

TOPOLOGICAL TRIVIALITY OF LINEAR DEFORMATIONS WITH CONSTANT LÊ NUMBERS

CHRISTOPHE EYRAL AND MARIA APARECIDA SOARES RUAS

Abstract

Let $f(t, \mathbf{z}) = f_0(\mathbf{z}) + tg(\mathbf{z})$ be a holomorphic function defined in a neighbourhood of the origin in $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^n$. It is well known that if the one-parameter deformation family $\{f_t\}$ defined by the function f is a μ -constant family of isolated singularities, then $\{f_t\}$ is topologically trivial—a result of A. Parusiński. It is also known that Parusiński's result does not extend to families of non-isolated singularities in the sense that the constancy of the Lê numbers of f_t at $\mathbf{0}$, as t varies, does not imply the topological triviality of the family $\{f_t\}$ in general—a result of J. Fernández de Bobadilla. In this paper, we show that Parusiński's result generalizes all the same to families of non-isolated singularities if the Lê numbers of the function f itself are defined and constant along the strata of an analytic stratification of $\mathbf{C} \times (f_0^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0))$. Actually, it suffices to consider the strata that contain a critical point of f .

1. Introduction

Let U be an open neighbourhood of the origin in \mathbf{C}^n ($n \geq 2$), D an open disc about the origin in \mathbf{C} , $(t, \mathbf{z}) := (t, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ linear coordinates for $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^n$, and

$$f : (D \times U, D \times \{\mathbf{0}\}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}, 0), \quad (t, \mathbf{z}) \mapsto f_t(\mathbf{z}) := f(t, \mathbf{z}),$$

a holomorphic function. (This notation implies $f(t, \mathbf{0}) = 0$ for all $t \in D$.) We assume that the dimension of the critical locus Σ_{f_t} of f_t at $\mathbf{0}$ is ≥ 0 (i.e., f_t has a critical point at $\mathbf{0}$) and constant as t varies. As usual, we write $V(f_t)$ for the hypersurface $f_t^{-1}(0)$ defined by the function f_t . In [9], Lê Dũng Tráng and C. P. Ramanujam showed that if the one-parameter deformation family $\{f_t\}$ defined by f is a μ -constant family of isolated singularities and if, furthermore, $n \neq 3$, then the corresponding family of hypersurfaces $\{V(f_t)\}$ is topologically trivial.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32S15, 32S25, 32S05.

Key words and phrases. Hypersurface singularity; linear deformation; Lê numbers; Thom's a_f condition; topological triviality.

Partially supported by CNPq grants # 305651/2011-0 and # 451647/2015-7, and by FAPESP grant # 2014/00304-2.

Received June 18, 2014; revised August 11, 2015.

That is, there exists a continuous function $\varphi : (D \times U, D \times \{\mathbf{0}\}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ defined in a neighbourhood of the origin $(0, \mathbf{0}) \in \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^n$ such that, for all sufficiently small t , there is an open neighbourhood $U_t \subseteq U$ of $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbf{C}^n$ such that the map $\varphi_t : (U_t, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (\varphi(\{t\} \times U_t), \mathbf{0})$ defined by $\varphi_t(\mathbf{z}) := \varphi(t, \mathbf{z})$ is a homeomorphism sending $V(f_0) \cap U_t$ onto $V(f_t) \cap \varphi_t(U_t)$. (We recall that a family of isolated singularities $\{f_t\}$ is said to be μ -constant if, for all sufficiently small t , the Milnor number of f_t at $\mathbf{0}$ is independent of t .) Under the same assumption, J. G. Timourian [18] showed that the family of functions $\{f_t\}$ itself is topologically trivial too—that is, $f_0 = f_t \circ \varphi_t$ for all small t . In both cases, the restriction $n \neq 3$ comes from the use of the h -cobordism theorem. In [14], D. Massey asked whether the constancy of the Lê numbers $\lambda_{f_t, \mathbf{z}}^i(\mathbf{0})$ of f_t at $\mathbf{0}$ with respect to the coordinates \mathbf{z} , as t varies, is strong enough to imply that the local, ambient topological type of the hypersurfaces $V(f_t)$ remains constant in the case where $\{f_t\}$ is a family of non-isolated singularities. (We recall that the Lê numbers generalize to non-isolated singularities the data given by the Milnor number for an isolated singularity.) In [5], J. Fernández de Bobadilla answered positively this question when $n \geq 5$ and each f_t has an 1-dimensional critical set—actually, he showed that the family of functions $\{f_t\}$ itself is topologically trivial. On the other hand, he showed in [4] that, in general, for higher-dimensional critical sets, the constancy of the Lê numbers $\lambda_{f_t, \mathbf{z}}^i(\mathbf{0})$ does not imply the constancy of the topological type, even for families which are linear in t —that is, of the form $f_t(\mathbf{z}) = f_0(\mathbf{z}) + tg(\mathbf{z})$, where $g : (U, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}, 0)$ is a holomorphic function. In [17], A. Parusiński proved that if $\{f_t\}$ is a μ -constant *linear* deformation family of isolated singularities, then it is topologically trivial without any restriction on the integer n . Parusiński's approach does not use the h -cobordism theorem. His result is a consequence of Theorem 1.1 below—also due to Parusiński [17]—and a theorem of Lê Dũng Tráng and K. Saito [10] which says that, for a family of isolated singularities, the t -axis $D \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$ satisfies Thom's a_f condition at the origin with respect to the ambient stratum if this family is μ -constant.

THEOREM 1.1 (Parusiński). *Suppose that f is of the form $f(t, \mathbf{z}) = f_0(\mathbf{z}) + tg(\mathbf{z})$. If, in a neighbourhood of the origin,*

$$(1.1) \quad |g(\mathbf{z})| \ll \|\text{grad } f(t, \mathbf{z})\|_\infty \quad \text{as } (t, \mathbf{z}) \rightarrow D \times (f_0^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0)),$$

then the family $\{f_t\}$ is topologically trivial.

Note that, in this theorem, the singularities may be non-isolated.

As usual, $\text{grad } f(t, \mathbf{z})$ is the gradient vector of f at (t, \mathbf{z}) . If $h(t, \mathbf{z})$ denotes the real number $\|\text{grad } f(t, \mathbf{z})\|_\infty$, then (1.1) means

$$h^{-1}(0) \subseteq D \times g^{-1}(0),$$

in a neighbourhood of $D \times (f_0^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0))$, and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g \circ \gamma_2(s)}{h \circ \gamma(s)} = 0$$

for any holomorphic curve $\gamma: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow D \times U$, $s \mapsto \gamma(s) := (\gamma_1(s), \gamma_2(s))$, not contained in the critical locus Σf of f in a neighbourhood of $\gamma(0)$, with $\gamma(0) \in D \times (f_0^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0))$.

In the present paper, we show that any linear deformation f of a (possibly non-isolated) hypersurface singularity f_0 is topologically trivial if the Lê numbers of f are defined and constant along the strata of an analytic stratification of $D \times (f_0^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0))$. Actually, it suffices the Lê numbers are constant along the strata that contain a point of Σf . See Theorem 4.1 below. The proof is a combination of Parusiński's theorem (Theorem 1.1) and a generalization of the Lê-Saito theorem to non-isolated singularities due to D. Massey (cf. Theorem 3.2). But before to get to the heart of this paper, let us briefly remind the definition of the Lê numbers.

2. Lê numbers

The Lê numbers generalize to non-isolated hypersurface singularities the data given by the Milnor number for an isolated singularity. They were introduced about 25 years ago by D. Massey in [12, 13, 14, 15]. They are intersection numbers of certain analytic cycles—so-called *Lê cycles*—with certain affine subspaces. As the definition of the Lê cycles involves the notion of gap sheaf, we shall start this section by explaining what ‘analytic cycle’ and ‘gap sheaf’ mean. We follow the presentation given in [12, 13, 14, 15].

2.1. Analytic cycles. Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a complex analytic space. An *analytic cycle* in X is a finite formal sum $\sum m_V[V]$, where the V 's are distinct irreducible analytic subsets of X and the m_V 's are non-zero integers. The analytic cycle *associated* to X is the cycle $[X] := \sum m_V[V]$ obtained when the V 's run over all the irreducible components of X and when the m_V 's are defined as follows. Take a point $\mathbf{x} \in V$, and consider the germ $V_{\mathbf{x}}$ of V at \mathbf{x} . Then, if $V_{\mathbf{x}}^0$ is any irreducible germ component of $V_{\mathbf{x}}$, the integer m_V is defined to be the length of the local ring of X at \mathbf{x} localized at the prime ideal corresponding to $V_{\mathbf{x}}^0$. Of course, this definition is independent of the choices of the point \mathbf{x} and the component $V_{\mathbf{x}}^0$. (Hereafter, we shall always use brackets $[\cdot]$ to denote analytic cycles.)

If V and W are irreducible analytic subsets of a connected complex analytic manifold M , then we say that V and W intersect properly in M if, for each irreducible component Z of $V \cap W$, we have:

$$\text{codim}_M Z = \text{codim}_M V + \text{codim}_M W.$$

When this is the case, the intersection product of $[V]$ and $[W]$ in M (denoted by $[V] \cdot [W]$) is characterized axiomatically by four properties: openness, transversality, projection and continuity (see [15, Appendix A] and [7, Example 11.4.4] for details). Now, if $\sum m_i[V_i]$ and $\sum n_j[W_j]$ are two cycles in M intersecting

properly (i.e., V_i and W_j intersect properly for all i, j), then we define their *intersection product* as

$$\left(\sum m_i[V_i]\right) \cdot \left(\sum n_j[W_j]\right) := \sum m_i n_j([V_i] \cdot [W_j]).$$

Finally, if C_1 and C_2 are two cycles intersecting properly and if $C_1 \cdot C_2 = \sum p_k[Z_k]$, then the *intersection number* of C_1 and C_2 at Z_k (denoted by $(C_1 \cdot C_2)_{Z_k}$) is defined to be the integer p_k . In other words, $(C_1 \cdot C_2)_{Z_k}$ represents the number of times Z_k occurs in the intersection, counted with multiplicity.

2.2. Gap sheaves. Let again (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a complex analytic space, $W \subseteq X$ an analytic subset of X , and \mathcal{I} a coherent sheaf of ideals in \mathcal{O}_X . As usual, we shall denote by $V(\mathcal{I})$ the analytic space defined by the vanishing of \mathcal{I} . At each point $\mathbf{x} \in V(\mathcal{I})$, we want to consider scheme-theoretically those components of $V(\mathcal{I})$ which are not contained in W . For this purpose, we look at a minimal primary decomposition of the stalk $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{x}}$ of \mathcal{I} in the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathbf{x}}$, and we consider the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{x}} \dashv W$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathbf{x}}$ consisting of the intersection of those (possibly embedded) primary components Q of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{x}}$ such that $V(Q) \not\subseteq W$. If S is the multiplicatively closed set

$$\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathbf{x}} \setminus \bigcup P,$$

where the union is taken over all the associated prime ideals P of $\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathbf{x}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{x}}$ such that $V(P) \not\subseteq W$, then

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{x}} \dashv W = S^{-1} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{x}} \cap \mathcal{O}_{X, \mathbf{x}},$$

where $S^{-1} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{x}}$ is the ring of fractions of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{x}}$ with denominators in S . In particular, the definition of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{x}} \dashv W$ does not depend on the choice of the minimal primary decomposition of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{x}}$. Now, if we perform the operation described above at the point \mathbf{x} simultaneously at all points of $V(\mathcal{I})$, then we obtain a coherent sheaf of ideals called a *gap sheaf* and denoted by $\mathcal{I} \dashv W$. Hereafter, we shall denote by $V(\mathcal{I}) \dashv W$ the scheme (i.e., the complex analytic space) $V(\mathcal{I} \dashv W)$ defined by the vanishing of the gap sheaf $\mathcal{I} \dashv W$.

2.3. Lê cycles and Lê numbers. Now, we are ready to define the Lê cycles and the Lê numbers. Consider a holomorphic function $h : (U, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, where U is an open neighbourhood of $\mathbf{0}$ in \mathbb{C}^n , and fix a system of linear coordinates $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ for \mathbb{C}^n . Let Σh be the critical locus of h . For $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, the *i-th (relative) polar variety of h with respect to \mathbf{z}* is the scheme

$$\Gamma_{h, \mathbf{z}}^i := V\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial z_{i+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial h}{\partial z_n}\right) \dashv \Sigma h.$$

Clearly, for $i = 0$, $\Gamma_{h, \mathbf{z}}^0 = \emptyset$. Also, it can be shown easily that

$$\left(\Gamma_{h, \mathbf{z}}^{i+1} \cap V\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial z_{i+1}}\right)\right) \dashv \Sigma h = \Gamma_{h, \mathbf{z}}^i$$

as schemes, and therefore, all the components of the cycle

$$(2.1) \quad \left[\Gamma_{h,z}^{i+1} \cap V\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial z_{i+1}}\right) \right] - [\Gamma_{h,z}^i]$$

are contained in Σh . The cycle (2.1) is called the *i-th Lê cycle of h with respect to z*. It is denoted by $[\Lambda_{h,z}^i]$.

DEFINITION 2.1. The *i-th Lê number* $\lambda_{h,z}^i(\mathbf{p})$ of h at $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ with respect to the coordinates system \mathbf{z} is defined to be the intersection number

$$(2.2) \quad \lambda_{h,z}^i(\mathbf{p}) := ([\Lambda_{h,z}^i] \cdot [V(z_1 - p_1, \dots, z_i - p_i)])_{\mathbf{p}},$$

provided that this intersection is 0-dimensional or empty at \mathbf{p} ; otherwise, we say that $\lambda_{h,z}^i(\mathbf{p})$ is *undefined*.

For $i = 0$, the relation (2.2) means

$$\lambda_{h,z}^0(\mathbf{p}) = ([\Lambda_{h,z}^0] \cdot U)_{\mathbf{p}} = \left[\Gamma_{h,z}^1 \cap V\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial z_1}\right) \right]_{\mathbf{p}}.$$

The last term is also equal to the intersection number

$$\left([\Gamma_{h,z}^1] \cdot \left[V\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial z_1}\right) \right] \right)_{\mathbf{p}},$$

whenever $\Gamma_{h,z}^1$ is 1-dimensional at \mathbf{p} .

For $\dim_{\mathbf{p}} \Sigma h < i \leq n - 1$, $\lambda_{h,z}^i(\mathbf{p}) = 0$. For this reason, we usually only consider the Lê numbers

$$\lambda_{h,z}^0(\mathbf{p}), \dots, \lambda_{h,z}^{\dim_{\mathbf{p}} \Sigma h}(\mathbf{p}).$$

If \mathbf{p} is an isolated singularity of h , then $\lambda_{h,z}^0(\mathbf{p})$ (which is the only possible non-zero Lê number) equals the Milnor number of h at \mathbf{p} .

We conclude this section with the definition of the polar numbers.

DEFINITION 2.2. The *i-th polar number* $\gamma_{h,z}^i(\mathbf{p})$ of h at $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ with respect to the coordinates system \mathbf{z} is defined to be the intersection number

$$\gamma_{h,z}^i(\mathbf{p}) := ([\Gamma_{h,z}^i] \cdot [V(z_1 - p_1, \dots, z_i - p_i)])_{\mathbf{p}},$$

provided that this intersection is 0-dimensional or empty at \mathbf{p} ; otherwise, we say that $\gamma_{h,z}^i(\mathbf{p})$ is *undefined*.

Note that $\gamma_{h,z}^0(\mathbf{p})$ is always defined and equal to 0.

Remark 2.3. For a generic choice of coordinates \mathbf{z} , for any point $\mathbf{p} \in V(h)$ near $\mathbf{0}$ and for any $0 \leq i \leq \dim_{\mathbf{p}} \Sigma h$, the Lê number $\lambda_{h,z}^i(\mathbf{p})$ and the polar number $\gamma_{h,z}^i(\mathbf{p})$ exist (cf. [14, Proposition 10.2 and Theorem 1.28]).

3. $\lambda_{(t,z)}$ -constant linear deformations and Thom's a_f condition

Now, let us come back to the situation described in Section 1, where U is an open neighbourhood of $\mathbf{0}$ in \mathbf{C}^n , D an open disc about 0 in \mathbf{C} , (t, \mathbf{z}) linear coordinates for $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^n$, and $f(t, \mathbf{z})$ a holomorphic function on $D \times U$ such that $f(t, \mathbf{0}) = 0$ and $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma f_t$ is ≥ 0 and constant as t varies. Furthermore, in this section, we suppose that f is *linear* in t , that is,

$$f(t, \mathbf{z}) = f_0(\mathbf{z}) + tg(\mathbf{z}).$$

By shrinking D and U , we may assume $\Sigma f \subseteq f^{-1}(0)$. In particular, this implies $\Sigma f \subseteq D \times (f_0^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0))$.

We fix an arbitrary analytic stratification \mathcal{S} of $f_0^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0)$.

DEFINITION 3.1. We say that the deformation f is $\lambda_{(t,z)}$ -constant with respect to the stratification \mathcal{S} if, for any point $\mathbf{q}_0 := (a_0, \mathbf{p}_0)$ in the critical locus Σf of f and for any integer i , $0 \leq i \leq \dim_{\mathbf{q}_0} \Sigma f$, the i -th L  number $\lambda_{f, (t,z)}^i(\mathbf{q})$ of f at \mathbf{q} with respect to the coordinates (t, \mathbf{z}) is defined and independent of \mathbf{q} for all $\mathbf{q} := (a, \mathbf{p}) \in D \times S(\mathbf{p}_0)$ near \mathbf{q}_0 , where $S(\mathbf{p}_0)$ is the stratum of \mathcal{S} containing \mathbf{p}_0 .

In [14, Corollary 6.6], D. Massey proved the following theorem. (Actually, the statement given by Massey is much more general than the one given below, which is restricted to our situation.)

THEOREM 3.2 (Massey). *If the deformation f is $\lambda_{(t,z)}$ -constant with respect to the stratification \mathcal{S} , then, for any $\mathbf{q}_0 := (a_0, \mathbf{p}_0) \in \Sigma f$, the submanifold $D \times S(\mathbf{p}_0)$ of $D \times (f_0^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0))$ containing \mathbf{q}_0 satisfies Thom's a_f condition at \mathbf{q}_0 with respect to the ambient stratum. That is, if $\{\mathbf{q}_k\}$ is a sequence of points in $(D \times U) \setminus \Sigma f$ such that*

$$\mathbf{q}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{q}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad T_{\mathbf{q}_k} V(f - f(\mathbf{q}_k)) \rightarrow T,$$

then $T_{\mathbf{q}_0}(D \times S(\mathbf{p}_0)) \subseteq T$.

As usual, $T_{\mathbf{q}_k} V(f - f(\mathbf{q}_k))$ denotes the tangent space at \mathbf{q}_k to the level hypersurface in $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^n$ defined by $f(t, \mathbf{z}) = f(\mathbf{q}_k)$, and $T_{\mathbf{q}_0}(D \times S(\mathbf{p}_0))$ the tangent space at $\mathbf{q}_0 = (a_0, \mathbf{p}_0)$ to $D \times S(\mathbf{p}_0)$.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let $\mathbf{q}_0 := (a_0, \mathbf{p}_0)$ be any point in Σf , and let $S(\mathbf{p}_0)$ be the stratum of \mathcal{S} containing \mathbf{p}_0 . If $D \times S(\mathbf{p}_0)$ satisfies Thom's a_f condition at \mathbf{q}_0 with respect to the ambient stratum, then*

$$|g(\mathbf{z})| \ll \|\text{grad } f(t, \mathbf{z})\|_\infty \quad \text{as } (t, \mathbf{z}) \rightarrow (a_0, \mathbf{p}_0).$$

Proof. First, we observe that if $D \times S(\mathbf{p}_0)$ satisfies Thom's a_f condition at \mathbf{q}_0 with respect to the ambient stratum, then, for any holomorphic curve

$$\gamma : (\mathbf{C}, 0) \rightarrow (D \times U, \mathbf{q}_0), \quad s \mapsto \gamma(s) := (\gamma_1(s), \gamma_2(s))$$

not contained in Σf in an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of \mathbf{q}_0 , we have

$$(3.1) \quad \text{ord}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \circ \gamma\right) > \inf_{1 \leq i \leq n} \text{ord}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i} \circ \gamma\right),$$

where $\text{ord}(\cdot)$ is the order in s at 0. To show this, we proceed as in [3, Lemma 2.2]. Suppose there is a holomorphic curve γ for which the condition (3.1) does not hold. Then, the limit, as $s \rightarrow 0$, of the projective class of

$$\text{grad } f(\gamma(s)) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(\gamma(s)), \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}(\gamma(s)), \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}(\gamma(s)) \right)$$

has the form $[w : v_1 : \dots : v_n]$ with $w \neq 0$, and therefore $D \times S(\mathbf{p}_0)$ does not satisfy Thom's a_f condition along the curve γ —a contradiction. Now, as f is linear in t ,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \circ \gamma(s) = g \circ \gamma_2(s),$$

and hence, by (3.1),

$$|g \circ \gamma_2(s)| \ll \|\text{grad } f(\gamma(s))\|_\infty \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3. \square

4. Topological triviality of $\lambda_{(t,\mathbf{z})}$ -constant linear deformations

We still assume that f is of the form $f(t, \mathbf{z}) = f_0(\mathbf{z}) + tg(\mathbf{z})$, and we still denote by \mathcal{S} any given analytic stratification of $f_0^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0)$.

THEOREM 4.1. *Under the above assumptions, if f is $\lambda_{(t,\mathbf{z})}$ -constant with respect to the stratification \mathcal{S} , then the family $\{f_t\}$ is topologically trivial.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.1, it suffices to show that, for any $\mathbf{q} \in D \times (f_0^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0))$,

$$|g(\mathbf{z})| \ll \|\text{grad } f(t, \mathbf{z})\|_\infty \quad \text{as } (t, \mathbf{z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{q}.$$

By Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, this is true for any point \mathbf{q} in Σf . Actually, this is also true for any point $\mathbf{q} \in (D \times (f_0^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0))) \setminus \Sigma f$. Indeed, for such a point $\mathbf{q} = (a, \mathbf{p})$, there exist an index i_0 , $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$, such that $(\partial f / \partial z_{i_0})(\mathbf{q}) \neq 0$, while $(\partial f / \partial t)(\mathbf{q}) = g(\mathbf{p}) = 0$. \square

To show that Theorem 4.1 is not vacuously true, let us give an example where its assumptions are satisfied. Let U_1 be an open neighbourhood of $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbf{C}^3$, D an open disc about $0 \in \mathbf{C}$, and let $F : D \times U_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be the Briançon-Speder example [1]—that is, the family of isolated singularities defined by

$$(t, \mathbf{z}) := (t, z_1, z_2, z_3) \mapsto F(t, \mathbf{z}) := z_3^5 + z_2^7 z_1 + z_1^{15} + tz_2^6 z_3.$$

Now, pick an open neighbourhood U_2 of $0 \in \mathbf{C}$ and consider the function $f : D \times U_2 \times U_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ defined by

$$(t, w, \mathbf{z}) \mapsto f(t, w, \mathbf{z}) := F(t, \mathbf{z}).$$

This example is already used in [11, §5]. Clearly, the family $\{f_t\}$ defined by f (i.e., the family of functions given by $f_t(w, \mathbf{z}) := f(t, w, \mathbf{z})$) is a family of line singularities, and we have $\Sigma f = D \times U_2 \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $\Sigma f_t = U_2 \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

By [14, Proposition 10.2 and Theorem 1.28], for a generic choice of linear coordinates (t, w, \mathbf{z}) , for any point $(a, b, \mathbf{p}) \in V(f)$ in a neighbourhood of the origin and for any $0 \leq i \leq \dim_{(a,b,\mathbf{p})} \Sigma f = 2$, the Lê number $\lambda_{f,(t,w,\mathbf{z})}^i(a, b, \mathbf{p})$ and the polar number $\gamma_{f,(t,w,\mathbf{z})}^i(a, b, \mathbf{p})$ exist. Hereafter, we fix such a generic coordinates system. Then, as $\Sigma f \cap (\{a\} \times U_2 \times U_1) = \{a\} \times \Sigma f_a$, Proposition 1.21 of [14] applies and shows that

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda_{f_a,(w,\mathbf{z})}^1(b, \mathbf{p}) = \lambda_{f,(t,w,\mathbf{z})}^2(a, b, \mathbf{p}), \\ \gamma_{f_a,(w,\mathbf{z})}^1(b, \mathbf{p}) = \gamma_{f,(t,w,\mathbf{z})}^2(a, b, \mathbf{p}), \\ \lambda_{f_a,(w,\mathbf{z})}^0(b, \mathbf{p}) = \gamma_{f,(t,w,\mathbf{z})}^1(a, b, \mathbf{p}) + \lambda_{f,(t,w,\mathbf{z})}^1(a, b, \mathbf{p}). \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, as $\Sigma f_a \cap (\{b\} \times U_1) = \{b\} \times \Sigma(f_a)_b$ and as $\lambda_{f_a,(w,\mathbf{z})}^i(b, \mathbf{p})$ and $\gamma_{f_a,(w,\mathbf{z})}^i(b, \mathbf{p})$ exist for any $(b, \mathbf{p}) \in V(f_a)$ in a neighbourhood of the origin and for any $0 \leq i \leq 1$, Proposition 1.21 of [14] also shows that

$$(4.2) \quad \lambda_{(f_a)_b,\mathbf{z}}^0(\mathbf{p}) = \gamma_{f_a,(w,\mathbf{z})}^1(b, \mathbf{p}) + \lambda_{f_a,(w,\mathbf{z})}^1(b, \mathbf{p}),$$

where $(f_a)_b(\mathbf{z}) := f_a(b, \mathbf{z}) = F_a(\mathbf{z})$. Since F_a has an isolated singularity at the origin, the Lê number $\lambda_{(f_a)_b,\mathbf{z}}^0(\mathbf{0})$ is nothing but the Milnor number of F_a at $\mathbf{0}$, which is constant as a varies. (Note that $\lambda_{(f_a)_b,\mathbf{z}}^0(\mathbf{p}) = 0$ for $\mathbf{p} \neq \mathbf{0}$.)

Now, as the Briançon-Speder family $\{F_t\}$ is μ -constant, the t -axis $D \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$ satisfies Thom's a_F condition at $(0, \mathbf{0})$ with respect to the ambient stratum $(D \times U_1) \setminus \Sigma F$ (cf. [10]). Then, the proof of Lemma 44 of [5] shows that, in a neighbourhood of the origin in $D \times U_1$,

$$\Sigma F := V\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_n}\right) = V\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_n}\right).$$

This, in turn, implies that, in a neighbourhood of the origin in $D \times U_2 \times U_1$,

$$\Sigma f = V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}\right).$$

It follows that the 1-st polar variety $\Gamma_{f,(t,w,\mathbf{z})}^1$ is empty, and hence,

$$(4.3) \quad \gamma_{f,(t,w,\mathbf{z})}^1(a, b, \mathbf{p}) := ([\Gamma_{f,(t,w,\mathbf{z})}^1] \cdot [V(t-a)])(a, b, \mathbf{p}) = 0$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \lambda_{f,(t,w,z)}^0(a, b, \mathbf{p}) := \left[\Gamma_{f,(t,w,z)}^1 \cap V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right) \right]_{(a,b,\mathbf{p})} = 0,$$

for any $(a, b, \mathbf{p}) \in V(f)$. Moreover, as

$$\Sigma f_a = V\left(\frac{\partial f_a}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f_a}{\partial z_n}\right) \subseteq U_2 \times U_1,$$

$\Gamma_{f_a,(w,z)}^1$ is empty, and hence,

$$(4.5) \quad \gamma_{f_a,(w,z)}^1(b, \mathbf{p}) = \lambda_{f_a,(w,z)}^0(b, \mathbf{p}) = 0,$$

for any $(b, \mathbf{p}) \in V(f_a)$.

Combining (4.1)–(4.5) gives $\lambda_{f,(t,w,z)}^0(a, b, \mathbf{p}) = \lambda_{f,(t,w,z)}^1(a, b, \mathbf{p}) = 0$ and

$$\lambda_{f,(t,w,z)}^2(a, b, \mathbf{p}) = \begin{cases} \mu_{F_a}(\mathbf{0}) & \text{if } (a, b, \mathbf{p}) \in \Sigma f, \\ 0 & \text{if } (a, b, \mathbf{p}) \in V(f) \setminus \Sigma f, \end{cases}$$

where $\mu_{F_a}(\mathbf{0})$ is the Milnor number of F_a at $\mathbf{0}$. It follows that the deformation f is $\lambda_{(t,w,z)}$ -constant with respect to the analytic stratification of $f_0^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0)$ consisting in the following two strata: $U_2 \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $(f_0^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0)) \setminus (U_2 \times \{\mathbf{0}\})$. (Here, $f_0(w, \mathbf{z}) = z_3^5 + z_2^7 z_1 + z_1^{15}$ and $g(w, \mathbf{z}) = z_2^6 z_3$.) Therefore, Theorem 4.1 applies. (Of course, in this special case, since the family $\{F_t\}$ is topologically trivial, we knew from the beginning that the family $\{f_t\}$ must be topologically trivial too.)

By the Thom-Mather first isotopy theorem [16, 19], we know that if $h(t, \mathbf{z})$ is a holomorphic function in a neighbourhood of the origin in $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^n$ and if there exists a Whitney stratification of the hypersurface $V(h)$ such that the t -axis can be chosen as a stratum, then the family of hypersurfaces $\{V(h_t)\}$ is topologically trivial. The Briançon-Speder family $\{F_t\}$ is a topologically trivial family such that the t -axis (in $D \times U_1$) cannot be chosen as a Whitney stratum of $V(F)$ (cf. [1]). As it is explained by D. Massey in [11, §5], the t -axis (in $D \times U_2 \times U_1$) cannot be chosen as a Whitney stratum of $V(f)$ either, where f is as in the above example. Thus, this example shows that the assumptions in Theorem 4.1 are not so strong in the sense that they do not imply the Whitney conditions along the t -axis.

5. $\lambda_{(t,z)}$ -constancy along the t -axis

Now, let us come back to the general setting described in Section 3 and at the beginning of Section 4. If f is $\lambda_{(t,z)}$ -constant with respect to \mathcal{S} , then, clearly, it is also $\lambda_{(t,z)}$ -constant along the t -axis in a neighbourhood of the origin—that is, for all $0 \leq i \leq \dim_{(0,0)} \Sigma f$, the i -th Lê number $\lambda_{f,(t,z)}^i(a, \mathbf{0})$ of f at $(a, \mathbf{0})$ with respect to the coordinates (t, \mathbf{z}) is defined and independent of a for all a near 0. Obviously, the converse is not true. Then, we may ask what is the relationship

between the $\lambda_{(t,\mathbf{z})}$ -constancy along the t -axis in a neighbourhood of the origin and the constancy of the Lê numbers $\lambda_{f_t,\mathbf{z}}^i(\mathbf{0})$ of f_t at $\mathbf{0}$, with respect to the coordinates \mathbf{z} , as t varies. It turns out that they are equivalent. Essentially, this is proved in [14, Proposition 1.21], [5, Lemma 44 and the relations (60) and (61)] and [2, Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2].

THEOREM 5.1. *Suppose that, for all a near 0 and all $0 \leq i \leq \dim_{(a,\mathbf{0})} \Sigma f$, the Lê number $\lambda_{f,(t,\mathbf{z})}^i(a,\mathbf{0})$ and the polar number $\gamma_{f,(t,\mathbf{z})}^i(a,\mathbf{0})$ exist. Then, the following two assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) *The deformation f is $\lambda_{(t,\mathbf{z})}$ -constant along the t -axis in a neighbourhood of the origin.*
- (2) *The family $\{f_t\}$ is $\lambda_{\mathbf{z}}$ -constant.*

Here, we say that $\{f_t\}$ is $\lambda_{\mathbf{z}}$ -constant if for all $0 \leq i \leq \dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma f_0 = \dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma f_t$, the i -th Lê number $\lambda_{f_t,\mathbf{z}}^i(\mathbf{0})$ is defined and independent of t , for all t near 0.

In this theorem, we do *not* assume that f is linear in t .

Note that, by [14, Proposition 10.2 and Theorem 1.28], for a generic choice of coordinates (t, \mathbf{z}) , for any a near 0 and for any $0 \leq i \leq \dim_{(a,\mathbf{0})} \Sigma f$, the Lê number $\lambda_{f,(t,\mathbf{z})}^i(a,\mathbf{0})$ and the polar number $\gamma_{f,(t,\mathbf{z})}^i(a,\mathbf{0})$ exist.

Theorem 5.1 is not essential for our purpose. However, it may help to understand why the $\lambda_{\mathbf{z}}$ -constancy is not enough to get the topological triviality. To obtain the topological triviality, we need to control the Lê numbers not only on the t -axis but also all around Σf within $D \times (f_0^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0))$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. If f is $\lambda_{(t,\mathbf{z})}$ -constant along the t -axis in a neighbourhood of the origin, then, by [14, Corollary 6.6], the t -axis $D \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$ satisfies Thom's a_f condition at the origin with respect to the ambient stratum. Hence, the proof of Lemma 44 of [5] shows that, in a neighbourhood of the origin,

$$(5.1) \quad \Sigma f := V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}\right) = V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}\right).$$

In particular, for all a small enough, $\Sigma f \cap (\{a\} \times \mathbb{C}^n) = \{a\} \times \Sigma f_a$, and hence, by [14, Propositions 1.16 and 1.21],

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda_{f_a,\mathbf{z}}^i(\mathbf{0}) = \lambda_{f,(t,\mathbf{z})}^{i+1}(a,\mathbf{0}) & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \dim_{(a,\mathbf{0})} \Sigma f - 1 = \dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma f_a, \\ \lambda_{f_a,\mathbf{z}}^0(\mathbf{0}) = \gamma_{f,(t,\mathbf{z})}^1(a,\mathbf{0}) + \lambda_{f,(t,\mathbf{z})}^1(a,\mathbf{0}). \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, (5.1) also shows that the 1-st polar variety $\Gamma_{f,(t,\mathbf{z})}^1$ is empty. Therefore,

$$\gamma_{f,(t,\mathbf{z})}^1(a,\mathbf{0}) := ([\Gamma_{f,(t,\mathbf{z})}^1] \cdot [V(t-a)]_{(a,\mathbf{0})}) = 0.$$

It follows immediately that the family $\{f_t\}$ is $\lambda_{\mathbf{z}}$ -constant.

Conversely, if the family $\{f_t\}$ is $\lambda_{\mathbf{z}}$ -constant, then, by [14, Theorem 6.5], the t -axis satisfies Thom's a_f condition at the origin with respect to the ambient

stratum. Hence, as above, (5.1) holds and $\Gamma_{f,(t,z)}^1 = \emptyset$. Therefore, for all a sufficiently small,

$$\gamma_{f,(t,z)}^1(a, \mathbf{0}) = 0$$

and

$$\lambda_{f,(t,z)}^0(a, \mathbf{0}) := \left[\Gamma_{f,(t,z)}^1 \cap V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right) \right]_{(a, \mathbf{0})} = 0.$$

Furthermore, Propositions 1.16 and 1.21 of [14] apply and show that (5.2) holds. It follows that f is $\lambda_{(t,z)}$ -constant along the t -axis in a neighbourhood of the origin. \square

As mentioned in the introduction, J. Fernández de Bobadilla gave in [4] an example of a λ_z -constant family which is not topologically trivial. This family is given by

$$f(t, z_1, \dots, z_5) := z_3 z_4^2 - z_3 z_5^2 + 2z_2 z_4 z_5 + t z_1 z_5^2.$$

Let us show that this family does not satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1. More precisely, if $\mathbf{p}_0 := (p_1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ with $p_1 \neq 0$, then we claim that for any analytic stratification \mathcal{S} of $f_0^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0)$,

$$(5.3) \quad \lambda_{f,(t,z)}^3(0, \mathbf{p}_0) \neq \lambda_{f,(t,z)}^3(a, \mathbf{p}_0)$$

for any $a \neq 0$, where $f_0(z_1, \dots, z_5) = z_3 z_4^2 - z_3 z_5^2 + 2z_2 z_4 z_5$ and $g(z_1, \dots, z_5) = z_1 z_5^2$. In particular, f is not $\lambda_{(t,z)}$ -constant with respect to \mathcal{S} . To compute the Lê numbers (5.3), we first observe that the 4-th and 3-rd polar varieties of f with respect to the coordinates (t, \mathbf{z}) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{f,(t,z)}^4 &= V(z_3 z_4 + z_2 z_5, z_2 z_4 + z_5(t z_1 - z_3), z_3(t z_1 - z_3) - z_2^2), \\ \Gamma_{f,(t,z)}^3 &= V(2z_2 + t z_1, z_3 + z_2, z_4 - z_5) \cup V(2z_2 - t z_1, z_3 - z_2, z_4 + z_5). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the 3-rd Lê cycle of f with respect to (t, \mathbf{z}) is given by

$$[\Lambda_{f,(t,z)}^3] = 2[V(z_4, z_5, z_3(t z_1 - z_3) - z_2^2)].$$

It follows that $\lambda_{f,(t,z)}^3(0, \mathbf{p}_0) = 4$ while $\lambda_{f,(t,z)}^3(a, \mathbf{p}_0) = 2$ for any $a \neq 0$.

To conclude, let us mention some interesting features of λ_z -constant families. Certainly, the most important one, due to D. Massey [13, 14, 15], says that, for a generic choice of coordinates \mathbf{z} , if the family $\{f_t\}$ is λ_z -constant and if $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma_{f_t} \leq n - 4$, then the diffeomorphism type of the Milnor fibration of f_t at the origin is independent of t , for all t small. (When $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma_{f_t} = 0$ —in which case the λ_z -constancy is equivalent to the μ -constancy—the result was proved by Lê Dũng Tráng and C. P. Ramanujam [9].)

Finally, related to the Zariski multiplicity conjecture [21] for non-isolated singularities, the authors showed in [3] that if f is linear in t and if the family

$\{f_t\}$ is λ_x -constant, then the order of f_t at $\mathbf{0}$ is independent of t , for all small t . (When $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma f_t = 0$, the result was first proved by G.-M. Greuel [8] and D. Trotman [20].)

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the referee for valuable comments and suggestions that led to improvements in this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. BRIANÇON AND J.-P. SPEDER, La trivialité topologique n'implique pas les conditions de Whitney, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. A-B. **280** (1975), 365–367 (in French).
- [2] R. CALLEJAS-BEDREGAL, V. H. JORGE PÉREZ, M. J. SAIA AND J. N. TOMAZELLA, Lê constant families of singular hypersurfaces, *Proyecciones* **31** (2012), 333–343.
- [3] C. EYRAL AND M. RUAS, Deformations with constant Lê numbers and multiplicities of non-isolated hypersurface singularities, *Nagoya Math. J.* **218** (2015), 29–50.
- [4] J. FERNÁNDEZ DE BOBADILLA, Answers to some equisingularity questions, *Invent. Math.* **161** (2005), 657–675.
- [5] J. FERNÁNDEZ DE BOBADILLA, Topological equisingularity of hypersurfaces with 1-dimensional critical set, *Adv. Math.* **248** (2013), 1199–1253.
- [6] J. FERNÁNDEZ DE BOBADILLA AND T. GAFFNEY, The Lê numbers of the square of a function and their applications, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **77** (2008), 545–557.
- [7] W. FULTON, Intersection theory, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete* (3) **2**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [8] G.-M. GREUEL, Constant Milnor number implies constant multiplicity for quasihomogeneous singularities, *Manuscripta Math.* **56** (1986), 159–166.
- [9] LÊ DŨNG TRÁNG AND C. P. RAMANUJAM, The invariance of Milnor number implies the invariance of the topological type, *Amer. J. Math.* **98** (1976), 67–78.
- [10] LÊ DŨNG TRÁNG AND K. SAITO, La constance du nombre de Milnor donne des bonnes stratifications, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. A-B. **277** (1973), 793–795 (in French).
- [11] D. MASSEY, The Lê-Ramanujam problem for hypersurfaces with one-dimensional singular sets, *Math. Ann.* **282** (1988), 33–49.
- [12] D. MASSEY, The Lê varieties, I, *Invent. Math.* **99** (1990), 357–376.
- [13] D. MASSEY, The Lê varieties, II, *Invent. Math.* **104** (1991), 113–148.
- [14] D. MASSEY, Lê cycles and hypersurface singularities, *Lecture notes in math.* **1615**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [15] D. MASSEY, Numerical control over complex analytic singularities, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **163** (2003), no. 778.
- [16] J. MATHER, Notes on topological stability, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N. S.)* **49** (2012), 475–506.
- [17] A. PARUSIŃSKI, Topological triviality of μ -constant deformations of type $f(x) + tg(x)$, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **31** (1999), 686–692.
- [18] J. G. TIMOURIAN, The invariance of Milnor's number implies topological triviality, *Amer. J. Math.* **99** (1977), 437–446.
- [19] R. THOM, Ensembles et morphismes stratifiés, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **75** (1969), 240–284 (in French).
- [20] D. TROTMAN, Partial results on the topological invariance of the multiplicity of a complex hypersurface, the A'Campo-MacPherson Seminar, Univ. Paris VII, 1977, available in: D. Trotman, Équisingularité et conditions de Whitney, Thèse d'état, Univ. Paris XI, 1980.

- [21] O. ZARISKI, Some open questions in the theory of singularities, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1971), 481–491.

Christophe Eyrál
INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY
POLSKIEJ AKADEMII NAUK
UL. ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-656 WARSZAWA
POLAND
E-mail: eyralchr@yahoo.com

Maria Aparecida Soares Ruas
INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIAS MATEMÁTICAS E DE COMPUTAÇÃO
UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO
AVENIDA TRABALHADOR SÃO-CARLENSE
400-CENTRO, CAIXA POSTAL 668
13566-590 SÃO CARLOS-SP
BRAZIL
E-mail: maasruas@icmc.usp.br