

# A REMARK ON SOME ALMOST PERIODIC COMPACTIFICATIONS

Irving Glicksberg

1. The author is indebted to K. de Leeuw for raising the following, at first glance rather bizarre, question: if  $G$  is a noncompact, locally compact abelian group, with almost periodic compactification  $G^*$ , is  $G^*$  the Stone-Čech compactification  $\beta(G^* \setminus G)$  of  $G^* \setminus G$ ? (As usual, we view  $G$  as a subset of  $G^*$ .) At least when the character group  $\hat{G}$  of  $G$  is not totally disconnected, the answer is *affirmative* (when  $\hat{G}$  is totally disconnected, our approach simply fails).

Actually de Leeuw's question is not at all unnatural, since  $G$  forms a rather small part, and thus  $G^* \setminus G$  a rather large part, of the "large" space  $G^*$ , as is more or less well known. For example, Borel subsets of  $G$ , that is, elements of the  $\sigma$ -ring generated by compact sets, are of  $G^*$ -Haar measure zero, so that, if  $G$  is  $\sigma$ -compact,  $G$  itself is of  $G^*$ -Haar measure zero; a special proof for  $G = \mathbb{R}$  appears in [2, Thm.4.3], but one can argue that if a Borel set  $E$  of  $G$  (automatically a Borel set in  $G^*$ ) is of positive  $G^*$ -Haar measure, then  $E - E$  has interior in  $G^*$ , so that  $G$  is imbedded homeomorphically in  $G^*$ . As a dense locally compact subgroup,  $G$  must fill out all of  $G^*$ , and  $G = G^*$  is both compact and noncompact.

Since there are few tools available for showing a compact space to be the Stone-Čech compactification of a given subspace, there is probably no need to apologize for our use of the known structure of locally compact abelian groups; and while the result may be classed as a curiosity, it seems worth recording.

The notation used below is standard, as in [3], [4]; however we shall speak of the "direct product," where Kaplansky [3] uses "complete direct sum," for topological suggestiveness (if  $H$  is a compact group and we express  $\hat{H}$  as a (weak) direct sum, there is a dual representation of  $H$  as a direct product, *topologically* and algebraically). Finally, we shall let  $G^d$  represent the discretized version of  $G$ , so that  $G^* = \hat{G}^d$  [4, p. 170].

2. Let  $\{X_\nu\}$  be an uncountable set of compact Hausdorff spaces,  $b = \{b_\nu\}$  an element of the topological product  $X = \prod X_\nu$ , and  $X^b$  the subspace of  $X$  formed by all elements  $x = \{x_\nu\}$  with  $x_\nu \neq b_\nu$  for at most countably many  $\nu$ . Then [1, Thm. 2]  $\beta(X^b) = X$ ; therefore clearly  $X^b \subset Y \subset X$  implies  $\beta(Y) = X$ .

Now suppose that  $G$  is our noncompact but locally compact abelian group, and that we can represent  $G^*$  as a direct product of uncountably many compact groups. (Such a representation is not always possible if  $\hat{G}$  is totally disconnected; for example, if  $\hat{G}$  is the compact group of  $p$ -adic integers, an algebraically indecomposable group,  $G^* = \hat{G}^d$  is not a product.) Then it will suffice to show that

$$(2.1) \quad G^* \neq G + G^{*0}$$

where  $G^{*0}$  is the subgroup of the product  $G^*$  consisting of all elements with at most countably many coordinates different from 0. For then  $G^* \setminus G$  contains a coset of  $G^{*0}$ , and the result of [1] cited above applies.

In order to obtain a direct product representation for  $G^*$ , we appeal to the known structure of  $G^\wedge$  [5, p. 110]:  $G^\wedge = R^p \times H$ , where  $H$  contains a compact-open subgroup. If  $G^\wedge$  is not totally disconnected, then either (1)  $p > 0$  or (2)  $G$  contains a nontrivial compact connected subgroup. We consider these cases separately.

Consider case (1), where  $G^\wedge = R \times F$ . Since  $R$  is algebraically an uncountable direct sum  $\sum \mathbb{Q}_\nu$  ( $\mathbb{Q}_\nu = \mathbb{Q}$ , the group of additive rationals), we can write

$$G^{\wedge d} = (\sum \mathbb{Q}_\nu^d) \times F^d.$$

And since  $G^*$  is simply the dual of  $G^{\wedge d}$ , we have  $G^* = (\prod \mathbb{Q}_\nu^{\wedge d}) \times F^{\wedge d}$ . Now let  $G^{*0}$  have the meaning indicated above. If (2.1) fails, then for each element  $\chi$  of  $G^* = G + G^{*0}$ , there exists a countable set  $J$  of indices  $\nu$  for which  $\chi$  is continuous on the subspace  $(\sum_{\nu \notin J} \mathbb{Q}_\nu) \times \{0\}$  of  $G^\wedge = R \times F$ , since  $\chi$  coincides with some  $g \in G$  on such a subspace. But we can define a character  $\chi$  of  $G^{\wedge d}$  for which this fails; simply choose a character  $\alpha$  of  $\mathbb{Q}^d$  which is not continuous in the euclidean topology, and set  $\chi(\{q_\nu\}, f) = \prod \alpha(q_\nu)$  (a finite product).

Now consider case (2), where  $G^\wedge$  contains some nontrivial compact connected group  $H$ . Here  $H^\wedge$  is torsion-free, and has a countable torsion-free factor group  $H^\wedge/K$ . Indeed, choose a maximal independent subset  $\{x_\alpha\}$  of  $H^\wedge$ , and for a fixed  $\alpha$ , let

$$K = \left\{ x: x \in H^\wedge, nx = \sum_{i=1}^m n_i x_{\alpha_i} \text{ for some integers } n, n_i, \text{ with } \alpha_i \neq \alpha \right. \\ \left. \text{when } i = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\}.$$

Clearly  $K$  is a subgroup for which  $nx \in K$  implies  $x \in K$ , so that  $H^\wedge/K$  is torsion-free and infinite (since it contains the infinite cyclic group generated by the coset  $\bar{x}_\alpha$ ). But  $H^\wedge/K$  is countable as well since, by maximality, for  $x \in H^\wedge$ ,  $nx - mx_\alpha \in K$  for some  $n, m$  (or  $n\bar{x} = m\bar{x}_\alpha$ ), and this determines uniquely the coset  $\bar{x}$  (since  $H^\wedge/K$  has no torsion).

Therefore  $H$  contains a nontrivial compact connected and *metric* group  $K^\wedge = (H^\wedge/K)^\wedge$ , and we may as well assume that  $H$  is metric. Since the compact connected group  $H$  is divisible, it is algebraically a direct summand of  $G$ , and moreover it is itself a direct sum  $\sum H_\nu$ , where each  $H_\nu$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$  for some prime  $p$ , and the indices are uncountable [3, pp. 55, 8, and 10]. Consequently we can write  $G^{\wedge d} = (\sum H_\nu^d) \times F^d$ , and  $G^* = (\prod H_\nu^{\wedge d}) \times F^{\wedge d}$ . Again, if (2.1) fails, for each  $\chi$  in  $G^*$  there is a countable  $J$  for which  $\chi$  is continuous on the subspace of  $G^\wedge$  formed by  $(\sum_{\nu \notin J} H_\nu) \times \{0\}$ . We can thus obtain our contradiction by showing that for each  $\nu$  there is a  $\chi_\nu$  in  $H_\nu^{\wedge d}$  which is not continuous in the relative topology on  $H_\nu$ , and by setting  $\chi(\{h_\nu\}, f) = \prod \chi_\nu(h_\nu)$ . To obtain the  $\chi_\nu$ , we need the following

**LEMMA.** *Let  $H_0$  be an infinite algebraic subgroup of a metric compact abelian group. Then there is a  $\chi$  in  $H_0^{\wedge d}$  which is not continuous in the relative topology.*

If not, each  $\chi$  in  $H_0^{\wedge d}$  is uniformly continuous relative to the group structure, and thus it extends to a character of  $H_0^-$ . Consequently, we can identify  $H_0^-$  and  $H_0^{d*}$ ; since  $H_0^-$  is compact metric, it has a countable dual; on the other hand  $H_0^{\wedge d}$  is an infinite compact group, therefore uncountable (since, by category, locally compact countable groups are discrete), so that  $H_0^{\wedge d} = H_0^{d*} = H_0^{\wedge}$  is also uncountable. This is the desired contradiction.

Finally we note that (2.1) implies  $G^* \setminus G$  is pseudo-compact [1], and thus that no element of  $C(G^*)$  assumes its maximum modulus only within  $G$ .

## REFERENCES

1. I. Glicksberg, *Stone-Čech compactifications of products*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 90 (1959), 369-382.
2. E. Hewitt, *Linear functionals on almost periodic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1953), 303-322.
3. I. Kaplansky, *Infinite abelian groups*, Univ. of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 1954.
4. L. H. Loomis, *An introduction to abstract harmonic analysis*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1953.
5. A. Weil, *L'intégration dans les groupes topologiques et ses applications*, Actual. Sci. Ind. no. 869, Hermann et Cie., Paris, 1940.

University of Notre Dame

