THE FOURIER COEFFICIENTS OF AUTOMORPHIC FORMS ON HOROCYCLIC GROUPS, III

Joseph Lehner

1. INTRODUCTION

In previous papers, we have shown how the circle method can be used to determine the Fourier coefficients of *entire* automorphic forms on certain horocyclic groups (*Grenzkreisgruppen*). In the present paper, we extend the results to automorphic forms that have poles.

Our results are contained in the following theorems. For definitions of symbols, see [2], except as noted; automorphic forms with poles are defined in Section 2 of the present paper.

THEOREM 1. Let F(z) be an automorphic form of dimension r>0 on an H-group Γ . Let R_0 be a fixed fundamental region of Γ which does not have ∞ as a vertex, and let p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_s be a complete set of inequivalent vertices of Γ . Let the expansions of F(z) at the parabolic points be

(1.1)
$$f_{k}(t) = (A_{k}z)^{-r} t_{k}^{\alpha_{k}} f_{k}(t_{k}) \qquad (t_{k} = e(A_{k}z/\lambda_{k})),$$

$$f_{k}(t) = \sum_{m=-\mu_{k}}^{\infty} a_{m}^{(k)} t^{m} \qquad (1 \leq k \leq s).$$

Let F(z) have simple poles at the interior points z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_q of R_0 with residues B_1, \cdots, B_q . Then, for each k $(1 \le k \le s)$, the Fourier coefficients $a_m^{(k)}$ with $m \ge 0$ are given in terms of the set of coefficients $a_m^{(j)}$ with m < 0 $(1 \le j \le s)$ by the formula

$$a_{m}^{(k)} = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda_{k}}\right) e(r/4) \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu_{j}} a_{-\nu}^{(j)} \sum_{c_{jk} \in C_{jk}^{!}} c_{jk}^{-1} A(c_{jk}, \nu_{j}, m_{k}) L(c_{jk}, m_{k}, \nu_{j}, r)$$

$$-\left(\frac{2\pi i}{\lambda_{k}}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{q} B_{n} \sum_{V \in \Delta_{k}(\mathbf{z}_{n})} \varepsilon(V) e(-(m + \alpha_{k}) A_{k} V \mathbf{z}_{n} / \lambda_{k}) (A_{k} V \mathbf{z}_{n})^{r+2} (c \mathbf{z}_{n} + d)^{-r-2}.$$

Here C'_{jk} is the set of positive elements of C_{jk} , and \triangle_k is defined in (4.5).

Poles of higher order can be treated in an analogous manner, but the algebraic details, into which we do not enter here, become rather complicated.

THEOREM 2. If F(z) is an automorphic form of dimension zero on Γ having the expansions (1.1), then, for $m \geq 1$, $a_m^{(k)}$ is given by the series (1.2) with an error term O(1) $(m \to \infty)$, where in the first series c_{ik} is further restricted by the

Received June 16, 1959.

Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. Presented to the American Mathematical Society, January 22, 1959.

condition $c_{jk} < \beta \sqrt{m/h}$ (β = constant), and in the second series we impose the additional requirement $\Im A_k V z_n > 1/\beta^2 m$ ($1 \le n \le q$). Here h is defined in (2.17).

THEOREM 3. Let G(z) be an automorphic form of dimension -2 on Γ with Fourier coefficients $b_m^{(k)}$ which is, moreover, the derivative of a form F(z) (of dimension zero). Then, for $m \geq 1$, $b_m^{(k)}$ is equal to $2\pi i (m + \alpha_k)/\lambda_k$ times the $a_m^{(k)}$ of Theorem 2, plus an error term O(m).

Theorem 3 is, of course, obtained from Theorem 2 by differentiating (1.1).

A special case of Theorem 1 was proved by Zuckerman [5]. He considered automorphic forms on the modular group, which has a single parabolic cusp (s = 1, in our notation). He solved a slightly different problem which, however, is equivalent to the one treated in this paper. For the path of integration, he used a variant of the Farey series.

Petersson has proved Theorem 1 by another method [3].

2. GROUPS AND AUTOMORPHIC FORMS

In this section, we recall needed material from [2, Sections 2, 3, and 4]. We also define automorphic forms, which may now have poles, and develop some of their properties.

Let Γ be an H-group [2, Section 2]. Let p_k ($k=0,1,2,\cdots$) be a parabolic vertex of Γ with $p_0=\infty$. Let R_0 be a fundamental region of Γ which does not have ∞ as a vertex. We denote by p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_s a complete set of inequivalent parabolic vertices of R_0 . Define

(2.1)
$$A_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -p_k \end{pmatrix} \qquad (p_k \neq \infty); \qquad A_0 = I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

so that $A_k(p_k) = \infty$. Consider the set

$$A_i \Gamma A_k^{-1} = \{ X \mid X = A_j V A_k^{-1}, V \in \Gamma \}$$
 (j, k = 0, 1, ..., s),

and write

$$(2.2) X = \begin{pmatrix} a_{jk} & b_{jk} \\ c_{jk} & d_{jk} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Call C_{jk} the set of third entries c_{jk} of $A_j\Gamma A_k^{-1}$:

(2.3)
$$C_{jk} = \{ x \mid \exists (x) \in A_j \Gamma A_k^{-1} \}$$
 (j, k = 0, 1, ..., s),

and call $C_{jk}^{!}$ the set of positive elements of C_{jk} :

$$(2.4) C'_{jk} = \{c_{jk} \in C_{jk} | c_{jk} > 0\}.$$

The set of real numbers C_{jk} is discrete. (This was proved in [2, Section 2] for the case j, $k \neq 0$, but the proof works for all j, k.) Define

(2.5)
$$\overline{c_{jk}} = \min c_{jk} \quad (c_{jk} \in C'_{jk}).$$

Then

(2.6)
$$\overline{c_{jk}} > 0$$
 (j, k = 0, 1, ..., s).

Corresponding to each p_k , there is a subgroup Γ_k of Γ that leaves p_k fixed. There exists a positive number λ_k such that the cyclic group Γ_k is generated by

$$(2.7) S_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \lambda_k p_k & -\lambda_k p_k^2 \\ \lambda_k & 1 - \lambda_k p_k \end{pmatrix} (k > 0), S_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\lambda_0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We note that

(2.8)
$$S_k = A_k^{-1} U^{-\lambda_k} A_k, \quad S_k^m = A_k^{-1} U^{-m\lambda_k} A_k \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

where m is an integer, $U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and we write, symbolically, $U^{\kappa} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \kappa \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for real κ .

We proceed to the definition of an automorphic form. Let z be a complex variable and $\mathscr H$ the upper half-plane $\Im\,z>0$. Write $e(z)=\exp\,2\pi iz$. By an admissible multiplier system $\varepsilon(\Gamma,\,r)$ for the group Γ and the (real) dimension r, we shall mean a complex-valued function $\varepsilon(V)$ defined for $V\in\Gamma$ such that

- 1) $|\varepsilon(V)| = 1$,
- 2) $\varepsilon(V_1V_2)/\varepsilon(V_1)\varepsilon(V_2) = m(V_1, V_2z) m(V_2, z)/m(V_1V_2, z)$

for $z \in \mathcal{H}$ and $V_1, V_2 \in \Gamma$. Here $m(V, z) = (cz + d)^{-r}$ for $V = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, and the branch of the many-valued function is specified in [2, (1.2)] (note that if it were not for many-valuedness, the right-hand member of 2) would be identically 1).

We now define an automorphic form of (real) dimension ${\bf r}$ on ${\bf \Gamma}$ to be a function ${\bf F}(z)$ such that

- 1) F(z) is meromorphic in \mathcal{H} ,
- 2) F(z) tends to a definite limit, finite or infinite, as z tends to a parabolic vertex from within a fundamental region of Γ ,
- (2.9) 3) $F(Vz) = \varepsilon(V) m(V, z) f(z)$ for each $V \in \Gamma$.

We now show that these conditions lead directly to the existence of an expansion for F(z) around a parabolic vertex.

LEMMA 1. Corresponding to each finite parabolic vertex p_k (k > 0), there exists a number $\tau_k>0$ such that

(2.10)
$$(A_k z)^r t_k^{-\alpha_k} F(z) = f_k(t_k) \qquad (t_k = e(A_k z/\lambda_k)),$$

(2.11)
$$f_{k}(t) = \sum_{m=-\mu_{k}}^{\infty} a_{m}^{(k)} t^{m} \qquad (\mu_{k} \text{ finite}),$$

the series converging for $|t| < \tau_k$.

Proof. We first show that $f_k(t)$ is single-valued. If $t = e(A_k z_1/\lambda_k) = e(A_k z_2/\lambda_k)$, then $A_k z_1 = A_k z_2 + m\lambda_k$ (m an integer). Hence $A_k z_1 = U^{m\lambda_k} A_k z_2 = A_k S_k^{-m} z_2$, or

 $z_1 = S_k^{-m} z_2$ (see (2.8)). Denoting the left member of (2.10) by $\psi(z)$, we have

$$\begin{split} \psi(\mathbf{z}_2) &= \left(\mathbf{A}_k \mathbf{S}_k^m \mathbf{z}_1\right)^{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{e}(-\alpha_k \mathbf{A}_k \mathbf{S}_k^m \mathbf{z}_1/\lambda_k) \, \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{S}_k^m \mathbf{z}_1) \\ &= \left(\mathbf{U}^{-\mathbf{m}\lambda_k} \mathbf{A}_k \, \mathbf{z}_1\right)^{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{e}(-\alpha_k \mathbf{U}^{-\mathbf{m}\lambda_k} \mathbf{A}_k \mathbf{z}_1/\lambda_k) \, \epsilon(\mathbf{S}_k^m) \, \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{S}_k^m, \, \mathbf{z}_1) \, \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{z}_1) \,, \end{split}$$

where we have used (2.9). But

$$e(-\alpha_k) = \epsilon(S_k)$$
 [2, (3.2)], (2.12)

$$m(S_k^m, z_1) = ((A_k z_1 - m\lambda_k)/A_k z_1)^{-r} = (U^{-m\lambda_k} A_k z_1/A_k z_1)^{-r}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} \psi(\mathbf{z}_2) &= \mathbf{e}(\alpha_k \mathbf{m}) \, \mathbf{e}(-\alpha_k \mathbf{A}_k \mathbf{z}_1/\lambda_k) \, \epsilon(\mathbf{S}_k^{\mathbf{m}}) \, (\mathbf{A}_k \mathbf{z}_1)^{\mathbf{r}} \, \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{z}_1) \\ &= (\mathbf{A}_k \mathbf{z}_1)^{\mathbf{r}} \, \mathbf{e}(-\alpha_k \mathbf{A}_k \mathbf{z}_1/\lambda_k) \, \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{z}_1) = \psi(\mathbf{z}_1) \, . \end{split}$$

Thus $f_k(t)$ has the same value regardless of what solution z of $t=e(A_k\;z/\lambda_k)$ we choose.

From (2.10) it is clear that $f_k(t)$ is regular at $t=t^*\neq 0$ if and only if F(z) is regular at $z=z^*\neq p_k$, where $t^*=(A_kz^*/\lambda_k)$. Suppose that F(z) has a pole at $z^*\in \mathscr{H}$; then $|F(z)|\to \infty$ as $z\to z^*$. This is possible if and only if $|f_k(t)|\to \infty$ as $t\to t^*$; hence, $f_k(t)$ has a pole at t^* . The function $f_k(t)$, then, is meromorphic in |t|<1 except possibly at t=0, and the poles of $f_k(t)$ correspond in a one-to-one way with the poles of F(z) in a fixed fundamental region R having the parabolic vertex p_k .

Suppose $f_k(t)$ has infinitely many poles in a neighborhood of t=0. Then F(z) has infinitely many poles z_n in a neighborhood of p_k , and, on a certain subsequence, $z_n \to p_k$. Hence, $|F(z_n)| \to \infty$ as $z_n \to p_k$. Moreover, $f_k(t)$ approaches every value as $t\to 0$. Consider a sequence $\{v_n\}$ $(v_n\to 0)$ on which $f_k(t)\to 0$. According to (2.10), $F(w_n)\to 0$, where $v_n=(A_kw_n/\lambda_k)$, $w_n\in R$. Clearly $w_n\to p_k$ as $n\to\infty$. Thus, on the sequences $\{z_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$, which both approach p_k , F(z) has different limiting values, which violates the second condition of the definition of an automorphic form.

The argument shows not only that $f_k(t)$ has only a finite number of poles in $\left| t \right| < 1$ (and therefore that F(z) has at most finitely many poles in a fundamental region), but also that f_k is regular or has a pole at t=0. This proves (2.11).

Remark. The preceding reasoning justifies the statement made in [2], lines following (1.1): "The last condition is equivalent to the following: F(z) has at most a pole (not an essential singularity) in the local variable appropriate to a given parabolic point."

At the parabolic point ∞ , the discussion is similar, but we find it convenient to use the definition

(2.13)
$$e(\alpha_0) = \varepsilon(S_0) e(-r) \quad (0 \le \alpha_0 < 1)$$

instead of (2.12). Then we find easily that

(2.14)
$$F(z) = e(\alpha_0 z/\lambda_0) f_0(t_0) \qquad \left(f_0(t) = \sum_{m=-\mu_0}^{\infty} a_m^{(0)} t^m, \quad t_0 = e(z/\lambda_0) \right),$$

the series converging for $|t| < \tau_0 \ (\tau_0 > 0)$.

The transformation formula (2.9) for F(z), when expressed in terms of the functions f_k , takes the form:

$$(2.15) \qquad \begin{array}{l} f_k(e(w/\lambda_k)) = \epsilon^{-1}(V) \, m^{-1}(A_j \, V A_k^{-1}, \, w) \, e(\alpha_j \, w'/\lambda_j - \alpha_k \, w/\lambda_k) \, f_j(e(w'/\lambda_j)) \\ (w' = A_j \, V A_k^{-1} \, w; \, j, \, k = 1, \, 2, \, \cdots) \, , \end{array}$$

provided $A_j V A_k^{-1}$ does not have ∞ as a fixed point. (See [2, (3.6)].) If j = 0, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(e(w/\lambda_k)) &= \eta \cdot \epsilon^{-1}(V) \, m^{-1}(VA_k^{-1}, \, w) \, e(\alpha_0 \, w'/\lambda_0 - \alpha_k w/\lambda_k) \, f_0\left(e(w'/\lambda_0)\right) \\ & (w' = VA_k^{-1} \, w, \, \, k = 1, \, 2, \, \cdots) \,, \end{aligned}$$

where $|\eta| = 1$.

Let R_0 be a fixed fundamental region of Γ which does not have ∞ as a vertex. For purposes of integration, we shall use a partition of the segment $L(N, k) = L_N$: $0 \le x < \lambda_k$, $y = N^{-2}$, similar to the one employed in [2, Section 4]. Define

$$\begin{split} I_{jk}(V, \ N) &= I_{jk}(V) = \left\{ w \in L_N \middle| \ A_j V A_k^{-1} w \in \text{Int E} \right\} & (w = x + iy), \\ (2.17) \qquad E &= \left\{ z \middle| \ \Im z \geq h \right\}, \\ h &= \max_{1 \leq j,k \leq s} (1/\overline{c_{jk}}, -(2\pi)^{-1} \lambda_j \log \tau_j/2). \end{split}$$

The proof in [2] that the $I_{jk}(V)$ do not meet in interior points applies word-for-word to the <u>present</u> case, since the essential property used in that proof was the inequality $h \geq 1/c_{jk}$ for $1 \leq j, k \leq s$. The set $M_{jk}(N)$ of elements V of Γ on which I_{jk} is not empty can be characterized by

$$M_{jk}(N) = \{ V \in \Gamma | 0 < c_{jk} < Nh^{-1/2}, -\kappa/N \le -d_{jk}/c_{jk} < \lambda_k + \kappa/N, 0 \le a_{jk}/c_{jk} < \lambda_j \}$$

$$(2.18)$$

$$(j, k = 1, 2, \dots, s),$$

where $\kappa = (1/\overline{c_{jk}}^2 \, h - N^{-2})^{1/2}$, and where a_{jk} , ... are defined in (2.2). Let

$$I_0 = L_N - \bigcup_{j=1}^s \bigcup_{V \in M_{ik}} I_{jk}(V).$$

Define D_0 to be the part of R_0 exterior to the discs D_j $(1 \le j \le t)$ of diameter h^{-1} tangent to the real axis at p_j , where p_1 , p_2 , ..., p_t is a complete set of parabolic vertices of R_0 . The region D_0 lies between two horizontal lines at heights h_0 , h_1 $(h_0 > h_1)$ above the real axis.

Let

(2.19)
$$I_{0,k}(V) = \{ w \in L_N | VA_k^{-1} w \in D_0 \}.$$

We have

(2.20)
$$L_{N} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{s} \bigcup_{V \in M_{jk}} I_{jk}(N),$$

 $M_{0,k}(N)$ being the set of V on which $I_{0,k}(V)$ is not empty. This is the desired partition of L_N into nonoverlapping sets. An immediate consequence is that, for each N,

(2.21)
$$\sum_{j=0}^{s} \sum_{V \in M_{jk}(N)} |I_{jk}(V)| = \lambda_k \quad (1 \leq k \leq s),$$

where $|I_{ik}|$ denotes the measure of I_{ik} .

3. INTEGRATION

Our object is to determine the coefficients $a_m^{(k)}$ $(m \ge 0)$ of (1.1) from a knowledge of the principal parts of F at the full set of parabolic vertices, that is, of the $a_m^{(j)}$ $(m < 0, \ 1 \le j \le s)$, together with the principal parts at the singularities of F. Since $f_k(t)$ is regular for $0 < |t| < \tau_k$, we have, by Cauchy's theorem,

$$a_{m}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{K} f_{k}(t) t^{-m-1} dt$$

where K is the circle of radius $\tau_k/2$ about the origin. Making the change of variable $t=e(w/\lambda_k)$ (w=x+iy), we get

$$\lambda_k a_m^{(k)} = \int_{I} f_k(e(w/\lambda_k)) e(-mw/\lambda_k) dw$$
,

L' being the segment $0 \le x < \lambda_k$, $y = y_2 = -(2\pi)^{-1} \lambda_k \log{(\tau_k/2)}$. We now shift the path of integration downwards to the segment L_N : $0 \le x < \lambda_k$, $y = y_1 = N^{-2}$. The contributions to the integral from the vertical sides of the rectangle $(x = 0, \lambda_k; y_1 < y < y_2)$ cancel, for the integrand is periodic with period λ_k . Hence,

$$(3.1) \quad \lambda_k \, a_m^{(k)} = \int_{L_N} f_k \left(e(w/\lambda_k) \right) e(-mw/\lambda_k) \, dw - 2\pi i \sum_{G(N)} \text{Res} = P_1 \left(N \right) - P_2 \left(N \right),$$

where $G(N) = G_k(N)$ is the rectangle bounded by L', L_N, and the vertical sides just mentioned.

To treat the term $P_1(N)$, we make use of the dissection of L_N explained in Section 2, namely (2.20). The integral over L_N is then a sum of integrals over the sets $I_{jk}(V)$. In each of these integrals, we apply the transformation equation (2.15) or (2.16), according as j>0 or j=0, and then replace f_j (j>0) by the series (2.11). The result is

$$P_{1}(N) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \varepsilon^{-1}(V) \sum_{V \in M_{jk}} \int_{I_{jk}(V)} m^{-1}(A_{j}VA_{k}^{-1}, w) \sum_{n=-\mu_{j}}^{\infty} a_{n}^{(j)} e\{(n + \alpha_{j})w'/\lambda_{j} - m_{k}w\} dw$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} (3.2) & + \eta \sum_{V \in M_{0,k}} \epsilon^{-1}(V) \int_{I_{0,k}(V)} m^{-1}(VA_k^{-1}, w) \, e(\alpha_0 w'/\lambda_0 - m_k w) \, f_0(e(w'/\lambda_0)) \, dw \\ \\ & = T_1(N) + T_2(N) \,, \end{array}$$

where α_i is defined in (2.12) and $m_k = (m + \alpha_k)/\lambda_k$.

The structure of $T_1(N)$ is exactly the same as that of the sum T_1 in [2, (5.4)]. In fact, the only difference in the two terms lies in the value of h; compare (2.17) and [2, (4.10)]. An examination of the argument of [2] reveals the fact that only two properties of h were used in the evaluation of T_1 , namely,

1)
$$h > 1/\overline{c_{ik}}$$
 $(1 \le j, k \le s)$,

2) the series $\sum_{n} |a_{n}^{(j)}| \exp(-2\pi(n + \alpha_{j})h/\lambda_{j})$ converges.

In the present case, 1) holds by (2.17). Moreover,

$$\exp(-2\pi(n + \alpha_j)h/\lambda_j) \le \exp((n + 1)\log(\tau_j/2)) = (\tau_j/2)^{n+1}$$
,

again by (2.17), and $\Sigma |a_n^{(j)}| (\tau_j/2)^{n+1}$ converges (see Lemma 1). Hence, both requirements on h are met, and we can take over the value of T_1 given in the lines following [2, (6.3)]:

(3.3)
$$T_1 = \lim_{N \to \infty} T_1(N) = 2\pi e(r/4) \sum_{j=1}^{\mu_j} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu_j} a_{-\nu}^{(j)} \sum_{c_{jk} \in C_{jk}^!} C_{jk}^{-1} A(c_{jk}, \nu_j, m_k) L(c_{jk}, m_k, \nu_j, r).$$

(The new symbols in (3.3) are defined in [2, Section 1].) The absolute convergence of the series follows from estimates in [2, Section 7].

We now take up $T_2(N)$. As we saw in Section 2, the image of $I_{0,k}(V)$ under VA_k^{-1} lies in the region D_0 , and D_0 lies between two horizontal lines at heights h_0 , h_1 $(h_0 > h_1)$ above the real axis. However, the image path might pass through one or more poles of the integrand. Since the integrand equals a regular function times F(w'), where $w' = VA_k^{-1}w$, we are here concerned with the poles of F(w'). Only a finite number of such poles lie in D_0 , since D_0 is compact. Surround each pole w_j^{\prime} $(j=1,\,2,\,\cdots,\,q)$ in D_0 by an open disc C_j^{\prime} of radius ϵ' small enough so that these discs lie entirely in D_0 and do not intersect. The quantity ϵ' does not depend on N.

If the image path $J = VA_k^{-1}(I_{0,k}(V))$ meets C_1' , say, denote by ω_1' the intersection of J and C_1' , and by C_1 the inverse image of C_1' . The point ω_1' divides the boundary of C_1' into two arcs; let K_1' be that arc whose inverse image is the smaller arc of C_1 . Call ω_1 and K_1 the inverse images of ω_1' and K_1' ; ω_1 is then an interval in L_N , while K_1 is a circular detour connecting the endpoints of ω_1 . The set obtained from $I_{0,k}(V)$ by replacing all ω 's by K's will be called $I_{0,k}'(V)$.

Since K_1 is not more than a semicircle, we have $|K_1|/|\omega_1| \leq \pi/2$. This shows that $|I'_{0,k}(V)| \leq 2^{-1} \pi |I_{0,k}(V)|$; hence,

(3.4)
$$\sum_{V \in M_{0,k}} |I'_{0,k}(V)| \leq 2^{-1} \pi \sum_{V \in M_{0,k}} |I_{0,k}(V)| \leq 2^{-1} \pi \lambda_k = C,$$

where we have used (2.21), and where C denotes a general constant which is independent of N but may depend on m or on any of the other parameters.

Moreover, if K_1 lies below L_N , then $\Im w \leq N^{-2}$ when $w \in I'_{0,k}(V)$. Suppose that K_1 lies above L_N . Since C_1 lies entirely in \mathscr{H} , the radius ϵ of C_1 is less than N^{-2} . Hence, when w is on K_1 , $\Im w \leq N^{-2} + \epsilon \leq 2N^{-2}$. In all cases, then, we have

$$\ \, \Im \,\, w \leq 2N^{-2} \qquad (w \, \epsilon \,\, I_{0\,,\,k}^{\, {}_{}}(V)) \, .$$

We continue with the estimation of $T_2(N)$. First, replace the paths $I_{0,k}(V)$ by $I_{0,k}'(V)$. When $w \in I_{0,k}'(V)$, $w' = VA_k^{-1} w$ lies, independently of N, in a fixed compact subregion of D_0 which is free of singularities of F, namely, the part of D_0 exterior to the discs C_1' , ..., C_0' . Hence,

$$|F(w')| \leq C \quad (w \in I'_{0,k}(V)).$$

Also, we have $\Im w < 2N^{-2}$, and since $w' \in D_0$, it follows that

$$\big| \, m^{-1}(VA_k^{-1}, \, w) \big|^2 = \big| \gamma w + \delta \big|^{2r} = \big| \, \Im \, w / \Im \, w' \big|^r \leq (2N^{-2}h_1^{-1})^r < CN^{-2r} \,.$$

Now the integrand of $T_2(N)$ equals $m^{-1}(VA_k^{-1}, w) e(-m_k w) F(w')$ (see (3.2) and (2.14)). The above estimates then give

$$|T_2(N)| \le \sum_{V \in M_{0,k}} |I'_{0,k}(V)| CN^{-r} \exp(Cm/N^2) \le CN^{-r}$$
,

in view of (3.4). It follows that

$$\mathbf{T}_2 = \lim_{\mathbf{N} \to \infty} \mathbf{T}_2(\mathbf{N}) = 0.$$

Combining (3.2), (3.3), and (3.5), we get

(3.6)
$$P_1 = \lim_{N \to \infty} P_1(N) = 2\pi e(r/4) \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{\mu_j} a_{-\nu}^{(j)} \sum_{c_{jk} \in C_{jk}^!} c_{jk}^{-1} A(c_{jk}, \nu_j, m_k) L(c_{jk}, m_k, \nu_j, r).$$

4. THE SUM OF THE RESIDUES

We now have to treat the term $P_2(N)$ of (3.1), that is, $2\pi i$ times the sum of the residues of $f_k(e(w/\lambda_k)) e(-mw/\lambda_k)$ in the rectangle $G_k(N)$. The limit of $P_2(N)$ as $N \to \infty$ is then the series

(4.1)
$$\mathbf{P}_2 = 2\pi i \sum_{G_k} \operatorname{Res} f_k(e(w/\lambda_k)) e(-mw/\lambda_k),$$

where G_k is the region $0 \le \Re w < \lambda_k$, $\Im w < (-2\pi)^{-1} \lambda_k \log (\tau_k/2)$. The series converges by virtue of (3.1) and of the existence of $\lim P_1(N) = P_1$.

We shall evaluate P_2 under the assumption that F has a simple pole of residue 1 at an interior point of the fundamental region R_0 and is otherwise regular in R_0 . Let this pole be located at z_0 ; then F has simple poles at Vz_0 ($V \in \Gamma$). Now, by (2.10),

$$f_k(e(w/\lambda_k)) e(-mw/\lambda_k) = w^r e(-(m + \alpha_k)w/\lambda_k) F(A_k^{-1} w)$$
.

This expression is therefore singular when $A_k^{-1} w = Vz_0$, and its residue is

$$(4.2) \qquad (A_k V z_0)^r e(-(m + \alpha_k) A_k V z_0 / \lambda_k) \operatorname{Res}_{w = A_k V z_0} F(A_k^{-1} w).$$

To calculate the residue of F, we write

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Res}_{\mathbf{w}=\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{z}_{0}} & \operatorname{F}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}\mathbf{w}) = \lim_{\mathbf{z}\to\mathbf{z}_{0}} \left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{z}_{0}\right) \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{z}) \\ & = \lim_{\mathbf{z}\to\mathbf{z}_{0}} \left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{z}_{0}\right) \epsilon(\mathbf{V}) \left(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{d}\right)^{-\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{z}) \\ & = \epsilon(\mathbf{V}) \left(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{z}_{0} + \mathbf{d}\right)^{-\mathbf{r}} \lim_{\mathbf{z}\to\mathbf{z}_{0}} \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{z}_{0}}{\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}_{0}} \left(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}_{0}\right) \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{z}) \\ & = \epsilon(\mathbf{V}) \left(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{z}_{0} + \mathbf{d}\right)^{-\mathbf{r}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{d}}{\mathbf{d}\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{z}\right)_{\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{z}_{0}} \cdot \underset{\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{z}_{0}}{\operatorname{Res}} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{z}) \,. \end{split}$$

But

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\left(A_{\mathrm{k}}Vz\right) = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}Vz}A_{\mathrm{k}}Vz\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}Vz}{\mathrm{d}z} = \left(Vz - p_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{-2}\left(cz + \mathrm{d}\right)^{-2}.$$

Hence,

(4.3)
$$\operatorname{Res}_{w=A_{k}Vz_{0}} F(A_{k}^{-1}w) = \varepsilon(V) (cz_{0} + d)^{-r-2} (Vz_{0} - p_{k})^{-2}.$$

However, as we saw in (4.1), the summation must be extended only over those poles which lie in the region G_k . This involves a restriction on both the real and imaginary parts of the pole. However, the imaginary part fulfills the required condition automatically. For if A_k^{-1} w is a pole of F, then $e(w/\lambda_k)$ is a pole of f_k , so that $0 < |e(w/\lambda_k)| < \tau_k$, or $\Im w < (-2\pi)^{-1} \lambda_k \log \tau_k$. The required condition is therefore satisfied. On the other hand, with regard to the real part, we have the restriction

$$0 \leq \Re A_k V z_0 < \lambda_k.$$

Since $A_k S_k^m V z_0 = U^{-m\lambda_k} A_k V z = A_k V z_0 - m\lambda_k$, we must confine V to a set of representatives of the right cosets of Γ_k in Γ such that each representative satisfies (4.4). Let $\Delta_k(z_0)$ denote such a system:

$$\triangle_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{z}_0) = \left\{ V \in \Gamma \middle| \Gamma = \sum_{\mathbf{V} \in \triangle_{\mathbf{k}}} \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}} V, \ 0 \leq \Re \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}} V \mathbf{z}_0 < \lambda_{\mathbf{k}} \right\}.$$

From (4.1) to (4.3) we then obtain

$$(4.6) \ \ P_2(z_0) = 2\pi i \sum_{V \in \triangle_k(z_0)} \epsilon(V) \, e(-(m+\alpha_k) A_k V z_0/\lambda_k) \, (A_k V z_0)^{r+2} \, (cz_0+d)^{-r-2} \,,$$

where we have used the relation $A_k Vz = (Vz - p_k)^{-1}$.

This series converges absolutely. To prove this, remember that both the real and imaginary parts of $A_k V z_0$ are less in absolute value than constants independent of m and N. Hence $|A_k V z_0| < C$. Also,

$$\left| e(-(m + \alpha_k)A_k Vz_0/\lambda_k) \right| = \exp \left\{ 2\pi(m + \alpha_k) \Im A_k Vz_0/\lambda_k \right\} < \exp Cm$$
,

and we see that the series (4.6) is majorized by

$$\exp(Cm) \cdot \sum |cz_0 + d|^{-r-2}$$
.

By a result of Poincaré, this series certainly converges, since r > 0 (see [4, pp. 201-206] and the remark in [2, top of p. 191]).

If F(z) has several simple poles at z_1 , ..., z_q with residues B_1 , ..., B_q , the term analogous to P_2 is obviously $\sum_{j=1}^q B_j P_2(z_j)$. Now from (3.1), (3.6), and (4.6), we get Theorem 1.

If r=0, the error term CN^{-r} of Section 3 no longer tends to 0 as N increases, and in general, the series (4.6) does not converge. However, in the preceding developments, we can choose $N=\beta\sqrt{m}$ ($\beta=$ constant), as we did in [2]. The error term E(m,N) (see [2, line following (5.3)]) then becomes O(1). The series (4.6) is summed over the set of V for which $V\in \Delta_k(z_0)$ and $\Im A_k V z_0 > 1/\beta^2 m$. Theorem 2 follows from (3.1).

REFERENCES

- 1. Joseph Lehner, The Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms belonging to a class of horocyclic groups, Michigan Math. J. 4 (1957), 265-279.
- 2. ——, The Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms on horocyclic groups, II, Michigan Math. J. 6 (1959), 173-193.
- 3. H. Petersson, Über automorphe Orthogonalfunktionen und die Konstruktion der automorphen Formen von positiver reeller Dimension, Math. Ann. 127 (1954), 33-81.
- 4. H. Poincaré, Mémoire sur les fonctions fuchsiennes, Acta Math. 1 (1882), 193-294.
- 5. H. S. Zuckerman, On the expansions of certain modular forms of positive dimension, Amer. J. Math. 62 (1940), 127-152.

Michigan State University

ai

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory