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#### Abstract

We produce the family of Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces $X_{n}$ of $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n+1}$ in higher dimension whose inertia group contains non commutative free groups. This is completely different from Takahashi's result [4] for Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces $M_{n}$ of $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we work over $\mathbb{C}$. Given an algebraic variety $X$, it is natural to consider its birational automorphisms $\varphi: X \rightarrow X$. The set of these birational automorphisms forms a group $\operatorname{Bir}(X)$ with respect to the composition. Let $V$ be an ( $n+1$ )-dimensional smooth projective rational manifold and $X \subset V$ a projective variety. The decomposition group of $X$ is the group

$$
\operatorname{Dec}(V, X):=\left\{f \in \operatorname{Bir}(V) \mid f(X)=X \text { and }\left.f\right|_{X} \in \operatorname{Bir}(X)\right\} .
$$

The inertia group of $X$ is the group

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ine}(V, X):=\left\{f \in \operatorname{Dec}(V, X)|f|_{X}=\operatorname{id}_{X}\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we treat $\operatorname{Ine}(V, X)$ of some hypersurface $X \subset V$ originated in [2].
In Section 2, we mention the result (Theorem 2.1) of Takahashi [4] about the smooth Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces $M_{n}$ of $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ of degree $n+2$. It turns out that the inertia group of $M_{n}$ is trivial (Theorem 1.1). Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of Takahashi's result:

Theorem 1.1. Suppose $n \geq 3$. Let $M_{n}=(n+2) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a smooth hypersurface of degree $n+2$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Ine}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, M_{n}\right)=\left\{\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}\right\}
$$

In Section 3, we consider Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces

$$
X_{n}=(2,2, \ldots, 2) \subset\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n+1}
$$

[^0]Let

$$
\mathrm{UC}(N):=\overbrace{\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} * \cdots * \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}}^{N}={\underset{i=1}{N}\left\langle t_{i}\right\rangle}_{N}^{N}
$$

be the universal Coxeter group of rank $N$ where $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is the cyclic group of order 2. There is no non-trivial relation between its $N$ natural generators $t_{i}$. Let

$$
p_{i}: X_{n} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n} \quad(i=1, \ldots, n+1)
$$

be the natural projections which are obtained by forgetting the $i$-th factor of $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n+1}$. Then, the $n+1$ projections $p_{i}$ are generically finite morphism of degree 2 . Thus, for each index $i$, there is a birational transformation

$$
\iota_{i}: X_{n} \rightarrow X_{n}
$$

that permutes the two points of general fibers of $p_{i}$ and this provides a group homomorphism

$$
\Phi: \mathrm{UC}(n+1) \rightarrow \operatorname{Bir}\left(X_{n}\right) .
$$

From now, we set $P(n+1):=\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n+1}$. Cantat-Oguiso proved the following theorem in [1].

Theorem 1.2. ([1, Theorem 1.3 (2)]) Let $X_{n}$ be a generic hypersurface of multidegree $(2,2, \ldots, 2)$ in $P(n+1)$ with $n \geq 3$. Then the morphism $\Phi$ that maps each generator $t_{j}$ of $\mathrm{UC}(n+1)$ to the involution $\iota_{j}$ of $X_{n}$ is an isomorphism from $\mathrm{UC}(n+1)$ to $\operatorname{Bir}\left(X_{n}\right)$.

Here "generic" means $X_{n}$ belongs to the complement of some countable union of proper closed subvarieties of the complete linear system $|(2,2, \ldots, 2)|$.

Ludmil Katzarkov asked that how many do the lifts of $\iota_{j}$ exist? Our main result is following theorem, answering a question asked by Ludmil Katzarkov:

Theorem 1.3. Let $X_{n} \subset P(n+1)$ be an irreducible hypersurface of multidegree $(2,2, \ldots, 2)$ and $n \geq 3$. Then there are $n+1$ elements $\rho_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n+1)$ of Ine $\left(P(n+1), X_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\langle\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \ldots, \rho_{n+1}\right\rangle \simeq \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z} * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}}_{n+1} \subset \operatorname{Ine}\left(P(n+1), X_{n}\right) .
$$

In particular, $\operatorname{Ine}\left(P(n+1), X_{n}\right)$ is an infinite non-commutative group, i.e. the lifts of $\iota_{j}$ are infinitely.

Our proof of Theorem 1.3 is based on an explicit computation of elementary flavour.

It is interesting that the inertia groups of $X_{n} \subset P(n+1)=\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n+1}$ and $M_{n} \subset$ $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ have completely different structures though both $X_{n}$ and $M_{n}$ are Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in rational Fano manifolds.

## 2. Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$

Our goal, in this section, is to prove Theorem 1.1 (i.e. Theorem 2.2). Before that, we introduce the result of Takahashi [4].

Theorem 2.1. ([4, Theorem 2.3]) Let $X$ be a Fano manifold (i.e. a manifold whose anti-canonical divisor $-K_{X}$ is ample, with $\operatorname{dim} X \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{Pic}(X)=1, S \in$ $\left|-K_{X}\right|$ a smooth hypersurface with $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(S)$ surjective. Let $\Phi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be a birational map to $a \mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal variety $X^{\prime}$ with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{Pic}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=1$ which is not an isomorphism, and $S^{\prime}=\Phi_{*} S$. Then $K_{X^{\prime}}+S^{\prime}$ is ample.

After that, we consider $n$-dimensional Calabi-Yau manifold $X$ in this paper. It is a projective manifold which is simply connected,

$$
H^{0}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{i}\right)=0 \quad(0<i<\operatorname{dim} X=n) \quad \text { and } H^{0}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{n}\right)=\mathbb{C} \omega_{X}
$$

where $\omega_{X}$ is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic $n$-form.
The following theorem is a consequence of Theorem 2.1, which is same as Theorem 1.1. This provides an example of the Calabi-Yau hypersurface $M_{n}$ whose inertia group consists of only identity transformation.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose $n \geq 3$. Let $M_{n}=(n+2) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a smooth hypersurface of degree $n+2$, which is a Calabi-Yau manifold of dimension $n$. Then
(1) $\operatorname{Dec}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, M_{n}\right)=\left\{f \in \operatorname{PGL}(n+2, \mathbb{C})=\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}\right) \mid f\left(M_{n}\right)=M_{n}\right\}$.
(2) Ine $\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, M_{n}\right)=\left\{\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}\right\}$.
(3) $\operatorname{Dec}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, M_{n}\right) \cong \operatorname{Bir}\left(M_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Aut}\left(M_{n}\right)$.

Proof. It is obvious that the set on the left side of (1) contains the set on the right of (1). We will show the converse. Assume that $f \in \operatorname{Dec}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, M_{n}\right)$. Then $f_{*}\left(M_{n}\right)=$ $M_{n}$ and $K_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}+M_{n}=0$. Thus by Theorem 2.1, $f \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}\right)=\operatorname{PGL}(n+2, \mathbb{C})$. This proves (1).

From here, we will show (2). For two points $x, y \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, we denote the linear subspace on $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ of dimenion 1 , which is defined by $x$ and $y$ by $C_{x, y}$. Then $C_{x, y} \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Since the degree of $M_{n}$ is $n+2$ and $M_{n}$ is smooth, for a general point $x \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, there is a point $y \in M_{n}$ such that $C_{x, y} \cap M_{n}$ is a set of $n+2$ points. Let $f \in \operatorname{Ine}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, M_{n}\right)$. Since $f \in \operatorname{PGL}(n+2, \mathbb{C})$ by (1), we have that $f\left(C_{x, y}\right)=C_{f(x), f(y)}$, i.e. $f\left(C_{x, y}\right)$ is the linear subspace on $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ of dimenion 1 , which is defined by $f(x)$ and $f(y)$. Since $\left.f\right|_{M_{n}}=\operatorname{id}_{M_{n}}$, we get that $C_{x, y} \cap f\left(C_{x, y}\right)$ contains at least $n+2$ points. Since $C_{x, y}$ and $f\left(C_{x, y}\right)$ are linear subspaces on $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ of dimension 1 , we obtain $C_{x, y}=f\left(C_{x, y}\right)$. Thus $f$ induces an automorphim $\left.f\right|_{C_{x, y}}$ of $C_{x, y}$. If $\left.f\right|_{C_{x, y}} \neq \mathrm{id}_{C_{x, y}}$, then the fixed points of $\left.f\right|_{C_{x, y}}$ are at most 2 points since $C_{x, y} \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Therefore, since $C_{x, y} \cap f\left(C_{x, y}\right)$ contains at least $n+2$ points, and $n \geq 3$, we have $\left.f\right|_{C_{x, y}}=\mathrm{id}_{C_{x, y}}$. Thus we obtain $f=\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}$, i.e. $\operatorname{Ine}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, M_{n}\right)=\left\{\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}\right\}$.

We will show (3). By Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem for $n \geq 3$, we have that $\pi_{1}\left(M_{n}\right) \simeq \pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}\right)=\{\mathrm{id}\}$, and $\operatorname{Pic}\left(M_{n}\right)=\mathbb{Z} h$ where $h$ is the hyperplane class. $\operatorname{By} \operatorname{Pic}\left(M_{n}\right)=\mathbb{Z} h$, there is no small projective contraction of $M_{n}$, in particular, $M_{n}$ has no flop. Thus by Kawamata [3], we get $\operatorname{Bir}\left(M_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Aut}\left(M_{n}\right) . \operatorname{By} \operatorname{Pic}\left(M_{n}\right)=\mathbb{Z} h$, for $g \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(M_{n}\right)$ we have $g=\left.\tilde{g}\right|_{M_{n}}$ for some $\tilde{g} \in \operatorname{PGL}(n+2, \mathbb{C})$. Therefore, from (2) we get $\operatorname{Dec}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, M_{n}\right) \cong \operatorname{Bir}\left(M_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Aut}\left(M_{n}\right)$.

## 3. Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n+1}$

As in above section, the Calabi-Yau hypersurface $M_{n}$ of $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ with $n \geq 3$ has only identical transformation as the element of its inertia group. However, there exist some Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in the product of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ which does not satisfy this property; as result (Theorem 3.2) shows.

To simplify, we denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(n+1) & :=\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n+1}=\mathbb{P}_{1}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{2}^{1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}_{n+1}^{1} \\
P(n+1)_{i} & :=\mathbb{P}_{1}^{1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}_{i-1}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{i+1}^{1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}_{n+1}^{1} \simeq P(n),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{i}: P(n+1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{i}^{1} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1} \\
& p_{i}: P(n+1) \rightarrow P(n+1)_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

as the natural projections. Let $H_{i}$ be the divisor class of $\left(p^{i}\right)^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1)\right)$, then $P(n+1)$ is a Fano manifold of dimension $n+1$ and its anti canonical divisor has the form $-K_{P(n+1)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} 2 H_{i}$. Therefore, by the adjunction formula, the smooth hypersurface $X_{n} \subset P(n+1)$ has trivial canonical divisor if and only if it has multidegree $(2,2, \ldots, 2)$. More strongly, for $n \geq 3, X_{n}=(2,2, \ldots, 2)$ becomes a Calabi-Yau manifold of dimension $n$ and, for $n=2$, a $K 3$ surface (i.e. 2-dimensional CalabiYau manifold).

From now, $X_{n}$ is an irreducible hypersurface of $P(n+1)$ of multidegree $(2,2, \ldots, 2)$ with $n \geq 3$. Let us write $P(n+1)=\mathbb{P}_{i}^{1} \times P(n+1)_{i}$. Let $\left[x_{i 1}: x_{i 2}\right]$ be the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}_{i}^{1}$. Hereafter, we consider the affine locus and denote by $x_{i}=\frac{x_{i 2}}{x_{i 1}}$ the affine coordinates of $\mathbb{P}_{i}^{1}$ and by $\mathbf{z}_{i}$ that of $P(n+1)_{i}$. When we pay attention to $x_{i}, X_{n}$ can be written by following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}=\left\{F_{i, 0}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right) x_{i}^{2}+F_{i, 1}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right) x_{i}+F_{i, 2}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right)=0\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $F_{i, j}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right)(j=0,1,2)$ is a quadratic polynomial of $\mathbf{z}_{i}$. Now, we consider the two involutions of $P(n+1)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{i}:\left(x_{i}, \mathbf{z}_{i}\right) \rightarrow\left(-x_{i}-\frac{F_{i, 1}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right)}{F_{i, 0}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right)}, \mathbf{z}_{i}\right),  \tag{3.2}\\
& \sigma_{i}:\left(x_{i}, \mathbf{z}_{i}\right) \rightarrow\left(\frac{F_{i, 2}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right)}{x_{i} \cdot F_{i, 0}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right)}, \mathbf{z}_{i}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We get two birational automorphisms of $X_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{i}=\sigma_{i} \circ \tau_{i}:\left(x_{i}, \mathbf{z}_{i}\right) \rightarrow\left(\frac{F_{i, 2}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right)}{-x_{i} \cdot F_{i, 0}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right)-F_{i, 1}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right)}, \mathbf{z}_{i}\right), \\
& \rho_{i}^{\prime}=\tau_{i} \circ \sigma_{i}:\left(x_{i}, \mathbf{z}_{i}\right) \rightarrow\left(-\frac{x_{i} \cdot F_{i, 1}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right)+F_{i, 2}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right)}{x_{i} \cdot F_{i, 0}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right)}, \mathbf{z}_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The involution $\left.\tau_{i}\right|_{X_{n}}=\left.\sigma_{i}\right|_{X_{n}}$ is $\iota_{i}$ which is mentioned in the introduction, and the birational automorphism $\rho_{i}$ satisfies $\left.\rho_{i}\right|_{X_{n}}=i d_{X n}$, i.e. $\rho_{i} \in \operatorname{Ine}\left(P(n+1), X_{n}\right)$.

Lemma 3.1. Each $\rho_{i}$ has infinite order.
Proof. We consider a matrix

$$
M:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & F_{i, 2} \\
-F_{i, 0} & -F_{i, 1}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbf{M}_{2}\left(\overline{\mathbb{C}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right)}\right),
$$

where $\overline{\mathbb{C}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right)}$ is the algebraic closure of the field $\mathbb{C}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right)$. If there is an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\rho_{i}^{k}=\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}$, then $M^{k}=\alpha I$, where $I$ is the identity matrix and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. Since their eigenvalues of $M$ are

$$
\frac{-F_{i, 1} \pm \sqrt{F_{i, 1}^{2}-4 F_{i, 0} F_{i, 2}}}{2}
$$

by $M^{k}=\alpha I$, we have

$$
\left(\frac{-F_{i, 1} \pm \sqrt{F_{i, 1}^{2}-4 F_{i, 0} F_{i, 2}}}{2}\right)^{k}=\alpha \in \mathbb{C}
$$

Since $\mathbb{C}$ is an algebraically closed field, we have

$$
\beta:=\frac{-F_{i, 1} \pm \sqrt{F_{i, 1}^{2}-4 F_{i, 0} F_{i, 2}}}{2} \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

Then we obtain that $F_{i, 1}^{2}-4 F_{i, 0} F_{i, 2}=4 \beta^{2}+4 \beta F_{i, 1}+F_{i, 1}^{2}$. Since each $F_{i, j}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right)(j=$ $0,1,2)$ is a quadratic polynomial of $\mathbf{z}_{i}$, we get $F_{i, 1}=0$, and hence $F_{i, 0} F_{i, 2}=0$. Since $X_{n} \subset P(n+1)$ is an irreducible hypersurface of multidegree $(2,2, \ldots, 2)$, this is a contradiction. Thus the order of $\rho_{i}$ is infinity.

Our main result is the following (which is same as Theorem 1.3):

Theorem 3.2. Let $X_{n} \subset P(n+1)$ be an irreducible hypersurface of multidegree $(2,2, \ldots, 2)$ and $n \geq 3$. Then $n+1$ elements $\rho_{i} \in \operatorname{Ine}\left(P(n+1), X_{n}\right)(1 \leq i \leq n+1)$ satisfy

$$
\left\langle\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \ldots, \rho_{n+1}\right\rangle \simeq \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z} * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}}_{n+1} \subset \operatorname{Ine}\left(P(n+1), X_{n}\right) .
$$

In particular, $\operatorname{Ine}\left(P(n+1), X_{n}\right)$ is an infinite non-commutative group.
Proof. By Lemma 3.1, it is sufficient to show that there is no non-trivial relation between its $n+1$ elements $\rho_{i}$. We show by arguing by contradiction.

Suppose to the contrary that there is a non-trivial relation between $n+1$ elements $\rho_{i}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{i_{1}}^{n_{1}} \circ \rho_{i_{2}}^{n_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ \rho_{i_{l}}^{n_{l}}=\operatorname{id}_{P(n+1)} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l$ is a positive integer, $n_{k} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}(1 \leq k \leq l)$, and each $\rho_{i_{k}}$ denotes one of the $n+1$ elements $\rho_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n+1)$ and satisfies $\rho_{i_{k}} \neq \rho_{i_{k+1}}(0 \leq k \leq l-1)$. Put $N=\left|n_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|n_{l}\right|$.

In the affine coordinates $\left(x_{i}, \mathbf{z}_{i}\right)$ where $x_{i}$ is the affine coordinates of $i$-th factor $\mathbb{P}_{i}^{1}$, we can choose a point $\left(\alpha, \mathbf{z}_{i}\right)$, which is not included in $X_{n} \cup \operatorname{Ind}\left(\rho_{i_{1}}^{n_{1}-1} \circ \rho_{i_{2}}^{n_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ\right.$ $\left.\rho_{i_{l}}^{n_{l}}\right) \cup \overline{\left(\rho_{i_{1}}^{n_{1}-1} \circ \rho_{i_{2}}^{n_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ \rho_{i_{l}}^{n_{l}}\right)^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Ind}\left(\rho_{i_{1}}\right)\right)}$.

We put $\left(\beta, \mathbf{w}_{i}\right)$ by $\rho_{i_{1}}^{n_{1}-1} \circ \rho_{i_{2}}^{n_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ \rho_{i_{l}}^{n_{l}}\left(\alpha, \mathbf{z}_{i}\right)$. By a suitable projective linear coordinate change of $\mathbb{P}_{i}^{1}$, we can set $\alpha=0$ and $\beta \neq \infty$. When we pay attention to the $i$-th element $x_{i}$ of the new coordinates, we put same letters $F_{i, j}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right)$ for the definitional equation of $X_{n}$, that is, $X_{n}$ can be written by

$$
X_{n}=\left\{F_{i, 0}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right) x_{i}^{2}+F_{i, 1}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right) x_{i}+F_{i, 2}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right)=0\right\} .
$$

From the assumption, the following equality holds:

$$
\rho_{i_{1}}\left(\beta, \mathbf{w}_{i}\right)=\left(0, \mathbf{z}_{i}\right) .
$$

Then, by the definition of $\rho_{i}$, it maps $\beta$ to 0 . That is, the equation $F_{i, 2}\left(\mathbf{w}_{i}\right)=0$ is satisfied. On the other hand, the intersection of $X_{n}$ and the hyperplane $\left(x_{i}=0\right)$ is written by

$$
X_{n} \cap\left(x_{i}=0\right)=\left\{F_{i, 2}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}\right)=0\right\}
$$

This implies $\left(0, \mathbf{w}_{i}\right)=\rho_{i_{1}}\left(\beta, \mathbf{w}_{i}\right)=\left(0, \mathbf{z}_{i}\right)$ is a point on $X_{n}$, a contradiction to the fact that $\left(0, \mathbf{z}_{i}\right) \notin X_{n}$. Therefore, we can conclude that there does not exist such $N$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.
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