

# SIMPLY CONNECTED SURGERY OVER A RING

Gerald A. Anderson

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [1] it is shown that the surgery obstructions for a simply connected problem are given by the signature, Kervaire invariant or invariants  $\beta_p$  lying in a certain 2-torsion group determined in [2]. The first two listed have been treated extensively in the literature. It is the purpose of this paper to compute the  $\beta_p$ -invariants of a normal map  $f: M \rightarrow X$  in terms of  $M, X$  and the degree of  $f$  (section 3). We also deduce a product formula. Applications are given to Poincare complexes, homology spheres, singular manifolds and involutions.

## 2. SURGERY OBSTRUCTION GROUPS

Let  $R$  be a principal ideal domain. A map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between path-connected, simply connected spaces is an  $R$ -homotopy equivalence if

$$f_{\#} \otimes 1: \pi_i(X) \otimes R \cong \pi_i(Y) \otimes R \quad \text{for all } i.$$

Suppose  $\pi_1 X = 0$  and  $(X, \partial X)$  satisfies Poincare duality with coefficients in  $R$ , given by cap product with  $[X, \partial X] \in H_n(X, \partial X)$ . Let  $f: (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (X, \partial X)$  be a map so that

- (i)  $(M, \partial M)$  is a compact  $n$ -manifold,
- (ii)  $f_* [M, \partial M]$  is a unit in  $H_n(X, \partial X; R) \cong R$ ,
- (iii) there is a bundle  $\xi$  over  $X$  and a bundle map  $b: \nu_M \rightarrow \xi$  covering  $f$ ,  
and
- (iv)  $f|_{\partial M}$  is an  $R$ -homotopy equivalence.

In [1] we construct a cobordism group  $L_n(1; R)$  so that if  $n \geq 5$ ,  $f$  is normally cobordant to an  $R$ -homotopy equivalence if and only if an obstruction in  $L_n(1; R)$  vanishes. Let  $K$  be the set of primes  $p$  so that  $R \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p = 0$ ; then  $L_n(1; R) \cong L_n(\mathbb{Z}_K)$  where  $\mathbb{Z}_K = \mathbb{Z}[1/p: p \in K]$ , and  $L_n(\mathbb{Z}_K)$  is  $K$ -theoretic group of [10]. The following is proved in [1]:

- THEOREM 2.1.      (i)  $L_{2n+1}(\mathbb{Z}_K) = 0$   
                         (ii)  $L_{4n+2}(\mathbb{Z}_K) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_K$   
                         (iii)  $L_{4n}(\mathbb{Z}_K) \cong W(\mathbb{Z}_K)$ .

---

Received October 6, 1976. Revision received July 1, 1978.  
Partially supported by an NSF grant.

Michigan Math. J. 26 (1979).

Here  $W(\mathbb{Z}_K)$  denotes the Witt-Wall ring of non-singular even quadratic forms over  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ , modulo kernels, and is computed in [2]:

$$W(\mathbb{Z}_K) \subseteq a_K \mathbb{Z} \oplus \bigoplus_{p \in K} W(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

where

$$a_K = \begin{cases} 1 & K \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 2 & K \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, K \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 4 & K \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}, K \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}, K \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 8 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We write  $K \equiv a \pmod{b}$  if  $p \equiv a \pmod{b}$  for some  $p \in K$  and

$$W(\mathbb{F}_p) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2 & p = 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 & p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \mathbb{Z}/4 & p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

The map  $W(\mathbb{Z}_K) \rightarrow a_K \mathbb{Z}$  is given by the signature, and  $\beta_p: W(\mathbb{Z}_K) \rightarrow W(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is defined as follows: Let  $q$  be a non-singular quadratic form over  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ . Diagonalize  $q$  (over  $\mathbb{R}$ ) as  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$ , where  $a_i = b_i/c_i^2$ ,  $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then for  $p \neq 2$ , if  $n_i$  is the greatest power of  $p$  that divides  $b_i$ , we let  $\beta_p(q) \in W(\mathbb{F}_p)$  be the form  $\bigoplus_{n_i \text{ odd}} \langle p^{-n_i} \cdot b_i \rangle$ .

We also define  $\alpha_p(q) = \beta_p(pq)$  ( $p \neq 2$ ), and

$$\alpha_2(q) = \dim(q) \pmod{2}, \quad \beta_2(q) = m \pmod{2},$$

where  $m$  is the largest power of 2 that divides  $\det(q)$ .

### 3. COMPUTATION OF THE SURGERY OBSTRUCTIONS

Let  $M^{4k}$  be a closed oriented rational Poincare complex and  $A = H^{2k}(M; \mathbb{Q})$ . Then the pairing

$$B(x,y) = \langle x \cup y, [M] \rangle \quad x,y \in A$$

is a non-singular symmetric bilinear form on  $A$ , and thus defines a quadratic form  $q$  on  $A$  by  $q(x) = B(x,x)$ . Define the *Hasse-Minkowski invariants* of  $M$  by

$$\alpha_p(M) = \alpha_p(q) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_p(M) = \beta_p(q).$$

By definition,  $\alpha_p(M) = \beta_p(M) = 0$  if  $\dim(M) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

If  $M$  has non-empty boundary, we can define a bilinear form  $B'$  on

$$A' = H^{2k}(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Q})$$

by the composition

$$\begin{aligned} H^{2k}(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Q}) &\xrightarrow{i^*} H^{2k}(M; \mathbb{Q}) = \text{Hom}(H_{2k}(M; \mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Q}) \\ &= \text{Hom}(H^{2k}(M; \partial M; \mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Q}). \end{aligned}$$

This form induces a non-singular quadratic form  $q$  on  $A = A'/\ker(i^*)$ . Define  $\alpha_p(M) = \alpha_p(q)$ ,  $\beta_p(M) = \beta_p(q)$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *If  $M = \partial N$ , then  $\alpha_p(M) = \beta_p(M) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the following diagram (with rational coefficients throughout):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^{2k}(N) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H^{2k}(M) & \rightarrow & H^{2k+1}(N, M) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ H_{2k+1}(N, M) & \rightarrow & H_{2k}(M) & \rightarrow & H_{2k}(N), \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are given by Poincaré duality. Then  $\text{Im}(j^*) \cong \ker(j^*)$  by exactness and  $\text{Im}(j^*) \cong H_{2k}(M)/\ker(j^*)$  under the isomorphism

$$H^{2k}(M) \rightarrow H_{2k}(M).$$

Thus  $\dim(\text{Im}(j^*)) = (1/2) \dim(H_{2k}(M))$ , and for  $x = j^*(y) \in \text{Im}(j^*)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x \cup x, [M] \rangle &= \langle j^*y \cup j^*y, [M] \rangle \\ &= \langle y \cup y, j_*[M] \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.3 of [10], the quadratic form  $q$  corresponding to  $M$  is a kernel, and so is a kernel over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Thus by Sylvester's Theorem, [6],

$$\alpha_p(M) = \beta_p(M) = 0 \quad \text{for } p \neq 2.$$

For  $p = 2$ , we have  $\alpha_2(M) = \dim(H^{2k}(M)) \bmod(2) = 0$ , and  $\beta_2(M) = 0$  since  $q$  is a kernel.

LEMMA 3.2.  $\beta_p(M \times N) = \beta_p(M)\alpha_p(N) + \beta_p(N)\alpha_p(M)$

$$\alpha_p(M \times N) = \begin{cases} \alpha_2(M)\alpha_2(N) & p = 2 \\ \alpha_p(M)\alpha_p(N) + \beta_p(M)\beta_p(N) & p \neq 2. \end{cases}$$

The proof follows from Proposition 3.1 of [2] and the proof of the product formula for the signature, [8].

THEOREM 3.3. *Let  $X$  be an oriented Poincaré complex. Then*

$$\alpha_p(X) = \text{Sign}(X) \cdot 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_p(X) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Notice that the quadratic form  $q$  associated to  $X$  is unimodular over the integers, so  $\beta_p(X) = 0$  by Lemma 2.2 of [2]. Define

$$q' = q \oplus |\text{Sign}(X)|\langle \pm 1 \rangle,$$

where we take  $+$  or  $-$  according to whether or not  $\text{Sign}(X) < 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_p(q') &= \beta_p(q) + |\text{Sign}(X)| \beta_p(\langle \pm 1 \rangle) = \beta_p(q) = 0 \\ \text{and } \sigma(q') &= \sigma(q) - \text{Sign}(X) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

so  $q'$  is a kernel over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Thus

$$0 = \alpha_p(q') = \alpha_p(X) - \text{Sign}(X)\langle 1 \rangle.$$

More generally, if  $X$  is a Poincare complex over  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ , then  $\beta_p(X) = 0$  for  $p \notin K$ . However,  $\alpha_p(X)$  need not equal  $\text{Sign}(X) \cdot 1$ .

For  $X$  a smooth manifold, Theorem 3.3 follows independently of the Hasse-Minkowski principal. First of all, dimensional considerations show that  $\alpha_p$  and  $\beta_p$  vanish on tor  $(\Omega_*^{SO})$ . Let  $\Omega_*^{CP}$  be the subring of  $\Omega_*^{SO}$  generated by  $\mathbb{C}P^{2n}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . By [8],  $\Omega_*^{CP} \rightarrow \Omega_*^{SO}$  has cokernel an odd torsion group, and thus  $\alpha_p$  and  $\beta_p$  are determined by their values on complex projective spaces.

In contrast to this we have the following result:

**THEOREM 3.4.** (1) *If  $p$  is an odd prime, then there exist smooth manifolds  $(M, \partial M)$  with  $\alpha_p(M) \neq \text{Sign}(M) \cdot 1$  and  $\beta_p(M) \neq 0$ .*

(2) *Let  $p$  be a set of primes and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x_p \in W(\mathbb{F}_p)$  so that  $(n, x_p)_{p \in P}$  is in  $W(\mathbb{Z}_K)$ . Then there exists a closed, oriented,  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ -homology manifold  $M^{4k}$ ,  $k > 0$ , so that  $\text{Sign}(M) = n$  and  $\beta_p(M) = x_p$ .*

*Proof.* (2) follows immediately from the plumbing theorem, coning over the boundary; see [1], [4] or [10].

(1) also follows from plumbing using the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2k \end{pmatrix}$$

if  $p = 4k - 1$ , and the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2k \end{pmatrix}$$

if  $p = 4k + 1$ . In the first case,  $\alpha_p = \langle 2 \rangle$ ,  $\text{Sign} = 2$ ,  $\beta_p = \langle 2 \rangle$  (and so  $\alpha_p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4}$ ) and in the second case,  $\alpha_p = \langle 2 \rangle$ ,  $\text{Sign} = 0$ ,  $\beta_p = \langle 2 \rangle$ .

*Remarks.* (1)  $\alpha_2(M) \equiv \text{Sign}(M) \pmod{2}$  is always true.

(2) The form  $\langle 2 \rangle$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  has  $\beta_2 \neq 0$ , and so there exists a smooth manifold with boundary,  $(M, \partial M)$ , so that  $\beta_2(M) \neq 0$ .

(3) By coning over the boundary, the manifolds in (1) allow us to construct closed oriented  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ -homology manifolds  $M$ , with  $\alpha_p(M) \neq \text{Sign}(M) \cdot 1$ .

**THEOREM 3.5.** (*Novikov Additivity*). *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be oriented manifolds and  $f: \partial M \rightarrow \partial N$  an orientation reversing homeomorphism. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_p(M \cup_f N) &= \alpha_p(M) + \alpha_p(N) \\ \beta_p(M \cup_f N) &= \beta_p(M) + \beta_p(N).\end{aligned}$$

The proof is similar to the signature case; see [3]. This also generalizes to partial unions as in [9].

Let  $(M, \partial M)$  be an oriented manifold and  $(X, \partial X)$  a simply connected Poincaré pair over  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ , both of dimension  $4k \geq 8$ . Suppose  $f: (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (X, \partial X)$  is a normal map of degree 1, so that  $f|_{\partial M}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ -homotopy equivalence. Do surgery on  $M$ , relative to  $\partial M$ , so that  $f_*: H_i(M) \rightarrow H_i(X)$  is an isomorphism for  $i < 2k$ , and

$$A = \ker(f_*: H_{2k}(M; \mathbb{Z}_K) \rightarrow H_{2k}(X; \mathbb{Z}_K))$$

is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ -module. Self-intersections of  $2k$ -spheres in  $M$  that are null-homotopic in  $X$  define a non-singular even quadratic form  $q$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_p(f) &= \alpha_p(q) \\ \beta_p(f) &= \beta_p(q) \\ \text{Sign}(f) &= \sigma(q).\end{aligned}$$

By 2.1,  $\text{Sign}(f)$  and  $\beta_p(f)$ ,  $p \in K$ , are the surgery obstructions for  $f$ .

**THEOREM 3.6.**

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_p(f) &= \alpha_p(M) - \alpha_p(X) \\ \beta_p(f) &= \beta_p(M) - \beta_p(X) \\ \text{Sign}(f) &= \text{Sign}(M) - \text{Sign}(X).\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since  $\alpha_p$ ,  $\beta_p$  and  $\text{Sign}$  are cobordism invariants, it follows from [4], Theorem V.1.3, that  $\alpha_p(f) = \alpha_p(q^*)$ ,  $\beta_p(f) = \beta_p(q^*)$  and  $\text{Sign}(f) = \text{Sign}(q^*)$ , where  $q^*$  is defined by the pairing

$$B^*: A^* \times A^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}, \quad B^*(x, y) = \langle x \cup y, [M] \rangle$$

and  $A^* = \text{coker}(f^*: H^{2k}(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{2k}(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Q}))$ .

We have  $H^{2k}(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Q}) = A^* \oplus f^* H^{2k}(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Q})$ , and furthermore, this is a splitting of the bilinear form  $B$  on  $H^{2k}(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Q})$ , since for  $x \in A^*$ ,  $y \in H^{2k}(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Q})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x \cup f^*y, [M] \rangle &= \langle f^*y \cap (x \cap [M]), [M] \rangle = y \cap f_*(x \cap [M]) \\ &= y \cap (f_*x \cap [X]) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

So  $\alpha_p(M) = \alpha_p(q^*) + \alpha_p(X)$ , etc., since the form on  $f^* H^{2k}(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Q})$  is the same as the form on  $H^{2k}(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Q})$ .

Note that this result applies only to degree 1 maps. For arbitrary degree, we use the following notation: If  $p$  is a prime number and  $n$  is an integer, let  $d_p(n)$  be the largest integer  $m \geq 0$  so that  $p^m$  divides  $n$ ; let  $e_p(n) = p^{-d_p(n)}n$ .

**COROLLARY 3.7.** *Let  $f: (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (X, \partial X)$  be a degree  $n$  normal map as above. Then*

$$\text{Sign}(f) = \text{Sign}(M) \pm \text{Sign}(X) \quad (+ \text{ if } n < 0, - \text{ if } n > 0)$$

$$\alpha_2(f) = \alpha_2(M) + \alpha_2(X)$$

$$\beta_2(f) = \beta_2(M) + d_2(n)\alpha_2(X) + \beta_2(X)$$

$$\alpha_p(f) = \begin{cases} \alpha_p(M) - \langle e_p(n) \rangle \alpha_p(X) & \text{if } d_p(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, p \neq 2 \\ \alpha_p(M) - \langle e_p(n) \rangle \beta_p(X) & \text{if } d_p(n) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, p \neq 2 \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_p(f) = \begin{cases} \beta_p(M) - \langle e_p(n) \rangle \beta_p(X) & \text{if } d_p(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, p \neq 2 \\ \beta_p(M) - \langle e_p(n) \rangle \alpha_p(X) & \text{if } d_p(n) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, p \neq 2. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $(Y, \partial Y)$  be the Poincare pair over  $\mathbb{Z}_K[1/n]$  with underlying space  $(X, \partial X)$  and fundamental class  $n[X, \partial X]$ . Then  $f$  induces a degree 1 map  $f': (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (Y, \partial Y)$  and  $\alpha_p(f) = \alpha_p(f')$ , etc. If  $q, q'$  are the quadratic forms corresponding to  $X, Y$ , then  $nq(x) = q'(x)$ . Thus if  $q$  has a diagonalization  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$ ,  $q'$  has a diagonalization  $\langle na_1, \dots, na_k \rangle$ . The result now follows from the theorem.

**COROLLARY 3.8.** *Let  $f: M \rightarrow N$  be a degree  $n$  normal map between closed oriented manifolds. Then for  $p \neq 2$*

$$\alpha_p(f) = \begin{cases} \text{Sign}(M)\langle 1 \rangle - \text{Sign}(N)\langle e_p(n) \rangle & \text{if } d_p(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \text{Sign}(M)\langle 1 \rangle & \text{if } d_p(n) \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_p(f) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d_p(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ -\text{Sign}(N)\langle e_p(n) \rangle & \text{if } d_p(n) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

As a typical application, we have

**THEOREM 3.9.** *Let  $f: M \rightarrow N$  be a normal map of degree  $n > 0$  between simply connected closed manifolds of dimension  $4k$ , where  $\text{Sign}(N) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Then  $f$  is normally cobordant to a  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ -homotopy equivalence,  $K = \{p: d_p(n) > 0\}$ , if and only if  $\text{Sign}(M) = \text{Sign}(N)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $4W(\mathbb{F}_p) = 0$ , Corollary 2.8 shows that each  $\beta_p(f) = 0$ . By Corollary 3.7, the surgery obstruction is  $\text{Sign}(f) = \text{Sign}(M) - \text{Sign}(N)$ .

*Remarks.* (1) If no  $p \in K$  is  $3 \pmod{4}$ , or  $2\langle e_p(n) \rangle = 0$ , for all  $p$ , then we can relax the hypothesis to  $\text{Sign}(N) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ .

(2) If  $n$  is a square, then we need no condition on the signature.

**THEOREM 3.10.** *Let  $f$  be as in 3.7,  $N$  a manifold, and  $f': M \# N \rightarrow X$  induced from  $f$ . Then  $\beta_p(f') = \beta_p(f) + \beta_p(N)$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.5,  $\beta_p(M \# N) = \beta_p(M) + \beta_p(N)$ . Thus by Corollary 3.7, the result holds.

## 4. PRODUCT FORMULAS

In this section we prove the product formulas for  $\alpha_p$  and  $\beta_p$ ; *i.e.*, we determine the pairing  $L_*(1; \mathbb{Z}_K) \times L_*(1; \mathbb{Z}_K) \rightarrow L_{4*}(1; \mathbb{Z}_K)$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $f: (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (X, \partial X)$ ,  $g: (N, \partial N) \rightarrow (Y, \partial Y)$  be degree 1 normal maps as above with  $\dim(M \times N) = 4k$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2(f \times g) &= \alpha_2(f) \alpha_2(g) + \alpha_2(f) \alpha_2(Y) + \alpha_2(g) \alpha_2(X) \\ \alpha_p(f \times g) &= \alpha_p(f) \alpha_p(g) + \beta_p(f) \beta_p(g) + \alpha_p(f) \alpha_p(Y) + \beta_p(f) \beta_p(Y) \\ &\quad + \alpha_p(g) \alpha_p(X) + \beta_p(g) \beta_p(X) \quad \text{if } p \neq 2 \\ \beta_p(f \times g) &= \beta_p(f) \alpha_p(g) + \beta_p(g) \alpha_p(f) + \beta_p(f) \alpha_p(Y) + \beta_p(Y) \alpha_p(f) \\ &\quad + \beta_p(g) \alpha_p(X) + \beta_p(X) \alpha_p(g). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We prove the second assertion only; the others are similar. First assume the dimensions of  $M$  and  $N$  are not 0 mod (4). Then both sides of the equation are 0. To see this, it suffices to show the form associated to  $M \times N$  is a kernel.

If  $\dim(M) = 4\ell + 1$ ,  $\dim(N) = 4h - 1$ ,  $k = \ell + h$ , then, assuming  $\partial M = \partial N = \emptyset$  for clarity,

$$H^{2k}(M \times N; \mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{i=2\ell+1}^k H^i(M; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^{2k-i}(N; \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=2h+1}^k H^{2k-j}(M; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^j(N; \mathbb{Q})$$

$$\text{and } q_{M \times N}(x \otimes y) = q_M(x) q_N(y) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in H^i(M; \mathbb{Q}), i \geq 2\ell + 1.$$

Thus the form  $q_{M \times N}$  on  $H^{2k}(M \times N; \mathbb{Q})$  is a kernel.

If  $\dim(M) = 4\ell + 2$ ,  $\dim(N) = 4h - 2$ , then  $B_{q_M}$  is skew-symmetric on  $H^{2\ell+1}(M; \mathbb{Q})$  and so we find a symplectic basis,  $x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_r$ , that is, a basis with  $B_{q_M}(x_i, x_j) = B_{q_M}(y_i, y_j) = 0$ , and  $B_{q_M}(x_i, x_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . Let  $S$  be the subspace spanned by  $x_1, \dots, x_r$ . Then  $q_{M \times N}$  is 0 on  $S \otimes H^{2h-1}(N; \mathbb{Q})$ , and  $H^{2\ell+1}(M; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^{2h-1}(N; \mathbb{Q})$  is a kernel. But

$$H^{2k}(M \times N; \mathbb{Q}) = H^{2\ell+1}(M; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^{2h-1}(N; \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i \neq 2\ell+1} H^i(M; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^{2k-i}(N; \mathbb{Q})$$

and the argument above shows the second summand is a kernel.

Now, if  $\dim(M), \dim(N) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_p(f \times g) &= \alpha_p(M \times N) - \alpha_p(X \times Y) \quad \text{by Theorem 3.6} \\ &= \alpha_p(M) \alpha_p(N) + \beta_p(M) \beta_p(N) - (\alpha_p(X) \alpha_p(Y) + \beta_p(X) \beta_p(Y)) \\ &= (\alpha_p(f) + \alpha_p(X))(\alpha_p(g) + \alpha_p(Y)) + (\beta_p(f) + \beta_p(X))(\beta_p(g) \\ &\quad + \beta_p(Y)) - (\alpha_p(X) \alpha_p(Y) + \beta_p(X) \beta_p(Y)) \\ &= \alpha_p(f) \alpha_p(g) + \beta_p(f) \beta_p(g) + \alpha_p(f) \alpha_p(Y) + \beta_p(f) \beta_p(Y) \\ &\quad + \alpha_p(g) \alpha_p(X) + \beta_p(g) \beta_p(X). \end{aligned}$$

A similar result holds for arbitrary degree.

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *Let  $f: (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (X, \partial X)$  be a degree  $n$  normal map and  $(N, \partial N)$  a manifold. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2(f \times 1_N) &= \alpha_2(f) \alpha_2(N) \\ \alpha_p(f \times 1_N) &= \alpha_p(f) \alpha_p(N) + \beta_p(f) \beta_p(N) \quad \text{if } p \neq 2 \\ \beta_p(f \times 1_N) &= \beta_p(f) \alpha_p(N) + \beta_p(N) \alpha_p(f). \end{aligned}$$

**COROLLARY 4.3.** *If  $N$  is closed, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_p(f \times 1_N) &= \alpha_p(f) \alpha_p(N) \\ \beta_p(f \times 1_N) &= \beta_p(f) \alpha_p(N). \end{aligned}$$

### 5. APPLICATIONS

In this section we give a number of applications of the previous sections.

#### a. Structures on Poincare Complexes.

Let  $(X, \partial X)$  be a Poincare pair over  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  of dimension  $n \geq 5$ ,  $\partial X \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\pi_1(X) = 0$ ,  $\partial X$  a manifold. Suppose the Spivak normal fibration of  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ -fiber homotopy equivalent to a topological bundle,  $\text{rel } \partial X$ .

**THEOREM 5.1.**  *$(X, \partial X)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ -homotopy equivalent to a compact  $n$ -manifold pair.*

If  $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , the condition  $\partial X \neq \emptyset$  can be dropped. This also holds for  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , provided we replace "manifold" with " $\mathbb{Z}_K$ -homology manifold."

*Proof of Theorem 5.1.* Let  $f: (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (X, \partial X)$  be a normal map as in Section 2. Assume first that  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Let  $x$  be the surgery obstruction of  $f$  and let  $(N, \partial N)$  be an  $n$ -manifold pair with  $\beta_p(N) = -\beta_p(x)$ ,  $\text{Sign}(N) = -\text{Sign}(x)$ . By Theorem 3.10, the result follows.

If  $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , the usual argument shows that stronger result above. The  $\partial X = \emptyset$  case follows from Theorem 3.4 (2).

#### b. Homology Spheres and Manifolds.

Let  $\psi_n^K$  denote the group (under connected sum) of H-cobordism classes, over  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ , of PL  $n$ -manifolds with the  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ -homology of  $S^n$ . Let

$$W(\mathbb{Z}_K, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{coker}(W(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow W(\mathbb{Z}_K)).$$

**THEOREM 5.2.** *For  $n > 1$ , there is an injection  $W(\mathbb{Z}_K, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \psi_{4n-1}^K$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $\phi: W(\mathbb{Z}_K) \rightarrow \psi_{4n-1}^K$  sending  $x$  to  $\partial M_x^{4n}$ , where  $M_x^{4n}$  is obtained by plumbing with  $x$ .  $\phi$  is well-defined: Suppose  $M_1, M_2$  are stably parallelizable, with intersection pairing  $x$ . We can then do surgery on  $M_1 \# (-M_2)$  to show  $[\partial M_1] = [\partial M_2]$  in  $\psi_{4n-1}^K$ .  $\phi$  is a homomorphism by Theorem 3.10.

Clearly  $W(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \ker(\phi)$ . Suppose  $\phi(x) = 0$ ; i.e.  $[\partial M_x] = [S^{4n-1}]$ . Let  $W$  be an H-cobordism over  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  between  $\partial M_x$  and  $S^n$ . Then  $M_x \cup W \cup D^n$  is a closed manifold with intersection pairing  $x$ , so that  $x \in W(\mathbb{Z})$ . Hence  $\ker(\phi) = W(\mathbb{Z})$ .

In [5], it is shown that a closed homology manifold of dimension  $n \geq 5$  has the simple homotopy type of a closed  $n$ -manifold. For  $K \neq \phi$ , this is false:

**THEOREM 5.3.** *Let  $K \neq \phi$ . Then there exist closed  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ -homology manifolds  $M^{4k}$  that are not  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ -homotopy equivalent to a closed topological  $4k$ -manifold.*

*Proof.* First assume that there is some prime  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  in  $K$ . Let  $M^{4k}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ -homology manifold with  $\alpha_p(M) = \beta_p(M) = \langle 2 \rangle = \pm 1 \in \mathbb{Z}/4$ . (See the proof of Theorem 3.4.) Suppose  $f: N \rightarrow M$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ -homotopy equivalence of degree  $n$ , where  $N$  is a topological manifold. Then

$$0 = \beta_p(f) = \beta_p(N) - \langle e_p(n) \rangle \beta_p(M) = - \langle e_p(n) \rangle \beta_p(M)$$

by Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.7. This is a contradiction since  $\pm 1$  is not a zero divisor in  $W(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . The same argument holds if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  since  $\langle 2 \rangle$  is the generator of one of the summands of  $W(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , and  $W(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\mathbb{Z}/2]$  as a ring.

The final case to be considered is when  $K = \{2\}$ . Let  $M^{4k}$  be obtained by plumbing via the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $\beta_2(M) = 1$ ,  $\alpha_2(M) = 0$ , and if  $f: N \rightarrow M$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ -homotopy equivalence as above, then

$$0 = \beta_2(f) = \beta_2(N) + d_2(n) \alpha_2(M) + \beta_2(M) = 1.$$

*c. Singular Manifolds*

Let  $M^n, N^n$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/m$ -manifolds with  $\pi_1(N) = 0$ ,  $\pi_1(\partial N) = 0$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , and let  $f: M \rightarrow N$  be a normal map of degree  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_K^+$ . (See [7].)

**THEOREM 5.4.**  *$f$  is normally cobordant to a  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ -homotopy equivalence if and only if an obstruction in*

$$\begin{cases} \text{tor}(W(\mathbb{Z}_K)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/m & n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_K \otimes \mathbb{Z}/m & n \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4} \\ W(\mathbb{Z}_K) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/m & n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

*vanishes.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $M, N$  are obtained from  $M_o, N_o$  by identifying  $m$  isomorphic boundary components. We regard  $f: (M_o, \partial M_o) \rightarrow (N_o, \partial N_o)$ . Let  $\partial M'_o, \partial N'_o$  be corresponding boundary components.

*Case 1.*  $n \equiv \text{mod}(4)$ :

The obstructions to completing surgery on  $f|_{\partial M'_o}: \partial M'_o \rightarrow \partial N'_o$  are

$$\text{Sign}(\partial M'_o) - \text{Sign}(\partial N'_o)$$

and 
$$\begin{cases} \beta_p(\partial M'_o) - \langle e_p(r) \rangle \beta_p(\partial N'_o) & d_p(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \beta_p(\partial M'_o) - \langle e_p(r) \rangle \alpha_p(\partial N'_o) & d_p(r) \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Since  $m \partial M'_0$ ,  $m \partial N'_0$  are boundaries,  $\text{Sign}(\partial M'_0) = \text{Sign}(\partial N'_0) = 0$ , and

$$m \beta_p(\partial M'_0) = m \beta_p(\partial N'_0) = m \alpha_p(\partial N'_0) = 0.$$

Thus there is an obstruction in  $(\text{tor}(W(\mathbb{Z}_K)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/m)$ . If this vanishes, there is no further obstruction for  $f$ .

*Case 2.*  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ :

Do surgery on  $f|_{\partial M'_0}$ . The surgery obstruction of  $f$  relative to the boundary now lies in  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_K$ . The argument of [7] shows that it vanishes if  $m$  is odd.

*Case 3.*  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ : Same as Case 2.

*Case 4.*  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

We may do surgery on  $f|_{\partial M'_0}$  to get a  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ -homotopy equivalence. By Theorems 3.4 and 3.5, we may change the surgery obstruction of  $f$  by any element of  $mW(\mathbb{Z}_K)$ . Thus the obstruction is given as stated,

d. *Involutions.*

Let  $T$  be an involution on a  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ -homotopy sphere  $\Sigma^n$ . We say that  $T$  *desuspends mod*  $(K)$  if there is an invariant embedded  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ -homotopy sphere  $\Sigma_0^{n-1} \subset \Sigma^n$ .

**THEOREM 5.5.** *Let  $n \geq 6$ . Then  $T$  desuspends mod  $(K)$  if and only if an obstruction in*

$$\begin{cases} 0 & n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_K & n \equiv w_T \pmod{4} \\ W(\mathbb{Z}_K) & n \equiv -w_T \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

*vanish, where  $w_T \in \{\pm 1\}$  is 1 if and only if  $T$  preserves orientation.*

## REFERENCES

1. G. A. Anderson, *Surgery with Coefficients*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 591. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1977.
2. ———, *Computation of the surgery obstruction groups  $L_{4k}(1; \mathbb{Z}_p)$* . Pacific J. Math. 74 (1978), 1-4.
3. M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, *The index of elliptic operators*. III. Ann. of Math. (2) 87 (1968), 546-604.
4. W. Browder, *Surgery on simply-connected manifolds*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 65. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.
5. D. E. Galewski and R. J. Stern. *The relationship between homology and topological manifolds via homology transversality* (to appear).
6. T. Y. Lam, *The algebraic theory of quadratic forms*. Mathematics Lecture Note Series. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., Reading, Mass., 1973.
7. R. J. Milgram, *Surgery with coefficients*. Ann. of Math. (2) 100 (1974), 194-248.

8. R. E. Stong, *Notes on cobordism theory*. Mathematical notes. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1968.
9. C. T. C. Wall, *Non-additivity of the signature*. Invent. Math. 7 (1969), 269-274.
10. ———, *Surgery on compact manifolds*. Academic Press, New York-London, 1970.

Department of Mathematics  
The Pennsylvania State University  
University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

