

A NOTE ON AN AXIOM-SYSTEM OF ATOMISTIC MEREOLOGY

BOLESŁAW SOBOCIŃSKI

In [2] and [3]* the system of atomistic mereology with “**el**” as its single primitive functor is based on two axioms. Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} A \quad & [AB] :: A \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(B) . \equiv : : B \varepsilon B : : [Ta] : : [C] . \vdots C \varepsilon T . \equiv : [B] : B \varepsilon a . \supset \\ & B \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(C) : [B] : B \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(C) . \supset . [\exists EF] . E \varepsilon a . F \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(E) . F \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(B) . \vdots \\ & B \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(B) . B \varepsilon a . \supset . A \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(T) \end{aligned}$$

which is Lejewski's single axiom of general mereology, *cf.* [2], section 2, and the additional atomistic axiom:

$$V \quad [A] : : A \varepsilon A . \supset . [\exists B] . \vdots B \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(A) : [C] : C \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(B) . \supset . C = B$$

Since in the field of general mereology the following formula which is shorter than axiom *A*:

$$\begin{aligned} B \quad & [AB] :: A \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(B) . \equiv : : B \varepsilon B : : [Ta] : : [C] . \vdots C \varepsilon T . \equiv : [B] : B \varepsilon a . \supset . B \varepsilon \mathbf{el} \\ & (C) : [B] : B \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(C) . \supset . [\exists EF] . E \varepsilon a . F \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(E) . F \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(B) . \vdots B \varepsilon a . \supset \\ & A \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(T) \end{aligned}$$

holds, as an inspection of the proofs of *P10* and *P11* from [3], section 4.2, can show easily, an occurrence of a subformula “ $B \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(B)$ ” in *A* is rather irritating. But, up to now any endeavor to substitute *A* by *B*, as a single axiom of mereology, failed. In this note it will be proved that in the axiom-system of atomistic mereology which is presented above axiom *A* can be substituted by *B*.

Proof: Let us assume *B* and *V*. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} A1 \quad & [AB] : A \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(B) . \supset . B \varepsilon B & [B] \\ Z1 \quad & [ABA] . \vdots B \varepsilon a : [B] : B \varepsilon a . \supset . B \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(A) : \supset . A \varepsilon A & [A1] \\ D1 \quad & [Aa] . \vdots A \varepsilon A : [B] : B \varepsilon a . \supset . B \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(A) : [B] : B \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(A) . \supset . [\exists EF] . E \varepsilon a . \\ & F \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(E) . F \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(B) : \equiv . A \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(a) \end{aligned}$$

*An acquaintance with [2] and [3] is presupposed. An enumeration of the theorems which are appearing in this note, except for *B*, *Z1*, *Z2* and *Z3*, is the same as in those papers.

Z2 $[A B a] : A \varepsilon \text{el}(B) . B \varepsilon a . \supset . A \varepsilon \text{el}(\text{KI}(a))$

PR $[A B a] :: \text{Hp}(2) . \supset .$

3. $[C] . C \varepsilon \text{KI}(a) . \equiv : [B] : B \varepsilon a . \supset . B \varepsilon \text{el}(C) : [B] :$
 $B \varepsilon \text{el}(C) . \supset . [\exists E F] . E \varepsilon a . F \varepsilon \text{el}(E) . F \varepsilon \text{el}(B) .$
 $A \varepsilon \text{el}(\text{KI}(a))$ $[T1; D1; Z1; 2]$
 $[B; 1; 3; 2]$

A8 $[A a] : A \varepsilon a . \supset . A \varepsilon \text{el}(\text{KI}(a))$

PR $[A a] . \dot{\vdash} . \text{Hp}(1) . \supset :$

- $[\exists B] .$
2. $B \varepsilon \text{el}(A) .$ $[T1; V; 1]$
3. $B \varepsilon \text{el}(\text{KI}(a)) .$ $[Z2; 2; 1]$
4. $\text{KI}(a) \varepsilon \text{KI}(a) :$ $[A1; 3]$
5. $[B] : B \varepsilon a . \supset . B \varepsilon \text{el}(\text{KI}(a)) :$ $[D1; 4]$
 $A \varepsilon \text{el}(\text{KI}(a))$ $[5; 1]$

A3 $[A a] : A \varepsilon a . \supset . [\exists B] . B \varepsilon \text{KI}(a)$ $[A8; A1]$

Z3 $[A T a] : : [C] . C \varepsilon T . \equiv : [B] : B \varepsilon a . \supset . B \varepsilon \text{el}(C) : [B] : B \varepsilon \text{el}(C) . \supset . [\exists E F] .$
 $E \varepsilon a . F \varepsilon \text{el}(E) . F \varepsilon \text{el}(B) . A \varepsilon a . \supset . A \varepsilon \text{el}(T)$

PR $[A T a] :: \text{Hp}(2) . \supset .$

3. $[C] . C \varepsilon \text{KI}(a) . \equiv : [B] : B \varepsilon a . \supset . B \varepsilon \text{el}(C) : [B] :$
 $B \varepsilon \text{el}(C) . \supset . [\exists E F] . E \varepsilon a . F \varepsilon \text{el}(E) . F \varepsilon \text{el}(C) .$ $[T1; D1; Z1; 2]$
4. $[C] : C \varepsilon \text{KI}(a) . \equiv . C \varepsilon T :$ $[1; 3]$
5. $A \varepsilon \text{el}(\text{KI}(a)) .$ $[A8; 2]$
 $A \varepsilon \text{el}(T)$ $[E2; 4; 5]$

A5 $[A] : A \varepsilon A . \supset . A \varepsilon \text{el}(A)$ $[B; Z3]$

A4 $[A B a] : A \varepsilon \text{KI}(a) . B \varepsilon \text{KI}(a) . \supset . A = B$

PR $[A B a] : \text{Hp}(2) . \supset .$

3. $A \varepsilon \text{el}(A) .$ $[T1; A5; 1]$
4. $[\exists E] .$
4. $E \varepsilon a .$ $[D1; 1; 3]$
5. $E \varepsilon \text{el}(\text{KI}(a)) .$ $[A8; 4]$
6. $\text{KI}(a) \varepsilon \text{KI}(a) .$ $[A1; 5]$
7. $A = \text{KI}(a) .$ $[T2; 1; 6]$
8. $B = \text{KI}(a) .$ $[T2; 2; 6]$
 $A = B$ $[7; 8]$

A2 $[A B C] : A \varepsilon \text{el}(B) . B \varepsilon \text{el}(C) . \supset . A \varepsilon \text{el}(C)$

PR $[A B C] . \dot{\vdash} . \text{Hp}(2) . \supset :$

3. $C \varepsilon C .$ $[A1; 2]$
4. $C \varepsilon \text{el}(C) :$ $[A5; 3]$
5. $[V] : V \varepsilon \text{el}(C) . \supset . [\exists E F] . E \varepsilon \text{el}(C) . F \varepsilon \text{el}(E) .$
 $F \varepsilon \text{el}(V) :$ $[T1; A5; 4]$
6. $C = \text{KI}(\text{el}(C)) .$ $[T3; D1; A4; 3; 5]$
7. $A \varepsilon \text{el}(\text{KI}(\text{el}(C))) .$ $[Z2; 1; 2]$
 $A \varepsilon \text{el}(C)$ $[E1; 6; 7]$

Since, *cf.* [2], section 2.1, $\{A1, A2, D1, A3, A4\} \Leftrightarrow \{A\}$, the proof is complete. It should be remarked that without V we do not know how to obtain $A5$ which is indispensable in order to deduce $A2, A3$ and $A4$ from B . On the other hand, $A5$ follows from $A1, A2, D1, A3$ and $A4$, as it has been shown by Clay in [1].

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Clay, R. E., "The dependence of a mereological axiom," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. XI (1970), pp. 471-472.
- [2] Sobociński, B., "Atomistic mereology I," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. XII (1971), pp. 89-103.
- [3] Sobociński, B., "Atomistic Mereology II," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. XII (1971), pp. 203-213.

*University of Notre Dame
Notre Dame, Indiana*