbf{G} .=\left(\mathbf{F} . \otimes_{R^{\prime}} R^{\prime}[1 / W]\right)_{0}$ and let $\partial_{i}$ be the differential map of $\mathbf{G}$. induced by $d_{i}$. By Lemma 3.4 we have

$$
\operatorname{Ker} \psi=i_{W}(\operatorname{Ker} \Psi) R \cong\left(\left(\operatorname{Im} d_{1}\right) \otimes_{R^{\prime}} R^{\prime}[1 / W]\right)_{0}=\operatorname{Im} \partial_{1} .
$$

Hence the following sequence is exact and $S=\operatorname{Im} \psi$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

$$
0 \rightarrow G_{3} \xrightarrow{\partial_{3}} G_{2} \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} G_{1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} G_{0} \xrightarrow{\psi} S \rightarrow 0
$$

We remark that a prime ideal $\operatorname{Ker} \Psi$ in $R^{\prime}$, which is of hight three, contains the following five elements

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}=X^{a_{1}} W^{d_{1}} T_{1}+Y^{b_{1}} T_{2}+Z^{c_{1}} T_{3}, \\
& F_{2}=Y^{b_{2}} T_{1}+Z^{c_{2}} T_{2}+X^{a_{2}} W^{d_{2}} T_{3} \\
& G_{1}=X^{a} W^{d} T_{4}-Y^{b_{3}} Z^{c_{3}} T_{2}^{2}+Y^{b_{3}^{\prime}} Z^{c_{3}} T_{1} T_{3}, \\
& G_{2}=Y^{b} T_{4}-X^{a_{3}^{\prime}} W^{d_{3}} Z^{c_{3}} T_{3}^{2}+X^{a_{3}} W^{d_{3}} Z^{c_{3}} T_{1} T_{2}, \\
& G_{3}=Z^{c} T_{4}-X^{a_{3}} W^{d_{3}} V^{b_{3}^{\prime}} T_{1}^{2}+X^{a_{3}^{\prime}} W^{d_{3}^{\prime}} T^{b_{3}} T_{2} T_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We put $J^{\prime}=\operatorname{Ker} \Psi$ and $I^{\prime}=\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}\right) R^{\prime}$. The following lemma means that $I^{\prime}$ and $J^{\prime}$ have similar properties as we stated in Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4.

Although the proof of this lemma is given among the proofs of many other results of $[11,(2.2 .1)$ Theorem], we show it briefly for the completeness of this paper. We put $\mathfrak{m}_{1}=(X, Y, Z) R^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{2}=(Y, Z, W) R^{\prime}$.

Lemma 3.6 ([11, (2.2.1) Theorem]).
(1) $R^{\prime} / I^{\prime}$ is a Gorenstein ring of dimension five.
(2) $\mathrm{Ass}_{R^{\prime}} R^{\prime} / I^{\prime} \subset\left\{J^{\prime}, \mathrm{m}_{1}, \mathrm{~m}_{2}\right\}$ and $I^{\prime} R_{J^{\prime}}^{\prime}=J^{\prime} R_{J^{\prime}}^{\prime}$.

Proof. (1) An ideal $I^{\prime}$ is with $\mathrm{ht}_{R^{\prime}} I^{\prime}=3$ and generated by pfaffians of degree four in the skew symmetric matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & Z^{c_{3}} T_{2} & X^{a_{3}^{\prime}} W^{d_{3}} T_{3} & Y^{b_{3}^{\prime}} T_{1} & T_{4} \\
-Z^{c_{3}} T_{2} & 0 & Y^{b} & -X^{a} W^{d} & Z^{c_{3}} T_{3} \\
-X^{a_{3}} W^{d_{3}} T_{3} & -Y^{b} & 0 & Z^{c} & X^{a_{3}} W^{d_{3}} T_{1} \\
-Y^{b_{3}} T_{1} & X^{\alpha} W^{d} & -Z^{c} & 0 & Y^{b_{3}} T_{2} \\
-T_{4} & -Z^{c_{3}} T_{3} & -X^{a_{3}} W^{d_{3}} T_{1} & -Y^{b_{3}} T_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

(2) Choose $\xi \in\left(X^{a} W^{d}, Y^{b}, Z^{c}\right) B \backslash \cup_{\left.Q \in \mathrm{Ass}_{R^{\prime}, R^{\prime} / I^{\prime}} \backslash \mathrm{mm}_{1}, \mathrm{~m}_{2}\right\}} Q$, and by the same method of Lemma 2.4 we get $I^{\prime} R^{\prime}[1 / \xi]=J^{\prime} R^{\prime}[1 / \xi]$. This implies $I^{\prime} R_{J^{\prime}}^{\prime}=$ $J^{\prime} R^{\prime}{ }_{J^{\prime}}$ and $\operatorname{Ass}_{R^{\prime}} R^{\prime} / I^{\prime} \subset\left\{J^{\prime}, \mathfrak{m}_{1}, \mathfrak{m}_{2}\right\}$.

Remark. As can be seen from Lemma 3.6, $I^{\prime} \neq J^{\prime}$ if and only if either $I^{\prime} \subset$ $\mathfrak{m}_{1}$ or $I^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}_{2}$ is satisfied. Furthermore by observing the generators of $I^{\prime}$, we can
check that $\mathfrak{m}_{1} \in \operatorname{Ass}_{R^{\prime}} R^{\prime} / I^{\prime}$ if and only if the matrix $M^{\prime}$ satisfies one of the following conditions.
(1) $a_{1}>a_{2}>0, b_{1}>b_{2}$, and $c_{1}>c_{2}$.
(2) $a_{2}>a_{1}>0, b_{2}>b_{1}$, and $c_{2}>c_{1}$.

Similarly $\mathrm{m}_{2} \in \operatorname{Ass}_{R^{\prime}} R^{\prime} / I^{\prime}$ if and only if the matrix $M^{\prime}$ satisfies one of the following conditions.
(1) $d_{1}>d_{2}>0, b_{1}>b_{2}$, and $c_{1}>c_{2}$.
(2) $d_{2}>d_{1}>0, b_{2}>b_{1}$, and $c_{2}>c_{1}$.

Note that $b_{1}, b_{2}, c_{1}$, and $c_{2}$ are always positive because $P$ is not a complete intersection. Now we prove the converse of Lemma 3.5.

Theorem 3.7. The following conditions are equivalent.
(1) $T=B\left[P t, P^{(2)} t^{2}\right]$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring for $P=P(l, m, n)$.
(2) $A\left[p_{1} t, p_{1}^{(2)} t^{2}\right]$ and $A\left[p_{2} t, p_{2}^{(2)} t^{2}\right]$ are Cohen-Macaulay rings, where $p_{1}=$ $p(l, m, n)$ and $p_{2}=p(l, l-m, l-n)$.

When this is the case, the Cohen-Macaulay type of $T$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{r}(T) & =1 \text { if } I^{\prime}=J^{\prime} \\
& =3 \text { if } I^{\prime} \neq J^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We assume condition (1), then $B\left[P t, P^{(2)} t^{2}\right]$ is also Cohen-Macaulay for $P=P(l, l-m, l-n)$. By Lemma 3.5 we get assertion (2).

Next assume condition (2). If $I^{\prime}=J^{\prime}$, then $T$ is Gorenstein by Lemma 3.6.
When $\operatorname{Ass}_{R^{\prime}} R^{\prime} / I^{\prime}=\left\{J^{\prime}, \mathrm{m}_{1}\right\}$, we have a primary decomposition of $I^{\prime}$ of the form $I^{\prime}=J^{\prime} \cap Q$, where $Q$ is a graded $m_{1}$-primary ideal. Since $J^{\prime}=\left[I^{\prime}:_{R^{\prime}} Q\right]$, by [9, Proposition 3.1] it is sufficient to prove that $R^{\prime} / Q$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Let $I$ and $J$ be ideals in $R=A\left[T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{4}\right]$ defined by $p_{1}$ as is in section 2. By Corollary 3.2 we have $i_{W}\left(I^{\prime}\right)=I$ and by Lemma 3.4 we have $i_{W}\left(J^{\prime}\right)=J$. Note that $i_{W}(Q) R$ is an $(X, Y, Z) R$-primary ideal. Now $\left(I^{\prime} R^{\prime}[1 / W]\right)_{0}=$ $\left(J^{\prime} R^{\prime}[1 / W]\right)_{0} \cap\left(Q R^{\prime}[1 / W]\right)_{0}$ and there is a natural identification $\left(R^{\prime}[1 / W]\right)_{0}$ $\cong R$, thus we have $I=J \cap i_{W}(Q) R$. By Proposition 2.5 we have $i_{W}(Q) R=$ $\left(X^{\alpha}, Y^{\beta}, Z^{\gamma}\right) R$ for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 1$, since $A\left[p_{1} t, p_{1}^{(2)} t^{2}\right]$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Further, $W$ is an $R^{\prime} / Q$-regular element, hence

$$
Q={ }^{h}\left(i_{W}(Q) R\right)={ }^{h}\left(\left(X^{\alpha}, Y^{\beta}, Z^{\gamma}\right) R\right)=\left(X^{\alpha}, Y^{\beta}, Z^{\gamma}\right) R^{\prime}
$$

therefore $R^{\prime}$ / $Q$ is Cohen-Macaulay. When this is the case, we have

$$
K_{T}=\operatorname{Hom}_{R^{\prime} / I^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime} / J^{\prime}, R^{\prime} / I^{\prime}\right) \cong\left[I^{\prime}::_{R^{\prime}} J^{\prime}\right] / I^{\prime}=Q / I^{\prime},
$$

as $R^{\prime}$-modules. Hence $\mathrm{r}(T)=\mu_{R^{\prime}}\left(Q / I^{\prime}\right)=\mu_{R^{\prime}}(Q)=3$.
When $\operatorname{Ass}_{R^{\prime}} R^{\prime} / I^{\prime}=\left\{J, \mathfrak{m}_{2}\right\}$, the proof follows from the above discussion by replacing $X$ for $W$ of $B$ because $A\left[p_{2} t, p_{2}^{(2)} t^{2}\right]$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

When $\operatorname{Ass}_{R^{\prime}} R^{\prime} / I^{\prime}=\left\{J, \mathfrak{m}_{1}, \mathfrak{m}_{2}\right\}$, then we have the primary decomposition of $I^{\prime}$ of the form $I^{\prime}=J^{\prime} \cap Q_{1} \cap Q_{2}$, where each $Q_{i}$ is a graded $\mathfrak{m}_{i}$-primary ideal. Since $i_{W}\left(I^{\prime}\right)=i_{W}\left(J^{\prime}\right) \cap i_{W}\left(Q_{1}\right)$, as can be seen from the above discussion, we get $Q_{1}=\left(X^{\alpha}, Y^{\beta}, Z^{\gamma}\right) R^{\prime}$ for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 1$. On the other hand, since $i_{X}\left(I^{\prime}\right)=$ $i_{X}\left(J^{\prime}\right) \cap i_{X}\left(Q_{2}\right)$, we have $Q_{2}=\left(W^{\delta}, Y^{\beta^{\prime}}, Z^{\gamma^{\prime}}\right) R^{\prime}$ for some $\delta, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime} \geq 1$. Note from the above remark one of the following conditions occurs.
(i) $a_{1}>a_{2}, b_{1}>b_{2}, c_{1}>c_{2}$, and $d_{1}>d_{2}$.
(ii) $a_{1}<a_{2}, b_{1}<b_{2}, c_{1}>c_{2}$, and $d_{1}<d_{2}$.

If assertion (i) is satisfied, as can be seen from the proof of Proposition 2.5, we have $\beta=\min \left\{b_{2}, b_{3}\right\}=\beta^{\prime}$ and $\gamma=\min \left\{c_{2}, c_{3}\right\}=\gamma^{\prime}$. Therefore $Q_{1} \cap Q_{2}=$ $\left(X^{\alpha} W^{\delta}, Y^{\beta}, Z^{\gamma}\right) R^{\prime}$ and $R^{\prime} / Q_{1} \cap Q_{2}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. It follows that $R^{\prime} / J^{\prime}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, since [ $\left.I^{\prime}:_{R^{\prime}} J^{\prime}\right]=Q_{1} \cap Q_{2}$. When this is the case, we have

$$
K_{T}=\operatorname{Hom}_{R^{\prime} / I^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime} / J^{\prime}, R^{\prime} / I^{\prime}\right) \cong\left[I^{\prime}:_{R^{\prime}} J^{\prime}\right] / I^{\prime}=Q_{1} \cap Q_{2} / I^{\prime}
$$

and $\mathrm{r}(T)=\mu_{R^{\prime}}\left(Q_{1} \cap Q_{2}\right)=3$.

By the proof of Theorem 3.7, we can determine the Cohen-Macaulay type of $T$ in terms of the matrix $M^{\prime}$.

Corollary 3.8. Suppose $T$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring and the matrix $M^{\prime}$ satisfies $b_{1} \geq b_{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{r}(T) & =3 \text { if } a_{1}>a_{2}>0 \text { and } b_{1}>b_{2} \text { and } c_{1}>c_{2}, \text { or } \\
& \quad d_{1}>d_{2}>0 \text { and } b_{1}>b_{2} \text { and } c_{1}>c_{2}, \\
& \text { otherwise. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we consider the self-linked property of $P$.
Corollary 3.9. If $P$ is a self-linked ideal, then $T$ is a Gorenstein ring.
Proof. Let $P=P(l, m, n), p_{1}=p(l, m, n)$, and $p_{2}=p(l, l-m, l-n)$.

Now there exist $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in P$ such that $P^{2} \subset\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)$. We put $\alpha_{i}=i_{W}\left(\beta_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$, then $p_{1}^{2} \subset\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ in $A=k[X, Y, Z]$ and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in p_{1}$. Now $p_{1}$ is a prime ideal, therefore it follows that $p_{1}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right): p_{1}$ or $p_{1}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$. Hence $A\left[p_{1} t, p_{1}^{(2)} t^{2}\right]$ is Gorenstein. Similarly, $A\left[p_{2} t, p_{2}^{(2)} t^{2}\right]$ is Gorenstein. Hence by Lemma 2.3, Theorem 3.7, and Corollary 3.8, we have the proof.

The converse of Corollary 3.9 does not hold in general.

Example 3.10. Let $P=P(11,5,2)$. Then $T$ is a Gorenstein ring but $P$ is not a self-linked ideal.

Proof. The defining ideal $P$ is generated by the maximal minors of the matrix

$$
M^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
X & Y^{2} & Z^{3} \\
Y & Z^{2} & W^{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since $a=d=0$, we get that $I^{\prime}=J^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{r}(T)=1$.
We put $\mathfrak{n}=(X, Y, Z, W) B$ and assume that $P=I_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ is self-linked. Note that the statement of $\left[7\right.$, Theorem 1.1] is true for the ring $B_{\mathrm{n}}$ and the ideal $P B_{\mathrm{n}}$, even if $\operatorname{dim} B_{\mathrm{n}}=4$. Thus there exists a 2 by 3 matrix $N=\left(n_{t j}\right)$ $\left(n_{i j} \in B_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ such that $I_{2}(N)=B_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\sum_{i, j} m_{i j} n_{i j}=0$, where $M^{\prime}=\left(m_{i j}\right)$. Hence

$$
X n_{11}+Y^{2} n_{12}+Z^{3} n_{13}+Y n_{21}+Z^{2} n_{22}+W^{3} n_{23}=0,
$$

and

$$
Y\left(Y n_{12}+n_{21}\right)+Z^{2}\left(Z n_{13}+n_{23}\right)=-\left(X n_{11}+W^{3} n_{23}\right) .
$$

Since $X, Y, Z, W$ is a $B_{\mathrm{n}}$-regular sequence, we have $Y n_{12}+n_{21} \in(X, Z, W)$, $Z n_{13}+n_{22} \in(X, Y, W)$ and $X n_{11}+W^{3} n_{23} \in(Y, Z)$. Hence $n_{11}, n_{21}, n_{22}$ and $n_{23}$ $\in \mathfrak{n} B_{\mathrm{n}}$, and $I_{2}(N) \in \mathfrak{n} B_{\mathrm{n}}$, which is a contradiction.
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