

Holomorphic Solutions of Some Functional Equations

Mami Suzuki

1. Introduction

Let $X(x, y)$ and $Y(x, y)$ be holomorphic functions in $|x| < t, |y| < t$, which are expanded there as

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} X(x, y) = \lambda x + \sum_{m+n \geq 2} p_{mn} x^m y^n = \lambda x + X_1(x, y) \\ Y(x, y) = \mu y + \sum_{m+n \geq 2} q_{mn} x^m y^n = \mu y + Y_1(x, y). \end{cases}$$

We suppose that $\lambda \neq 0$. Our aim in this note is to show the following theorems.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $|\lambda| > 1$ and $\lambda^n \neq \mu$ for any $n \in N$. Then there exists uniquely a function $\psi(x)$, which is holomorphic in some disc $|x| < \delta$ and satisfies the equation

$$(1.2) \quad \psi(X(x, \psi(x))) = Y(x, \psi(x)).$$

Theorem 2. Suppose that $0 < |\lambda| < 1$ and $\mu \neq 0$ in (1.1). Further we suppose that $\lambda^n \neq \mu$ for $n \in N$. Then there exists uniquely a function $\psi(x)$, which is holomorphic in some disc $|x| < \delta$ and satisfies the equation (1.2).

Now we will consider the meaning of the equation (1.2).

Consider a simultaneous system of difference equations:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} x(t+1) = X(x(t), y(t)), \\ y(t+1) = Y(x(t), y(t)). \end{cases}$$

Suppose (1.4) admits a solution $(x(t), y(t))$. If there is a function $\psi(x)$ such that $y(t) = \psi(x(t))$ for all t . Then $\psi(x)$ satisfies the equation (1.2). Conversely, suppose $\psi(x)$ satisfies (1.2). If $x(t)$ is a solution of

$$(1.5) \quad x(t+1) = X(x(t), \psi(x(t))),$$

then $(x(t), y(t))$, where $y(t) = \psi(x(t))$, is a solution of (1.4).

A system of differential equations corresponding to (1.4) is

$$(1.4') \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = X(x(t), y(t)), \\ \dot{y}(t) = Y(x(t), y(t)). \end{cases}$$

The system (1.4') is equivalent to the equation

$$(1.6) \quad \psi'(x)X(x, y) = Y(x, y),$$

or

$$(1.6') \quad \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{Y(x, y)}{X(x, y)}.$$

Thus we know that (1.2) is the equation corresponding to (1.6) for the system of differential equations.

Applications of theorems 1, 2 will appear in our forthcoming papers.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.

From the assume of $\lambda^n \neq \mu$ for any $n \in N$, we can determine formal power series $\psi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ by (1.2) such as

$$\begin{cases} a_1 = 0 \\ a_n = \frac{f_n(\lambda, a_i, p_{i,j}, q_{i,j})}{(\lambda^n - \mu)}, \quad n \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

where f_n is a polynomial in $\lambda, a_i, p_{i,j}, q_{i,j}$ ($i \leq n-1, i+j \leq n$).

Hence the solution $\psi(x)$ is unique if it exists.

Take an integer N so large that $\left| \frac{\mu}{\lambda^N} \right| < \frac{1}{2}$. Put $g_N(x) = a_2 x^2 + \dots + a_N x^N$ and define the family F to be

$$F = \{ \phi(x); \text{ holomorphic and } |\phi(x)| \leq K|x|^{N+1} \text{ in } |x| \leq \delta \}$$

where δ and K are to be determined later.

Take $\phi(x) \in F$ and put

$$(2.1) \quad u = \lambda x + X_1(x, g_N(x) + \phi(x)) = X(x, g_N(x) + \phi(x)).$$

We have that $|X_1(x, g_N(x) + \phi(x))| < \frac{|x|}{2}$ if δ is small, where δ can be

chosen independently of $\phi(x)$. Thus we obtain inverse function $x = \eta(u)$ for $|u| < \delta'$, where δ' can be chosen independently of $\phi(x)$. We also have that

$$(2.2) \quad |u| \geq |\lambda x| - |X_1(x, g_N(x) + \phi(x))| > \lambda' |x|$$

for a λ' , $1 < \lambda' < |\lambda|$. Thus $\phi(\eta(u))$ is defined if $\phi(x)$ is defined for $|x| \leq \delta'$.

We may also assume that $\alpha = \frac{|\mu|}{\lambda'^N} < 1$.

For $\phi(x) \in F$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad T[\phi](u) &= Y(\eta(u), g_N(\eta(u)) + \phi(\eta(u))) - g_N(u) \\ &= \{Y(x, g_N(x) + \phi(x)) - Y(x, g_N(x))\} \\ &\quad + \{Y(x, g_N(x)) - g_N(X(x, g_N(x)))\} \\ &\quad + \{g_N(X(x, g_N(x))) - g_N(u)\} \\ &= U + V + W. \end{aligned}$$

We have $|V| \leq K_1' |x|^{N+1} \leq K_1 |u|^{N+1}$ for a constant K_1 .

$$\begin{aligned} |W| &= \left| \int_0^{\phi(x)} \frac{d}{ds} (g_N(X(x, g_N(x) + s))) ds \right| \\ &\leq K_2' |x| \cdot |\phi(x)| \\ &\leq K_2 |u| \cdot K |u|^{N+1} \end{aligned}$$

for a constant K_2 , since $a_1=0$ as noted above. On the other hand,

$$U = \mu \phi(x) + Y_1(x, g_N(x) + \phi(x)) - Y_1(x, g_N(x)),$$

in which

$$|\mu \phi(x)| \leq |\mu| \cdot K |x|^{N+1} \leq \alpha K |u|^{N+1}$$

and

$$|Y_1(x, g_N(x) + \phi(x)) - Y_1(x, g_N(x))| \leq K_3 |u| \cdot K |u|^{N+1}$$

for constant K_3 , which is seen as in the estimation of W .

δ' is so small that $\alpha + (K_2 + K_3)\delta' = A < 1$. Take K so large that

$$\frac{K_1}{1 - A} < K,$$

and δ is taken as $\delta \leq \delta'$ and further small if necessary.

Thus the family F is obtained, and we get that the operator T defined in (2.4) maps F into F . F is clearly convex, and a normal family by the theorem of Montel. Since T is obviously continuous, we get a fixed point $\phi_N(x)$ by the theorem of Tychonoff, see [1] and [2].

Then $\psi(x) = g_N(x) + \phi_N(x)$ obviously satisfies (1.2). Q. E. D.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.

Formal power series $\psi(x) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ is determined as in § 2.

Put $u = Y(x, y) = \mu y + Y_1(x, y)$. Since $\mu \neq 0$, we have

$$y = H(x, u) = \left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)u + H_1(x, u).$$

Thus the equation (1.2) is equivalent to

$$(3.1) \quad \psi(x) = H(x, \psi(X(x, \psi(x)))).$$

Take integer N so large that $\left|\frac{\lambda^N}{\mu}\right| < \frac{1}{2}$, define $g_N(x)$, and put

$$F = \{\phi(x); \text{ holomorphic and } |\phi(x)| \leq K|x|^{N+1} \text{ in } |x| \leq \delta\}$$

where δ and K are to be determined later.

For $\phi(x) \in F$, we get

$$|X(x, g_N(x) + \phi(x))| \leq |\lambda x| + |X_1(x, g_N(x) + \phi(x))| \leq \lambda' |x|$$

with $|\lambda| < \lambda' < 1$.

We may assume that $\alpha = \frac{\lambda'^N}{|\mu|} < 1$.

Take $\phi(x) \in F$ and put

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad T[\phi](x) &= H(x, g_N(X(x, g_N(x) + \phi(x))) + \phi(X(x, g_N(x) + \phi(x)))) \\ &\quad - g_N(x) \\ &= \{H(x, g_N(X(x, g_N(x) + \phi(x))) + \phi(X(x, g_N(x) + \phi(x)))) \\ &\quad - H(x, g_N(X(x, g_N(x) + \phi(x))))\} \\ &\quad + \{H(x, g_N(X(x, g_N(x) + \phi(x)))) - H(x, g_N(X(x, g_N(x))))\} \\ &\quad + \{H(x, g_N(X(x, g_N(x)))) - g_N(x)\} \\ &= U + V + W. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously we have

$$|W| \leq K_1 |x|^{N+1} \text{ for a constant } K_1.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1, we have, with a constant K_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} |V| &= \left| \int_0^{\phi(x)} \frac{d}{ds} \left(H(x, g_N(X(x, g_N(x) + s))) \right) ds \right| \\ &\leq K_2 |x| \cdot |\phi(x)| \\ &\leq K_2 |x| \cdot K |x|^{N+1}. \\ |U| &= |\mu|^{-1} |\phi(X(x, g_N(x) + \phi(x)))| \\ &\quad + |H_1(x, g_N(X(x, g_N(x) + \phi(x))) + \phi(X(x, g_N(x) + \phi(x)))) \\ &\quad - H(x, g_N(X(x, g_N(x) + \phi(x))))| \\ &= U_1 + U_2, \end{aligned}$$

in which

$$U_1 \leq |\mu|^{-1} |\phi(X(x, g_N(x) + \phi(x)))|$$

$$U_2 \leq K_3 |x| \cdot K |x|^{N+1}.$$

Thus, if δ is so small that

$$A = \alpha + (K_2 + K_3) \delta < 1$$

and K is taken so large that $\frac{K_1}{1-A} < K$, then the operator T in (2.6) maps F into F , and we know the existence of the fixed point as in the proof of Theorem 1. Q. E. D.

Reference

- [1]. D. R. Smart, "Fixed point theorems", Cambridge Univ. Press, (1974).
- [2]. T. Kimura, "Ordinary differential equation", Kyouritu shuppan Press, (1974).

Department of Mathematics,

Faculty of Informatics,

Teikyo University of Technology,

Ōtani 2289-23, Uruido, Ichihara-shi,

Chiba, 290-01 Japan

Received April 20, 1994, Revised June 21, 1994