

## $p$ -MEMS EQUATION ON A BALL\*

D. CASTORINA<sup>†</sup>, P. ESPOSITO<sup>‡</sup>, AND B. SCIUNZI<sup>§</sup>

**Abstract.** We investigate qualitative properties of the MEMS equation involving the  $p$ -Laplace operator,  $1 < p \leq 2$ , on a ball  $B$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ . We establish uniqueness results for semi-stable solutions and stability (in a strict sense) of minimal solutions. In particular, along the minimal branch we show monotonicity of the first eigenvalue for the corresponding linearized operator and radial symmetry of the first eigenfunction.

**Key words.**

**AMS subject classifications.** 35B05, 35B65, 35J70

**1. Introduction and statement of the main results.** Let us consider the problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \frac{\lambda}{(1-u)^2} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u < 1 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

where  $\Delta_p(\cdot) = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla(\cdot)|^{p-2}\nabla(\cdot))$ ,  $p > 1$ , denotes the  $p$ -Laplace operator,  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , is a smooth domain.

For  $p = 2$  equation (1) arises in the study of Micro-Electromechanical Systems (MEMS), where electronics combines with micro-size mechanical devices to design various types of microscopic components of modern sensors in various areas. Mathematical modeling of MEMS devices has been studied rigourously just recently, see [7, 8, 9, 14, 15, 16, 19] and [10, 11, 12, 13] for the corresponding parabolic version.

We are interested here to establish some qualitative properties of semi-stable solutions of the quasilinear version (1) of the MEMS equation. In the semilinear context, this follows by comparison arguments which become highly non trivial when  $p$ -Laplace operator,  $p \neq 2$ , is involved.

Due to the singular/degenerate character of the elliptic operator  $\Delta_p$ , by [6, 17, 20] the best regularity for a weak-solution  $u$  of (1) is  $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ , for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . A classical solution  $u$  of (1) then will be a  $C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ -function,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , which satisfies the equation in a weak sense

$$(2) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} (\nabla u, \nabla \phi) \, dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi}{(1-u)^2} \, dx \quad \forall \phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Throughout the paper, a solution  $u$  of (1) is always assumed to be in a classical sense as specified here. Let us remark that for  $1 < p < 2$  solutions might be of class  $C^2$

---

\*Received May 14, 2008; accepted for publication October 21, 2008. Authors' research is supported by *MIUR Metodi variazionali ed equazioni differenziali nonlineari*.

<sup>†</sup>Università degli Studi di Perugia, Dipartimento di Matematica ed Informatica, V. Vanvitelli 1, Perugia, Italy (daniele.castorina@dipmat.unipg.it).

<sup>‡</sup>Università degli Studi Roma Tre, Dipartimento di Matematica, L. S. Leonardo Murialdo 1, Roma, Italy (esposito@mat.uniroma3.it).

<sup>§</sup>Università della Calabria, Dipartimento di Matematica, V. P. Bucci, Arcavacata di Rende (CS), Italy (sciunzi@mat.unical.it).

but the term  $|\nabla u|^{p-2}$  is singular where  $\nabla u$  vanishes. Therefore, also in this case, a classical solution is meant to satisfy the equation just in a weak sense.

We continue here the investigation of (1) we started in [2]. Setting

$$\lambda^* = \sup\{\lambda > 0 : (1) \text{ has a solution}\},$$

in [2] we showed that  $\lambda^* < +\infty$  and for every  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$  there is a minimal (and semi-stable) solution  $u_\lambda$  (i.e.  $u_\lambda$  is the smallest positive solution of (1) in a pointwise sense). Further, the family  $\{u_\lambda\}$  is non-decreasing in  $\lambda$  and the function

$$u^* = \lim_{\lambda \uparrow \lambda^*} u_\lambda$$

is a weak solution (in a suitable sense) of (1) at  $\lambda = \lambda^*$ . In low dimensions the function  $u^*$  satisfies  $\|u^*\|_\infty < 1$  and is then a classical solution.

To make things more precise, let us recall a few definitions. For  $1 < p \leq 2$  (the case we will be later concerned with) let  $\rho = |\nabla u|^{p-2}$  and introduce a weighted  $L^2$ -norm of the gradient:  $|\phi| = \left(\int_\Omega \rho |\nabla \phi|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . According to [4, 5], define  $\mathcal{A}_u$  as the following subspace of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_u = \{\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega) : |\phi| < +\infty\}.$$

Since  $\int_\Omega |\nabla \phi|^2 \leq \|\nabla u\|_\infty^{2-p} |\phi|^2$ , the space  $(\mathcal{A}_u, |\cdot|)$  is an Hilbert space. We can then give the following

DEFINITION 1.1. A solution  $u$  of (1) is **semi-stable** (resp. **stable**) if

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx + (p-2) \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-4} (\nabla u, \nabla \phi)^2 dx - 2\lambda \int_\Omega \frac{\phi^2}{(1-u)^3} dx \geq 0 \text{ (resp. } > 0)$$

for every  $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_u \setminus \{0\}$ .

The space  $\mathcal{A}_u$  allows to define the pair first eigenvalue/eigenfunction in the p-Laplace context as given by the following

THEOREM 1.2. ([2]) Let  $u$  be a solution of (1). The infimum

$$\mu_{1,\lambda}(u) := \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}_u \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx + (p-2) \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-4} (\nabla u, \nabla \phi)^2 dx - 2\lambda \int_\Omega \frac{\phi^2}{(1-u)^3} dx}{\int_\Omega \phi^2}$$

is attained at some function  $\phi_1 = \phi_{1,\lambda,u} > 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , and any other minimizer is proportional to  $\phi_1$ .

By duality a linearized operator  $L_u$  can be defined as an operator from  $\mathcal{A}_u$  into itself. The first eigenfunction solves  $L_u(\phi_1) = \mu_{1,\lambda}(u)\phi_1$  in a weak sense:

$$\begin{aligned} L_u(\phi_1)[\psi] &:= \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} (\nabla \phi_1, \nabla \psi) dx + (p-2) \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-4} (\nabla u, \nabla \phi_1) (\nabla u, \nabla \psi) dx \\ &\quad - 2\lambda \int_\Omega \frac{\phi_1 \psi}{(1-u)^3} dx \\ &= \mu_{1,\lambda}(u) \int_\Omega \phi_1 \psi dx. \end{aligned}$$

There are the following issues which were left open in [2]:

- uniqueness of  $u_\lambda$  among the semi-stable solutions of (1);
- stability of the minimal solution  $u_\lambda$ .

On the ball  $B := B(0, 1)$  there is a positive answer to these questions for  $1 < p \leq 2$ . In this case, by [3] any solution of (1) is radial and radially decreasing. Since  $u' \leq 0$ , the key property will be that the function  $s \rightarrow g(s) := |s|^{p-2}s$  is convex in  $(-\infty, 0]$  whenever  $1 < p \leq 2$ .

Some of our results make use of first eigenfunctions for the linearized operator. This is a first application of theorem 1.2 which in our opinion might have other useful consequences.

Our arguments work as well if we replace  $(1 - u)^{-2}$  with a general nondecreasing and nonnegative convex nonlinearity  $f(u)$ :

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda f(u) & \text{in } B \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B. \end{cases}$$

The function  $f(u)$  can be either smooth on  $[0, +\infty)$  or singular at  $u = 1$ . A classical solution  $u$  of (3) is meant to be bounded in the first case and to be  $< 1$  in the second one. Moreover, in the definition 1.1 we have to replace  $2(1 - u)^{-3}$  with  $f'(u)$ .

We have the following uniqueness result

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Let us assume  $1 < p \leq 2$  and let  $u$  be a semi-stable solution of problem (3) on  $B$ . Then  $u \equiv u_\lambda$  where  $u_\lambda$  is the minimal solution.*

We now investigate the properties of the first eigenvalue  $\mu_{1,\lambda}(u)$  and the corresponding eigenfunction  $\phi_{1,\lambda,u}$ , which is the content of the following

**THEOREM 1.4.** *On  $B$   $\phi_{1,\lambda,u}$  is radial and radially decreasing with  $\phi'_{1,\lambda,u}(r) < 0$  for  $r \in (0, 1]$ . The first eigenvalue is strictly decreasing along the minimal branch:  $\mu_\lambda := \mu_{1,\lambda}(u_\lambda) \downarrow$  as  $\lambda \uparrow \lambda^*$ . In particular,  $\mu_\lambda > 0$  for every  $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$  and  $u_\lambda$  is a stable solution of (3) on  $B$ .*

We are able to prove a stronger uniqueness property for problem (3) when the first eigenvalue is zero, as highlighted by this

**THEOREM 1.5.** *Let  $1 < p \leq 2$ . Let  $u$  be a solution of problem (3) so that  $\mu_{1,\lambda}(u) = 0$ . Then,  $\lambda = \lambda^*$ ,  $u = u^*$  and any other solution  $v$  of (3) coincides with  $u$ .*

Let us stress that theorem 1.5 might be established in a more general way by the arguments in [1, 18] based directly on the definition of  $\lambda^*$ . We do not pursue this approach since we prefer a more classical one based on comparison arguments.

In the next sections we will give the proofs of theorems 1.3 through 1.5.

**2. Proof of theorem 1.3.** Let  $u$  be a semi-stable solution of (3). By [3] we know that  $u$  is radial, radially decreasing and have an unique critical point at the origin with  $u'(r) \approx r^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . In particular,  $u' < 0$  in  $(0, 1)$ . Since  $u'_\lambda$  and  $u'$  behave as  $r^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ , it is easily seen that  $u, u_\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_u \cap W_0^{1,p}(B)$ . Therefore,  $u_\lambda - u$  can be used as a test function both in the equation and in the linearized operator at  $u$ .

By taking  $u_\lambda - u$  as test function in (2) we get

$$\int_B |\nabla u|^{p-2} (\nabla u, \nabla(u_\lambda - u)) \, dx = \lambda \int_B f(u)(u_\lambda - u) \, dx$$

and

$$\int_B |\nabla u_\lambda|^{p-2} (\nabla u_\lambda, \nabla(u_\lambda - u)) \, dx = \lambda \int_B f(u_\lambda)(u_\lambda - u) \, dx.$$

Taking into account radial symmetry, the difference leads to

$$0 = \int_B (|u'_\lambda|^{p-2} u'_\lambda - |u'|^{p-2} u')(u'_\lambda - u') \, dx - \lambda \int_B (f(u_\lambda) - f(u))(u_\lambda - u) \, dx.$$

Since  $f(u_\lambda) \geq f(u) + f'(u)(u_\lambda - u)$  by convexity, we have that

$$0 \geq \int_B (|u'_\lambda|^{p-2} u'_\lambda - |u'|^{p-2} u')(u'_\lambda - u') \, dx - \lambda \int_B f'(u)(u_\lambda - u)^2 \, dx$$

in view of  $u_\lambda \leq u$  by minimality of  $u_\lambda$ . Since in  $(0, 1)$

$$-(r^{N-1}|u'_\lambda|^{p-2}u'_\lambda)' = \lambda r^{N-1} f(u_\lambda) \leq \lambda r^{N-1} f(u) = -(r^{N-1}|u'|^{p-2}u')',$$

for  $0 < \varepsilon < r < 1$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} & r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}u'(r) - \varepsilon^{N-1}|u'(\varepsilon)|^{p-2}u'(\varepsilon) \\ & \leq r^{N-1}|u'_\lambda(r)|^{p-2}u'_\lambda(r) - \varepsilon^{N-1}|u'_\lambda(\varepsilon)|^{p-2}u'_\lambda(\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

and by letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  it follows

$$(4) \quad |u'(r)|^{p-2}u'(r) \leq |u'_\lambda(r)|^{p-2}u'_\lambda(r) \quad \text{in } (0, 1).$$

Since  $u', u'_\lambda < 0$  in  $(0, 1)$ , it gives  $|u'(r)| \geq |u'_\lambda(r)|$  or equivalently  $u'(r) \leq u'_\lambda(r)$  for every  $r \in (0, 1)$ .

We now take into account that the function  $g(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$  is strictly convex in  $(-\infty, 0)$  for  $1 < p < 2$ . Therefore, in  $(0, 1)$  we have

$$(|u'_\lambda|^{p-2}u'_\lambda - |u'|^{p-2}u')(u' - u'_\lambda) > (p-1)|u'|^{p-2}(u'_\lambda - u')$$

whenever  $u' < u'_\lambda$ . Since  $u' \leq u'_\lambda$  in  $(0, 1)$ , if  $u \neq u_\lambda$  in turn we get

$$(5) \quad 0 > \int_B (p-1)|u'|^{p-2}(u'_\lambda - u')^2 - \lambda f'(u)(u_\lambda - u)^2 \, dx.$$

At the same time, by the semi-stability of  $u$  we have

$$(6) \quad \int_B (p-1)|u'|^{p-2}(u'_\lambda - u')^2 - \lambda f'(u)(u_\lambda - u)^2 \, dx \geq 0$$

and a contradiction arises unless  $u = u_\lambda$ .

Consider now the case  $p = 2$ . Since now  $g(s)$  is linear, we have only  $\geq$  in (5). However, if  $\mu_{1,\lambda}(u) > 0$  we have a strict inequality in (6) and a contradiction still arises unless  $u = u_\lambda$ .

We have therefore to deal with the case  $p = 2$ ,  $\mu_{1,\lambda}(u) = 0$  and  $u \neq u_\lambda$ : by the variational characterization of the first eigenvalue it follows that  $u - u_\lambda = \beta\phi_1$ ,  $\beta > 0$ ,

where  $\phi_1$  is the (positive) first eigenfunction of the linearized operator  $L_u$ . We define in this case

$$G(t) = -\Delta(tu+(1-t)u_\lambda) - \lambda f(tu+(1-t)u_\lambda) = \lambda [tf(u)+(1-t)f(u_\lambda) - f(tu+(1-t)u_\lambda)].$$

Since  $f$  is convex, then  $G(t) \geq 0$ . Since

$$G'(t) = -\Delta(u - u_\lambda) - \lambda f'(tu + (1 - t)u_\lambda)(u - u_\lambda)$$

and  $u - u_\lambda = \beta\phi_1$ , we have that

$$G'(1) = -\Delta(u - u_\lambda) - \lambda f'(u)(u - u_\lambda) = 0.$$

Also,  $G''(t) = -\lambda f''(tu + (1 - t)u_\lambda)(u - u_\lambda)^2 < 0$  thanks to the convexity of  $f$ . But this is not consistent with  $G(1) = 0$ ,  $G'(1) = 0$  and  $G(t) \geq 0$ . The proof is done.  $\square$

**3. Proof of theorem 1.4.** Let us consider a hyperplane  $P$ , passing trough the origin. Setting for simplicity  $\phi_1 = \phi_{1,\lambda,u}$ , define  $\phi_1^P(x) = \phi_1(x_P)$  where  $x_P$  is symmetric to  $x$  with respect to the hyperplane  $P$ . Since  $u$  is radial, it follows that  $\phi_1^P$  still minimizes the quotient in theorem 1.2 and is then proportional to  $\phi_1$ :  $\phi_1^P = \beta\phi_1$ . Since  $\phi_1^P$  and  $\phi_1$  coincide on  $P$ , it follows that  $\beta = 1$  and  $\phi_1^P = \phi_1$ , that is  $\phi_1$  is symmetric with respect to  $P$ . Since  $P$  is arbitrary chosen, it follows that  $\phi_1$  is radial.

Let us now show that  $\phi_1'(r) < 0$  for  $r \in (0, 1]$ .

Note that, since  $\phi_1$  is radial as we showed above, then it fulfills the following equation

$$(7) \quad -(p-1)(r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r))' = r^{N-1}(\lambda f'(u(r))\phi_1(r) + \mu_\lambda\phi_1(r))$$

where  $\mu_\lambda := \mu_{1,\lambda}(u_\lambda) \geq 0$ . Since  $f'$  is positive, we therefore have that

the term  $r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r)$  is decreasing for  $r \in (0, 1]$ .

Also by (7), we get

$$(8) \quad \frac{(r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r))'}{r^{N-1}} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0} c,$$

and exploiting de l'Hôpital we get that

$$(9) \quad \frac{r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r)}{r^N} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0} c,$$

and therefore

$$\text{the term } r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } r \rightarrow 0.$$

Since as showed above  $r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r)$  is decreasing for  $r \in (0, 1]$ , then  $r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r) < \varepsilon^{N-1}|u'(\varepsilon)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(\varepsilon)$  for  $0 < \varepsilon < r \leq 1$ . Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we get

$$r^{N-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\phi_1'(r) < 0$$

for  $r \in (0, 1]$ , showing the thesis.

To prove monotonicity of the first eigenvalue, we start noticing that  $u_\lambda \leq u_\beta$  for  $\lambda < \beta$  yields to  $u'_\beta \leq u'_\lambda < 0$  in  $(0, 1)$  with the same argument as in (4). Let us

assume that the first eigenfunctions  $\phi_\lambda := \phi_{1,\lambda,u_\lambda}$  and  $\phi_\beta := \phi_{1,\beta,u_\beta}$  are normalized to have

$$\int_B \phi_\lambda^2 = \int_B \phi_\beta^2 = 1.$$

Since  $u_\lambda, u_\beta, \phi_\lambda$  and  $\phi_\beta$  are radial, we now have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\beta &\leq (p-1) \int_B |u'_\beta|^{p-2} (\phi'_\lambda)^2 dx - \beta \int_B f'(u_\beta) \phi_\lambda^2 dx \\ &< (p-1) \int_B |u'_\lambda|^{p-2} (\phi'_\lambda)^2 dx - \lambda \int_B f'(u_\lambda) \phi_\lambda^2 dx = \mu_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

in view of  $u_\lambda \neq u_\beta$ , and the thesis follows.

**4. Proof of theorem 1.5.** Let  $u$  be a solution of (3) so that  $\mu_{1,\lambda}(u) = 0$ . First, we have that  $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$ . Indeed, for  $\lambda < \lambda^*$  by theorem 1.3 we would have that  $u \equiv u_\lambda$  and then  $\mu_{1,\lambda}(u) > 0$  by theorem 1.4. Since by the definition of  $\lambda^*$   $\lambda \leq \lambda^*$ , we get that  $\lambda = \lambda^*$ . Since  $u^* \leq u$  and  $u$  is a classical solution, we get that also  $u^*$  is a classical solution and by theorem 1.3  $u = u^*$ .

Let  $v$  be another solution of (3) and let  $\phi_1$  be the first eigenfunction of  $L_u$ . Define

$$\hat{G}(t) := \int_B |tv' + (1-t)u'|^{p-2} (tv' + (1-t)u') \phi'_1 dx - \lambda \int_B f(tv + (1-t)u) \phi_1 dx.$$

By the radial symmetry of  $u, v, \phi_1$  and the convexity of  $g(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$  in  $(-\infty, 0)$  for  $1 < p \leq 2$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{G}(t) &= \int_B g(tv' + (1-t)u') \phi'_1 dx - \lambda \int_B f(tv + (1-t)u) \phi_1 dx \\ &\geq t \int_B g(v') \phi'_1 dx + (1-t) \int_B g(u') \phi'_1 dx - \lambda \int_B f(tv + (1-t)u) \phi_1 dx \\ &= \lambda \int_B [tf(v) + (1-t)f(u) - f(tv + (1-t)u)] \phi_1 dx \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

in view of  $\phi'_1 \leq 0$  by theorem 1.4. Let us now note that  $\hat{G}(0) = 0$  by the equation satisfied by  $u$ . Compute now the first derivative

$$\hat{G}'(t) = (p-1) \int_B |tv' + (1-t)u'|^{p-2} (v' - u') \phi'_1 dx - \lambda f'(tv + (1-t)u) (v-u) \phi_1 dx.$$

Since  $L_u(\phi_1) = \mu_{1,\lambda}(u)\phi_1 = 0$  and  $v-u \in \mathcal{A}_u$ , we get that  $\hat{G}'(0) = 0$ . By  $\hat{G}(0) = \hat{G}'(0) = 0$  and  $\hat{G}(t) \geq 0$ , it follows  $\hat{G}''(0) \geq 0$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{G}''(0) &= (p-1)(p-2) \int_B |u'|^{p-4} u' (v' - u')^2 \phi'_1 - \lambda f''(u) (v-u)^2 \phi_1 dx \\ &\leq -\lambda \int_B f''(u) (v-u)^2 \phi_1 dx \end{aligned}$$

in view of  $u', \phi'_1 \leq 0$  and  $1 < p \leq 2$ . Since  $f'' > 0, \lambda > 0$  and  $\phi_1 > 0$  a.e. in  $B$  it follows that  $\hat{G}''(0) < 0$  unless  $u = v$ . Therefore the thesis follows.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. CASSANI AND J. M. DO O AND N. GHOUSSOUB, *On a fourth order elliptic problem with a singular nonlinearity*, J. Adv. Nonlinear Studies, to appear.
- [2] D. CASTORINA, P. ESPOSITO AND B. SCIUNZI, *Degenerate elliptic equations with singular nonlinearities*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 34 (2009), pp. 279–306.
- [3] L. DAMASCELLI AND F. PACELLA, *Monotonicity and symmetry of solutions of  $p$ -Laplace equations,  $1 < p < 2$ , via the moving plane method*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4), 26 (1998), pp. 689–707.
- [4] L. DAMASCELLI AND B. SCIUNZI, *Regularity, monotonicity and symmetry of positive solutions of  $m$ -Laplace equations*, J. Differential Equations, 206 (2004), pp. 483–515.
- [5] L. DAMASCELLI AND B. SCIUNZI, *Harnack inequalities, maximum and comparison principles, and regularity of positive solutions of  $m$ -Laplace equations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 25 (2006), pp. 139–159.
- [6] E. DI BENEDETTO,  *$C^{1+\alpha}$  local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal., 7 (1983), pp. 827–850.
- [7] P. ESPOSITO, *Compactness of a nonlinear eigenvalue problem with a singular nonlinearity*, Commun. Contemp. Math., 10 (2008), pp. 17–45.
- [8] P. ESPOSITO, N. GHOUSSOUB AND Y. GUO, *Compactness along the branch of semi-stable and unstable solutions for an elliptic problem with a singular nonlinearity*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 60 (2007), pp. 1731–1768.
- [9] N. GHOUSSOUB AND Y. GUO, *On the partial differential equations of electrostatic MEMS devices: stationary case*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 38 (2006/2007), pp. 1423–1449.
- [10] N. GHOUSSOUB AND Y. GUO, *On the partial differential equations of electrostatic MEMS devices II: dynamic case*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 15 (2008), pp. 115–145.
- [11] N. GHOUSSOUB AND Y. GUO, *Estimates for the quenching time of a parabolic equation modeling electrostatic MEMS*, Methods Appl. Anal., to appear.
- [12] Y. GUO, *On the partial differential equations of electrostatic MEMS devices III: refined touch-down behavior*, J. Differential Equations, 244 (2008), pp. 2277–2309.
- [13] Y. GUO, *Global solutions of singular parabolic equations arising from electrostatic MEMS*, J. Differential Equations, 245 (2008), pp. 809–844.
- [14] Z. GUO AND J. WEI, *Hausdorff dimension of ruptures for solutions of a semilinear elliptic equation with singular nonlinearity*, Manuscripta Math., 120 (2006), pp. 193–209.
- [15] Z. GUO AND J. WEI, *Infinitely many turning points for an elliptic problem with a singular nonlinearity*, J. London Math. Soc., 78 (2008), pp. 21–35.
- [16] Z. GUO AND J. WEI, *Asymptotic behavior of touch-down solutions and global bifurcations for an elliptic problem with a singular nonlinearity*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 7 (2008), pp. 765–786.
- [17] G. M. LIEBERMAN, *Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal., 12 (1988), pp. 1203–1219.
- [18] Y. MARTEL, *Uniqueness of weak extremal solutions of nonlinear elliptic problems*, Houston J. Math., 23 (1997)pp. 161–168.
- [19] F. MIGNOT AND J.P. PUEL, *Sur une classe de problèmes non linéaires avec non linéarité positive, croissante, convexe*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 5 (1980), pp. 791–836.
- [20] P. TOLKSDORF, *Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations*, J. Differential Equations, 51 (1984), pp. 126–150.

