

A CHARACTERIZATION OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS OF CONSTANT CURVATURE

R. A. Holzsager and H. Wu

Let \bar{M} be a Riemannian manifold, and let M be a *compact hypersurface*, that is, a compact orientable submanifold of codimension 1 of \bar{M} , possibly with boundary. (Everything is assumed to be C^∞ .) For sufficiently small s , let M_s denote the set of points lying on geodesics normal to M (and on a fixed side of M) at distance s from M . Denoting the volume of M_s by $\mathcal{A}(s)$, we call the real-valued function \mathcal{A} (defined in a neighborhood of zero) the *growth function* of M . In [1], it is shown that \mathcal{A} is a polynomial of degree at most 1, for each compact hypersurface in \bar{M} , if and only if \bar{M} is locally isometric to \mathbb{R}^2 . The purpose of the present note is to point out that the technique employed in [1] actually allows us to prove the following theorem, which is more general and more satisfactory.

THEOREM. *A Riemannian manifold has the property that the growth function \mathcal{A} of each one of its compact hypersurfaces satisfies the linear differential equation*

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{A}'' + c\mathcal{A} = 0$$

(where c is a fixed constant) if and only if it is a two-dimensional Riemannian manifold of constant curvature equal to c .

Using the known facts about the solutions of equation (1), we may rephrase the theorem in an equivalent way: the two-dimensional Riemannian manifolds of constant zero curvature are characterized by the fact that their growth functions are polynomials of degree at most 1; the two-dimensional Riemannian manifolds of constant positive curvature c are characterized by the fact that their growth functions are expressible as linear combinations of $\cos \sqrt{c}s$ and $\sin \sqrt{c}s$; and the two-dimensional Riemannian manifolds of constant negative curvature are characterized by the fact that their growth functions are expressible as linear combinations of $\cosh \sqrt{-c}s$ and $\sinh \sqrt{-c}s$.

Before giving the proof of the theorem, we must recall the results proved in [1]. We let M be a compact hypersurface of \bar{M} , and we let M_s be as above. Denoting by Ω_s the volume form of M_s , we have by definition the relation

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{A}(s) = \int_{M_s} \Omega_s.$$

To state the formula for $\mathcal{A}''(s)$, we separate our discussion into two cases.

Case 1: $\dim \bar{M} = 2$. In this case, each M_s is simply a finite C^∞ -curve. Let K denote the curvature function of the surface \bar{M} . Then

Received December 6, 1969.

This research was partially supported by the National Science Foundation.

Michigan Math. J. 17 (1970).

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{A}''(s) = - \int_{M_s} K \Omega_s .$$

Case 2: $\dim \bar{M} \geq 3$. Let \mathcal{R} denote the Ricci tensor of \bar{M} ($\mathcal{R}: \bar{M}_m \rightarrow \bar{M}_m$), and let $h_s: (M_s)_m \otimes (M_s)_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the second fundamental form of M_s . Then h_s admits an extension to

$$((M_s)_m \wedge (M_s)_m) \otimes ((M_s)_m \wedge (M_s)_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} ,$$

which we also denote by h_s . Let $\dim \bar{M} = d$, and let $\{e_1, \dots, e_{d-1}\}$ be some orthonormal basis of $(M_s)_m$. It is easy to see that $\sum_{ij} h_s(e_i \wedge e_j, e_i \wedge e_j)$ is a globally defined function on M_s , independent of the particular choice of $\{e_1, \dots, e_{d-1}\}$. Finally, let n_s denote the unit normal vector field to M_s in the direction of increasing s . The second variation formula pertaining to this situation is

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{A}''(s) = \int_{M_s} \left(\sum_{ij} h_s(e_i \wedge e_j, e_i \wedge e_j) - \langle \mathcal{R}(n_s), n_s \rangle \right) \Omega_s .$$

Eventually, we shall also need the following lemma, proved at the end of [1].

LEMMA. *Sufficiently small geodesic spheres of any Riemannian manifold of dimension at least 3 have a positive definite second fundamental form.*

We can now give the simple proof of the theorem. Suppose \bar{M} is a Riemannian manifold of dimension 2 whose curvature equals a constant c ; then (2) and (3) imply that (1) holds. Conversely, suppose \bar{M} has the property that its growth function \mathcal{A} always satisfies (1). If \bar{M} is of dimension 2, then (2) and (3) imply that for every finite curve M ,

$$\int_M (K - c)\Omega = 0 ,$$

where we have denoted the volume form of M by Ω . Since this is true for every finite curve, it is obvious that $K = c$ and \bar{M} has constant curvature c . It remains to show that if $\dim \bar{M} \geq 3$, the growth function \mathcal{A} does not satisfy (1). If it does, then by (2) and (4),

$$\int_M \left\{ \sum_{ij} h(e_i \wedge e_j, e_i \wedge e_j) + c - \langle \mathcal{R}(n), n \rangle \right\} \Omega = 0$$

for every compact hypersurface M , where h denotes the second fundamental form of M , n is a unit normal field to M , and Ω is the volume form of M . As usual, the fact that this identity holds for every compact hypersurface M simply means that

$$\sum_{ij} h(e_i \wedge e_j, e_i \wedge e_j) + c - \langle \mathcal{R}(n), n \rangle \equiv 0$$

on every hypersurface M (compact or not). Now pick an arbitrary point m of \bar{M} , and let $\{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$ be a system of geodesic (normal) coordinates around m satisfying the condition

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(m), \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(m) \right\rangle = \delta_{ij}.$$

Let M be the hypersurface defined by $x_d = 0$. It is well known that in this case the second fundamental form h of M at m vanishes. It follows that

$$h(e_i \wedge e_j, e_i \wedge e_j) = 0$$

for all $e_i, e_j \in M_m$, and (5) implies that

$$c - \left\langle \mathcal{R} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_d}(m) \right), \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d}(m) \right\rangle = 0,$$

provided we choose the unit normal field n to coincide with $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_d}(m)$ at m . Now m is arbitrary, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_d}(m)$ can be any unit vector in \overline{M}_m ; therefore \overline{M} has constant Ricci curvature equal to c . Hence (5) implies that

$$\sum_{ij} h(e_i \wedge e_j, e_i \wedge e_j) \equiv 0$$

on every hypersurface M of \overline{M} . This contradicts the lemma quoted above, and the theorem is proved.

REFERENCE

1. H. Wu, *A characteristic property of the euclidean plane*. Michigan Math. J. 16 (1969), 141-148.

University of Maryland
College Park, Maryland 20742

and

University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

