

## Homogeneous Boolean Algebras with Very Nonsymmetric Subalgebras

SABINE KOPPELBERG and J. DONALD MONK

We prove the following theorems.

**Theorem 1**     *For every Boolean algebra  $A$  there are extensions  $C \supseteq B \supseteq A$  such that  $B$  and  $C$  are homogeneous, every endomorphism or automorphism of  $A$  extends to an endomorphism or automorphism of  $B$ , and no nontrivial one-one endomorphism of  $B$  extends to an endomorphism of  $C$ .*

**Theorem 2**     *Assume  $(\diamond)$ . There is an  $\omega_1$ -Souslin tree  $T$  such that the regular open algebra  $B$  of  $T$  is homogeneous and has a complete subalgebra  $A$  onto which no nontrivial automorphism of  $B$  restricts.*

These theorems were motivated by the following question raised by Štěpánek: Does there exist a complete homogeneous Boolean algebra  $B$  with a complete homogeneous subalgebra  $A$  such that no nontrivial automorphism of  $A$  extends to  $B$ ? Here a Boolean algebra  $B$  is called homogeneous if every principal ideal  $B \upharpoonright b = \{x \in B \mid x \leq b\}$  for  $b \neq 0$  is isomorphic to  $B$ ; because of  $B \cong B \upharpoonright b \times B \upharpoonright -b$ ,  $B \upharpoonright b$  is also called a factor of  $B$ .  $B$  is said to be rigid if it has no nontrivial automorphism.

Štěpánek's question arose from the following facts. Every Boolean algebra  $A$  can be embedded into a homogeneous complete algebra  $B$  such that every automorphism of  $A$  extends to  $B$  (see [4] and [5]). Every  $A$  can be embedded into a complete rigid  $B$ —of course, no nontrivial automorphism of  $A$  extends to  $B$  (see [7]). Every  $A$  can be embedded into a complete  $B$  without homogeneous or rigid factors such that either every or no nontrivial automorphism of  $A$  extends to  $B$  (see [8] and [9]).

We assume acquaintance with [6] for the proof of Theorem 1 and with [1] or [3] for Theorem 2.

*Proof of Theorem 1:* Let  $A$  be given. Choose an ordinal  $\alpha$  with  $cf \alpha = \omega$  such that  $|A| \leq \beth_\alpha$ . Let  $\kappa = \beth_\alpha$  and  $\lambda = 2^\kappa$ , so  $\kappa^\omega = \lambda$ .

Next, let  $A'$  be a Boolean algebra such that  $|A'| = \kappa$  and each  $A' \upharpoonright a$  where  $a > 0$  contains a disjoint subset of power  $\kappa$ . By [4], there is a Boolean algebra  $A''$  with  $|A''| = \kappa$  such that the free product

$$B = A * A' * A''$$

is homogeneous; clearly  $|B| = \kappa$  and each  $B \upharpoonright b$  where  $b > 0$  has a disjoint subset of power  $\kappa$ .  $B$  satisfies the conditions on  $A$  in the proof of Theorem 12 in [6]. Hence there is an atomless  $\kappa$ -complicated Boolean algebra  $B'$  such that

$$B \subseteq B' \subseteq (B * F)^{compl},$$

where  $F$  is the free Boolean algebra on  $\lambda$  free generators and  $D^{compl}$  denotes the completion of  $D$ . Note that the embedding from  $B$  to  $B'$  preserves all meets and joins existing in  $B$ . By [2], choose  $E$  such that

$$C = B' * E$$

is homogeneous.

Now let  $f$  be a nontrivial one-one endomorphism of  $B$  and assume that  $\bar{f}$  is an endomorphism of  $C$  extending  $f$ . Choose  $b \in B$  such that  $b > 0$  and  $b \cdot f(b) = 0$  and let  $(a_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$  be a disjoint family in  $B \upharpoonright b \setminus \{0\}$ . By  $\kappa$ -complicatedness of  $B'$ , there is an  $S \subseteq \kappa$  satisfying:

- (1) There is some  $x \in B'$  such that  $a_\alpha \leq x$  for  $\alpha \in S$  and  $a_\alpha \cdot x = 0$  for  $\alpha \in \kappa \setminus S$
- (2) There is no  $y \in B'$  such that  $f(a_\alpha) \leq y$  for  $\alpha \in S$  and  $f(a_\alpha) \cdot y = 0$  for  $\alpha \in \kappa \setminus S$ .

Write, since  $\bar{f}(x) \in C = B' * E$ ,

$$\bar{f}(x) = \sum_{i < n} b_i \cdot e_i$$

where  $b_i \in B'$ ,  $e_i \in E$ . But then  $y = \sum_{i < n} b_i$  is an element of  $B'$  contradicting (2): for  $\alpha \in S$ , we have  $a_\alpha \leq x$ ,  $f(a_\alpha) \leq \bar{f}(x)$ , so  $f(a_\alpha) \leq \sum_{i < n} b_i$ . For  $\alpha \in \kappa \setminus S$ , we have  $a_\alpha \cdot x = 0$ ,  $f(a_\alpha) \cdot \bar{f}(x) = 0$ , so  $f(a_\alpha) \cdot \sum_{i < n} b_i = 0$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2:* Let  $(S_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$  be a sequence for  $(\diamond)$ . It is sufficient to construct a normal Souslin tree  $T$  of length  $\omega_1$  with levels  $U_\alpha$  and objects  $g_{\alpha\upsilon\nu}$ ,  $\alpha$  such that the following claims (1) to (4) are satisfied.

- (1) (a) For  $\beta < \alpha < \omega_1$  and  $u, v \in U_\beta$ ,  $g_{\alpha\upsilon\nu}$  is an automorphism of  $T_\alpha = \bigcup_{\gamma < \alpha} U_\gamma$

such that  $g_{\alpha\upsilon\nu}(u) = v$

- (b)  $g_{\alpha\upsilon\nu} \subseteq g_{\alpha'\upsilon\nu}$  for  $\beta < \alpha \leq \alpha' < \omega_1$

- (c)  $g_{\lambda\upsilon\nu} = \bigcup \{g_{\alpha\upsilon\nu} \mid \beta < \alpha < \lambda\}$  if  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal such that  $\beta < \lambda < \omega_1$ .

For  $u, v \in U_\beta$ ,  $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} g_{\alpha\upsilon\nu}$  is then an automorphism of  $T$  mapping  $u$  to  $v$ . The regular open algebra  $B$  of  $T$  will then be homogeneous.

- (2) (a) For  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $\approx_\alpha$  is an equivalence relation on  $U_\alpha$
- (b) if  $\beta < \alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $y \approx_\alpha y'$  and  $x, x' \in U_\beta$  are such that  $x < y$ ,  $x' < y'$ , then  $x \approx_\beta x'$
- (c) if  $\beta < \alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $x \approx_\beta x'$ ,  $y \in U_\alpha$  and  $x < y$ , then there are infinitely many  $y' \in U_\alpha$  such that  $x' < y'$  and  $y \approx_\alpha y'$
- (d) if  $\beta < \alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $x \in U_\beta$ , then there are  $y, y' \in U_\alpha$  such that  $x < y, y'$  and  $y \not\approx_\alpha y'$ .

The sequence  $(\approx_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$  then gives rise to a complete subalgebra  $A$  of  $B$  (see [3]).

- (3)  $(S_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$  diagonalizes each possible uncountable antichain of  $T$  and each possible nontrivial automorphism of  $B$  restricting to  $A$ .

The most complicated case to consider is:  $S_\lambda$  codes a maximal antichain  $a$  of  $T_\lambda$  plus a nontrivial automorphism  $\phi$  of  $T_\lambda \upharpoonright c$ , where  $c \subseteq \lambda$  is closed unbounded in  $\lambda$ . For two branches  $b, b'$  of length  $\lambda$  in  $T_\lambda$ , let  $b \approx b'$  mean that for each  $\alpha < \lambda$ ,  $x_\alpha \approx_\alpha x'_\alpha$  where  $x_\alpha$  (respectively,  $x'_\alpha$ ) is the unique element of  $b \cap U_\alpha$  (respectively,  $b' \cap U_\alpha$ ). Then choose  $U_\lambda$  such that the set  $Z$  of  $\lambda$ -branches in  $T_\lambda$  corresponding to points in  $U_\lambda$  satisfies:

- (a)  $\bigcup Z = T_\lambda$
- (b)  $b \cap a \neq \emptyset$  for  $b \in Z$
- (c)  $Z$  is closed under the obvious action of each  $g_{\lambda uv}$  (where  $u, v \in U_\beta$ ,  $\beta < \lambda$ ) and of  $\phi$  on the  $\lambda$ -branches of  $T_\lambda$
- (d) if  $b \in Z$ ,  $x \in b \cap U_\alpha$ ,  $x \approx_\alpha x'$ , then there is some  $b' \in Z$  such that  $x' \in b'$  and  $b \approx b'$ .

The existence of  $Z$  satisfying this countable list of requirements is most easily seen by a forcing style argument.

- (4) If  $S_\lambda$  codes  $(a, \phi)$  and  $U_\lambda$  is chosen as in (3), then there are  $u, u', v, w \in U_\lambda$  such that  $\phi(u) = v$ ,  $\phi(u') = w$  under the obvious action of  $\phi$  on  $U_\lambda$  and such that  $u \approx_\lambda u'$  but  $v \not\approx_\lambda w$ .

This guarantees that (the automorphism of  $B$  induced by)  $\phi$  does not restrict to  $A$ .

REFERENCES

- [1] Devlin, K. and H. Johnsbraten, *The Souslin Problem*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 405 (1974).
- [2] Grätzer, G., "Homogeneous Boolean algebras," *Notices of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 20 (1973), A, p. 565.
- [3] Jech, T., "Simple complete Boolean algebras," *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 18 (1974), pp. 1-10.
- [4] Koppelberg, S., "A lattice structure on the isomorphism types of complete Boolean algebras," pp. 98-126 in *Set Theory and Model Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 872 (1981).
- [5] Kripke, S., "An extension of a theorem of Gaifman-Hales-Solovay," *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, vol. 61 (1967), pp. 29-32.

- [6] Monk, J. D., "A very rigid Boolean algebra," *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 35, 1-2 (1980), pp. 135-150.
- [7] Štěpánek, P. and B. Balcar, "Embedding theorems for Boolean algebras and consistency results on ordinal definable sets," *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, vol. 42 (1977), pp. 64-75.
- [8] Štěpánek, P., "Boolean algebras with no rigid or homogeneous factors," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 270 (1982), pp. 131-147.
- [9] Štěpánek, P., "Embeddings of Boolean algebras and automorphisms," *Abstracts of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 3, no. 1 (1982), p. 131.

*Sabine Koppelberg*  
II. Mathematisches Institut  
Freie Universität Berlin  
Königin-Luise-Str. 24-26  
D-Berlin 33, West Germany

*J. Donald Monk*  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Colorado  
Campus Box 426  
Boulder, Colorado 80309