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## Introduction

Let $K$ be a field, $X=\left(x_{i j}\right)$ an $m \times n$ matrix of indeterminates, and $S=K[X]$ the polynomial ring over $K$ in the indeterminates $x_{i j}$. We assume that $m \leq n$. Classically the ideals $I_{t}(X)$ generated by all $t$-minors of $X$ have been considered. Hochster and Eagon [15] proved that the rings $S / I_{t}(X)$ are normal CohenMacaulay domains. A standard reference on the classical theory of determinantal ideals, including the study of the powers of $I_{t}(X)$, is the book by Bruns and Vetter [4]. In addition, the study of a more general class of ladder determinantal ideals has been motivated by geometrical considerations [6]. A new aspect to the theory of determinantal ideals was introduced by Sturmfels [17] and Caniglia et al. [5], who showed that the $t$-minors of $X$ form a Gröbner basis of $I_{t}(X)$ with respect to any monomial order that selects the diagonals of the minors as leading terms. This technique yields a new proof of the Cohen-Macaulayness of the determinantal rings $S / I_{t}(X)$ and was subsequently used also to compute important numerical invariants of these rings-including the $a$-invariant, the multiplicity, and the Hilbert function (see $[2 ; 7 ; 13]$ ). Bruns and Conca [1] have written an excellent survey on the theory of determinantal ideals with regard to the Gröbner basis aspect that includes many references to more recent work.

Applications in algebraic statistics prompted the study of determinantal ideals generated by quite general classes of minors, including ideals generated by adjacent 2-minors [11; 16] or ideals generated by an arbitrary set of 2-minors in a $2 \times n$ matrix [12]. Thus one may raise the following questions. Given an arbitrary set of minors of $X$, what can be said about the ideal they generate? When is such an ideal a radical ideal, and when is it a prime ideal? What is its primary decomposition, when is it Cohen-Macaulay, and what is its Gröbner basis? Apart from the classical cases mentioned before, satisfactory answers to some of these questions are known for ideals generated by arbitrary sets of 2-minors of a $2 \times n$

[^0]matrix of indeterminates. For these ideals-all of which are radical-the primary decomposition and the Gröbner basis are known (see [12]).

The purpose of this paper is to extend some of the results shown in [12] to ideals generated by an arbitrary set of maximal minors of an $m \times n$ matrix of indeterminates. For any sequence of integers $1 \leq a_{1}<a_{2}<\cdots<a_{m} \leq n$, we denote by $\left[a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{m}\right.$ ] the maximal minor of $X$ with columns $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}$. The set of integers $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ may be viewed as a facet of a simplex on the vertex set $[n]$. We are thus led to the following definition. Let $\Delta$ be a pure simplicial complex on the vertex set $[n]=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ of dimension $m-1$. With each facet $F=$ $\left\{a_{1}<a_{2}<\cdots<a_{m}\right\}$ we associate the minor $\mu_{F}=\left[a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{m}\right]$, and we call the ideal

$$
J_{\Delta}=\left(\mu_{F}: F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)\right)
$$

the determinantal facet ideal of $\Delta$. Here $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ denotes the set of facets of $\Delta$.
If $m=2$, then (i) $\Delta$ may be identified with a graph $G$ and (ii) the $m$-minors are binomials. In this case, the determinantal facet ideal coincides with the binomial edge ideal of [12].

In the first section of this paper we establish when the maximal minors generating $J_{\Delta}$ form a Gröbner basis of $J_{\Delta}$. In order to explain this result, we must introduce some notation. Let $\Gamma$ be a simplicial complex, and denote by $\Gamma^{(i)}$ the $i$-skeleton of $\Gamma$. The simplicial complex $\Gamma^{(i)}$ is the collection of all simplices of $\Gamma$ whose dimension is at most $i$.

Now let $\Delta$ be a pure $(m-1)$-dimensional simplicial complex on the vertex set $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the set of simplices $\Gamma$ with vertices in $\left[n\right.$ ] for which $\operatorname{dim} \Gamma \geq m-1$ such that $\Gamma^{(m-1)} \subset \Delta$. Let $\Gamma_{1}, \ldots, \Gamma_{r}$ be the maximal elements in $\mathcal{S}$ (with respect to inclusion) and set $\Delta_{i}=\Gamma_{i}^{(m-1)}$. Then $\Delta=$ $\Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{r}$. The simplicial complex whose facets are the $\Gamma_{i}$ is called the clique complex of $\Delta$, the $\Delta_{i}$ are the cliques of $\Delta$, and $\Delta=\Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{r}$ is the clique decomposition of $\Delta$. For example, let $\Delta$ be the 2 -dimensional simplicial complex on the vertex set [7] with facets $F_{1}=\{1,2,3\}, F_{2}=\{1,2,4\}$, $F_{3}=\{1,3,4\}, F_{4}=\{2,3,4\}, F_{5}=\{3,4,5\}$, and $F_{6}=\{5,6,7\}$. Then $\Delta$ has the clique decomposition $\Delta=\Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2} \cup \Delta_{3}$, where $\Delta_{1}=\Gamma_{1}^{(2)}$ for $\Gamma_{1}$ is the 3-dimensional simplex on the set [4], $\Delta_{2}=\Gamma_{2}^{(2)}$ for $\Gamma_{2}$ the 2-dimensional simplex on the set $\{3,4,5\}$, and $\Delta_{3}=\Gamma_{3}^{(2)}$ for $\Gamma_{3}$ the 2-dimensional simplex on the set $\{5,6,7\}$.

Note that if $m=2$ (i.e., if $\Delta$ is a graph), then the $\Delta_{i}$ are exactly the cliques of $\Delta$ as they are known in graph theory and $\Gamma_{1}, \ldots, \Gamma_{r}$ are the facets of the clique complex of the graph $\Delta$.

The complex $\Delta$ is called closed (with respect to the given labeling) if, for any two facets $F=\left\{a_{1}<\cdots<a_{m}\right\}$ and $G=\left\{b_{1}<\cdots<b_{m}\right\}$ with $a_{i}=b_{i}$ for some $i$, the $(m-1)$-skeleton of the simplex on the vertex set $F \cup G$ is contained in $\Delta$. In terms of its clique decomposition, the property of $\Delta$ of being closed can be expressed in two different ways.
(1) $\Delta$ is closed if and only if, for all $i \neq j$ and for all $F=\left\{a_{1}<a_{2}<\cdots<a_{m}\right\} \in$ $\Delta_{i}$ and $G=\left\{b_{1}<b_{2}<\cdots<b_{m}\right\} \in \Delta_{j}$, we have $a_{\ell} \neq b_{\ell}$ for all $\ell$.
(2) $\Delta$ is closed if and only if, for all $i \neq j$ and for all $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \in \Delta_{i}$ and $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\} \in \Delta_{j}$, the monomials in ${ }_{<}\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right]$ and $\mathrm{in}_{<}\left[b_{1} \ldots b_{m}\right]$ are relatively prime; here $<$ is the lexicographical order induced by the natural order of indeterminates

$$
x_{11}>x_{12}>\cdots>x_{1 n}>x_{21}>\cdots>x_{2 n}>\cdots>x_{m n}
$$

(row by row from left to right).
The main result (Theorem 1.1) of Section 1 states that the minors generating the facet ideal $J_{\Delta}$ form a quadratic Gröbner basis-with respect to the lexicographic order induced by the natural order of the variables-if and only if $\Delta$ is closed. We also show that, whenever $\Delta$ is closed, $J_{\Delta}$ is Cohen-Macaulay and the $K$-algebra generated by the minors that generate $J_{\Delta}$ is Gorenstein (see Corollary 1.3 and Corollary 1.4).

In Section 2 we discuss when a determinantal facet ideal is a prime ideal. As a main result we show in Theorem 2.2 that if $\Delta$ is closed and if $J_{\Delta}$ is a prime ideal, then the clique complexes $\Delta_{i}$ of $\Delta$ satisfy the following intersection property: for all $2 \leq t \leq m=\operatorname{dim} \Delta+1$ and for any pairwise distinct cliques $\Delta_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \Delta_{i_{t}}$,

$$
\left|V\left(\Delta_{i_{1}}\right) \cap \cdots \cap V\left(\Delta_{i_{t}}\right)\right| \leq m-t
$$

We expect that this intersection property actually characterizes closed simplicial complexes whose determinantal facet ideal is prime, but we have not yet proved this. In Theorem 2.4 we can give only a partial converse of Theorem 2.2.

We show in Example 2.5 that, for determinantal facet ideals satisfying the intersection condition just described, primality can be expected only in the case of closed simplicial complexes. For nonclosed simplicial complexes, the primality problem is difficult.

In Section 3 we study primality of $J_{\Delta}$ for a closed simplicial complex under the following strict intersection condition. Let $\Delta=\Delta_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{r}$ be the clique decomposition of $\Delta$. We require that
(i) $\left|V\left(\Delta_{i}\right) \cap V\left(\Delta_{j}\right)\right| \leq 1$ for all $i<j$ and
(ii) $V\left(\Delta_{i}\right) \cap V\left(\Delta_{j}\right) \cap V\left(\Delta_{k}\right)=\emptyset$ for all $i<j<k$.

For $m=3$, this is exactly the necessary condition for primality formulated in Theorem 2.2.

Assuming (i) and (ii), we let $G_{\Delta}$ be the simple graph with vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}$ and edges $\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}\right\}$ for all $i \neq j$, where $V\left(\Delta_{i}\right) \cap V\left(\Delta_{j}\right) \neq \emptyset$. We would like to identify the graphs $G_{\Delta}$ for which the determinantal facet ideal $J_{\Delta}$ is a prime ideal; this is the case when $\Delta$ is closed and $G_{\Delta}$ is a forest or a cycle (see Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3). Finally, we show in Theorem 3.4 that for any graph $G$ there is a closed simplicial complex $\Delta$, with $G=G_{\Delta}$, whose cliques are all simplices.

## 1. Determinantal Facet Ideals Whose Generators Form a Gröbner Basis

In this section we seek to classify those ideals generated by maximal minors of a generic $m \times n$ matrix $X$ whose generating minors form a Gröbner basis. As
explained in the Introduction, we identify each $m$-minor $\left[a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{m}\right.$ ] of $X$ with the $(m-1)$-simplex $F=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$. Thus an arbitrary collection of $m$ minors of $X$ can be indexed by the facets of a pure $(m-1)$-dimensional simplicial complex $\Delta$ on the vertex set [ $n$ ]. The ideal generated by these minors will be denoted $J_{\Delta}$ and is called the determinantal facet ideal of $\Delta$. In other words, if $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ denotes the set of facets of $\Delta$ then $J_{\Delta}=\left(\mu_{F}: F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)\right)$, where $\mu_{F}=$ $\left[a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{m}\right]$ for $F=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$.

In analogy to the case of 2-minors as considered in [12], we say that $\Delta$ is closed with respect to the given labeling if, for any two facets $F=\left\{a_{1}<\cdots<a_{m}\right\}$ and $G=\left\{b_{1}<\cdots<b_{m}\right\}$ with $a_{i}=b_{i}$ for some $i$, the ( $m-1$ )-skeleton of the simplex on the vertex set $F \cup G$ is contained in $\Delta$. Note that $\Delta$ is called closed if there is a labeling of its vertices such that $\Delta$ is closed with respect to it.

For example, let $\Delta$ be the 2-dimensional simplicial complex of Figure 1(a). The cliques of $\Delta$ are two simplices of dimension 2. The complex $\Delta$ is closed with respect to the labeling given in Figure 1(b) but not with respect to the labeling given in Figure 1(c). Indeed, with the first labeling, the facets $\{1,2,3\}$ of the first clique and $\{3,4,5\}$ of the second clique have no common label in the same position whereas, with the second labeling, the facets $\{1,2,5\}$ and $\{3,4,5\}$ both have the label 5 in the last position. In terms of initial monomials, in the first case in ${ }_{<}[123]=x_{11} x_{22} x_{33}$ and in ${ }_{<}[345]=x_{13} x_{24} x_{35}$ are relatively prime; in the second case, $\mathrm{in}_{<}[125]=x_{11} x_{22} x_{35}$ and in ${ }_{<}[345]=x_{13} x_{24} x_{35}$ are not relatively prime. Nonetheless, the simplicial complex is closed because one may find at least one labeling of its vertices with respect to which $\Delta$ is closed.


Figure 1

The main result of this section is the following statement.
Theorem 1.1. The set $\mathcal{G}=\left\{\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right]:\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \in \Delta\right\}$ is a Gröbner basis of $J_{\Delta}$ with respect to the lexicographical order induced by the natural order of indeterminates if and only if $\Delta$ is closed.

Before proving this theorem we recall some notation that is often used in the classical theory of determinantal ideals. If $r<m$, then the minor corresponding to the submatrix of $X$ with rows $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}$ and columns $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}$ is denoted by $\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{r} \mid b_{1} \ldots b_{r}\right]$. Proving Theorem 1.1 will require the following technical result.

Lemma 1.2. Let $m \leq n-1$. For any $m-1$ rows $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m-1}$ and any $m+1$ columns $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{m-2}, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ of $X$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{k}\left[c_{1} \ldots c_{m-1} \mid d_{1} \ldots d_{m-2} e_{3}\right]\left[d_{1} \ldots d_{m-2} e_{1} e_{2}\right] \\
& \quad+(-1)^{j}\left[c_{1} \ldots c_{m-1} \mid d_{1} \ldots d_{m-2} e_{2}\right]\left[d_{1} \ldots d_{m-2} e_{1} e_{3}\right] \\
& \quad+(-1)^{i}\left[c_{1} \ldots c_{m-1} \mid d_{1} \ldots d_{m-2} e_{1}\right]\left[d_{1} \ldots d_{m-2} e_{2} e_{3}\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

provided that $d_{1}<d_{2}<\cdots<d_{i-1}<e_{1}<d_{i}<\cdots<d_{j-2}<e_{2}<d_{j-1}<$ $\cdots<d_{k-3}<e_{3}<d_{k-2}<\cdots<d_{m-2}$ for some $1 \leq i<j<k \leq m$.

Proof. Our assumption on the sequence of integers means that $e_{1}$ is the $i$ th term, $e_{2}$ the $j$ th term, and $e_{3}$ the $k$ th term in the preceding sequence.

Now consider the matrix

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
x_{1 d_{1}} & \ldots & x_{1 d_{i-1}} & x_{1 e_{1}} & \ldots & x_{1 e_{2}} & \ldots & x_{1 e_{3}} & \ldots & x_{1 d_{m-2}} \\
\vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
x_{m d_{1}} & \ldots & x_{m d_{i-1}} & x_{m e_{1}} & \ldots & x_{m e_{2}} & \ldots & x_{m e_{3}} & \ldots & x_{m d_{m-2}} \\
g_{d_{1}} & \ldots & g_{d_{i-1}} & g_{e_{1}} & \ldots & g_{e_{2}} & \ldots & g_{e_{3}} & \ldots & g_{d_{m-2}}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $g_{\ell}$ is the minor $\left[c_{1} \ldots c_{m-1} \mid d_{1} \ldots d_{m-2} \ell\right]$ of $X$ for each $\ell \in\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.d_{m-1}, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$. Expanding $g_{\ell}$ by the last column yields

$$
g_{\ell}=\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}(-1)^{m-1+i}\left[c_{1} \ldots c_{i-1} c_{i+1} \ldots c_{m-1} \mid d_{1} \ldots d_{m-2}\right] x_{c_{i} \ell}
$$

for each $\ell$. Hence the last row of $M$ is a linear combination of the rows $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m-1}$ of $M$ and so the determinant of $M$ is zero. On the other hand, $g_{\ell}=0$ for $\ell=$ $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m-2}$ because, for these $\ell$, the polynomial $g_{\ell}$ is the determinant of a matrix with two equal columns. Now computing the determinant of $M$ by expanding its last row, we obtain the desired identity.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\Delta$ is closed. We show that all $S$-pairs,

$$
S\left(\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right],\left[b_{1} \ldots b_{m}\right]\right),
$$

reduce to zero. If $a_{i} \neq b_{i}$ for all $i$, then in ${ }_{<}\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right]$ and in ${ }_{<}\left[b_{1} \ldots b_{m}\right]$ have no common factor. Therefore, $S\left(\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right],\left[b_{1} \ldots b_{m}\right]\right)$ reduces to zero.

Let $a_{i}=b_{i}$ for some $i$. Since $\Delta$ is closed, all $m$-subsets of $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \cup$ $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\}$ belong to $\Delta$. As a result, $S\left(\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right],\left[b_{1} \ldots b_{m}\right]\right)$ reduces to zero with respect to the $m$-subsets of $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \cup\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\}$ and hence with respect to $\mathcal{G}$. It then follows from Buchberger's criterion that $\mathcal{G}$ is a Gröbner basis of $J_{\Delta}$.

Assume that $\mathcal{G}$ is a Gröbner basis for the ideal $J_{\Delta}$. Let $\left[a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{m}\right]$ with $a_{1}<$ $a_{2}<\cdots<a_{m}$ and $\left[b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{m}\right.$ ] with $b_{1}<b_{2}<\cdots<b_{m}$ belong to $\mathcal{G}$, and assume that $a_{i}=b_{i}$ for some $i$. We will show that $\Delta$ is closed. The proof is by descending induction on

$$
k=\left|\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \cap\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\}\right| .
$$

Case 1: $k=m-1$. Then there exists an integer $\ell$ such that $a_{1}=b_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}=$ $b_{\ell-1}$ and $a_{\ell} \neq b_{\ell}$. We may assume $b_{\ell}<a_{\ell}$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{b_{1}<\cdots<b_{m}\right\} \\
& \quad=\left\{a_{1}<a_{2}<\cdots<a_{\ell-1}<b_{\ell}<a_{\ell}<\cdots<a_{\ell^{\prime}-1}<a_{\ell^{\prime}+1}<\cdots<a_{m}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $\ell^{\prime} \geq \ell$. In this case, proving that $\Delta$ is closed requires showing that

$$
\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, b_{\ell}\right\} \backslash\left\{a_{r}\right\} \in \Delta
$$

for all $r$.
Since $a_{i}=b_{i}$ for some $i$ we have that either $\ell^{\prime}<m$ or $1<\ell$. First assume that $\ell^{\prime}<m$, and choose an integer $r$ with $\ell^{\prime}<r \leq m$. We can use the determinantal identity of Lemma 1.2, whereby $\left\{d_{1}<\cdots<d_{m-2}\right\}$ is equal to

$$
\left\{a_{1}<\cdots<a_{\ell-1}<a_{\ell}<\cdots<a_{\ell^{\prime}-1}<a_{\ell^{\prime}+1}<\cdots<a_{r-1}<a_{r+1}<\cdots<a_{m}\right\}
$$

and $\left\{e_{1}<e_{2}<e_{3}\right\}=\left\{b_{\ell}<a_{\ell^{\prime}}<a_{r}\right\}$, to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{\ell^{\prime}+1}\left[1 \ldots m-1 \mid a_{1} \ldots \hat{a}_{\ell^{\prime}} \ldots a_{m}\right]\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{\ell-1} b_{\ell} a_{\ell} \ldots \hat{a}_{r} \ldots a_{m}\right] \\
& \quad+(-1)^{r+1}\left[1 \ldots m-1 \mid a_{1} \ldots \hat{a}_{r} \ldots a_{m}\right]\left[b_{1} \ldots b_{m}\right] \\
& \quad+(-1)^{\ell}\left[1 \ldots m-1 \mid a_{1} \ldots a_{\ell-1} b_{\ell} a_{\ell} \ldots \hat{a}_{\ell^{\prime}} \ldots \hat{a}_{r} \ldots a_{m}\right]\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the last two terms are in $J_{\Delta}$ and since $\mathcal{G}$ is a Gröbner basis for $J_{\Delta}$, it follows that the initial monomial of the first term is divisible by the initial monomial of a minor in $\mathcal{G}$.

The initial monomial of the first term is

$$
\begin{aligned}
u= & \left(x_{1 a_{1}} \cdots x_{\ell^{\prime}-1 a_{\ell^{\prime}-1}} x_{\ell^{\prime} a_{\ell^{\prime}+1}} \cdots x_{m-1 a_{m}}\right) \\
& \times\left(x_{1 a_{1}} \cdots x_{\ell-1 a_{\ell-1}} x_{\ell b_{\ell}} x_{\ell+1 a_{\ell}} x_{\ell+2 a_{\ell+1}} \cdots x_{r a_{r-1}} x_{r+1 a_{r+1}} \cdots x_{m a_{m}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence in $<\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{\ell-1} b_{\ell} a_{\ell} \ldots \hat{a}_{r} \ldots a_{m}\right]$ is the only initial monomial of a maximal minor of $X$ that divides the displayed monomial. Indeed, in order to find the initial monomial of a maximal minor that divides $u$, we must choose an increasing subsequence of $a_{1}<\cdots<a_{\ell-1}<b_{\ell}<a_{\ell}<a_{\ell+1}<\cdots<a_{m}$ with $m$ elements. Observe that we have a unique choice for the first $\ell-1$ elements and the last $m-r$ elements; that choice is $a_{1}<\cdots<a_{\ell-1}$ and, respectively, $a_{r+1}<\cdots<a_{m}$. We must therefore choose a subsequence with $r-\ell+1$ elements of $b_{\ell}<a_{\ell}<a_{\ell+1}<$ $\cdots<a_{r}$. Now we see that $x_{r a_{r}}$ does not divide $u$, so we cannot keep $a_{r}$ in the preceding sequence. As a result, the unique choice of the subsequence is $b_{\ell}<a_{\ell}<$ $a_{\ell+1}<\cdots<a_{r-1}$. Hence we deduce that

$$
\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{\ell-1} b_{\ell} a_{\ell} \ldots \hat{a}_{r} \ldots a_{m}\right] \in \mathcal{G}
$$

and so $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}, b_{\ell}, a_{\ell}, \ldots, \hat{a}_{r}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ is in $\Delta$ for all $r>\ell^{\prime}$.
Next we assume that $1<\ell$. Then we deduce as in the $\ell^{\prime}<m$ case that

$$
\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, \hat{a}_{r}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}, b_{\ell}, a_{\ell}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}
$$

is in $\Delta$ for $r<\ell$. More precisely, we again use Lemma 1.2 but now for

$$
\left[c_{1} \ldots c_{m-1}\right]=[2 \ldots m]
$$

this leads to the following identity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{r}\left[2 \ldots m \mid a_{1} \ldots \hat{a}_{r} \ldots a_{m}\right]\left[b_{1} \ldots b_{m}\right] \\
& \quad+(-1)^{\ell-1}\left[2 \ldots m \mid a_{1} \ldots \hat{a}_{r} \ldots b_{\ell} a_{\ell} \ldots \hat{a}_{\ell^{\prime}} \ldots a_{m}\right]\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right] \\
& \quad+(-1)^{\ell^{\prime}-1}\left[2 \ldots m \mid a_{1} \ldots \hat{a}_{\ell^{\prime}} \ldots a_{m}\right]\left[a_{1} \ldots \hat{a}_{r} \ldots b_{\ell} a_{\ell} \ldots a_{m}\right]=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last term in this identity belongs to $J_{\Delta}$, so its initial monomial is divisible by the initial monomial of a minor in $\mathcal{G}$. By using similar arguments as before, we get the claim.

Finally we show that $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, b_{\ell}\right\} \backslash\left\{a_{r}\right\} \in \Delta$ for all $r$. Toward this end, we may assume that $\ell^{\prime}<m$ and choose $r=\ell^{\prime}+1$ to obtain (arguing as before) that $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}, b_{\ell}, a_{\ell}, \ldots, \hat{a}_{\ell^{\prime}+1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ is a facet of $\Delta$. When we compare this facet with the facet $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}, b_{\ell}, a_{\ell}, \ldots, \hat{a}_{\ell^{\prime}}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ of $\Delta$, it follows from the previous considerations that $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}, b_{\ell}, a_{\ell}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \backslash\left\{a_{r}\right\} \in \Delta$ for all $r \leq \ell^{\prime}$.

Case 2: $k<m-1$. Let $s$ be the number of integers $i$ such that $a_{i}=b_{i}$. By our assumption, $s \geq 1$ and of course $s \leq k$. Assume that $a_{1}=b_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}=b_{s}$ and $a_{s+1}<b_{s+1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{in}_{<}\left(\left[s+1 \ldots m \mid b_{s+1} \ldots b_{m}\right]\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right]-\left[s+1 \ldots m \mid a_{s+1} \ldots a_{m}\right]\left[b_{1} \ldots b_{m}\right]\right) \\
& \quad=\left(x_{s+1 b_{s+1}} \cdots x_{m b_{m}}\right)\left(x_{1 a_{1}} \ldots x_{s-1 a_{s-1}} x_{s a_{s+1}} x_{s+1 a_{s}} x_{s+2 a_{s+2}} \cdots x_{m a_{m}}\right)=u
\end{aligned}
$$

this is because the monomials greater than $u$ (in the expression whose initial monomial we compute) cancel. Hence there exists a minor $\left[c_{1} \ldots c_{m}\right]$ in $\mathcal{G}$ with $c_{1}<$ $c_{2}<\cdots<c_{m}$ such that in $<\left[c_{1} \ldots c_{m}\right]$ divides the monomial

$$
\left(x_{s+1 b_{s+1}} \cdots x_{m b_{m}}\right)\left(x_{1 a_{1}} \cdots x_{s-1 a_{s-1}} x_{s a_{s+1}} x_{s+1 a_{s}} x_{s+2 a_{s+2}} \cdots x_{m a_{m}}\right),
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{1}=a_{1}, \ldots, c_{s-1}=a_{s-1}, c_{s}=a_{s+1}, c_{s+1}=b_{s+1} \\
\text { and } \quad c_{\ell} \in\left\{a_{\ell}, b_{\ell}\right\} \text { for } \ell \geq s+2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

First consider the case $s=k$. Then $c_{m}$ is either $a_{m}$ or $b_{m}$, and we may assume that $c_{m}=a_{m}$. Therefore, $\left|\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right\} \cap\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}\right|>k$. Applying the inductive hypothesis for the facets $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ of $\Delta$, we conclude that all $m$-subsets of

$$
\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \cup\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right\}
$$

belong to $\Delta$.
Note that there exists some $c_{i}$ such that $c_{i} \notin\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$, given $a_{s} \notin\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right\}$. It follows that $c_{i}=b_{i}$ and consequently $b_{i} \notin\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$. Moreover, since $k<$ $m-1$ there exist two integers $j_{1}$ and $j_{2}$ such that

$$
a_{j_{1}}, a_{j_{2}} \notin\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\} .
$$

Since $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, \hat{a}_{j_{1}}, \ldots, a_{m}, b_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, \hat{a}_{j_{2}}, \ldots, a_{m}, b_{i}\right\}$ are $m$-subsets of

$$
\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \cup\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right\}
$$

both of them belong to $\Delta$. Now applying the inductive hypothesis to the sets $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, \hat{a}_{j_{1}}, \ldots, a_{m}, b_{i}\right\}$ that intersect in $k+1$ elements, we obtain all $m$-subsets of

$$
\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, \hat{a}_{j_{1}}, \ldots, a_{m}, b_{i}\right\} \cup\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\}
$$

in $\Delta$. By the same argument we deduce that all $m$-subsets of

$$
\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, \hat{a}_{j_{2}}, \ldots, a_{m}, b_{i}\right\} \cup\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\}
$$

belong to $\Delta$.
Now assume that $F$ is an arbitrary subset of $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \cup\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\}$ such that $a_{j_{1}}, a_{j_{2}} \in F$ and $b_{j} \notin F$ for some $j$. By the foregoing statements we have $\left(F \backslash\left\{a_{j_{1}}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{b_{j}\right\}$ and $\left(F \backslash\left\{a_{j_{2}}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{b_{j}\right\}$ in $\Delta$. Comparing these two facets then allows us to deduce that $F \in \Delta$, since their intersection has cardinality $m-1$.

The proof is similar in the more general case where $a_{\ell_{1}}=b_{\ell_{1}}, \ldots, a_{\ell_{s}}=b_{\ell_{s}}$. We simply consider the minor

$$
\left[1 \ldots \hat{\ell}_{1} \ldots \hat{\ell}_{s} \ldots m \mid a_{1} \ldots \hat{a}_{\ell_{1}} \ldots \hat{a}_{\ell_{s}} \ldots a_{m}\right]
$$

instead of $\left[s+1 \ldots m \mid a_{s+1} \ldots a_{m}\right]$ and the minor

$$
\left[1 \ldots \hat{\ell}_{1} \ldots \hat{\ell}_{s} \ldots m \mid b_{1} \ldots \hat{b}_{\ell_{1}} \ldots \hat{b}_{\ell_{s}} \ldots b_{m}\right]
$$

instead of $\left[s+1 \ldots m \mid b_{s+1} \ldots b_{m}\right]$ to get the desired minors in $\mathcal{G}$. Therefore, the assertion of the theorem is proved if $s=k$.

Now assume that $s<k$ and that the results hold for every two sets in $\Delta$ with $k$ common elements at least $s+1$ of which have the same position in both sets. Let $a_{\ell_{1}}=b_{t_{1}}, \ldots, a_{\ell_{k-s}}=b_{t_{k-s}}$ for some integers $\ell_{1}<\cdots<\ell_{k-s}$ and $t_{1}<\cdots<$ $t_{k-s}$, where $t_{r} \neq \ell_{r}$ for $r=1, \ldots, k-s$. Assume that

$$
\left\{a_{\ell_{\sigma_{1}}}, \ldots, a_{\ell \sigma_{p}}\right\} \subset\left\{c_{s+2}, \ldots, c_{m}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\{a_{\ell_{\tau_{1}}}, \ldots, a_{\ell_{\tau_{q}}}\right\} \not \subset\left\{c_{s+2}, \ldots, c_{m}\right\}
$$

for $\left\{\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{p}, \tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{q}\right\}=\left\{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{k-s}\right\}$.
We begin by assuming that $p=k-s$. We remark that, since $k<m-1$, there exists some index $j$ with $j \notin\left\{1, \ldots, s+1, \ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{k-s}\right\}$. If $c_{j}=a_{j}$ for some $j \notin$ $\left\{1, \ldots, s+1, \ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{k-s}\right\}$ then $\left|\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \cap\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right\}\right|>k$ and, by the inductive hypothesis, we derive all $m$-subsets of $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \cup\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right\}$ in $\Delta$. Otherwise, $\left|\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\} \cap\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right\}\right|>k$ and so, again by the inductive hypothesis, all $m$-subsets of $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\} \cup\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right\}$ belong to $\Delta$. In both cases we can apply the same argument as in the case $s=k$ and thereby deduce that all desired $m$-subsets are in $\Delta$.

Next assume that $p<k-s$. We claim that

$$
c_{\ell_{r}}=b_{\ell_{r}} \quad \text { for } r=\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{q}
$$

in particular, we have $\left\{b_{\ell_{\tau_{1}}}, \ldots, b_{\ell_{\tau_{q}}}\right\} \subset\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right\}$. Indeed, suppose that $a_{\ell_{r}} \notin$ $\left\{c_{s+2}, \ldots, c_{m}\right\}$. Then $c_{\ell_{r}}=b_{\ell_{r}}$ and $c_{t_{r}}=a_{t_{r}}$.

Since $a_{s+1}<b_{s+1}<\cdots<b_{m}$, we have $\ell_{r}>s+1$ for all $r$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{1}=b_{1}, \ldots, c_{s-1}=b_{s-1}, c_{s+1}=b_{s+1}, \quad c_{\ell_{\tau_{1}}}=b_{\ell_{\tau_{1}}}, \ldots, c_{\ell_{\tau_{q}}}=b_{\ell_{\tau_{q}}}, \\
c_{\ell_{\sigma_{1}}}=a_{\ell_{\sigma_{1}}}=b_{t_{\sigma_{1}}}, \ldots, c_{\ell_{\sigma_{p}}}=a_{\ell_{\sigma_{p}}}=b_{t_{\sigma_{p}}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

These expressions show that $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\}$ have at least $k$ common elements and that $s+q \geq s+1$ of them have the same position in both sets. Now applying the result of the first case to these two sets, we deduce that all $m$-subsets of

$$
\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\} \cup\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right\}
$$

are in $\Delta$. Finally, the same argument as in the case $k=s$-but for $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\} \cup$ $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right\}$ instead of $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \cup\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right\}$-implies that all desired $m$ subsets belong to $\Delta$.

For determinantal facet ideals of closed simplicial complexes, we may compute important numerical invariants.

Corollary 1.3. Let $\Delta$ be a closed simplicial complex of dimension $(m-1)$ and let $\Delta=\Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{r}$ be its clique decomposition. For $1 \leq \ell \leq r$, let $n_{\ell}$ be the number of vertices of $\Delta_{\ell}$. Then:
(a) height $J_{\Delta}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{r}$ height $J_{\Delta_{\ell}}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{r} n_{\ell}-(m-1) r$;
(b) $J_{\Delta}$ is Cohen-Macaulay;
(c) the Hilbert series of $S / J_{\Delta}$ has the form

$$
H_{S / J_{\Delta}}(t)=\frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{r} Q_{\ell}(t)}{(1-t)^{m n-\sum_{\ell=1}^{r} n_{\ell}+(m-1) r}}
$$

where

$$
Q_{\ell}(t)=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(\sum_{k}\binom{m-i}{k}\binom{n_{\ell}-j}{k}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq m-1}}{t^{\binom{m-1}{2}}}
$$

for $1 \leq \ell \leq r$;
(d) the multiplicity of $S / J_{\Delta}$ is

$$
e\left(S / J_{\Delta}\right)=\prod_{\ell=1}^{r}\binom{n_{\ell}}{m-1} .
$$

Proof. It follows from characterization (2) of closed simplicial complexes that the initial ideals in ${ }_{<}\left(J_{\Delta_{\ell}}\right)$ are monomial ideals in disjoint sets of variables; hence the first equality in (a) is obvious. The second equality follows from the formula for the height of determinantal ideals (see e.g. [8, Thm. 6.35]).

By [10, Cor. 3.3.5], $S / J_{\Delta}$ and $S / \operatorname{in}_{<}\left(J_{\Delta}\right)$ have the same Hilbert series. By [7, Cor. 1] or by [1, Thm. 6.9] and [13, Thm. 3.5], we have formulas for the Hilbert series and know the multiplicity of determinantal rings defined by maximal minors. Hence (c) and (d) follow once we observe that, by characterization (2) of closed simplicial complexes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S / \mathrm{in}_{<}\left(J_{\Delta}\right) \cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^{r} S_{i} / \mathrm{in}_{<}\left(J_{\Delta_{i}}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

here the $S_{i}$ are polynomial rings in disjoint sets of variables whose union is the set of all the variables of $X$. Another application of (1.1) reveals that, since all factors in the right-hand side are Cohen-Macaulay (see [5] and [17]), $\mathrm{in}_{<}\left(J_{\Delta}\right)=$ $\mathrm{in}_{<}\left(J_{\Delta_{1}}\right)+\cdots+\mathrm{in}_{<}\left(J_{\Delta_{r}}\right)$ is also Cohen-Macaulay. This, in turn, implies that $J_{\Delta}$ is Cohen-Macaulay (see e.g. [10, Cor. 3.3.5]).

Corollary 1.4. Suppose that $\Delta$ is closed and has clique decomposition $\Delta=$ $\Delta_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{r}$. Then the $K$-algebra

$$
A=K\left[\left\{\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right]:\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \in \Delta\right\}\right]
$$

is Gorenstein and of dimension $r+\sum_{i=1}^{r} m\left(n_{i}-m\right)$, where $n_{i}$ is the cardinality of the vertex set of $\Delta_{i}$.

Proof. We first observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
B & :=K\left[\left\{\operatorname{in}_{<}\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right]:\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \in \Delta\right\}\right] \\
& \cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^{r} K\left[\left\{\operatorname{in}_{<}\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right]:\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \in \Delta_{i}\right\}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We use the Sagbi basis criterion (see [8, Thm. 6.43]), which asserts that the minors [ $a_{1} \ldots a_{m}$ ] with $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \in \Delta$ form a Sagbi basis of $A$; in other words, the monomials $\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right]$ with $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \in \Delta$ generate the initial algebra in ${ }_{<}(A)$ provided a generating set of binomial relations of the algebra $B$ can be lifted. It follows from the tensor presentation of $B$ that a set of binomial relations of $B$ is obtained as the union of the binomial relations of each of the algebras $K\left[\left\{\operatorname{in}_{<}\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right]\right.\right.$ : $\left.\left.\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \in \Delta_{i}\right\}\right]$. Because these algebras are known to admit a set of liftable relations, we have $B=\mathrm{in}_{<}(A)$.

Next we note that, for each $i$, the $K$-algebra $K\left[\left\{\operatorname{in}_{<}\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right]:\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \in\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\Delta_{i}\right\}\right]$ is the Hibi ring associated to the distributive lattice $\mathcal{L}_{i}$ of all maximal $m$-minors [ $a_{1} \ldots a_{m}$ ] with $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \in \Delta_{i}$. The partial order of this lattice is given by

$$
\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right] \leq\left[b_{1} \ldots b_{m}\right] \Longleftrightarrow a_{i} \leq b_{i} \text { for } i=1, \ldots, m
$$

The distributive lattice $\mathcal{L}_{i}$ is graded, which by a theorem of Hibi [14] implies that

$$
K\left[\left\{\mathrm{in}_{<}\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right]:\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \in \Delta_{i}\right\}\right]
$$

is Gorenstein. It follows from [1, Thm. 3.16] that $A$ is Gorenstein.
Finally, we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dim} A=\operatorname{dimin} \operatorname{co}_{<}(A)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{dim} K\left[\left\{\operatorname{in}_{<}\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right]:\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \in \Delta_{i}\right\}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{dim} K\left[\left\{\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right]:\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \in \Delta_{i}\right\}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The desired formula for the dimension of $A$ follows: $K\left[\left\{\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right]:\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \in\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\Delta_{i}\right\}\right]$ is the algebra of all maximal minors of an $m \times n_{i}$ matrix of indeterminates, so its dimension is $m\left(n_{i}-m\right)+1$ (see e.g. [8, Thm. 6.45]).

## 2. Primality of Determinantal Facet Ideals

In this and the following section we discuss the conditions under which a determinantal facet ideal is a prime ideal. In general, $J_{\Delta}$ need not be a prime ideal even when $\Delta$ is closed. For example, if $\Delta$ is the simplicial complex with facets $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)=\{\{1,2,3\},\{2,3,4\}\}$ or $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)=\{\{1,2,3\},\{2,3,6\},\{3,4,5\}\}$, then $J_{\Delta}$ is
not a prime ideal. Indeed, in the first case we have height $J_{\Delta}=$ height in ${ }_{<}\left(J_{\Delta}\right)=$ 2 since $\mathrm{in}_{<}\left(J_{\Delta}\right)$ is generated by a regular sequence of length 2 and since $P=$ $\left(x_{2} y_{3}-x_{3} y_{2}, x_{2} z_{3}-x_{3} z_{2}, y_{2} z_{3}-y_{3} z_{2}\right)$ is a prime ideal of height 2 that, it is clear, strictly contains $J_{\Delta}$. We denote the variables of the first row of a matrix (here, $X$ ) by $x$, of the second row by $y$, and of the third row by $z$ together with appropriate indices. In the second case we have height $J_{\Delta}=$ height in ${ }_{<}\left(J_{\Delta}\right)=3$ and $J_{\Delta} \subsetneq\left(x_{3}, y_{3}, z_{3}\right)$, so clearly $J_{\Delta}$ is not prime. Even in these relatively simple examples we see that the primary decomposition of determinantal facet ideals is far more complicated than that for binomial edge ideals.

The main result of this section, Theorem 2.2, explains why $J_{\Delta}$ is not a prime ideal in the examples just given. The proofs of primality that will follow depend on localization with respect to nonzero divisors, a technique that allows for the use of induction arguments. Indeed, suppose we want to show that $J \subset S$ is a prime ideal. Then we are looking for an element $f \in S$ that is regular modulo $J$, whose existence would imply that the natural map $S / J \rightarrow(S / J)_{f}$ is injective. If we can find a prime ideal $L \subset S$ such that $(S / L)_{f} \cong(S / J)_{f}$ then $(S / J)_{f}$ (and consequently $S / J)$ is a domain, which implies that $J$ is a prime ideal. This procedure often allows us to use inductive arguments, as in many cases $L$ is of a simpler structure.

The next lemma explicates the effect of localization when we are dealing with ideals generated by minors of a matrix.

Lemma 2.1. Let $K$ be a field, $X$ an $m \times n$ matrix of indeterminates, and $I \subset$ $S=K[X]$ an ideal generated by a set $\mathcal{G}$ of minors. Let $x_{i j}$ be an entry of $X$. We assume that, for each minor $\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{t} \mid b_{1} \ldots b_{t}\right] \in \mathcal{G}(t \geq 1)$, there exists an $\ell$ such that $a_{\ell}=i$ and so every minor of $\mathcal{G}$ involves the $i$ th row.

Then $(S / I)_{x_{i j}} \cong(S / J)_{x_{i j}}$, where $J$ is generated by the minors

$$
\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{t} \mid b_{1} \ldots b_{t}\right] \in \mathcal{G}
$$

for $b_{\ell} \neq j$ with $\ell \in\{1, \ldots, t\}$ and by the minors $\left[a_{1} \ldots \hat{a}_{\ell} \ldots a_{t} \mid b_{1} \ldots \hat{b}_{k} \ldots b_{t}\right]$ when $\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{t} \mid b_{1} \ldots b_{t}\right] \in \mathcal{G}$ for $a_{\ell}=i$ and $b_{k}=j$.

Proof. We assume for simplicity (and without loss of generality) that $i=1$ and $j=1$. We apply the automorphism $\varphi: S_{x_{11}} \rightarrow S_{x_{11}}$ with

$$
x_{i j} \mapsto x_{i j}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}x_{i j}+x_{i 1} x_{11}^{-1} x_{1 j} & \text { if } i \neq 1 \text { and } j \neq 1, \\ x_{i j} & \text { if } i=1 \text { or } j=1\end{cases}
$$

Let $I^{\prime} \subset S_{x_{11}}$ be the ideal that is the image of $I S_{x_{11}}$ under the automorphism $\varphi$. Then $(S / I)_{x_{11}} \cong S_{x_{11}} / I^{\prime}$. The ideal $I^{\prime}$ is generated in $S_{x_{11}}$ by the elements $\varphi\left(\mu_{M}\right)$, where $\mu_{M} \in \mathcal{G}$. Note that if $\mu_{M}=\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{t} \mid b_{1} \ldots b_{t}\right]$ then $\varphi\left(\mu_{M}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(x_{a_{i} b_{j}}^{\prime}\right)_{i, j=1, \ldots, t}$.

We can safely assume hereafter that $a_{1}<a_{2}<\cdots<a_{t}$ and $b_{1}<b_{2}<\cdots<$ $b_{t}$ for $\mu_{M}=\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{t} \mid b_{1} \ldots b_{t}\right] \in \mathcal{G}$. Then our assumption implies that $a_{1}=1$. First consider the case $b_{1} \neq 1$; then $\varphi\left(\mu_{M}\right)$ is the determinant of the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1 b_{1}} & x_{1 b_{2}} & \cdots & x_{1 b_{t}} \\
x_{a_{2} b_{1}}+x_{a_{2} 1} x_{11}^{-1} x_{1 b_{1}} & x_{a_{2} b_{2}}+x_{a_{2} 1} x_{11}^{-1} x_{1 b_{2}} & \cdots & x_{a_{2} b_{t}}+x_{a_{2} 1} x_{11}^{-1} x_{1 b_{t}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
x_{a_{t} b_{1}}+x_{a_{t} 1} x_{11}^{-1} x_{1 b_{1}} & x_{a_{t} b_{2}}+x_{a_{t} 1} x_{11}^{-1} x_{1 b_{2}} & \cdots & x_{a_{t} b_{t}}+x_{a_{t} 1} x_{11}^{-1} x_{1 b_{t}}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

After subtracting suitable multiples of the first row from the other rows, we see that

$$
\varphi\left(\mu_{M}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(x_{a_{i} b_{j}}\right)_{i, j=1, \ldots, t}=\mu_{M}
$$

If instead $b_{1}=1$ then the element $\varphi\left(\mu_{M}\right)$ is the determinant of the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{11} & x_{1 b_{2}} & \cdots & x_{1 b_{t}} \\
x_{a_{2} 1} & x_{a_{2} b_{2}}+x_{a_{2} 1} x_{11}^{-1} x_{1 b_{2}} & \cdots & x_{a_{2} b_{t}}+x_{a_{2} 1} x_{11}^{-1} x_{1 b_{t}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
x_{a_{t} 1} & x_{a_{t} b_{2}}+x_{a_{t} 1} x_{11}^{-1} x_{1 b_{2}} & \cdots & x_{a_{t} b_{t}}+x_{a_{t} 1} x_{11}^{-1} x_{1 b_{t}}
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

applying suitable row operations, we obtain the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & x_{11}^{-1} x_{1 b_{2}} & \cdots & x_{11}^{-1} x_{1 b_{t}} \\
0 & x_{a_{2} b_{2}} & \cdots & x_{a_{2} b_{t}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & x_{a_{t} b_{2}} & \cdots & x_{a_{t} b_{t}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

It follows that $\varphi\left(\mu_{M}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(x_{a_{i} b_{j}}\right)_{i, j=2, \ldots, t}$. These calculations show that $I^{\prime}=$ $J S_{x_{11}}$, as desired.

Now we are ready to prove this section's main result.
Theorem 2.2. Let $m \leq n$, let $\Delta$ be a pure $(m-1)$-dimensional closed simplicial complex on the vertex set [ $n$ ], and let $\Delta=\Delta_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{r}$ be the clique decomposition of $\Delta$. If $J_{\Delta}$ is a prime ideal then, for all $2 \leq t \leq \min (m, r)$ and for any pairwise distinct cliques $\Delta_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \Delta_{i_{t}}$,

$$
\left|V\left(\Delta_{i_{1}}\right) \cap \cdots \cap V\left(\Delta_{i_{t}}\right)\right| \leq m-t
$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on $m$. The initial step, $m=2$, is already known [12].

Let us make the inductive step. We first consider $t<m$. Let us assume that there exist $\Delta_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \Delta_{i_{t}}$ such that $\left|V\left(\Delta_{i_{1}}\right) \cap \cdots \cap V\left(\Delta_{i_{t}}\right)\right|>m-t$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $V\left(\Delta_{1}\right) \cap \cdots \cap V\left(\Delta_{t}\right)=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{\ell}\right\}$ with $\ell \geq m-t+1$ and $1 \leq a=a_{1}<\cdots<a_{\ell} \leq n$. We may further assume that there exists an $s \geq t$ such that $a \in V\left(\Delta_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $a \notin V\left(\Delta_{i}\right)$ for $s+1 \leq i \leq$ $r$. Since $J_{\Delta}$ is prime, it follows that $x_{m a}$ is regular on $J_{\Delta}$ and that $J_{\Delta} S_{x_{m a}}$ is also a prime ideal in the localization $S_{x_{m a}}$ of $S$. Thus $\left(S / J_{\Delta}\right)_{x_{m a}}$ is a domain. Then by Lemma 2.1 we have $\left(S / J_{\Delta}\right)_{x_{m a}} \cong(S / L)_{x_{m a}}$; here $L=L_{1}+\sum_{i=s+1}^{r} J_{\Delta_{i}}$ with $L_{1}$ the determinantal facet ideal of the closed $(m-2)$-dimensional simplicial complex $\Delta^{\prime}$ having the clique decomposition $\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta_{1}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{s}^{\prime}$, where $\Delta_{i}^{\prime}=\langle F \backslash\{a\}$ : $\left.F \in \mathcal{F}\left(\Delta_{i}\right), a \in F\right\rangle$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Since $\Delta_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \Delta_{t}^{\prime}$ intersect in $\ell-1 \geq m-t$ vertices, by induction it follows that $L_{1}$ is not a prime ideal; this implies (as we shall show) that $L$ is not a prime ideal. Yet this is a contradiction because $(S / L)_{x_{m a}}$ must be a domain.

Since $L_{1}$ is not prime, there exist polynomials $f, g$ in $S$ such that $f g \in L_{1}$ and $f, g \notin L_{1}$. We claim that $f, g \notin L$. Let us assume, for instance, that $f \in L$. Then we may write $f=\sum_{G} h_{G} \gamma_{G}+\sum_{F} h_{F} \mu_{F}$ for some polynomials $h_{G}, h_{F} \in S$,
where the first sum is taken over all $G \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} \mathcal{F}\left(\Delta_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ and the second over all $F \in$ $\bigcup_{i=s+1}^{r} \mathcal{F}\left(\Delta_{i}\right)$. Then, after mapping the indeterminates $x_{m j}$ to 0 for all $j \neq a$ and the determinants $x_{m a}$ to 1 , we obtain $f=\sum_{G} h_{G}^{\prime} \gamma_{G}$ for some polynomials $h_{G}^{\prime} \in$ $S$; hence $f \in L_{1}$, a contradiction. Therefore, $L$ is not a prime ideal.

It remains to consider the case $t=m$. We may assume that

$$
\left|V\left(\Delta_{1}\right) \cap \cdots \cap V\left(\Delta_{m}\right)\right| \geq 1
$$

Let $a \in V\left(\Delta_{1}\right) \cap \cdots \cap V\left(\Delta_{m}\right)$. It is clear that $J_{\Delta} \subset\left(J_{\Delta^{\prime}}, x_{1 a}, \ldots, x_{m a}\right)$, where $\Delta^{\prime}=$ $\{F \in \Delta: a \notin F\}$. Since $\Delta$ is closed, it follows that $\Delta^{\prime}$ is also closed; moreover, by Corollary 1.3 we have

$$
\text { height } J_{\Delta^{\prime}}=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\left(n_{i}-1\right)-m+1\right)+\sum_{i=m+1}^{r}\left(n_{i}-m+1\right)=\text { height } J_{\Delta}-m .
$$

Since $x_{1 a}, \ldots, x_{m a}$ is obviously a regular sequence on $S / J_{\Delta^{\prime}}$, it follows that

$$
\text { height }\left(J_{\Delta^{\prime}}, x_{1 a}, \ldots, x_{m a}\right)=\text { height } J_{\Delta^{\prime}}+m=\text { height } J_{\Delta}
$$

Let $P$ be a minimal prime of $\left(J_{\Delta^{\prime}}, x_{1 a}, \ldots, x_{m a}\right)$ of height equal to height $\left(J_{\Delta}\right)$. Because $J_{\Delta}$ and $P$ are prime ideals of the same height, we must have $J_{\Delta}=P$. But $P$ contains the indeterminates $x_{1 a}, \ldots, x_{m a}$, which do not belong to $J_{\Delta}$. We have thus obtained a contradiction, proving the theorem.

The proofs of primality that follow depend on localization with respect to nonzero divisors. According to the next result, all variables in our situation are nonzero divisors.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\Delta$ be a closed $(m-1)$-dimensional simplicial complex with the property that any $m$ pairwise distinct cliques of $\Delta$ have an empty intersection. Then each of the variables $x_{i j}$ is regular modulo $J_{\Delta}$.

Proof. We may assume from the outset that the field $K$ is infinite-given that neither the hypothesis nor the conclusion of the lemma is affected by tensoring with a field extension of $K$. In order to show that $x_{i j}$ is regular modulo $J_{\Delta}$, we consider the ideal

$$
I=\left(J_{\Delta}, x_{1 j}, \ldots, x_{m j}\right)
$$

Let $\Delta^{\prime}$ be the simplicial complex whose facets are those of $\Delta$ that do not contain $j$. Observe that $\Delta^{\prime}$ is again closed and that $I=\left(J_{\Delta^{\prime}}, x_{1 j}, \ldots, x_{m j}\right)$. We use the formula in Corollary 1.3 to compare the height of $I$ with that of $J_{\Delta}$. If $\Delta=$ $\Delta_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{r}$ is the clique decomposition of $\Delta$ with $n_{i}=\left|\Delta_{i}\right|$, then height $J_{\Delta}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(n_{i}-m+1\right)$.

We may assume that $\Delta_{i}$ contains the vertex $j$ for $i=1, \ldots, s$. Our other assumptions imply that $s \leq m-1$. Note that the clique decomposition of $\Delta^{\prime}$ is $\Delta_{1}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{r}^{\prime}$, where the facets of each $\Delta_{i}^{\prime}$ are those facets of $\Delta_{i}$ that do not contain $j$. Therefore, $\left|\Delta_{i}^{\prime}\right|=\left|\Delta_{i}\right|-1=n_{i}-1$ for $i=1, \ldots, s$ and $\Delta_{i}^{\prime}=\Delta_{i}$ for $i>s$. Hence we obtain

$$
\text { height } \begin{aligned}
I & =\text { height } J_{\Delta^{\prime}}+m=\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(n_{i}-1-m+1\right)+\sum_{i=s+1}^{r}\left(n_{i}-m+1\right)+m \\
& =\text { height } J_{\Delta}-s+m>\text { height } J_{\Delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Our considerations show that $I / J_{\Delta} \subset S / J_{\Delta}$ has positive height. Since $S / J_{\Delta}$ is Cohen-Macaulay and since $K$ is infinite, it follows that a generic linear combination $a_{1} x_{1 j}+a_{2} x_{2 j}+\cdots+a_{m} x_{m j}$ of the variables $x_{1 j}, \ldots, x_{m j}$ (whose residue classes generate $I / J_{\Delta}$ ) is regular modulo $J_{\Delta}$. Because the preceding linear combination is generic, we may assume that $a_{i}=1$.

Now we consider the linear automorphism $\varphi: S \rightarrow S$ with $\varphi\left(x_{i k}\right)=a_{1} x_{1 k}+$ $a_{2} x_{2 k}+\cdots+a_{m} x_{m k}$ for $k=1, \ldots, n$ and $\varphi\left(x_{\ell k}\right)=x_{\ell k}$ for $\ell \neq i$ and all $k$. Let $X^{\prime}$ be the matrix whose entries are the elements $\varphi\left(x_{\ell k}\right)$ for $\ell=1, \ldots, m$ and $k=$ $1, \ldots, n$. Then $X^{\prime}$ is obtained from $X$ by elementary row operations. It follows that $\varphi\left(J_{\Delta}\right)=J_{\Delta}$.

Our choice of $\varphi$ implies that $y_{i j}=\varphi\left(x_{i j}\right)$ is regular modulo $J_{\Delta}$. Since $J_{\Delta}=$ $\varphi\left(J_{\Delta}\right)$ it follows that $x_{i j}=\varphi^{-1}\left(y_{i j}\right)$ is regular modulo $\varphi^{-1}\left(J_{\Delta}\right)=\varphi^{-1}\left(\varphi\left(J_{\Delta}\right)\right)=$ $J_{\Delta}$, as desired.

We do not know whether, for a closed simplicial complex $\Delta$, the necessary condition (given in Theorem 2.2) for $J_{\Delta}$ to be a prime ideal is also sufficient. For the moment we can only present a partial converse of this result.

Proposition 2.4. Let $\Delta$ be a simplicial complex with clique decomposition $\Delta=$ $\Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{r}$. Assume that all cliques are simplices of dimension $m-1$ and that the following statements hold:
(1) $\left|V\left(\Delta_{r}\right) \cap \cdots \cap V\left(\Delta_{r-s+1}\right)\right| \leq m-s$ for $s=2, \ldots, r$;
(2) $V\left(\Delta_{i_{1}}\right) \cap \cdots \cap V\left(\Delta_{i_{s}}\right) \subset V\left(\Delta_{r}\right) \cap \cdots \cap V\left(\Delta_{r-s+1}\right)$ for all subsets $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}\right\} \subset$ [ $r$ ] of cardinality $s$ with $2 \leq s \leq r$.
Then $J_{\Delta}$ is a prime ideal.
Proof. Again we proceed by induction on $m$. The initial step, $m=2$, is already known [12]. Assume that $\left|V\left(\Delta_{1}\right) \cap \cdots \cap V\left(\Delta_{r}\right)\right|=k$. We consider a labeling on the vertices of $\Delta$ such that

$$
V\left(\Delta_{\ell}\right)=\left\{a_{\ell 1}<\cdots<a_{\ell, m-k-\ell+1}<b_{1}<\cdots<b_{k}<c_{\ell 1}<\cdots<c_{\ell, \ell-1}\right\}
$$

for all $\ell=1, \ldots, r$, where the numbers $a_{i j}$ are pairwise distinct. For each $s=$ $2, \ldots, r$, we choose $c_{i j}$ such that

$$
c_{r j}=c_{r-1, j}=\cdots=c_{r-s+1, j}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots,\left|V\left(\Delta_{r}\right) \cap \cdots \cap V\left(\Delta_{r-s+1}\right)\right|-k$.
Then, with respect to this labeling, $\Delta$ is closed and so (by Lemma 2.3) $x_{m b_{1}}$ is a regular element modulo $J_{\Delta}$. Then by Lemma 2.1 we have $\left(S / J_{\Delta}\right)_{x_{m b_{1}}} \cong(S / L)_{x_{m b_{1}}}$, where $L=\sum_{i=1}^{r} L_{i}$. Since $x_{m b_{1}}$ is regular modulo $J_{\Delta}$, it follows that $J_{\Delta}$ is a prime ideal if and only if $L_{x_{m b_{1}}}$ is a prime ideal; here $L_{i}$ is generated by the minor

$$
\left[1 \ldots m-1 \mid a_{i 1} \ldots a_{i, m-k-i+1} b_{2} \ldots b_{k} c_{i 1} \ldots c_{i, i-1}\right]
$$

Let $\Delta^{\prime}$ be the $(m-2)$-simplicial complex with the clique decomposition $\Delta_{1}^{\prime} \cup$ $\cdots \cup \Delta_{t}^{\prime}$, where $\Delta_{i}^{\prime}=\Delta_{i} \backslash\left\{b_{1}\right\}$. Note that conditions (1) and (2) hold for $\Delta^{\prime}$. Then, by the inductive hypothesis, $L=J_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ is a prime ideal.

Example 2.5. Let $\Delta=\Delta_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{r}$ under the assumption of Theorem 2.4. Then we can describe the vertices of each $\Delta_{i}$ in a nice way as the $i$ th row of a simple matrix. For instance, let $m=6, r=4,\left|V\left(\Delta_{4}\right) \cap V\left(\Delta_{3}\right)\right|=3,\left|\bigcap_{i=2}^{4} V\left(\Delta_{i}\right)\right|=$ 3, and $\left|\bigcap_{i=1}^{4} V\left(\Delta_{i}\right)\right|=2$. Then, by the proof of Proposition 2.4, we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & b_{1} & b_{2} \\
5 & 6 & 7 & b_{1} & b_{2} & c_{1} \\
8 & 9 & b_{1} & b_{2} & c_{1} & c_{2} \\
10 & b_{1} & b_{2} & c_{1} & c_{3} & c_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

which describes the labels of the $\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{4}$.
Example 2.6. Let $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)=\{\{1,2,3\},\{1,4,5\},\{3,5,6\},\{2,4,6\}\}$. Then one may check with Singular [9] that $J_{\Delta}$ is not a prime ideal. However, the intersection condition of Theorem 2.2 holds for $\Delta$. Hence the converse of Theorem 2.2 requires that $\Delta$ be a closed simplicial complex.

This is also an example of a determinantal facet ideal whose initial ideal with respect to the lexicographic order is not squarefree even though $J_{\Delta}$ is a radical ideal.

## 3. Special Classes of Prime Determinantal Facet Ideals

Let $\Delta$ a pure simplicial complex of dimension $m-1 \geq 2$, and let $\Delta=\Delta_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{r}$ be its clique decomposition. In this section we pose the following intersection properties on the cliques of $\Delta$ :
(i) $\left|V\left(\Delta_{i}\right) \cap V\left(\Delta_{j}\right)\right| \leq 1$ for all $i<j$;
(ii) $V\left(\Delta_{i}\right) \cap V\left(\Delta_{j}\right) \cap V\left(\Delta_{k}\right)=\emptyset$ for all $i<j<k$.

Theorem 2.2 implies that: (a) for $m=3$, conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied whenever $J_{\Delta}$ is a prime ideal; and (b) for any $m \geq 3$, (i) and (ii) imply the intersection conditions formulated in Theorem 2.2.

In this section we show that, whenever $\Delta$ is closed, conditions (i) and (ii) entail the primality of $J_{\Delta}$ under some additional assumptions depending on a graph that we shall define next. For the simplicial complex with properties (i) and (ii), let $G_{\Delta}$ be the simple graph with vertex set $V\left(G_{\Delta}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}\right\}$ and edge set

$$
E\left(G_{\Delta}\right)=\left\{\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}\right\}: V\left(\Delta_{i}\right) \cap V\left(\Delta_{j}\right) \neq \emptyset\right\} .
$$

Hereafter, the phrase " $\Delta$ is a simplicial complex with graph $G_{\Delta}$ " will always imply that $\Delta$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) (for otherwise $G_{\Delta}$ is not defined).

At present we are able to prove the primality of $J_{\Delta}$ for certain classes of simplicial complexes $\Delta$ only under the additional assumption that these complexes are closed. The following lemma provides a necessary condition for a simplicial complex to be closed.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\Delta$ be a closed simplicial complex with graph $G_{\Delta}$. Then each vertex $v_{i}$ of $G_{\Delta}$ has order at most $\min \left\{\left|V\left(\Delta_{i}\right)\right|, 2 \operatorname{dim}(\Delta)\right\}$.

Proof. We say that a vertex $\ell \in \Delta_{i}$ takes the position $s$ if there is an $(m-1)$ dimensional face $\left\{a_{1}<a_{2}<\cdots<a_{m}\right\}$ of $\Delta_{i}$ such that $\ell=a_{s}$. In the clique $\Delta_{i}$
there are exactly $\min \left\{\left|V\left(\Delta_{i}\right)\right|, 2 \operatorname{dim}(\Delta)\right\}$ vertices that do not take all $m$ positions. The proof now follows from assumption (ii), which implies that each of these vertices can intersect with at most one clique $\Delta_{j}$ (where $v_{j}$ is a neighbor of $v_{i}$ ).

Now we are ready to consider the primality of $J_{\Delta}$ for special classes of simplicial complexes.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\Delta$ be simplicial complex such that $G_{\Delta}$ is a tree. Then
(a) $J_{\Delta}$ is a prime ideal if $\Delta$ is closed, and
(b) $\Delta$ is closed if and only if each vertex of $G_{\Delta}$ has order at $\operatorname{most} \min \left\{\left|V\left(\Delta_{i}\right)\right|\right.$, $2 \operatorname{dim}(\Delta)\}$.
Let $\left\{i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s}\right\} \subset[m]$ and $\left\{j_{1}<\cdots<j_{t}\right\} \subset[n]$. We denote by $X_{i_{1} \ldots i_{s}}^{j_{1} j_{2} \ldots j_{t}}$ the submatrix of $X$ with rows $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}$ and columns $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{t}$. Observe that Lemma 2.1 implies the well-known fact that if $I$ is generated by all $m$-minors of the matrix $X_{1 \ldots m}^{j_{1} \ldots j_{t}}$ then $I_{x_{i j k}}$ is generated by all $(m-1)$-minors of $X_{1 \ldots \hat{i} \ldots m}^{j_{1} \ldots \hat{j}_{k} \ldots j_{t}}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. (a) We may assume that $\Delta$ is a connected ( $m-1$ )-dimensional simplicial complex and that $\Delta=\Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{r}$ is the clique decomposition of $\Delta$. The proof is by induction on the number of cliques of $\Delta$ (which is the number of vertices of $G_{\Delta}$ ). We may assume that $v_{1}$ is a vertex of degree 1 in $G_{\Delta}$ and that $v_{2}$ is its neighbor. Then $\Delta_{1}$ intersects with just one clique-namely, $\Delta_{2}$.

Let $V\left(\Delta_{1}\right)=\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{t}\right\}$ and $V\left(\Delta_{2}\right)=\left\{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{s}\right\}$ with $m \leq t, s$. We may assume that $V\left(\Delta_{1}\right) \cap V\left(\Delta_{2}\right)=\{k\}$, where $k=j_{1}=\ell_{1}$. Since $\Delta$ is closed, by Lemma 2.3 we know that $x_{m k}$ is regular modulo $J_{\Delta}$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\left(S / J_{\Delta}\right)_{x_{m k}} \cong(S / L)_{x_{m k}}$, where $L=L_{1}+L_{2}+\sum_{i=3}^{r} J_{\Delta_{i}}$. Here $L_{1}$ is generated by all $(m-1)$-minors of the matrix $X_{1 \ldots m-1}^{j_{2} \ldots j_{t}}$ and $L_{2}$ is generated by all ( $m-1$ )-minors of the matrix $X_{1 \ldots m-1}^{\ell_{2} \ldots \ell_{s}}$. The generators of $L_{1}$ are polynomials in a set of variables disjoint from those of $L^{\prime}=L_{2}+\sum_{i=3}^{r} J_{\Delta_{i}}$. It is known that $L_{1}$ is a prime ideal (see [3, Thm. 7.3.1]); therefore, $L$ is a prime ideal if and only if $L^{\prime}$ is a prime ideal. To see this, observe that $\left(S / L^{\prime}\right)_{x_{m k}} \cong\left(S / J_{\Delta^{\prime}}\right)_{x_{m k}}$, where $\Delta^{\prime}$ is the closed simplicial complex with clique decomposition $\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta_{2} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{r}$. By the inductive hypothesis, $J_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ is a prime ideal. Hence $\left(S / L^{\prime}\right)_{x_{m k}} \cong\left(S / J_{\Delta^{\prime}}\right)_{x_{m k}}$, which implies that $\left(J_{\Delta^{\prime}}\right)_{x_{m k}}$ is a prime ideal. Since the generators of $L^{\prime}$ are polynomials in variables different from $x_{m k}$, it follows that $x_{m k}$ is regular modulo $L^{\prime}$. Consequently, $L^{\prime}$ is a prime ideal.
(b) According to Lemma 3.1, it suffices to show that $\Delta$ is closed if each vertex of $G_{\Delta}$ has order at $\operatorname{most} \min \left\{\left|V\left(\Delta_{i}\right)\right|, 2 \operatorname{dim}(\Delta)\right\}$. We prove the assertion by induction on $r$. As before, we assume that $\Delta_{1}$ intersects with just one clique: $\Delta_{2}$. By induction it follows that $\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta_{2} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{r}$ is closed. Our assumption on the order of the vertices of $G_{\Delta}$ implies that $\Delta_{2}$ has at most $\min \left\{\left|V\left(\Delta_{i}\right)\right|, 2 \operatorname{dim}(\Delta)\right\}-1$ intersection points in $\Delta^{\prime}$.

So among the vertices of $\Delta_{2}$ that are not intersection points in $\Delta^{\prime}$, there is at least one that does not take all $m$ positions; say it misses the $k$ th position. By symmetry we may assume that this vertex is the intersection point with $\Delta_{1}$. Now we may label $\Delta_{1}$ such that the vertex in the intersection point does not have position $k$ for any facet of $\Delta$ in $\Delta_{1}$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\Delta$ be a simplicial complex such that $G_{\Delta}$ is a cycle. Then $J_{\Delta}$ is a prime ideal.

Proof. Let $\Delta=\Delta_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{r}$ be the clique decomposition of $\Delta$. We consider a labeling on the vertices of $\Delta$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V\left(\Delta_{1}\right)=\left\{1,2, \ldots, a_{1}\right\}, V\left(\Delta_{2}\right)=\left\{a_{1}, a_{1}+1, \ldots, a_{2}\right\}, \ldots, \\
& V\left(\Delta_{r-1}\right)=\left\{a_{r-2}, a_{r-2}+1, \ldots, a_{r-1}\right\}, V\left(\Delta_{r}\right)=\left\{a_{1}-1, a_{r-1}, a_{r-1}+1, \ldots, a_{r}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $1<a_{1}<\cdots<a_{r-1}<a_{r}=n$. Then $\Delta$ is closed with respect to the given labeling and, by Lemma 2.3, $x_{1 a_{1}}$ is a regular element modulo $J_{\Delta}$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\left(S / J_{\Delta}\right)_{x_{1 a_{1}}} \cong(S / L)_{x_{1 a_{1}}}$, where $L=L_{1}+L_{2}+\sum_{i=3}^{r} J_{\Delta_{i}}$. Here $L_{1}$ is generated by all $(m-1)$-minors of the matrix $X_{2 \ldots m}^{1 \ldots a_{1}-1}$ and $L_{2}$ is generated by all $(m-1)$-minors of $X_{2 \ldots m}^{a_{1}+1 \ldots a_{2}}$. Hence $J_{\Delta}$ is a prime ideal if $L_{x_{1 a_{1}}}$ is a prime ideal. Since the generators of $L$ are polynomials in variables different from $x_{1 a_{1}}$, we conclude that $x_{1 a_{1}}$ is regular modulo $L$. Therefore, $J_{\Delta}$ is a prime ideal if and only if $L$ is a prime ideal.

We first show that the generators of $L$ form a Gröbner basis for $L$. Toward this end, we observe that the generators of $\sum_{i=3}^{r} J_{\Delta_{i}}=J_{\Delta_{3} \cup \ldots \cup \Delta_{r}}$ form a Gröbner basis for $\sum_{i=3}^{r} J_{\Delta_{i}}$ because $\Delta_{3} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{r}$ is closed. Also the generators of $L_{1}$ form a Gröbner basis for $J_{\Gamma_{1}}$, where $\Gamma_{1}$ is the pure ( $m-2$ )-dimensional simplicial complex on the vertices $\left\{1, \ldots, a_{1}-1\right\}$, and the generators of $L_{2}$ form a Gröbner basis for $J_{\Gamma_{2}}$, where $\Gamma_{2}$ is the pure ( $m-2$ )-dimensional simplicial complex on the vertices $\left\{a_{1}+1, \ldots, a_{2}\right\}$. Finally, we note that the initial ideals of $\sum_{i=3}^{r} J_{\Delta_{i}}$, $L_{1}$, and $L_{2}$ are each minimally generated by monomials in pairwise disjoint sets of variables. As a result, the generators of $L$ do indeed form a Gröbner basis.

Next observe that the variable $x_{m-1, a_{1}-1}$ does not appear in the support of the generators of in $\mathrm{c}_{<}(L)$. In particular, $x_{m-1, a_{1}-1}$ is regular modulo $L$. By using Lemma 2.1 we get $(S / L)_{x_{m-1, a_{1}-1}} \cong\left(S / L_{1}^{\prime}+L_{2}+L_{r}+\sum_{i=3}^{r-1} J_{\Delta_{i}}\right)_{x_{m-1, a_{1}-1}}$, where $L_{1}^{\prime}$ is generated by all $(m-2)$-minors of the matrix $X_{2 \ldots m-2, m}^{1 \ldots a_{1}-2}$ and $L_{r}$ is generated by all $(m-1)$-minors of $X_{1 \ldots m-2, m}^{a_{r-1} \ldots a_{r}}$.

Since the generators of $L^{\prime}=L_{1}^{\prime}+L_{2}+L_{r}+\sum_{i=3}^{r-1} J_{\Delta_{i}}$ are polynomials in variables different from $x_{m-1, a_{1}-1}$, we conclude that $x_{m-1, a_{1}-1}$ is regular modulo $L^{\prime}$. Hence $L_{x_{m-1, a_{1}-1}}$ is a prime ideal if and only if $L^{\prime}$ is a prime ideal. Since $L_{1}^{\prime}$ is a prime ideal and the generators of $L_{1}^{\prime}$ are polynomials in variables different from the variables of the other summands, to prove that $L^{\prime}$ is prime it suffices to show that $C=L_{2}+L_{r}+\sum_{i=3}^{r-1} J_{\Delta_{i}}$ is a prime ideal.

We define the pure $(m-1)$-simplicial complex $\Delta^{\prime}$ to be the simplicial complex with clique decomposition $\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta_{2} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{r}$. Because the associated graph of $\Delta^{\prime}$ is a tree, we know from Theorem 3.2 that $J_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ is a prime ideal. Since $(S / C)_{x_{1 a_{1}} x_{m-1, a_{1}-1}} \cong\left(S / J_{\Delta^{\prime}}\right)_{x_{1 a_{1}} x_{m-1, a_{1}-1}}$ and since $x_{1 a_{1}} x_{m-1, a_{1}-1}$ is regular modulo $C$, the desired conclusion follows.

Our next result describes the case when each clique of $\Delta$ is a simplex.
Theorem 3.4. Let $\Delta$ be a simplicial complex with graph $G_{\Delta}$ such that each clique of $\Delta$ is a simplex. Then the following statements hold.
(a) If $\Delta$ is closed, then $J_{\Delta}$ is generated by a regular sequence.
(b) Given a graph $G$ and an integer $m \geq|V(G)|$, there exists a closed simplicial complex $\Delta$ with $G_{\Delta}=G$ such that each clique of $\Delta$ is a simplex of dimension $m-1$.
(c) $\Delta$ is closed if $\operatorname{dim} \Delta+1$ is no less than the number of facets of $\Delta$.

Proof. (a) Let $\Delta=\Delta_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{r}$ be the clique decomposition of $\Delta$. Since each clique is a simplex, it follows that $J_{\Delta_{i}}=\left(f_{i}\right)$ for all $i$ (where $f_{i}$ is a suitable $m$-minor) and that $J_{\Delta}=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$. Since $\Delta$ is closed, the monomials $\operatorname{in}_{<}\left(f_{1}\right), \ldots$, in $_{<}\left(f_{r}\right)$ are pairwise relatively prime. This implies that $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ is a regular sequence.
(b) We first assume that $m=|V(G)|$ and prove the assertion in this case by induction on the number of vertices of $G$. The induction beginning is trivial. Now assume that $|G|>1$, and choose a vertex $v$ of $G$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the induced subgraph on the vertices $V(G) \backslash\{v\}$. By induction, for each $w \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ there exists a labeled simplex $\Delta_{w}^{\prime}$ with $\operatorname{dim} \Delta_{w}^{\prime}+1=\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|=|V(G)|-1$ such that the simplicial complex $\Delta^{\prime}$ with clique decomposition $\bigcup_{w \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)} \Delta_{w}^{\prime}$ is closed and $G_{\Delta^{\prime}}=G^{\prime}$. We define new simplices $\Delta_{w}=\Delta_{w}^{\prime} \cup\left\{a_{w}\right\}$, where the labels $a_{w}$ are pairwise distinct and are bigger than all labels of $\Delta^{\prime}$.

Let $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}$ be the neighbors of $v$ in $G$. Then we let $\Delta_{v}$ be the simplex whose vertices are labeled by the integers $a_{w_{1}}, \ldots, a_{w_{r}}$ together with $|V(G)|-r$ numbers that are all bigger than all labels used in the construction so far.

Now let $m>|V(G)|$, and let $\Gamma$ be the closed simplicial complex with $\operatorname{dim} \Gamma=$ $|V(G)|-1$ that we have just constructed. For each labeled simplex $\Gamma_{i}$ of $\Gamma$ that is of dimension $|V(G)|-1$, we define the new labeled simplex $\Delta_{i}=\Gamma_{i} \cup\left\{b_{i 1}, \ldots, b_{i s}\right\}$; here $s=m-|V(G)|$ and the numbers $b_{i j}$ are pairwise distinct and bigger than all labels of $\Gamma$. The simplicial complex $\Delta$ with facets $\Delta_{i}$ has the desired properties.
(c) Let $\Delta$ be a simplicial complex with graph $G_{\Delta}$ such that each clique of $\Delta$ is a simplex. Then, up to an isomorphism, $\Delta$ is uniquely determined by $\operatorname{dim} \Delta$ and $G_{\Delta}$. Hence (c) is a simple consequence of (b).

Corollary 3.5. Let $\Delta$ be a simplicial complex with graph $G_{\Delta}$ such that each clique of $\Delta$ is a simplex of dimension $m-1$. Suppose that $G_{\Delta}$ is the complete graph $K_{r}$. Then $\Delta$ is closed if and only if $m \geq r$.

Proof. Each vertex of $K_{r}$ has order $r-1$. Hence $m \geq r-1$, for otherwise we could not associate the graph $G_{\Delta}$ to $\Delta$. If $m=r-1$ then $\Delta$ has no free vertex, so $\Delta$ cannot be closed. Yet if $m \geq r$, the assertion follows from Theorem 3.4.
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