K. KENMOTSU AND T. OGATA KODAI MATH. J. 38 (2015), 687–689

CORRECTION TO "SURFACES WITH PARALLEL MEAN CURVATURE VECTOR IN $P^2(C)$ "

KATSUEI KENMOTSU AND TAKASHI OGATA

Abstract

We describe a condition under which the claims in the paper cited above hold.

1. Correction

It has been pointed out by Hirakawa [3] that a previous paper by Ogata [5] contained a mistake. In fact, the claim made in line 3, page 401 in [5], which states that " λ is a real-valued function defined on U," is not generally correct. We now give a geometric condition for the claim to hold. We follow the notation used in [5].

LEMMA. Suppose that the immersion in [5] satisfies a condition $a = \overline{a}$ on M. Then, there exists a complex coordinate w on a neighborhood of a point of M such that $\phi = \mu dw$, where μ is real valued.

Using this lemma, we can state the following:

CORRECTION. For the claims given in [5] to hold, we add the condition $a = \overline{a}$ to the immersion.

Since Kenmotsu and Zhou [4] and Hirakawa [2] used the results given by Ogata [5], those papers also need the additional assumption $a = \bar{a}$ for the immersion.

2. Proof of Lemma

Set $\phi = \lambda dz$, where λ is a non-zero complex valued function on a simply connected domain U with complex coordinate z. Although the lemma can be

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C42, 53B25.

Key words and phrases. Parallel mean curvature vector, complex space form.

The first author is partly supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C-25400062). Received December 24, 2014; revised April 3, 2015.

proved using (2.4) in [5], we employ a slightly modified formula here. By (2.4) of [5], we have

(2.1)
$$\lambda_{\overline{z}} = -\lambda \overline{\lambda} (\overline{a} - b) \cot \alpha,$$

(2.2)
$$\alpha_{\overline{z}} = \overline{\lambda}(\overline{a} + b),$$

(2.3)
$$a_{\overline{z}} = \overline{\lambda} \left(2a(\overline{a} - b) + \frac{3\rho}{2} \sin^2 \alpha \right) \cot \alpha,$$

(2.4)
$$c_z = 2\lambda c(a-b) \cot \alpha.$$

We note that (2.8) in [5] is not generally correct.

First, we prove the lemma for the case in which α is constant on M. By (2.2), we have $a = -b = \overline{a} = \text{constant}$. By (2.6) of [5], $|c|^2$ is constant. Set $c = |c| \exp(i\theta)$, where θ is a real-valued function on U. Then, using (2.4), we have $i\theta_z = -4b\lambda \cot \alpha$. If we take the partial derivative with respect to \overline{z} , then (2.1) can be used to obtain $8b^2\lambda\overline{\lambda}\cot^2\alpha + i\theta_{z\overline{z}} = 0$. Since $\theta_{z\overline{z}}$ is real valued, this implies $\cot \alpha = 0$. Therefore, we have $\lambda_{\overline{z}} = 0$ by (2.1). Hence, λ is holomorphic. Define the complex coordinate w as $w = \int \lambda dz$. Then, we have $\phi = \lambda dz = dw$, which proves the lemma for the case $\alpha = \text{constant}$.

When α is not constant, we need the following claim to prove the lemma:

CLAIM. Suppose that $a = \overline{a}$ on M. If α is not constant, then a is a function of α .

Proof. By the assumption, we see $a_z = (\overline{a})_z = \overline{a_{\overline{z}}}$. By (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$d\alpha = (a+b)(\phi + \bar{\phi}),$$

$$da = \left(2a(a-b) + \frac{3}{2}\rho\sin^2\alpha\right)\cot\alpha\cdot(\phi + \bar{\phi}).$$

Canceling out $(\phi + \overline{\phi})$ in the above formulas, we have a differential equation in a for α , which proves the claim.

Proof of Lemma. Using the above claim, we write $a = a(\alpha)$, and define a real-valued function $F(\alpha)$ as

$$F(\alpha) = \frac{(a(\alpha) - b)^2 + 3\rho/2 \sin^2 \alpha}{(a(\alpha) + b)^2} \cot \alpha.$$

Taking the partial derivative of (2.2) with respect to z and using (2.1) and (2.3), we have a second-order partial differential equation $\alpha_{z\bar{z}} - F(\alpha)\alpha_z\alpha_{\bar{z}} = 0$. It follows

that $(\alpha_z \exp(-\int F(\alpha) d\alpha))_{\overline{z}} = 0$. Hence, there exists a holomorphic function G(z) on U such that $\alpha_z = G(z) \exp(\int F(\alpha) d\alpha)$. Setting

$$w = \int G(z) dz, \quad \mu = \frac{\exp(\int F(\alpha) d\alpha)}{a(\alpha) + b},$$

the lemma is proved by the conjugate of (2.2).

Remark. Briefly, we explain the geometric meanings for these quantities used in (2.1)–(2.4). The real valued function α is the Kaehler angle of the immersion, the positive number *b* is two times of the length of the mean curvature vector, and the complex valued functions *a* and *c* determine the second fundamental tensors of the immersion. The ambient space is a complex 2-dimensional Kaehler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4ρ . These were first introduced in Chern and Wolfson [1].

References

- S. S. CHERN AND J. G. WOLFSON, Minimal surfaces by moving frames, Amer. J. Math. 105 (1983), 59–83.
- [2] S. HIRAKAWA, On the overdetermined system about surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector field, Kodai Math. J. 25 (2002), 246–253.
- [3] S. HIRAKAWA, Constant Gaussian curvature surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in two-dimensional complex space forms, Geom. Dedicata 118 (2006), 229–244.
- [4] K. KENMOTSU AND D. ZHOU, The classification of the surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in two-dimensional complex space forms, Amer. J. Math. 122 (2000), 295–317.
- [5] T. OGATA, Surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in $P^2(C)$, Kodai Math. J. 18 (1995), 397–407.

Katsuei Kenmotsu Mathematical Institute Tohoku University 980-8578 Sendai Japan E-mail: kenmotsu@math.tohoku.ac.jp

Takashi Ogata NAN-EI-CYO 3-3-18 990-2445 YAMAGATA JAPAN E-mail: ogata.takashi7@gmail.com