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## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a normal affine surface defined over the complex field $\mathbf{C}$, which has at worst quotient singularities. We call $X$ simply a log affine surface. If further $H_{i}(X ; \mathbb{Q})=(0)$ for $i>0$ then $X$ is called a $\log \mathbb{Q}$-homology plane (if $X$ is smooth then $X$ is simply called a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane). Let $G_{a}$ denote the complex numbers with addition as an algebraic group. In this paper we are mainly interested in log affine surfaces $X$ that have an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration. Of particular interest are surfaces that admit a regular action of $G_{a}$. Such actions up to conjugacy correspond in a bijective manner to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations on $X$ with base a smooth affine curve. Algebraically, these actions correspond bijectively to locally nilpotent derivations of the coordinate ring $\Gamma(X)$ of $X$. The set of all elements of $\Gamma(X)$ that are killed under all the locally nilpotent derivations of $\Gamma(X)$ is called the Makar-Limanov invariant of $X$ and denoted by $\operatorname{ML}(X)$.

If a smooth affine surface has two independent $G_{a}$ actions then its MakarLimanov invariant is trivial. Gizatullin [9] and Bertin [2] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for this to happen. More recently, Bandman and MakarLimanov [1] proved that a smooth affine surface $X$ with trivial canonical bundle and $\operatorname{ML}(X)=\mathbf{C}$ is an affine surface in $\mathbb{A}^{3}$ defined by $\{x y=p(z)\}$, where $p(z)$ is a polynomial with distinct roots. Masuda and Miyanishi [12] applied this to determine the structure of a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with trivial ML-invariant. They proved that such a surface is a quotient of the Bandman-Makar-Limanov hypersurface by the action of a finite cyclic group (see result (3) in the listing that follows).

In this paper we extend the last result to the case of $\log \mathbb{Q}$-homology planes in Section 2. Similar and related results in Section 2 and Section 3 have been obtained independently by Daigle and Russell [4] and Dubouloz [5]. An automorphism of a smooth affine surface sends fibers of one $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration with affine base to the fibers of another $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration. If these two fibrations are different then the Makar-Limanov invariant of the surface is trivial. If a smooth affine surface has an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration whose base is not an affine curve, then this fibration does not correspond to a $G_{a}$ action. In this case the geometry of the fibration enters into the picture. In Section 4 we give a sufficient condition for uniqueness of an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ fibration on a smooth affine surface. This involves the number of multiple fibers
of a given $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration and the type of the base of the fibration. The proof of this result is rather involved. However, as a consequence we are able to prove a result about the automorphism group of a smooth affine surface with an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration. We also prove two results that deal with uniqueness of a $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-fibration on a normal quasi-homogeneous surface. In the last section we give typical examples to illustrate the various results proved in this paper. Throughout, we denote by $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ the affine $n$-space.

We now summarize our main results.
(1) Let $X$ be a $\log$ affine surface. Then $\operatorname{ML}(X)=\mathbf{C}$ if and only if the divisor at infinity for $X$ in a suitable minimal normal compactification of $X$ is a linear chain of rational curves (Theorem 3.1).
(2) If $X$ is a $\log \mathbb{Q}$-homology plane, then $\operatorname{ML}(X)=\mathbf{C}$ if and only if $\pi_{1, \infty}(X)$ is finite cyclic (Lemma 2.5, Theorem 2.9).
(3) If $X$ is as in (2) then the quasi-universal cover of $X$ is isomorphic to the surface $x y=z^{a}-1$ in $\mathbb{A}^{3}$. Here $a=1$ is allowed, so the quasi-universal cover is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ (cf. Theorem 2.8 ; for the definition of the quasi-universal cover, see Section 2).
(4) Let $X$ be a smooth affine surface with an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\psi: X \rightarrow B$. Assume that one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(i) $B$ is nonrational;
(ii) $B$ is rational with at least two places at infinity;
(iii) $B \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$, and $\psi$ has at least two multiple fibers;
(iv) $B \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$, every fiber of $\psi$ is irreducible, and $\psi$ has at least three multiple fibers.
Then $\psi$ is the unique $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration on $X$ (Theorem 4.1).
(5) Let $X$ be a smooth affine surface with an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\psi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ with at least three multiple fibers. If every fiber of $\psi$ is irreducible, then $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is finite (Theorem 4.2).
(6) Let $X$ be a normal affine surface with a good $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-action such that at least three orbits exist with nontrivial isotropy subgroups. Then any curve contained in the smooth locus of $X$ that is isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ is one of the orbits of the $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-action (Theorem 4.6).

Remark. We conjecture that (4)(iv) and (5) are true without the condition of irreducibility of every fiber of $\psi$.

The authors would like to thank the referee for pointing out some incompleteness in our original arguments.

## 2. Case of Log $\mathbb{Q}$-Homology Planes

We will deal only with complex algebraic varieties. For a normal projective surface $W$ with only quotient singularities (abbreviated as a log projective surface), a curve $C$ on $W$ is an ( $n$ )-curve if $C$ is a smooth, irreducible, rational curve contained in $W-\operatorname{Sing} W$ and with $\left(C^{2}\right)=n$. For a (possibly reducible) curve $C$ on
a log projective surface $W$, by a "component" of $C$ we mean an irreducible component of $C$. Let $Z$ be a normal quasi-projective surface. By a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibration (resp., an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration) on $Z$ we mean a morphism $Z \rightarrow B$ onto a smooth algebraic curve whose general fiber is isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ (resp., $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ ). A $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-fibration on a normal quasi-projective surface is defined similarly. We say that a normal affine surface $Z$ is quasi-homogeneous or, equivalently, that it has a good $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-action if there is an algebraic action of the algebraic group of $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ on $Z$ such that $Z$ contains a unique point, say $v$, that is in the closure of every orbit. The point $v$ is called the vertex of $Z$. Corresponding to such an action is a quotient map $X-\{\nu\} \rightarrow \Delta$, where $\Delta$ is a smooth projective curve.

For any variety $Z$ we denote the set of smooth points of $Z$ by $Z^{0}$. For a normal algebraic surface $X$ such that $\pi_{1}\left(X^{0}\right)$ is finite, the normalization of $X$ in the function field of the universal covering $Y^{0}$ of $X^{0}$ is called the quasi-universal covering of $X$ (cf. [20]). Let $X$ be a complex affine surface with at worst quotient singularities. By a minimal normal compactification of $X$ we mean a projective completion $V$ of $X$ such that $V$ is smooth outside $X$ and $D:=V-X$ is a simple normal crossing divisor such that any $(-1)$-curve in $D$ meets at least three other components of $D$. Suppose that the divisor $D$ is a tree of rational curves. In [21], Mumford gives a presentation of the fundamental group of the boundary of a nice tubular neighborhood of $D$ in $V$ in terms of the intersection matrix of the components of $D$. Following Ramanujam [23], we call this the "fundamental group at infinity of $X$ " and denote it by $\pi_{1, \infty}(X)$.

Recall that a $\log \mathbb{Q}$-homology plane $Y$ is a $\log$ affine surface such that $H_{i}(Y ; \mathbb{Q})=$ (0) for $i>0$. It is well known that the divisor at infinity of $Y$ in a minimal normal compactification is a (connected) tree of rational curves (see [19]). Hence we can use the foregoing presentation of $\pi_{1, \infty}(Y)$. If $D$ is a linear tree of smooth rational curves then it follows easily from Mumford's presentation that $\pi_{1, \infty}(Y)$ is a finite cyclic group with a generator corresponding to an end component of $D$. This observation will be quite useful later. We will use repeatedly the following general properties of a singular fiber of a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibration proved by Gizatullin [8].
Lemma 2.1. Let $p: V \rightarrow B$ be a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibration on a smooth projective surface $V$ with base a smooth curve B. Let $G$ be a singular fiber of $p$. Then the following assertions hold.
(1) $G$ is a tree of smooth rational curves.
(2) $G$ contains $a(-1)$-curve, and any $(-1)$-curve in $G$ meets at most two other components of $G$. If a ( -1 )-curve $E$ occurs with multiplicity 1 in the schemetheoretic fiber $G$, then $G$ contains another $(-1)$-curve.
(3) By successively contracting (-1)-curves in $G$ and their images, we can reduce $G$ to a regular fiber.

We now recall a result about singular fibers of an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration on a normal affine surface (cf. [16]).

Lemma 2.2. Let $Z$ be a normal affine surface with an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $f: Z \rightarrow B$, where $B$ is a smooth curve. Then we have the following assertions.
(1) $Z$ has at most cyclic quotient singularities.
(2) Every fiber of $f$ is a disjoint union of curves isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$.
(3) A component of a fiber of $f$ contains at most one singular point of $Z$. If a component of a fiber occurs with multiplicity 1 in the scheme-theoretic fiber, then no singular point of $Z$ lies on this component.

The next result clarifies the relation between $G_{a}$-actions and $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations on a normal affine surface (cf. 14, Chap. I, Lemma 1.5; 16]).

Lemma 2.3. Let $X$ be a normal affine surface. Then the quotient morphsim under any nontrivial algebraic action of the additive group $G_{a}$ on $X$ gives rise to an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ with a smooth affine curve $B$. Conversely, given an $\mathbb{A}^{1}-$ fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ with a smooth affine curve $B$, there is a nontrivial $G_{a}$-action on $X$ such that $\rho$ is the associated $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration.

We use repeatedly the following result of Bundagaard and Nielsen [3] and Fox [7], which is the solution of Fenchel's conjecture.

Lemma 2.4. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve of genus $g$ and let $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s}$ be points of $C$. Let $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{s}$ be integers larger than 1 . Then there exists a finite Galois covering $p: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ that ramifies over the points $P_{i}$ with respective ramification indices $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{s}$ unless either (i) $g=0$ and $s=1$ or (ii) $g=0, s=2$, and $m_{1} \neq m_{2}$.

The next result is quite important for the proofs of the main results (1), (2), and (3) stated in the Introduction.

Lemma 2.5. Let $X$ be a log affine surface such that $D$, the divisor at infinity for $X$ in a minimal normal compactification $V$ of $X$, is a linear tree of rational curves such that the intersection form on the irreducible components of $D$ has nonzero determinant. Then $X$ has an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ fibration $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ with at most one multiple fiber $m F_{1}$ with $m>1$. Further, $\pi_{1}\left(X^{0}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} /(m)$.

Proof. Since $X$ is affine, the intersection form on the components of $D$ has at least one positive eigenvalue. By assumption, 0 is not an eigenvalue of this form. It is easy to see that, by a suitable sequence of blow-ups with centers in $D$ and contractions of $(-1)$-curves in the proper transforms of $D$, we can transform $D$ into a linear tree of rational curves $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{r}$ such that $D_{1}^{2}=D_{2}^{2}=0$ (cf. [11, Lemma 5]). Now $K_{V} \cdot D_{1}=-2$. It follows that $V$ is a rational surface and $\left|D_{1}\right|$ gives a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibration $\varphi: V \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ such that $D_{1}$ is a full fiber, $D_{2}$ is a cross-section, and $D_{3}, \ldots, D_{r}$ are contained in a fiber of $\varphi$. Hence $f:=\left.\varphi\right|_{X}$ is an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration on $X$ with base $\mathbb{A}^{1}$. By Mumford's result quoted earlier, $\pi_{1, \infty}(X)$ is finite cyclic. By a Lefschetz theorem for open surfaces (see [22, Cor. 2.3]), there is a surjection $\pi_{1, \infty}(X) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(X^{0}\right)$. This implies that $\pi_{1}\left(X^{0}\right)$ is finite cyclic.

Suppose $m_{1} F_{1}, m_{2} F_{2}, \ldots, m_{r} F_{r}$ are all the multiple fibers of $f$. By Lemma 2.4, there is a finite Galois covering $\Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ such that the ramification index over the point $f\left(F_{i}\right)$ is $m_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, r$. Then the normalization of the fiber product
$Z:=\overline{X \times_{\mathbb{A}^{1}} \Delta}$ contains a Zariski-open subset that is a finite unramified covering of $X^{0}$ with a noncyclic covering transformation group. This contradicts the fact that $\pi_{1}\left(X^{0}\right)$ is finite cyclic, so $f$ has at most one multiple fiber $m F_{1}$. If such a multiple fiber exists then we consider again the surface $Z$ just described. There is an induced $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration on $Z$ with base $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ (now $\Delta \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$ ) without multiple fibers. By Lemma 4.3 (to follow), there is a short exact sequence

$$
\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{A}^{1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(Z^{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{A}^{1}\right) \rightarrow(0) .
$$

This shows that $Z^{0}$ is simply connected and $\pi_{1}\left(X^{0}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} /(m)$.
Lemma 2.5 applies in particular to a $\log \mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with $\operatorname{ML}(X)=\mathbf{C}$, since we will show (in Lemma 2.6) that the divisor at infinity for $X$ in a minimal normal compactification of $X$ is a linear tree of rational curves.

Lemma 2.6. Let $X$ be a $\log \mathbb{Q}$-homology plane and let $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ be an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ fibration. Then the following assertions hold (cf. [19]).
(1) $B$ is isomorphic to the affine line $\mathbb{A}^{1}$. Hence there is a smooth normal compactification $V$ of $X$ such that the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho$ extends to a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibration $p: V \rightarrow \bar{B} \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$ and the fiber at infinity $F_{\infty}=p^{-1}\left(P_{\infty}\right)$ is a smooth fiber, where $\bar{B}-B=\left\{P_{\infty}\right\}$. The fibration $p$ has a cross-section $S$ lying outside $X$.
(2) Every fiber of $\rho$ is irreducible, and its reduced form is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$.

The hypothesis that $\operatorname{ML}(X)=\mathbf{C}$ for a $\log \mathbb{Q}$-homology plane $X$ implies more precise results, as follows.

Lemma 2.7. Let $X$ be a $\log \mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with $\mathrm{ML}(X)=\mathbf{C}$. Assume that $X \nsubseteq \mathbb{A}^{2}$. Then the following assertions hold.
(1) Every $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ has a unique multiple fiber $m A$ with $m>1$.
(2) There is a smooth normal compactification $V$ of $X$ such that $D:=V-X$ is a linear chain of rational curves.
(3) The surface $X$ has at most one singular point $P$ such that $P \in A$.

Proof. (1) Let $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ be an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration. Since $B \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}, \rho$ is the quotient morphism with respect to a $G_{a}$-action $\sigma$. If there are no multiple fibers in $\rho$, then $X$ is smooth and isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{2}$. Hence $\rho$ has at least one multiple fiber. We use the argument in Lemma 2.5. If $\rho$ has $r \geq 2$ multiple fibers then we consider (a) the finite Galois cover $\Delta$ of $B$ ramified over $\rho\left(F_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and (b) the point at infinity for $B$ such that the ramification index at any point over $\rho\left(F_{i}\right)$ is $m_{i}$ and at any point over $\infty$ is equal to 2 . Then the normalized fiber product $Z=\overline{X \times \times_{B} \Delta}$ has an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration over $\Delta$. It is easy to see that $\Delta$ is either nonrational or rational with at least two places at infinity. By assumption, $X$ has a transverse $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration; let $G$ be a general fiber of this transverse fibration. Then the map $G \rightarrow X^{0}$ lifts to a map $G \rightarrow Z^{0}$. But then $G$ dominates $\Delta$, a contradiction. Hence $\rho$ has exactly one multiple fiber $m A$. By the argument in part (3) of Lemma 2.2, $X$ has at most one singular point and it is a cyclic quotient singular point.
(2) We consider a minimal normal compactification $V$ of $X$ such that the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ fibration $\rho$ extends to a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibration $p: V \rightarrow \bar{B}$. We may assume that the fiber $F_{\infty}$ lying over the point at infinity $P_{\infty}$ of $B$ is smooth. Let $S$ be the cross-section of $p$ contained in $D:=V-X$. Let $G$ be the part of the singular fiber $F_{0}$ of $p$ lying over the point $P_{0}:=\rho(A)$. Let $\sigma^{\prime}$ be a $G_{a}$-action that is algebraically independent of the $G_{a}$-action $\sigma$, and let $\rho^{\prime}: X \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ be the associated $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration. Let $\Lambda^{\prime}$ be the linear pencil spanned by the closures of the general fibers of $\rho^{\prime}$ on $V$. Now the arguments in [12, Lemma $2.4 \&$ Thm. 2.5] apply (up to some minor modifications) to the pencil $\Lambda^{\prime}$, enabling us to conclude that $G$ is a linear chain.

The following results give a characterization of $\log \mathbb{Q}$-homology planes with trivial Makar-Limanov invariants.

Theorem 2.8. Let $X$ be a $\log \mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with $\operatorname{ML}(X)=\mathbf{C}$. Then $\pi_{1}\left(X^{0}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} /(m)$ (cf. Proof of Lemma 2.5). The quasi-universal cover of $X$ is isomorphic to either $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ or the surface $z^{a}-1=x y$ in $\mathbb{A}^{3}$.

Proof. We consider the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$, which is associated to a $G_{a}$-action $\sigma$. Let $m A$ be a unique multiple fiber of $\rho$. Then the quasi-universal covering $Y$ of $X$ is obtained as the normalization of $X \times_{B} \Delta$, where $\Delta$ is an $m$-tuple cyclic covering of $B$ totally ramifying over the point $P_{0}:=\rho(A)$ and the point at infinity $P_{\infty}$ of $B$ (cf. Lemma 2.5). Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be the composite of the normalization morphism and the projection of $X \times_{B} \Delta$ to $X$. Since the induced $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration on $Y$ has only reduced fibers, it follows by Lemma 2.2(3) that $Y$ is a smooth surface and that $f$ is étale and finite over $X^{0}$. If all the fibers of the induced $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration on $Y$ are irreducible then $Y$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{2}$. Any $G_{a}$-action on $X$ extends to $Y$, since $f$ is étale over $X^{0}$. Hence $\operatorname{ML}(Y)=\mathbf{C}$. Now, by the result of Bandman and Makar-Limanov [1], $Y$ is isomorphic to the surface $z^{a}-1=x y$ in $\mathbb{A}^{3}$.

We now give another proof of the result of Bandman and Makar-Limanov just cited, generalized slightly to work for normal surfaces.

Theorem 2.9. Let $X$ be a log affine surface with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant. Then $X$ has a minimal normal compactification $V$ such that $D:=V-X$ is a linear chain of rational curves. In particular, $\pi_{1, \infty}(X)$ is a finite cyclic group.

Proof. For the proof we will use some arguments from [12, Lemma 2.6 \& Thm. 2.7]. If $X$ is isomorphic to the affine plane $\mathbb{A}^{2}$, it is well known (see [23]) that the boundary divisor of any minimal normal compactification of $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ is a linear chain. Hence we may and shall assume that $X$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{2}$. Let $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ be two $G_{a}$-actions on $X$. By making use of one $G_{a}$-action $\sigma$ on $X$, we consider an associated $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$. We claim that $B \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$. First of all, by Lemma 2.3, $B$ is an affine curve. Since a general fiber of the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration corresponding to $\sigma^{\prime}$ dominates $B$, we conclude that $B \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$.

For a suitable smooth compactification $V$ of $X$, we can extend $\rho$ to a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibration $p: V \rightarrow \bar{B} \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$ such that $D:=V-X$ consists of a smooth fiber $F_{\infty}$, a crosssection $S$, and a union $G_{1}$ of irreducible components contained in a degenerate
fiber of $p$. By using Lemma 2.1 repeatedly we can assume that, for any component $C$ of $G_{1},\left(C^{2}\right)<-1$.

Let $\Lambda^{\prime}$ be the pencil of rational curves corrresponding to $\sigma^{\prime}$ and let $T^{\prime}$ be the closure of a general orbit of $\sigma^{\prime}$. If $T^{\prime} \cap F_{\infty}=\emptyset$ then the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations corresponding to $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ are the same. Hence $T^{\prime}$ meets $F_{\infty}$. Suppose that $\Lambda^{\prime}$ has no base point on $F_{\infty}$. Then we get another $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibration $p^{\prime}$ on $V$ such that $F_{\infty}$ is a cross-section for $p^{\prime}$. We then claim that $X \cong \mathbb{A}^{2}$. Since a general fiber of $p^{\prime}$ is disjoint from $S$, it follows by the Hodge index theorem that $\left(S^{2}\right) \leq 0$. If $\left(S^{2}\right)=0$ then $S$ is a member of the pencil $\Lambda^{\prime}$. In this case, $D=F_{\infty} \cup S$ and we see that $X \cong \mathbb{A}^{2}$. Suppose $\left(S^{2}\right)<0$. Then $S \cup G_{1}$ is contained in a fiber of $p^{\prime}$. In fact, since the base of the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration on $X$ corresponding to $p^{\prime}$ is also isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$, the union $S \cup G_{1}$ is a full fiber of $p^{\prime}$. It follows that $\left(S^{2}\right)=-1$ and, starting with the contraction of $S$, we can contract $S \cup G_{1}$ to a smooth rational curve with self-intersection 0 . Then again we see that $X \cong \mathbb{A}^{2}$.

Now, we know that $\Lambda^{\prime}$ has a base point on $F_{\infty}$. By performing elementary transformations at $F_{\infty} \cap S$ we can further assume that this base point is not the point $F_{\infty} \cap S$. By the Hodge index theorem, $\left(S^{2}\right)<0$. Blowing up the base point of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ and its infinitely near points yields a surface $V^{\prime}$ that admits a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibration $p^{\prime}$ such that the proper transform of $F_{\infty}, S, G_{1}$, and some exceptional curves obtained by blow-ups form a single fiber-say, $G^{\prime}$ of $p^{\prime}$. By Lemma 2.1 we can contract $G^{\prime}$ to a regular fiber. Since no irreducible component of $G_{1}$ is a $(-1)$-curve, the first $(-1)$-curve to be contracted is the proper transform of $F_{\infty}$ or $S$. Again using Lemma 2.1, we deduce that $D=F_{\infty} \cup S \cup G_{1}$ is linear. In particular, $\pi_{1, \infty}(X)$ is a finite cyclic group.

Next we show that the converse to Theorem 2.8 holds when $X$ is a $\log \mathbb{Q}$-homology plane. We shall prove the following result.

Theorem 2.10. Let $X$ be a log $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane. Suppose that $\pi_{1, \infty}(X)$, the fundamental group at infinity, is a finite cyclic group. Then $X$ has a minimal normal compactification $V$ such that $D:=V-X$ is a linear chain of rational curves. Furthermore, ML $(X)$ is trivial.

We first recall the following result from [24].
Lemma 2.11. Let $X$ be a smooth affine surface. Assume that the fundamental group at infinity of $X$ is finite cyclic. Then $X$ has a minimal normal compactification $V$ such that: (a) $D:=V-X$ is a tree of rational curves; (b) $D$ contains components $D_{1}, D_{2}$ with the self-intersections of $D_{1}, D_{2}$ both zero; and (c) after removing $D_{1}, D_{2}$ from $D$ we get a connected linear chain of rational curves that has a negative definite intersection form. Moreover, $V$ is rational.

With the notation of Theorem $2.10, D$ then supports a divisor with strictly positive self-intersection, since $X$ is affine. Because $V$ is rational, the linear system $\left|D_{1}\right|$ gives a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibration $p$ on $V$ such that $D_{2}$ is a cross-section of $p$ and all the other components of $D$ are contained in a singular fiber $G$ of $p$. By Lemma 2.5 we can
see that $p$ has no singular fiber other than $G$. The part of $D$ contained in $G$ is a linear chain. This observation will be used in what follows.

Proof of Theorem 2.10. If $X$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{2}$, then all the assertions hold. Hence we assume that $X$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{2}$; in particular, $\operatorname{Pic}\left(X^{0}\right) \neq 0$. The restriction of $p$ to $X$ is an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$. Since $X$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane, we see easily that every fiber of $\rho$ is irreducible. For example, $G=G_{1} \cup A_{1}$ where $G_{1}=D \cap G$ and $A:=A_{1}-D \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$. By Lemma 2.1 we can assume that no component of $G_{1}$ is a ( -1 )-curve and hence $A_{1}$ is a unique ( -1 )-curve in $G$ after the desingularization of a possible singular point on $A$, and the multiplicity $m$ of $A_{1}$ in $G$ exceeds unity.

Let $P_{0}:=p(G)$. By assumption, $G_{1}$ is a linear chain. Let $D_{3}$ be the component of $G_{1}$ that meets $D_{2}$. We claim that $D_{3}$ meets at most one other component of $G_{1}$. Suppose that $D_{3}$ meets two components of $D$, say $D_{4}$ and $D_{5}$. Then $\overline{G_{1}-D_{3}}$ has exactly two connected components, say $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$. Starting with $A_{1}$ we can successively contract ( -1 )-curves in $G$, the exceptional curves arising from the desingularization of a possible singular point on $A$, and their images in order to reduce $G$ to a ( 0 )-curve.

Suppose that at some stage the image of $D_{3}$, say $D_{3}^{\prime}$, becomes a ( -1 )-curve and that $D_{3}^{\prime}$ still meets two other components of the image $G^{\prime}$ of $G$. Then the multiplicity of $D_{3}^{\prime}$ in $G^{\prime}$ is at least 2 . This is a contradiction, since $D_{3}$ meets the cross-section $D_{2}$. Hence, if $D_{3}^{\prime}$ is a ( -1 )-curve then it meets only one other component of $G^{\prime}$. Further, all the other components of $G^{\prime}$ have self-intersection $<-1$. Since $G^{\prime}$ is still a linear chain, it clearly follows that $G^{\prime}$ cannot be contracted to a (0)-curve. Now we see that $D$ is a linear chain of rational curves. This proves the first part of Theorem 2.10; the second part follows from Theorem 3.1.

## 3. The General Case

Our objective in this section is to prove the following result, which will completely explain the relation between $\operatorname{ML}(X)$ and $\pi_{1, \infty}(X)$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $X$ be a log affine surface. Then $\operatorname{ML}(X)$ is trivial if and only if $X$ has a minimal normal compactification $V$ such that the dual graph of $D:=$ $V-X$ is a linear chain of rational curves and $\pi_{1, \infty}(X)$ is a finite group.

Proof. The "only if" part follows from Theorem 2.9; here we show the "if" part. Again, for simplicity we will assume that $X$ is smooth. The proof for the log affine case is almost similar.

By assumption, $X$ has a minimal normal compactification $V$ such that $D:=$ $V-X$ is a linear chain of smooth rational curves. We can also assume that $D=$ $D_{1}+D_{2}+\cdots+D_{r}$ such that $D_{r-1}^{2}=0=D_{r}^{2}$. We call $D_{r-1}+D_{r}$ an appendix of $D$. Then the linear system $\left|D_{r}\right|$ gives a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibration $p$ on $V$ such that $D_{r}$ is a full fiber and $D_{r-1}$ is a cross-section. Restricting $p$ to $X$ yields an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ with $B \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$. By Lemma 2.3, $X$ admits a $G_{a}$-action such that a general fiber of $\rho$ is an orbit for this action. If $r=2$ then $X \cong \mathbb{A}^{2}$ and obviously
$\operatorname{ML}(X)=\mathbf{C}$. So, we assume that $r>2$. Then $D_{r-2}^{2} \leq 0$ by the Hodge index theorem. If $D_{r-2}^{2}=0$ then $r=3$ and $\pi_{1, \infty}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction. Therefore, $D_{r-2}^{2}<0$ and hence $D_{i}^{2}<0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r-2$. Observe that $D_{1}$ is contained in a singular fiber of $p$ and is thus disjoint from a general fiber of $p$.

The idea of the proof is to shift the appendix to the beginning of the linear chain so that $D_{1}$ becomes a ( 0 )-curve and then use $\left|D_{1}\right|$ to construct another $G_{a}$-action on $X$. The proof of [11, Lemma 5] shows that, by blowing up points in $D$ and blowing down ( -1 )-curves that are proper transforms of irreducible components of $D$, we reach a minimal normal compactification of $X$, say $W$, such that the proper transform of $D_{1}$ in $W$ becomes a (0)-curve. We will indicate a few steps in this process.

Let $\left(D_{r-2}^{2}\right)=-a \leq-2$. Blow up $D_{r-1} \cap D_{r}$ to obtain a surface $V^{\prime}$ and let $E$ be the exceptional curve obtained by this blow-up. Then $\left(D_{r-1}^{\prime 2}\right)=-1=\left(E^{2}\right)=$ $\left(D_{r}^{\prime 2}\right)$, where the prime denotes proper transform. Blow down $D_{r-1}^{\prime}$ to obtain the surface $V_{1}$. On $V_{1}$ the proper transform of $D_{r-2}$ has self-intersection $-a+1$. The self-intersections of the images of $E$ and $D_{r}^{\prime}$ on $V_{1}$ (say, $E_{1}$ and $D_{r, 1}$ ) are 0 and -1 , respectively. We see that the pencil in $V_{1}$ corresponding to $\left|D_{r}\right|$ has a base point on the proper transform $D_{r-2,1}$ of $D_{r-2}$. Next blow up $E_{1} \cap D_{r, 1}$ and blow down the proper transform of $E_{1}$ to obtain the surface $V_{2}$. The self-intersection of $D_{r-2,2}$ on $V_{2}$ is $-a+2$, and the self-intersection of the proper transform of $D_{r, 1}$ is -2 . The pencil on $V_{2}$ has a base point on $D_{r-2,2}$. Continue this process to obtain the surface $V_{a}$ such that the self-intersection of the proper transform $D_{r-2, a}$ of $D_{r-2}$ on $V_{a}$ is 0 . The pencil has a base point on $D_{r-2, a}$. Observe that the proper transform of $D$ on $V$ is still linear, $\left(E_{a}^{2}\right)=0$, and that $E_{a}$ meets $D_{r, a}$. Now we start blowing up $D_{r-2, a} \cap E_{a}$, and so forth.

Finally, we reach a surface $V_{b}$ on which the proper transform of $D$ is a linear chain, the proper transform $D_{1, b}$ of $D_{1}$ is a (0)-curve, and the curve next to it is also a (0)-curve. The pencil corresponding to $\left|D_{r}\right|$ on $V_{b}$ has a base point on $D_{1, b}$. Using $\left|D_{1, b}\right|$, we get another $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration on $X$ that is transverse to the original $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration. By Lemma 2.3, $\mathrm{ML}(X)=\mathbf{C}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Combining Theorems 2.8, 2.9, 2.10, and 3.1 completes our proof of the main results (1), (2), and (3) stated in the Introduction.

## 4. Uniqueness of $\mathbb{A}^{\mathbf{1}}$-Fibrations and $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$

In this section we give a sufficient condition for a smooth affine surface to have a unique $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\psi: X \rightarrow B$ be an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration on a smooth affine surface $X$ with base $B$ a smooth curve such that every fiber of $\psi$ is irreducible. Assume further that $B$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ or $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ and that $\psi$ has at least two (resp., three) multiple fibers if $B \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$ (resp., if $B \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$ ). Then $X$ has no other $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations whose general fibers are transverse to $\psi$.

A consequence of this result is the following theorem.
Theorem 4.2. Let $\psi: X \rightarrow B$ be an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration such that $B \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$, all the fibers of $\psi$ are irreducible, and $\psi$ has at least three multiple fibers. Then $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is finite. If, further, the multiplicities of the multiple fibers are pairwise coprime, then $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is trivial.

Remarks. (1) The assumption in Theorem 4.1 that $B$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ or $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ is quite harmless. For if $X$ has another $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration with general fiber transverse to a general fiber of $\psi$, then (by Lüroth's theorem) $B$ will be isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ or $\mathbf{P}^{1}$.
(2) It is most probable that Theorem 4.1 is valid without assuming the irreducibility of all the fibers of $\psi$. Similarly, Theorem 4.2 should be true without the assumption of irreducibility of all the fibers of $\psi$.
(3) An example in Section 5 (see paragraph 4) shows that the hypothesis of having at least three multiple fibers in Theorem 4.2 is necessary.

We shall first recall the following result (see e.g. [27, Sec. 1]). Let $Y$ be a smooth quasi-projective surface, let $B$ be a smooth quasi-projective curve, and let $f: Y \rightarrow$ $B$ be a fibration in the sense that all fibers have pure dimension 1 and all but a finite number of them are smooth and connected. Let $F_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i} C_{i}$ be its fiber, where the $C_{i}$ are irreducible components and the $\mu_{i}$ are multiplicities of the $C_{i}$ in $F_{0}$. Let $\mu=\operatorname{gcd}\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right)$, which we call the multiplicity of $F_{0}$. If $\mu>1$, we call $F_{0}$ a multiple fiber and write $F_{0}=\mu F_{0}^{\prime}$, where $F_{0}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mu_{i} / \mu\right) C_{i}$.

Lemma 4.3. With the preceding notation, let $F$ be a general fiber of $f$, let $m_{1} F_{1}, \ldots, m_{s} F_{s}$ exhaust all multiple fibers of $f$, and let $P_{i}=f\left(F_{i}\right)$. Set $B^{\prime}=$ $B-\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s}\right\}$. Then there exists a short exact sequence

$$
\pi_{1}(F) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(Y) \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow(1)
$$

where $\Gamma$ is the quotient of $\pi_{1}\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ by the normal subgroup generated by $e_{1}^{m_{1}}, \ldots, e_{s}^{m_{s}}$ with the $e_{i}$ corresponding to a small loop in $B$ around the point $P_{i}$.

This lemma shows that even a reducible fiber without reduced components behaves like a smooth fiber in $\pi_{1}(Y)$ if the multiplicity of the fiber is 1 .

## Proof of Theorem 4.1

Let $X$ be as in the statement of Theorem 4.1. Let $X \subset V$ be a smooth projective compactification such that (a) $D:=V-X$ is a simple normal crossing divisor and (b) $\psi$ extends to a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibration $\Psi: V \rightarrow \bar{B}$, where $\bar{B}$ is a smooth projective compactification of $B$. An irreducible component of $D$ will be called a boundary component. There is a unique component $S$ of $D$ that is a cross-section of $\Psi$ such that the point at infinity for a general fiber of $\psi$ lies on $S$. Using Lemma 2.1, we can contract $(-1)$-curves in any singular fiber of $\Psi$ that are contained in $D$ and can assume that $D$ does not contain any $(-1)$-curve that is contained in a fiber of $\Psi$. Hence, if $B \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$ then every boundary fiber component of $\Psi$ has self-intersection number $\leq-2$, and if $B \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$ then the same holds-except for the full fiber of
$\Psi$, which is contained in $D$. In this latter case we can assume that this fiber is a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ with self-intersection 0 . Let $m F_{0}^{\prime}$ be a multiple fiber of $\psi$ with $m>1$. Then $\Psi^{-1}(P)-\overline{F_{0}^{\prime}}$ is nonempty, connected, and meets the section $S\left(\overline{F_{0}^{\prime}}\right.$ is the closure of $F_{0}^{\prime}$ in $V$ ). This is because $X$ is affine.

Now assume that $X$ has another $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $g: X \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ whose general fiber, say $G^{\prime}$, is horizontal with respect to $\psi$ (or, equivalently, transverse to $\psi$ ). We will arrive at a contradiction. Again by Lüroth's theorem, $B^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ (since a general fiber of $\psi$ dominates $B^{\prime}$ ).

Step 1. First we will deal with the case where $B \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$. Then the assertion follows from a more general result.

Lemma 4.4. Let $\psi: X \rightarrow B$ be an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration from a smooth affine surface $X$ onto the affine line $B$. Suppose that $m_{1} F_{1}, \ldots, m_{r} F_{r}$ exhaust all multiple fibers of $\psi$, where $m_{i} \geq 2, r \geq 2$, and the $F_{i}$ might be reducible. Then there are no curves $G^{\prime}$ such that $G^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to the affine line and transverse to the fibration $\psi$.

Proof. Let $P_{i}=\psi\left(F_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and let $P_{\infty}$ be the point at infinity of $B$ when $B$ is embedded into $\bar{B}=\mathbf{P}^{1}$. Now apply Lemma 2.5 to $\bar{B}, P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}, P_{\infty}$ and integers $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}, m_{\infty}$ in order to find a finite Galois covering $\bar{\tau}: \bar{\Delta} \rightarrow \bar{B}$, where $m_{\infty}$ is a positive integer to be chosen arbitrarily. By the Riemann-Hurwitz theorem, it is easy to show that either $\bar{\Delta}$ has genus $>0$ or $\bar{\tau}^{-1}\left(P_{\infty}\right)$ has at least two points. More precisely, $\bar{\Delta}$ has positive genus if either (i) $r \geq 3$ or (ii) $r=2$ and $m_{\infty} \geq 6$, except for the case $r=m_{1}=m_{2}=2$ in which $\bar{\Delta}$ has two places above the point $P_{\infty}$.

Let $\Delta=\bar{\tau}^{-1}(B)$ and $\tau=\left.\bar{\tau}\right|_{\Delta}$. Then $\tau: \Delta \rightarrow B$ is a finite Galois covering. Let $Y$ be the normalization of the fiber product $X \times_{B} \Delta$. Then $Y$ is an étale covering of $X$ and so $\Delta$ either is nonrational or is a rational curve with at least two places at infinity.

Suppose that there exists a curve $G^{\prime}$ such that $G^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ and transverse to $\psi$. Then the inverse image of $G^{\prime}$ in $Y$ is a disjoint union of curves isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ each of which dominates $\Delta$. This is a contradiction.

We shall make use later of the following well-known result.
Lemma 4.5. Let $\psi: X \rightarrow B$ be an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration from a smooth affine surface $X$ with a smooth curve B. Then the following assertions hold.
(1) Let $n_{P}$ be the number of irreducible components of the fiber $\psi^{-1}(P)$ for $P \in$ $B$, and let $N$ be the number of places of $B$ lying at infinity. Then the Picard number of $X$ is equal to

$$
\rho(X)=1+\sum_{P \in B}\left(n_{p}-1\right)-\varepsilon,
$$

where $\varepsilon=0$ or 1 according as $N=0$ or $N \geq 1$.
(2) Let $\chi(Y)$ denote the topological Euler-Poincare characteristic of a topological manifold $Y$. Let $F$ be a general fiber of $\psi$ and let $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{s}$ exhaust all
the singular fibers, which are (by definition) the fibers not isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ in the scheme-theoretic sense. We have the following formula of Suzuki [26] and Zaidenberg [28]:

$$
\chi(X)=\chi(F) \chi(B)+\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(\chi\left(F_{i}\right)-\chi(F)\right)
$$

Proof. For the proof of the first assertion, consider a smooth projective compactification $X \subset V$ such that $\psi: X \rightarrow B$ extends to a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibration $\Psi: V \rightarrow \bar{B}$. We assume that the fibers contained in $V \backslash X$ are irreducible if they exist at all. Now $V$ is obtained from a relatively minimal $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibration by iterating blow-ups with centers on the fibers. Then the result is standard.

Hereafter in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we assume that $B \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$ and that $\psi$ has irreducible multiple fibers $m_{1} F_{1}, \ldots, m_{r} F_{r}$ with $r \geq 3$.

Step 2. We make the following claim.
Claim.
(1) $B^{\prime} \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$.
(2) Let $G^{\prime}$ be a general fiber of $g$. Then $G^{\prime}$ meets each $F_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.
(3) Suppose $m_{1} \leq m_{2} \leq \cdots \leq m_{r}$. Then $r=3$ and $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right)=(2,2, n)$, $(2,3,3),(2,3,4)$, or $(2,3,5)$. Namely, it is one of the Platonic triplets.
(4) All fibers of $g^{\prime}$ are irreducible, and there are three multiple fibers of $g^{\prime}$ whose multiplicities form one of the Platonic triplets.

For the proof of (1), let $F$ be a general fiber of $\psi$ and let

$$
\Gamma=\left\langle e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{r} \mid e_{1} e_{2} \cdots e_{r}=e_{1}^{m_{1}}=e_{2}^{m_{2}}=\cdots=e_{r}^{m_{r}}=1\right\rangle
$$

be the group given by generators and relations, which is the group given in Lemma 4.3 for $B \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$. Hence we obtain an isomorphism $\pi_{1}(X) \cong \Gamma$ because $\pi_{1}(F)=(1)$. By the assumption that $r \geq 3$, it follows that $\pi_{1}(X)$ is not a finite cyclic group. Furthermore, we know by Lemma 4.5 that the Picard group Pic ( $X$ ) has rank 1 and that the topological Euler-Poincaré characteristic $\chi(X)$ is 2. Now we show that $B^{\prime} \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$. Suppose to the contrary that $B^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$. Since $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ has rank 1, it follows that the fibration $g$ has one reducible fiber $\mu_{1} C_{1}+\mu_{2} C_{2}$ and that all other fibers are irreducible. Lemma 4.3 implies that $g$ has at least two multiple fibers because $\pi_{1}(X)$ is not a finite cyclic group. We then have a contradiction by Lemma 4.4, since a general fiber $F$ is transverse to $g$. Hence, $B^{\prime} \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$.

We now show that $G^{\prime}$ meets each $F_{i}$. If $G^{\prime}$ does not meet some $F_{i}$ then we consider $X^{\prime}:=X-F_{i}$. Then $X^{\prime}$ is a smooth affine surface that has an induced $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration from $\psi$ with at least two multiple fibers with base $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ and another $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration induced from $g$. This is impossible (by Lemma 4.4), so we know that $G^{\prime}$ meets each $F_{i}$.

We show that $r=3$ and that ( $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ ) is one of the Platonic triplets. In fact, if either $r \geq 4$ or $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right)$ is not a Platonic triplet then we use the argument in the proof of Lemma 4.4. The curve $\Delta$ in this case is nonrational, whereas the
inverse image of $G^{\prime}$ in $Y$ is a disjoint union of the affine lines. Hence we obtain a contradiction.

The last assertion is easy to see. Since the Picard number of $X$ is 1 and since $B^{\prime} \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$, it follows that all fibers of $g^{\prime}$ are irreducible. Then Lemma 4.3 implies that $g^{\prime}$ has at least three multiple fibers because $\pi_{1}(X)$ is not a finite cyclic group. If one notes that a general fiber of $\psi$ is transverse to the fibration $g^{\prime}$, then the same argument as in the previous assertion (3) implies that the multiplicities of the singular fibers of $g^{\prime}$ form one of the Platonic triplets.

Step 3. Taking the closures of the fibers of $g$ yields a pencil of rational curves $\Lambda$ with at most one base point on $V$. Note that the base point lies on $D$ if it exists.

Claim.
(1) $\Lambda$ has no base point. In particular, $V$ has another $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibration (say, $\tilde{g}$ ) whose general fiber is transverse to $\Psi$.
(2) $S$ is also a cross-section for $\tilde{g}$.

For the proof of (1), suppose that $Q$ is a base point of $\Lambda$. Then $Q$ lies either on $S$ or on a boundary fiber component of $\Psi$. Let $W$ be obtained from $V$ by a shortest succession of blow-ups at $Q$ (and its infinitely near points), so that $W$ has a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibration $\tilde{g}$ that extends $g$. The last $(-1)$-curve $E$ obtained by blow-ups is a cross-section of $\tilde{g}$. We note that every irreducible component of $W-X$, except for $E$ and possibly the proper transform $S^{\prime}$ of $S$, has self-intersection number $\leq-2$.

The proper transform $S^{\prime}$ is contained in a fiber (say, $G$ ) of $\tilde{g}$ and either (a) meets at least three other components of $G$ or (b) meets two components of $G$ and also meets $E$. This follows from the assumption that there are at least three multiple fibers of $\psi$. In either case, by Lemma 2.1(2) we can see that $S^{\prime}$ is not a ( -1 )-curve. On the other hand, the proper transforms of at least two singular fibers (which remain untouched under the blow-ups $W \rightarrow V$ ) of $\Psi$ corresponding to the multiple fibers of $\psi$, say $\tilde{F}_{1}$ and $\tilde{F}_{2}$, have the property that $\left(\operatorname{Supp} \tilde{F}_{1}-F_{1}\right) \cup\left(\operatorname{Supp} \tilde{F}_{2}-F_{2}\right)$ is contained in $G$. All the components of this last union are components of $D$, and none is a ( -1 )-curve (by our initial assumption). Every fiber of $g$ is also irreducible, as remarked in Step 2. It follows that the closure of every singular fiber of $g$ in $W$ is the unique ( -1 -curve in the corresponding fiber of the $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibration $\tilde{g}$ on $W$.

The curve $S^{\prime}$ is connected to $E$ by a connected union of irreducible components $G$ (possibly, $S^{\prime} \cap E \neq \emptyset$ ). If we successively contract ( -1 )-curves in $G$ using Lemma 2.1, we reach a stage when the image of $S^{\prime}$ becomes a $(-1)$-curve and either (a) meets at least three other irreducible components of the image of $G$ or (b) meets two irreducible components of the image of $G$ and meets the image of $E$. In case (a) we have a contradiction to Lemma 2.1(2). Suppose that case (b) occurs. Because the image of $S^{\prime}$ meets two irreducible components of the image of $G$, the multiplicity of $S^{\prime}$ in $G$ is 2 . But then its image cannot meet the image of $E^{\prime}$. This proves part (1) of the claim.

For the proof of part (2) we observe that, if $S$ is not a cross-section of $\tilde{g}$, then it is contained in a fiber of $\tilde{g}$. In this case we argue exactly as in part (1) and arrive at a contradiction.

Step 4. Now changing the notation, let $G$ be a general fiber of $\Phi_{\Lambda}$. Then $G^{2}=$ 0 . The idea of the proof is to blow down $V$ to a minimal model and then calculate the arithmetic genus of the image of $G$ in the minimal model in two different ways in order to arrive at a contradiction.

The only singular fibers of $\Psi$ are the fibers $\tilde{F}_{i}$ containing $F_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3$, and the multiplicities form a Platonic triplet. We have already seen that the closure $\bar{F}_{i}$ of $F_{i}$ is the only $(-1)$-curve in $\tilde{F}_{i}$. Starting with $\bar{F}_{i}$, we successively contract $(-1)$-curves for all $i$ and arrive at a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-bundle $V_{0}$ over $\bar{B}$. The component of $\tilde{F}_{i}$ meeting $S$ occurs with multiplicity 1 in $\tilde{F}_{i}$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, in the process of these contractions there will always be a $(-1)$-curve that differs from the image of this curve. Let $G_{0}, S_{0}$ be (respectively) the images of $G, S$ in $V_{0}$, and let $F$ be a general fiber of $\Psi$.

Let $n:=G \cdot F$. We denote the general fiber of the $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-bundle $V_{0} \rightarrow \bar{B}$ again by $F$. Write $G_{0} \sim a F+b S_{0}$, and denote $S_{0}^{2}$ by $-c$. Since $G_{0} \cdot F=n$, we have $b=n$. From $G_{0} \cdot S_{0}=1$, we obtain $1=a+n S_{0}^{2}=a-c n$. Hence $G_{0} \sim$ $(1+c n) F+n S_{0}$. This gives $G_{0}^{2}=2 n+c n^{2}$. Now let $K$ be the canonical divisor of $V_{0}$. Then $K \sim-2 S_{0}-(c+2) F$ and so $K \cdot G_{0}=(1+c n)(-2)+n(-2+c)=$ $-2 n-c n-2$. Therefore, $p_{a}\left(G_{0}\right)=c n(n-1) / 2$. Now we calculate $p_{a}\left(G_{0}\right)$ in a different way.

Clearly $G \cdot \bar{F}_{i}=n / m_{i}$ for each $i$. Thus, contraction of $\bar{F}_{i}$ produces a singular point of multiplicity $n / m_{i}$ on the image of $G$. Let

$$
e_{i 1}=n / m_{i} \leq e_{i 2} \leq \cdots \leq e_{i r_{i}}
$$

be the multiplicities of the images of $G$ after the succession of contractions of $(-1)$-curves. Because $G$ is rational,

$$
p_{a}\left(G_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{r_{i}} e_{i j} \frac{e_{i j}-1}{2} .
$$

Since $G^{2}=0$, we get $G_{0}^{2}=\sum_{i, j} e_{i j}^{2}$. Suppose $c n(n-1) / 2=\sum_{i, j} e_{i j}\left(e_{i j}-1\right) / 2$. Then $c n^{2}-c n=G_{0}^{2}-\sum e_{i j}$ and hence $\sum e_{i j}=2 n+c n$. From $\sum e_{i j}^{2}=2 n+c n^{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum\left(\frac{e_{i j}}{n}\right)^{2}=\frac{2}{n}+c \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, from $\sum e_{i j}=2 n+c n$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum \frac{e_{i j}}{n}=2+c . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtracting (4.1) from (4.2) then yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum \frac{e_{i j}}{n}-\left(\frac{e_{i j}}{n}\right)^{2}=2-\frac{2}{n} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will now use the observation made in Step 2 that ( $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ ) is a Platonic triplet. Then $m_{1}=2$. First we concentrate on the fiber $\tilde{F}_{1}$. Since $\bar{F}_{1}$ is the only $(-1)$-curve in $\tilde{F}_{1}$, it follows that the self-intersection of any other component of $\tilde{F}_{1}$
is $\leq-2$. Write $\tilde{F}_{1}=2 \bar{F}_{1}+\Delta$ as the scheme-theoretic fiber. Then $K \cdot \tilde{F}_{1}=-2=$ $-2+K \cdot \Delta$. From this and the fact that Supp $\Delta$ is connected (since $X$ is affine) we infer that every component of $\operatorname{Supp} \Delta$ is a ( -2 )-curve. By [29, Lemma 1.5], the dual graph of $\tilde{F}_{1}$ has exactly one branch point and $\bar{F}_{1}$ is a tip of one of the branches at the branch point. Hence we see that the multiplicity sequence on the image of $G$ during contractions of curves in $\tilde{F}_{1}$ is $n / 2, n / 2, \ldots, n / 2$ (at least three blow-downs). Hence the contribution to the sum $\sum\left(e_{i j} / n\right)-\left(e_{i j} / n\right)^{2}$ from this fiber is at least $3 / 4$.

Now consider the other two fibers. If $m_{2}=m_{3}=2$ then by the same observation as before we see that the LHS of $(*)$ is greater than 2 whereas the RHS is less than 2. Suppose that $m_{2}=2$ and $m_{3}>2$. We will show in what follows that, in this case, the contribution from $\tilde{F}_{3}$ is at least $2 / 3$. Hence in all the cases we get a contradiction to $(*)$.

Step 5. Finally we consider the case when $m_{2}>2$ and $m_{3}>2$. For simplicity we consider only the case of $m_{3}$ and write $m_{3}=m$. Since $G$ meets $F_{3}$ transversally in $n / m$ distinct points, we have $n / m$ smooth subarcs of $G$ meeting $F_{3}$ in distinct points. We consider the images of these arcs in $V_{0}$, say $G_{0,1}, G_{0,2}, \ldots, G_{0, n / m}$. Since $G$ is a general fiber of $g$, the multiplicity sequences for all these unibranch curves are the same. In particular, it follows that $e_{3 j}$ is divisible by $n / m$. Now $G_{0, j} \cdot F=m$ for each $j$. Let $n_{1}$ be the multiplicity of $G_{0, j}$ of the singular point lying on the fiber $L$ on $V_{0}$ that is the image of $\tilde{F}_{3}$. We consider the reverse process to obtain $F_{3}$.

If $n_{1}=1$, then the proper transform $L^{\prime}$ of $L$ in $V$ is a ( -1 )-component lying in the boundary $V \backslash X$. Because such a component does not exist on $V$ (by our assumption), we have $n_{1}>1$. Then the Euclidean transformation with respect to the pair $\left(m, n_{1}\right)$ (see [14]) will be the first process that we must perform in order to produce the singular fiber $\tilde{F}_{3}$. This process produces a linear chain of the components. Then we have to blow up a point on the $(-1)$-component of the linear chain (not the end components of the linear chain) as well as additional points to obtain the multiple fiber $F_{3}$ on $X$. This last process produces the side tree.

Let $n_{1}>n_{2}>\cdots>n_{s}$ be the multiplicities of $G_{0,1}$ in the Euclidean transformation. Then $n_{s} \geq 1$. It follows that the distinct multiplicities occurring in the resolution of singularities for $G_{0}$ contain $n_{1} \cdot n / m, n_{2} \cdot n / m, \ldots, n_{s} \cdot n / m$. Hence the contribution to the LHS of $(*)$ from $\tilde{F}_{3}$ is at least

$$
a_{1}\left(n_{1} / m-n_{1}^{2} / m^{2}\right)+a_{2}\left(n_{2} / m-n_{2}^{2} / m^{2}\right)+\cdots+a_{s}\left(n_{s} / m-n_{s}^{2} / m^{2}\right),
$$

where the integers $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{s}$ are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
m & =a_{1} n_{1}+n_{2}, & n_{2}<n_{1}, \\
n_{1} & =a_{2} n_{2}+n_{3}, & n_{3}<n_{2}, \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
n_{s-2} & =a_{s-1} n_{s-1}+n_{s}, & & n_{s}<n_{s-1}, \\
n_{s-1} & =a_{s} n_{s} . &
\end{array}
$$

From $G_{0 j} \cdot F=m$ we see that the $\operatorname{arc} G_{0 j}$ on $V_{0}$ has a parameterization of the form

$$
z_{1}=t^{n_{1}}, \quad z_{2}=t^{m}+\text { higher-degree terms. }
$$

Hence, in the resolution by blow-ups, the multiplicity sequence for $G_{0 j}$ contains $n_{1}^{a_{1}}, \ldots, n_{s-1}^{a_{s-1}}, n_{s}^{a_{s}}$ (where $n^{a}$ signifies that $n$ is repeated $a$ times). We thus have

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =a_{1} \cdot n_{1} / m+n_{2} / m, \\
n_{1} / m & =a_{2} \cdot n_{2} / m+n_{3} / m, \\
& \vdots \\
n_{s-2} / m & =a_{s-1} \cdot n_{s-1} / m+n_{s} / m, \\
n_{s-1} / m & =a_{s} \cdot n_{s} / m
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding up both the left- and right-hand sides yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{n_{1}}{m}-\frac{n_{s}}{m}=\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i} \cdot \frac{n_{i}}{m} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again multiplying respectively by $n_{1} / m, n_{2} / m, \ldots, n_{s} / m$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{1} / m & =a_{1}\left(n_{1} / m\right)^{2}+n_{1} n_{2} / m^{2} \\
n_{1} n_{2} / m^{2} & =a_{2}\left(n_{2} / m\right)^{2}+n_{2} n_{3} / m^{2} \\
& \vdots \\
n_{s-1} n_{s} / m & =a_{s}\left(n_{s} / m\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n_{1}}{m}=\sum_{1}^{s} a_{i}\left(\frac{n_{i}}{m}\right)^{2} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.3) and (4.4) we can derive

$$
\sum a_{i}\left\{\frac{n_{i}}{m}-\left(\frac{n_{i}}{m}\right)^{2}\right\}=1-\frac{n_{s}}{m}
$$

Here we note that $n_{s} \mid m$ and $n_{s}<m$. If $m$ is a prime number then $n_{s}=1$; if $n_{s} \neq 1$, then the first blow-up to produce the side tree of $\tilde{F}_{3}$ will give a contribution $\left(n_{s} / m\right)-\left(n_{s} / m\right)^{2}$. Hence the contribution is at least $1-1 / m$ if $n_{s}=1$ and $1-\left(n_{s} / m\right)^{2}$ if $n_{s} \neq 1$. That is, the contribution is at least $2 / 3,3 / 4,4 / 5$ as $m=$ $3,4,5$ (respectively) and $3 / 4$ if $m>5$. Therefore, the contributions to the left side of $(*)$ from $\tilde{F}_{1}, \tilde{F}_{2}$, and $\tilde{F}_{3}$ are at least 2 . This is a contradiction to the relation $(*)$ in Step 2 and so completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

## Proof of Theorem 4.2

Let $X$ be a smooth affine surface with an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\pi: X \rightarrow B$. By Theorem 4.1, $X$ has no $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration whose fibers are transverse to the fibers of $\psi$.

Hence any automorphism of $X$ permutes the fibers of $\psi$. Let $G:=\operatorname{Aut}(X)$, and let $m_{1} F_{1}, m_{2} F_{2}, \ldots, m_{r} F_{r}$ be all the multiple fibers of $\psi$ and $P_{i}:=\psi\left(F_{i}\right)$. By hypothesis, $r \geq 3$. Hence there exists a subgroup $H$ of finite index in $G$ such that every fiber of $\psi$ is stable under every element of $H$. If the multiplicities are pairwise coprime, then clearly every element of $G$ keeps every fiber of $\psi$ stable and hence the induced action of $G$ on $B$ is trivial. Now we can assume that $G$ itself keeps every fiber stable and acts trivially on $B$.

Step 1. First we will show that $G$ is finite.
By Lemma 2.4, there exists a finite Galois covering $\tau: \Delta \rightarrow B$ such that the ramification index at any point over $P_{i}$ is $m_{i}$ for every $i$. Then the normalization of the fiber product $Y:=\overline{X \times_{B} \Delta}$ is an étale covering of $X$. There is an induced $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\psi^{\prime}$ on $Y$ whose fibers are all reduced; the group $G$ also acts on $Y$, permuting the fibers. By taking a subgroup of finite index of $G$, we can assume that every element of $G$ keeps stable every component of every fiber of $\psi^{\prime}$. Let $Z$ be obtained by omitting all components but one from every reducible fiber of $\psi^{\prime}$. Then $Z$ is a smooth affine surface with an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-bundle $\tilde{\pi}: Z \rightarrow \Delta$ and $G$ acts on $Z$ by automorphisms, keeping every fiber stable. There is a smooth compactification $W \subset T$ such that $T-Z$ is a cross-section $\tilde{S}$ of $\tilde{\psi}$. The action of $G$ extends to $T$, keeping $\tilde{S}$ pointwise fixed. Assuming that the action of $G$ on $T$ is nontrivial, we will show that such a surface $T$ does not exist; this will prove that $G$ is finite. Observe that $W$ is affine.

Case 1. Suppose that $T=\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ such that $G$ keeps each $\{x\} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ stable and keeps $\tilde{S}$ pointwise fixed. Then the action of $G$ is independent of the point $x$ in the first factor $\mathbf{P}^{1}$. This implies that the fixed point locus of $G$ cannot contain an ample irreducible curve (in this case, $\tilde{S}$ ). (This argument was shown to us by A. Fujiki.)

Case 2. Suppose next that $T$ is a rational surface that is not isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$. Then $T$ contains a unique irreducible curve $\Gamma$ with $\Gamma^{2}<0$ and $\Gamma$ is a cross-section of $\tilde{\psi}$ that is also pointwise fixed by $G$. Hence most fibers of $\tilde{\psi}$ have at least two fixed points. Let $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \ldots, Q_{s}$ be the points in $\tilde{S} \cap \Gamma$. Each $Q_{i}$ is fixed by $G$. By performing elementary transformations at these points repeatedly, we can separate the proper transforms of $\tilde{S}$ and $\Gamma$ and still have a $G$-action along fibers of a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-bundle $T^{\prime} \rightarrow \Delta$ while keeping the proper transforms of $\tilde{S}$ and $\Gamma$ pointwise fixed. Then it is easy to see that the $G$-action extends to an action of the multiplicative group $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ on $T^{\prime}$ and that the process of obtaining $T$ from $T^{\prime}$ is $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-equivariant. Hence the $G$-action on $T$ extends to an action of $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ on $T$. At $Q_{i}$, the fixed point locus of this action is not smooth; this is a contradiction, since $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ is reductive.

Case 3. Now assume that $\Delta$ has genus $g>0$, that $\tilde{S}$ is an ample cross-section of $\tilde{\psi}: T \rightarrow \Delta$, and that $G$ acts on $T$ keeping every fiber stable and keeping $\tilde{S}$ pointwise fixed. Let $U_{1}, U_{2}$ be Zariski-open subsets of $\Delta$ such that the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-bundle is trivial over both $U_{1}, U_{2}$ and $\Delta=U_{1} \cup U_{2}$. We can use the section $\tilde{S}$ to choose a point at infinity on every fiber; $W$ is obtained from $U_{1} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$ and $U_{2} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$ by
patching. Let $z, w$ be fiber coordinates on $U_{1} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$ and $U_{2} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$, respectively. On $U_{1} \cap U_{2}$ we have $w=a(u) z+b(u)$, where $a, b$ are regular functions on $U_{1} \cap U_{2}$ and $a$ is nowhere zero. Next we use an automorphism $\sigma$ in $G$.

Now suppose that $\sigma(u, z)=\left(u, \alpha_{1}(u) z+\beta_{1}(u)\right)$ on $U_{1} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$ and $\sigma(u, w)=$ $\left(u, \alpha_{2}(u) w+\beta_{2}(u)\right)$ on $U_{2} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$, where $\alpha_{i}(u)$ are units on $U_{i}$, et cetera. Hence $a\left(\alpha_{1} z+\beta_{1}\right)+b=\alpha_{2}(a z+b)+\beta_{2}$ on $\left(U_{1} \cap U_{2}\right) \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$. This gives $a \alpha_{1}=a \alpha_{2}$ and $a \beta_{1}+b=b \alpha_{2}+\beta_{2}$ on $U_{1} \cap U_{2}$. Then $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}$ on $U_{1} \cap U_{2}$, whence the functions $a_{i}$ on $U_{i}$ patch together to give an invertible regular function on $\Delta$. It follows that $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}$ is a nonzero constant $\alpha$. We claim that $\alpha=1$. In fact, if this is not true, then $\sigma$ has another fixed point on every fiber and our argument for Case 2 works in this case also to give a contradiction.

Assume that $\alpha=1$. Now we have $a \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}$ on $U_{1} \cap U_{2}$. The conormal bundle of $\tilde{S}$ in $T$ is $\mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}^{2}$, where $\mathcal{I}$ is the ideal sheaf of $\tilde{S}$ in $T$. On $U_{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ the ideal sheaf is generated by $1 / z=z^{\prime}$ and on $U_{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ by $1 / w=w^{\prime}$. Since $w=a z+b$, it follows that $w^{\prime}=z^{\prime} /\left(a+b z^{\prime}\right)=z^{\prime} / a\left(\bmod \mathcal{I}^{2}\right)$. Thus, on $\tilde{S}$ the equation $a \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}$ gives a cross-section $\beta_{1} z^{\prime}=\beta_{2} w^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}^{2}$. But $\tilde{S}^{2}>0$ since $W$ is affine. Hence there is no such nonzero cross-section of $\mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}^{2}$ and thus no such automorphism can exist.

Step 2. Now assume that $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}$ are pairwise coprime. We will show that $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is trivial; for this purpose, it suffices to show that there is no nontrivial finite automorphism of $X$. Suppose that $\sigma$ is such an automorphism. By Sumihiro's result [25], we can find a smooth projective compactification $X \subset V$ such that (a) $V$ has a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibration $\tilde{\psi}: V \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ that extends $\psi$ and (b) the action of $\sigma$ extends to $V$. In the fiber $\tilde{F}_{i}$ of $\tilde{\psi}$ containing $F_{i}$, we may assume that the closure $\bar{F}_{i}$ is the only $(-1)$-curve and hence is stable under $\sigma$. Since $\bar{F}_{i}$ is a tip of $\tilde{F}_{i}$ and since $\tilde{S}$ is stable under $\sigma$, we can see that there exists a component (say, $G_{i}$ ) of $\tilde{F}_{i}$ that meets at least three other components of $\tilde{F}_{i}$ and that is pointwise fixed by $\sigma$. Let $z_{1}, z_{2}$ be suitable local coordinates at a general point $p_{i}$ of $G_{i}$ such that $G_{i}$ is $\left\{z_{1}=0\right\}$ and $\psi$ is given by $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \rightarrow z_{1}^{m_{i}}$. The action of $\sigma$ on the base $B$ is trivial. Hence we can diagonalize the action of $\sigma$ at $p_{i}$ as $\sigma\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left(\zeta z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$, where $\zeta^{m_{i}}=1$. It follows that $\sigma^{m_{i}}$ is trivial in a neighborhood of $p_{i}$ and thus trivial everywhere on $X$. If $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}$ are pairwise coprime then $\sigma$ is the identity. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.2.

## Further Results

Our next result deals with $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-fibrations on (affine) quasi-homogeneous surfaces.
Theorem 4.6. Let $X$ be a normal quasi-homogeneous surface with vertex $v$. Suppose there exist at least three orbits with nontrivial isotropy subgroups. Then any curve $C$ in $X^{0}$ that is isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ is one of the orbits of the good $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ action on $X$.

Proof. Let the $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-action be denoted by $\sigma_{\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}^{*}$. For a general $\lambda$, the translate $\sigma_{\lambda}(C)$ meets a general orbit transversally if $C$ is not an orbit. There exists a
normal projective compactification $V$ of $X$ such that the $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-action extends to $V$ and $V-X$ contains an irreducible curve $B$ that is pointwise fixed by this action. There is a natural map $X^{0} \rightarrow B$ whose fibers are the orbits.

Suppose that $C$ is not an orbit. Then $C$ dominates $B$ and so $B$ is a rational curve. Now $C$ and $\sigma_{\lambda}(C)$ define a pencil of rational curves on $V$. There are at most two base points for this pencil that are contained in $B \cup\{\nu\}$. Resolving the base locus yields a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibration on a blow-up of $V$ whose restriction to $X^{0}$ is a $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-fibration $\pi: X^{0} \rightarrow \Delta$. Every fiber of $\pi$ contains a reduced irreducible component. The only possible member of the pencil that does not intersect $X^{0}$ is $B$. Hence $\Delta \cong$ $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ or $\mathbf{P}^{1}$.

By Lemma 2.4, we have an exact sequence

$$
\pi_{1}\left(\mathbf{C}^{*}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(X^{0}\right) \rightarrow(1) .
$$

This implies that $\pi_{1}\left(X^{0}\right)$ is cyclic. Since $\sigma_{\lambda}$ has at least three orbits with nontrivial isotropy subgroups, the map $X^{0} \rightarrow B$ has at least three multiple fibers. By the argument in the proof of Lemma 2.5 and using Lemma 2.4, we can construct a noncyclic étale finite covering of $X^{0}$. This is a contradiction, proving that $C$ is an orbit of $\sigma_{\lambda}$.

An easy consequence of Theorem 4.6 is the following result.
Theorem 4.7. With $X$ as in Theorem 4.6, there is a short exact sequence

$$
(1) \rightarrow G_{m} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow(1),
$$

where $\Gamma$ is a finite group.
Our next result is similar in spirit to Theorem 4.6.
Theorem 4.8. Let $(X, v)$ be a quasi-homogeneous surface with the corresponding quotient map $\psi: X^{0} \rightarrow B$. If $\psi$ either has at least four multiple fibers or has three multiple fibers whose multiplicities do not form a Platonic triplet, then the image of any nonconstant morphism $f: \mathbf{C}^{*} \rightarrow X^{0}$ is a fiber of $\psi$.

Proof. We will give only a brief sketch of the proof, since most of the arguments have already been made. Suppose that the result is false. Then $B \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$ because $B$ is rational. Let $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ be the points in $B$ corresponding to the orbits with nontrivial isotropies. By Lemma 2.4, we can construct a Galois ramified covering $\Delta \rightarrow B$ that is correctly ramified over the points $P_{i}$. Then $\Delta$ is nonrational. The normalization $Y^{\prime}$ of the fiber product $\overline{X^{0} \times_{B} \Delta}$ is an étale finite covering of $X^{0}$, and there is a $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-action on $Y^{\prime}$ such that the map $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{0}$ is equivariant. Now $\pi_{1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(X^{0}\right)$ has finite index. From this we see that there is a suitable morphism $\mathbf{C}^{*} \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ whose image is not contained in a fiber of the quotient map $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\Delta$. This is a contradiction, since $\Delta$ is nonrational.

Theorem 4.8 has the following consequence.
Theorem 4.9. For $X$ as in Theorem 4.8, any self-map $X \rightarrow X$ permutes the orbits of the good $C^{*}$-action.

## 5. Examples

1. Let $W=\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ and let $G_{1}, G_{2}$ be two fibers of one of the natural $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibrations on $W$. Let $S$ be a cross-section of this fibration. By blowing up points of $G_{1}$ suitably we obtain a surface $V$ such that the inverse image of $G_{1}$ in $V$ is the linear chain $D_{1}+D_{2}+D_{3}+D_{4}+D_{5}$, where $D_{1}, D_{5}$ are $(-1)$-curves, $D_{2}, D_{3}, D_{4}$ are (-2)-curves, the proper transforms $S^{\prime}, G_{2}^{\prime}$ of $S, G_{2}$ on $V$ are (0)-curves, and $S^{\prime}$ meets $D_{3}$. It is easy to see that $X:=V-\left(D_{2}+D_{3}+D_{4}+S^{\prime}+G_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is an affine surface. The curve $B:=D_{2}+D_{3}+D_{4}+S^{\prime}+G_{2}^{\prime}$ is the divisor at infinity for $X$. By Lemma 2.5, $\pi_{1, \infty}(X)$ is finite cyclic (of order 4). We claim that $\operatorname{ML}(X) \neq \mathbf{C}$, for if $\operatorname{ML}(X)=\mathbf{C}$ then (by Theorem 2.9) $X$ has a minimal normal compactification $Z$ such that $D:=Z-X$ is a linear chain of rational curves. But then $Z$ is obtained from $V$ by blow-ups and blow-downs of $(-1)$-curves with points in $B$ and hence $D$ is the proper transform of $B$. However, we can see that this is not possible and so $\operatorname{ML}(X) \neq \mathbf{C}$.
2. As an application of Theorem 3.1, we will prove the following result related to the Jacobian problem.

Proposition. Let $\varphi: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ be an étale endomorphism of the affine plane, where $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{2}$. Let $\tilde{X}_{2}$ be the normalization of $X_{2}$ in the function field of $X_{1}$. Then $X_{1}$ is a Zariski open subset of $\tilde{X}_{2}$ and $\operatorname{ML}\left(\tilde{X}_{2}\right) \neq \mathbf{C}$, provided there are at least three singular points on $\tilde{X}_{2}$.

Proof. It is known by $[16 ; 17]$ that $X_{1}$ is a Zariski open set of $\tilde{X}_{2}$, that $\tilde{X}_{2}$ is a $\log$ affine surface with at most cyclic quotient singularities, and that $\tilde{X}_{2}-X_{1}$ is a disjoint union of irreducible components isomorphic to the affine line. Note that any $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration on $X_{1}$ extends to an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: \tilde{X}_{2} \rightarrow B$ for $B \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$ or $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ and that the restriction $\left.\rho\right|_{X_{1}}$ consists only of reduced irreducible fibers because $X_{1} \cong$ $\mathbb{A}^{2}$. Let $V$ be a minimal normal compactification of $\tilde{X}_{2}$ such that $\rho$ extends to a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibration $p: \tilde{X}_{2} \rightarrow \bar{B}$, with a cross-section $S$ contained in the boundary $D$ at infinity. Suppose that $\tilde{X}_{2} \neq X_{1}$. Write $\tilde{X}_{2}-X_{1}=\coprod_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}$, where the $C_{i}$ are irreducible. We argue separately in the two cases $B \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$ and $B \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$.

First assume that $B \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$. Then the closure $\bar{C}_{i}$ of $C_{i}$ in $V$ is an irreducible component of a fiber $p^{-1}(P)$, where $P \in B$. Let $A_{i}:=\left(\left.\rho\right|_{X_{1}}\right)^{-1}(P)$ and let $\bar{A}_{i}$ be its closure on $V$. Since $\bar{A}_{i}$ has multiplicity 1 in the fiber $p^{-1}(P)$, it follows that $p^{-1}(P)$ contains components other than $\bar{A}_{i}$ and $\bar{C}_{i}$ (otherwise, $\bar{A}_{i}$ and $\bar{C}_{i}$ must meet on the cross-section $S$, which is impossible). Let $D_{i}$ be the component of $p^{-1}(P)$ that meets the cross-section $S$. Then $D_{i} \neq \bar{A}_{i}, \bar{C}_{i}$, for otherwise $\bar{A}_{i}$ or $\bar{C}_{i}$ has more than one puncture. Suppose that $\#\left\{\rho\left(C_{i}\right) ; 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \geq 2$. Then the divisor $D$ is not a linear chain because the fiber $F_{\infty}$ of $p^{-1}(P)$ lying over the point $P_{\infty}$ at infinity of $B$ is contained in the boundary divisor $D$. Suppose that $\#\left\{\rho\left(C_{i}\right) ; 1 \leq\right.$ $i \leq n\}=1$. Namely, we assume that all the components $C_{i}$ are contained in one and the same fiber $p^{-1}(P)$. If there are two or more singular points then they lie on some of the $C_{i}$ and the $\bar{C}_{i}$ are connected to the component $D_{i}$, which meets $S$. If there is a singular point on $C_{i}$ then the multiplicity of $\bar{C}_{i}$ in the fiber $p^{-1}(P)$ is
at least 2 . Hence there exists a nonempty subtree in $D$ that connects $\bar{C}_{i}$ and $D_{i}$. If there are two or more singular points, then the divisor $D$ is not a linear chain.

Next assume that $B \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$. Then some component, say $C_{j}$, is contained in the fiber $F_{\infty}=p^{-1}\left(P_{\infty}\right)$. If $F_{\infty}$ is reducible, it must be that $F_{\infty}$ contains a component of $D$. We then argue as in the case $B \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$ to conclude that the existence of two or more singular points on $\tilde{X}_{2}$ implies that $D$ is not a linear chain. So, assume that $F_{\infty}=C_{j}$ is irreducible and hence $\left(C_{j}^{2}\right)=0$. Then the existence of three or more singular points on $\tilde{X}_{2}$ implies that $D$ is not a linear chain. Hence $\operatorname{ML}\left(\tilde{X}_{2}\right) \neq \mathbf{C}$ by Theorem 3.1.
3. Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\pi: X \rightarrow B$, where $B \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$. Then $X$ has a $G_{a}$-action such that every fiber of $\pi$ is an orbit for this action. We assume that $\pi$ has at least two multiple fibers. If $Y$ is obtained from $X$ by removing a finite number of regular fibers, then clearly $\operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ contains $G_{a}$. Meanwhile, $X$ has no other $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations whose general fibers are transverse to $\pi$ (by Theorem 4.1). Similar examples can be given when base of the fibration is a curve of positive genus.
4. Let $V$ be a Hirzebruch surface $\Sigma_{n}$ with $n \gg 0$. Choose a cross-section $S$ of the $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-bundle $\pi$ on $\Sigma_{n}$ with $S^{2}=n$. By blowing up two points of $S$ and its infinitely near points successively, we can create two singular fibers $\tilde{G}_{1}, \tilde{G}_{2}$ on the blow-up $\tilde{V}$ of $V$ such that $C_{i}^{2}=D_{i}^{2}=-2$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3, C_{4}^{2}=D_{4}^{2}=-1$, $\left(C_{3} \cdot C_{4}\right)=\left(D_{3} \cdot D_{4}\right)=1$, and $C_{1}, D_{1}$ are the proper transforms of the fibers of $V$. The surface $X:=\tilde{V}-\left(S^{\prime} \cup C_{1} \cup C_{2} \cup C_{3} \cup D_{1} \cup D_{2} \cup D_{3}\right)$ is affine, where $S^{\prime}$ is the proper transform of $S$ in $\tilde{V}$. The divisor at infinity for $X$ is a linear chain of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ s. Hence $X$ admits two nonconjugate actions of the additive group $G_{a}$. Observe that there is an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration on $X$ with exactly two multiple fibers (of multiplicity 2 each) over $\mathbf{P}^{1}$. Therefore, the hypothesis of Theorem 4.2 -that $\pi$ has at least three multiple fibers-is necessary to conclude the assertion.
5. We calculate the Makar-Limanov invariant of $X:=\mathbf{P}^{2}-C$, where $C$ is a curve defined by $X_{0} X_{1}^{m-1}=X_{2}^{m}$ with $m>2$. We will show that $X$ has a unique $G_{a}$-action up to conjugacy that is associated to the pencil generated by $C$ and $m L$, where $L$ is the line $X_{1}=0$.

Using blow-ups to resolve the base locus of this pencil yields an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration on $X$ with base $\mathbb{A}^{1}$. Hence $X$ has a nontrivial $G_{a}$-action. By suitable further blowdowns we can find a minimal normal compactification $V$ of $X$ such that $D:=$ $V-X$ is a nonlinear tree of rational curves.

We can easily show that $X$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane and that the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration has a unique multiple fiber of multiplicity $m$. By Theorem $3.1, \operatorname{ML}(X) \neq \mathbf{C}$; in fact, $\operatorname{ML}(X)=\mathbf{C}[x]$, which is a polynomial ring in one variable. The surface $X$ also has a $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-action given by $\sigma_{\lambda}\left(\left[X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}\right]\right)=\left[X_{0}, \lambda^{m} X_{1}, \lambda^{m-1} X_{2}\right]$. This action of $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ on $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ keeps $C$ stable and hence induces an action on $X$. The action of $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ on $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ has only finitely many fixed points. We claim that a general orbit of the $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-action on $X$ is transverse to the fibers of the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration just described; otherwise, the fibers of the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration will be stable under the $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-action. Then on every fiber there will be at least one fixed point for the $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-action. This is not possible.
6. The surface $X$ of paragraph 5 is one of the affine pseudo-planes, whichtogether with their universal coverings-have various interesting properties (cf. [13]). Using a different example, we shall offer just one remark about the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ fibrations on such surfaces. Let $Y$ be a smooth affine surface $x^{r} y=z^{d}-1$, where $r \geq 2$ and $d \geq 2$. The quotient surface $X$ of $Y$ under a $(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z})$-action defined by $\zeta \cdot(x, y, z)=\left(\zeta x, \zeta^{-r} y, \zeta z\right)$ is an affine pseudo-plane, where $\zeta$ is a primitive $d$ th root of unity. In fact, the quotient morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ is a universal covering map of $X$.

It is known that any $G_{a}$-action on $X$ lifts up to a $G_{a}$-action on $Y$ that commutes with the $(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z})$-action and vice versa (cf. [12]). Now $(x, y, z) \mapsto x$ gives rise to an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration on $Y$ over the base $\mathbb{A}^{1}$, so $Y$ has a nontrivial $G_{a}$-action that commutes with the $(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z})$-action. But one can show that this is a unique $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ fibration on $Y$ over an affine base curve. Meanwhile, there are at least $2 d$ distinct $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations on $Y$ over $\mathbf{P}^{1}$. In fact, a mapping $(x, y, z) \in X \mapsto\left[x^{r}: z-\zeta^{i}\right]$ (or $\left[y: z-\zeta^{i}\right]$ ) yields an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration over $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ for $0 \leq i<d$. This example shows that $\operatorname{ML}(Y) \neq \mathbf{C}$ while $Y$ has at least two independent $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations.
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