

A Note On An Inverse Parabolic Problem

Shin-ichi Nakamura

1. Introduction.

Let us consider the following Cauchy problem :

$$\partial_t u(x, t) = \Delta u(x, t) + q(x)u(x, t) \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^n \times (0, \infty) \quad (n \geq 2), \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(x, 0) = f(x) \quad \text{on } \mathbf{R}^n, \quad (1.2)$$

where $q(x)$, $f(x)$ are bounded continuous functions and $\text{supp } q \subset\subset \{x : |x| < R\}$ ($R > 0$). Without loss of generality, we may assume $0 \notin \text{supp } q$. Various inverse problems are studied for determining $q(x)$ from the additional informations (cf. [2], [5]).

In this paper, we study the following inverse problem:

Determine $q(x)$ from the knowledge of $\{u(f)(R\omega, t) : \omega \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1}\}$ (considered as the set of observed data) and $\{f(x)\}$ (considered as the set of input data).

For the wave equation $u_{tt} = \Delta u + q(x)u$, their high frequency beam solutions had used to derive the uniqueness of $q(x)$ from the Neumann to Dirichlet map (cf. [4], [7], [9]). The Neumann to Dirichlet map uniquely determines the X-ray transformation of $q(x)$. However the parabolic equations can not have the beam type solutions. For the parabolic equation $u_t = \Delta u + q(x)u$, Theorem 9.1.2 in [5] shows that the maximum principle and the energy estimates for the parabolic one derive the uniqueness of $q(x)$ from the Neumann to Dirichlet map. Therefore we need another idea to obtain the X-ray transformation of $q(x)$. In the case of parabolic equations, by combining the Feynman-Kac formula and the n -dimensional Brownian bridge process, we can represent their solutions directly and we shall see that we can get the X-ray transformation of $q(x)$. These considerations leads us to the proof

of the following theorem:

Theorem. The quantities

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \log \left(\frac{u(\epsilon, \theta)(R\omega, t)}{p(R\omega, R(\theta - \omega), t)} \right) \quad (\forall (\theta, \omega) \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{n-1})$$

uniquely determine $q(x)$, where $u(\epsilon, \theta)(x, t)$ is the unique solution to the problem (1.1), (1.2) with $f(x) = \rho_\epsilon(x - R\theta)$ ($\rho_\epsilon(x)$ is the mollifier defined by $\rho_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-n/2} \rho(x/\epsilon)$, where $\rho(x)$ is a smooth positive function supported in the unit ball with $\int \rho(x) dx = 1$) and we set $p(x, y, t) = (2\pi t)^{-n/2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2t}|x - y|^2)$.

2. Proof of Theorem.

First we need the following Feynman-Kac formula:

Lemma 1. We can represent $u(x, t)$ in (1.1) and (1.2) by the Feynman-Kac formula:

$$u(x, t) = E[f(x + B_t) \exp \left(\int_0^t q(x + B_s) ds \right)], \quad (1.3)$$

where B_t is the n -dimensional Brownian motion starting at $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

The proof of Lemma 1 can be found in [3], [6].

In (1.3), putting $f(x)$ as $\rho_\epsilon(x - R\theta)$, where $\rho_\epsilon(x)$ is the mollifier and $\theta \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ and using the Brownian bridge process (cf. [6], [10]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(\epsilon, \theta)(x, t) &= E[\rho_\epsilon(x - R\theta + B_t) \exp \left(\int_0^t q(x + B_s) ds \right)] \\ &= \int \rho_\epsilon(x - R\theta + y) p(x, y, t) \times E_{0,x}^{t,y} [\exp \left(\int_0^t q(x + B_s) ds \right)] dy, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where $p(x, y, t) = (2\pi t)^{-n/2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2t}|x - y|^2)$ and the expectation $E_{0,x}^{t,y}$ is with respect to a Brownian bridge starting at time 0 from x and ending at time t in y .

In (1.4), we set $x = R\omega$ and letting $\epsilon \downarrow 0$. It is easily seen that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} u(\epsilon, \theta)(R\omega, t) = p(R\omega, R(\theta - \omega), t) \times E_{0,R\omega}^{t,R(\theta - \omega)} [\exp \left(\int_0^t q(x + B_s) ds \right)]. \quad (1.5)$$

To continue the proof of the theorem we need the key lemma:

Lemma 2. The following equality holds

$$E_{0,x}^{t,y}[\exp\left(\int_0^t q(x+B_s) ds\right)] = \exp\left(t \int_0^1 q(sy + (1-s)x) ds + o(t)\right). \quad (1.6)$$

The proof of Lemma 2 can be found in [1].

Combining (1.5) and (1.6), we see that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{u(\epsilon, \theta)(R\omega, t)}{p(R\omega, R(\theta - \omega), t)} = \exp\left(t \int_0^1 q(sR(\theta - \omega) + (1-s)R\omega) ds + o(t)\right).$$

Hence we have

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \log \left(\frac{u(\epsilon, \theta)(R\omega, t)}{p(R\omega, R(\theta - \omega), t)} \right) = \int_0^1 q(sR(\theta - \omega) + (1-s)R\omega) ds.$$

By the assumption that $\text{supp } q \subset \subset \{x : |x| < R\}$, we conclude that for any $(\theta, \omega) \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \log \left(\frac{u(\epsilon, \theta)(R\omega, t)}{p(R\omega, R(\theta - \omega), t)} \right) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} q(R\omega + sR(\theta - 2\omega)) ds.$$

Therefore $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \log \left(\frac{u(\epsilon, \theta)(R\omega, t)}{p(R\omega, R(\theta - \omega), t)} \right)$, $\forall (\theta, \omega) \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ uniquely determine the X-ray transformation of $q(x)$ defined by $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} q(y+s\eta) ds$ for any $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $\eta \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$. We know that the X-ray transformation of $q(x)$ uniquely determines the Fourier transformation of $q(x)$ (cf. [8]) and hence $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \log \left(\frac{u(\epsilon, \theta)(R\omega, t)}{p(R\omega, R(\theta - \omega), t)} \right)$, $\forall (\theta, \omega) \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ uniquely determine $q(x)$.

The proof is completed.

REFERENCES

- [1] Albeverio, S., Blanchard, Ph., Kusuoka, S., and Streit, L., An inverse problem for stochastic differential equations, J. Statistical Physics 57(1989), 347-356.
- [2] Engl, H.W. and Rundell, W.ed., Inverse problems in diffusion processes, S.I.A.M., Philadelphia, 1995.
- [3] Friedman, A., Stochastic differential equations and applications Vol.1,

Academic Press, New York, 1975.

- [4] Isakov, V., An inverse hyperbolic problem with many boundary measurement, *Comm.P.D.E.* 16(1991), 1183-1195.
- [5] Isakov, V., *Inverse problems for partial differential equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [6] Karatzas, I. and Shreve, S.E., *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [7] Nakamura, S., Uniqueness and stability estimates for inverse problems for the wave equations, *Adv.in Math.Sci.and Appl.* 6(1996), 631-640.
- [8] Natterer, F., *The Mathematics of Computerized Tomography*. Teubner, Stuttgart and Wiley, New York, 1986.
- [9] Rakesh and Symes, W.W., Uniqueness for an inverse problem for the wave equation, *Comm.P.D.E.* 13(1988), 87-96.
- [10] Simon, B., *Functional integration and quantum physics*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.

Department of General Education
Sasebo National College of Technology
1-1, Okishin-cho
Sasebo, Nagasaki 857-1193
Japan

Received 24 June, 2004 Revised 6 September, 2005