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#### Abstract

We consider a metric $d_{L}$ on the Teichmüller space $T\left(R_{0}\right)$ defined by the length spectrum of Riemann surfaces. H. Shiga proved that $d_{L}$ defines the same topology as that of the Teichmüller metric $d_{T}$ on $T\left(R_{0}\right)$ if a Riemann surface $R_{0}$ can be decomposed into pairs of pants such that the lengths of all their boundary components except punctures are uniformly bounded from above and below.

In this paper, we show that there exists a Riemann surface $R_{0}$ of infinite type such that $R_{0}$ cannot be decomposed into such pairs of pants, whereas the two metrics define the same topology on $T\left(R_{0}\right)$. We also give a sufficient condition for these metrics to have different topologies on $T\left(R_{0}\right)$, which is a generalization of a result given by Liu-Sun-Wei.


## 1. Introduction

Let $R_{0}$ be a hyperbolic Riemann surface. We consider a pair $(R, f)$ of a Riemann surface $R$ and a quasiconformal map $f: R_{0} \rightarrow R$. Two such pairs $\left(R_{1}, f_{1}\right)$ and ( $R_{2}, f_{2}$ ) are called equivalent if $f_{2} \circ f_{1}^{-1}: R_{1} \rightarrow R_{2}$ is homotopic to a conformal map. We denote the equivalence class of $(R, f)$ by $[R, f]$. The set of all equivalence classes is called the Teichmüller space of $R_{0}$; we denote it by $T\left(R_{0}\right)$.

The Teichmüller space $T\left(R_{0}\right)$ has a complete metric $d_{T}$ called the Teichmüller metric which is defined by

$$
d_{T}\left(\left[R_{1}, f_{1}\right],\left[R_{2}, f_{2}\right]\right)=\inf _{f} \log K(f),
$$

where the infimum is taken over all quasiconformal maps $f: R_{1} \rightarrow R_{2}$ homotopic to $f_{2} \circ f_{1}^{-1}$ and $K(f)$ is the maximal dilatation of $f$.

We introduce another metric on $T\left(R_{0}\right)$. Let $\Sigma_{R_{0}}$ be the set of non-trivial closed geodesics in $R_{0}$. We define the length spectrum metric $d_{L}$ by

$$
d_{L}\left(\left[R_{1}, f_{1}\right],\left[R_{2}, f_{2}\right]\right)=\log \sup _{\alpha \in \Sigma_{R_{0}}} \max \left\{\frac{\ell_{R_{1}}\left(f_{1}(\alpha)\right)}{\ell_{R_{2}}\left(f_{2}(\alpha)\right)}, \frac{\ell_{R_{2}}\left(f_{2}(\alpha)\right)}{\ell_{R_{1}}\left(f_{1}(\alpha)\right)}\right\}
$$

where $\ell_{R_{i}}\left(f_{i}(\alpha)\right)$ is the hyperbolic length of the closed geodesic on $R_{i}$ freely homotopic to $f_{i}(\alpha)$.

Proposition 1.1 (Thurston [9], Proposition 3.5). Let $\Sigma_{R_{0}}^{\prime}$ be the set of nontrivial simple closed geodesics in $R_{0}$. Then

$$
\sup _{\alpha \in \Sigma_{R_{0}}} \max \left\{\frac{\ell_{R_{1}}\left(f_{1}(\alpha)\right)}{\ell_{R_{2}}\left(f_{2}(\alpha)\right)}, \frac{\ell_{R_{2}}\left(f_{2}(\alpha)\right)}{\ell_{R_{1}}\left(f_{1}(\alpha)\right)}\right\}=\sup _{\alpha \in \Sigma_{R_{0}}^{\prime}} \max \left\{\frac{\ell_{R_{1}}\left(f_{1}(\alpha)\right)}{\ell_{R_{2}}\left(f_{2}(\alpha)\right)}, \frac{\ell_{R_{2}}\left(f_{2}(\alpha)\right)}{\ell_{R_{1}}\left(f_{1}(\alpha)\right)}\right\}
$$

holds.
In 1972, Sorvali [8] defined $d_{L}$, and showed the following.
Lemma $1.2([8])$. For any $\left[R_{1}, f_{1}\right],\left[R_{2}, f_{2}\right] \in T\left(R_{0}\right)$,

$$
d_{L}\left(\left[R_{1}, f_{1}\right],\left[R_{2}, f_{2}\right]\right) \leq d_{T}\left(\left[R_{1}, f_{1}\right],\left[R_{2}, f_{2}\right]\right)
$$

holds.
He conjectured that $d_{L}$ defines the same topolpgy as that of $d_{T}$ on $T\left(R_{0}\right)$ if $R_{0}$ is a topologically finite Riemann surface. In 1986, Li [3] proved that the statement holds in the case where $R_{0}$ is a compact Riemann surface with genus $\geq 2$. In 1999, Liu [4] proved that Sorvali's conjecture is true, and he asked whether the statement holds for any Riemann surface of infinite type. To this question, Shiga [7] gave a negative answer, that is, he showed that there exists a Riemann surface $R_{0}$ of infinite type such that $d_{L}$ and $d_{T}$ do not define the same topology on $T\left(R_{0}\right)$. Also, he gave a sufficient condition for these metrics to define the same topology on $T\left(R_{0}\right)$.

Theorem 1.3 ([7]). Let $R_{0}$ be a Riemann surface. Assume that there exists a pants decomposition $R_{0}=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{k}$ satisfying the following conditions.
(1) Each connected component of $\partial P_{k}(k=1,2,3 \ldots)$ is either a puncture or a simple closed geodesic of $R_{0}$.
(2) There exists a constant $M>0$ such that if $\alpha$ is a boundary curve of some $P_{k}$ then

$$
0<M^{-1}<l_{R_{0}}(\alpha)<M
$$

holds.
Then $d_{L}$ defines the same topology as that of $d_{T}$ on $T\left(R_{0}\right)$.
On the other hand, Liu-Sun-Wei [5] obtained a sufficient condition for these metrics to define different topologies on $T\left(R_{0}\right)$.

Theorem 1.4 ([5]). Let $R_{0}$ be a Riemann surface with a sequence $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \Sigma_{R_{0}}^{\prime}$ such that $\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$. Then $d_{L}$ does not define the same topology as that of $d_{T}$ on $T\left(R_{0}\right)$.

The converse of Theorem 1.4 is not true. Indeed, a Rienann surface Shiga constructed in [7] is a counterexample.

In this paper, we show that the converse of Theorem 1.3 is not true by giving a counterexample. Also, we give a new sufficient condition for these metrics to define different topologies on $T\left(R_{0}\right)$ as follows.

Theorem 1.5. Let $R_{0}$ be a Riemann surface. Suppose that there exists a sequence $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \Sigma_{R_{0}}^{\prime}$ such that for an arbitrary sequence $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \Sigma_{R_{0}}^{\prime}$ with $\alpha_{n} \cap \beta_{n} \neq \emptyset(n=1,2, \ldots)$,

$$
\frac{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\beta_{n}\right)}{\#\left(\alpha_{n} \cap \beta_{n}\right) \ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)} \rightarrow \infty \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) .
$$

Then $d_{L}$ does not define the same topology as that of $d_{T}$ on $T\left(R_{0}\right)$.
We will explain in Section 3 that the above theorem is a generalization of Theorem 1.4.
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## 2. A counterexample

In this section, we show that the converse of Theorem 1.3 is not true. We use the following lemmas due to Bishop [2].

Lemma 2.1 ([2], Lemma 3.1). Let $T_{1}, T_{2} \subset \mathbf{D}$ (the unit disk) be two hyperbolic triangles with sides $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}, c_{1}\right)$ and $\left(a_{2}, b_{2}, c_{2}\right)$ respectively. Suppose all their angles are bounded below by $\theta>0$ and

$$
\varepsilon:=\max \left(\left|\log \frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}}\right|,\left|\log \frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}\right|,\left|\log \frac{c_{1}}{c_{2}}\right|\right) \leq A .
$$

Then there is a constant $C=C(\theta, A)$ and $a(1+C \varepsilon)$-quasiconformal map $\varphi: T_{1} \rightarrow T_{2}$ such that $\varphi$ maps each vertex to the corresponding vertex and $\varphi$ is affine on the edge of $T_{1}$.

Lemma 2.2 ([2], Corollary 3.3). Let $H, H^{\prime} \subset \mathbf{D}$ be two hyperbolic hexagons with sides $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{6}\right)$ and $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{6}\right)$ respectively. Suppose $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{6}$ and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{6}$ are $\leq B$ and are comparable with a constant $B$. Also assume that three alternating angles of $H$ and the corresponding angles of $H^{\prime}$ are $\pi / 2$ and the remaining angles are bounded below by $\theta>0$ and above by $\pi-\theta$. If $\varepsilon=\max _{i}\left|\log a_{i} / b_{i}\right| \leq 2$, then there is a constant $C=C(\theta, B)$ and $a(1+C \varepsilon)-$ quasiconformal map $\varphi: H \rightarrow H^{\prime}$ such that $\varphi$ maps each vertex to the corresponding vertex and $\varphi$ is affine on the edge of $H$.

Lemma 2.3 ([2], Lemma 6.2). Let $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ be pants with boundary lengths $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}, c_{1}\right)$ and $\left(a_{2}, b_{1}, c_{1}\right)$ respectively. Suppose $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, c_{1} \leq L$ (punctures count as length zero). Assume that $\varepsilon:=\left|\log a_{1} / a_{2}\right| \leq 2$, where we define $\left|\log a_{1} / a_{2}\right|=0$ if $a_{1}=a_{2}=0$ and $\left|\log a_{1} / a_{2}\right|=+\infty$ if one is zero and the other is not. Then there is a constant $C=C(L)$ and a $(1+C \varepsilon)$-quasiconformal map $\varphi: P_{1} \rightarrow P_{2}$ such that $\varphi$ is affine on each of the boundary components.

Also we note the following lemma (cf. Beardon [1]).
Lemma 2.4 ([1]). For a hyperbolic right hexagon with the edge lengths $a_{1}, b_{3}$, $a_{2}, b_{1}, a_{3}, b_{2}$ (in counterclockwise direction),

$$
\begin{gathered}
\cosh b_{2}=\frac{\cosh a_{2}+\cosh a_{1} \cosh a_{3}}{\sinh a_{1} \sinh a_{3}}, \\
\frac{\sinh a_{1}}{\sinh b_{1}}=\frac{\sinh a_{2}}{\sinh b_{2}}=\frac{\sinh a_{3}}{\sinh b_{3}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Especially, a right hexagon is determined by the lengths of three alternating sides.
Now we give a counterexample to the converse of Theorem 1.3.
Example. Let $\Gamma$ be a hyperbolic triangle group of signature $(2,4,8)$ acting on $\mathbf{D}$ and let $P$ be a fundamental domain for $\Gamma$ with angles $(\pi, \pi / 4, \pi / 4, \pi / 4)$. Let $O, a, b, c$ denote the vertices of $P$, where the angle at $O$ is $\pi$ (as in Figure 1).


Figure 1. Tessellation by the $(2,4,8)$ group.
Now, take a sufficiently small number $\varepsilon>0$. Let $b^{\prime}$ the point on the segment $[O b]$ whose hyperbolic distance from $b$ is $\varepsilon$. Similarly, we take $a^{\prime}$ and $c^{\prime}$ in $P$. See Figure 2.

We define a Riemann surface $R_{0}$ by removing the $\Gamma$-orbits of $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}$ from the unit disk D. See Figure 3.

It is clear that $R_{0}$ does not satisfy the assumption of Theorem 1.3. Indeed, for an arbitrary pants decomposition $R_{0}=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{k}$, there is a sequence $\left\{\alpha_{N}\right\}$


Figure 2. $\quad b^{\prime} \in[O b] . \rho_{\mathbf{D}}\left(b, b^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon$.


Figure 3. $\quad R_{0}:=\mathbf{D}-\left\{\gamma\left(a^{\prime}\right), \gamma\left(b^{\prime}\right), \gamma\left(c^{\prime}\right) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\right\}$.
of simple closed curves in $\left\{\partial P_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\ell_{\mathbf{D}}\left(\alpha_{N}\right) \rightarrow \infty(N \rightarrow \infty)$. Since $\ell_{\mathbf{D}}\left(\alpha_{N}\right) \leq \ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{N}\right)$ by Schwarz Lemma, we have $\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{N}\right) \rightarrow \infty \quad(N \rightarrow \infty)$.

We show that $d_{L}$ defines the same topology as that of $d_{T}$ on $T\left(R_{0}\right)$. From Lemma 1.2, it suffices to show that for any sequence $\left\{p_{n}\right\}_{n=o}^{\infty} \subset T\left(R_{0}\right)$ with $d_{L}\left(p_{n}, p_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty), d_{T}\left(p_{n}, p_{0}\right)$ converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We assume that $p_{0}=\left[R_{0}, i d\right]$. Put $p_{n}=\left[R_{n}, f_{n}\right]$.
We divide $R_{0}$ into punctured disks and right hexagons. For $a \in P \subset \mathbf{D}$ (in Figure 1), take $\gamma_{i} \in \Gamma(i=1, \ldots, 8)$ such that $\gamma_{i}(P) \cap\{a\} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\alpha_{a}$ be the shortest geodesic in $\Sigma_{R_{0}}^{\prime}$ that surrounds eight punctures $\gamma_{i}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$. Take $\alpha_{b}$ and $\alpha_{c}$ similarly. Next, let $\alpha_{a b}$ be the shortest geodesic in $\Sigma_{R_{0}}^{\prime}$ that surrounds $\alpha_{a}$ and $\alpha_{b}$. Take $\alpha_{b c}$ and $\alpha_{c a}$ similarly.

Now we consider a pair of pants $P_{a b}$ whose boundaries are $\alpha_{a}, \alpha_{b}$ and $\alpha_{a b}$. There are three lines which divide $P_{a b}$ into two isometric right hexagons. Let $\beta_{a b}$ be a line connecting $\alpha_{a}$ and $\alpha_{b}$ in those. (See Figure 4.) Note that the length of $\beta_{a b}$ depends only on the lengths of $\alpha_{a}, \alpha_{b}, \alpha_{a b}$ from Lemma 2.4. Take $\beta_{b c}$ and $\beta_{c a}$ similarly.

Then we obtain a right hexagon bounded by $\beta_{a b}, \beta_{b c}, \beta_{c a}$ and subarcs of $\alpha_{a}$, $\alpha_{b}, \alpha_{c}$. Note that the lengths of subarcs of $\alpha_{a}, \alpha_{b}, \alpha_{c}$ depends only on the lengths


Figure 4. $\beta_{a b}$ is one of lines dividing $P_{a b}$ into two isometric right hexagons.
of $\beta_{a b}, \beta_{b c}, \beta_{c a}$ from Lemma 2.4. Hence the right hexagon is determined by the lengths of $\alpha_{a}, \alpha_{b}, \alpha_{c}$ and $\alpha_{a b}, \alpha_{b c}, \alpha_{c a}$.

Continue to take right hexagons as above, then $R_{0}$ is divided into eight-times punctured disks and right hexagons.

Next, we consider the division of $R_{n}$. For any $\alpha \in \Sigma_{R_{0}}^{\prime}$, there is a simple closed geodesic in $R_{n}$ homotopic to $f_{n}(\alpha)$. We denote it by $\left[f_{n}(\alpha)\right]$.

Take points $e_{a} \in \alpha_{a} \cap \beta_{a b}$ and $e_{b} \in \alpha_{b} \cap \beta_{a b}$. Let $f_{n}\left(e_{a}\right)^{\prime}$ be a point on $\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a}\right)\right]$ corresponding to $f_{n}\left(e_{a}\right) \in f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a}\right)$ about the continuous map $\Phi_{a}$ giving homotopy from $f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a}\right)$ to $\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a}\right)\right]$, that is, for the homotopy map $\Phi_{a}:[0,1] \times[0,1] \rightarrow R_{n}$ with $\Phi_{a}\left(0, t_{0}\right)=f_{n}\left(e_{a}\right)$, we put $f_{n}\left(e_{a}\right)^{\prime}:=\Phi_{a}\left(1, t_{0}\right)$. Take $f_{n}\left(e_{b}\right)^{\prime} \in\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{b}\right)\right]$ similarly. (See Figure 5.) Connect $f_{n}\left(e_{a}\right)$ and $f_{n}\left(e_{a}\right)^{\prime}$ by a curve $\left\{\Phi_{a}\left(s, t_{0}\right) \mid 0 \leq s \leq 1\right\}$. Similarly connect $f_{n}\left(e_{b}\right)$ and $f_{n}\left(e_{b}\right)^{\prime}$. Let $f_{n}\left(\beta_{a b}\right)$ denote a curve from $f_{n}\left(e_{a}\right)^{\prime}$ to $f_{n}\left(e_{b}\right)^{\prime}$ given by connecting them. Take the shortest geodesic segment $\left[f_{n}\left(\beta_{a b}\right)\right]$ homotopic to $f_{n}\left(\beta_{a b}\right)$, where the homotopy map moves endpoints $f_{n}\left(e_{a}\right)^{\prime}$ and $f_{n}\left(e_{b}\right)^{\prime}$ on $\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a}\right)\right]$ and $\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{b}\right)\right]$ respectively.


Figure 5. $\quad f_{n}\left(e_{a}\right)^{\prime} \in\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a}\right)\right] . \quad f_{n}\left(e_{b}\right)^{\prime} \in\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{b}\right)\right]$.
On the other hand, we consider a pair of pants $P_{a b}^{n}$ bounded by $\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a}\right)\right]$, $\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{b}\right)\right]$ and $\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a b}\right)\right]$. There are three lines which divide $P_{a b}^{n}$ into two isometric right hexagons. Let $\beta_{a b}^{n}$ be a line connecting $\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a}\right)\right]$ and $\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{b}\right)\right]$ in those. Then $\left[f_{n}\left(\beta_{a b}\right)\right]=\beta_{a b}^{n}$.

Indeed, we consider a closed curve $C:=\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a}\right)\right] \cdot\left[f_{n}\left(\widehat{\beta_{a b}}\right)\right] \cdot\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{b}\right)\right]$. $\left[f_{n}\left(\widehat{\left(\beta_{a b}\right)}\right]^{-1}\right.$. Since $f_{n}$ is a homeomorphism, $C$ is freely homotopic to $f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a b}\right)$. On the other hand, if we consider another closed curve $C^{\prime}:=\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a}\right)\right] \cdot \beta_{a b}^{n}$. $\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{b}\right)\right] \cdot\left(\beta_{a b}^{n}\right)^{-1}$, then $C^{\prime}$, also, is freely homotopic to $f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a b}\right)$. Hence $C$ is freely homotopic to $C^{\prime}$. Since both $\left[f_{n}\left(\beta_{a b}\right)\right]$ and $\beta_{a b}^{n}$ are the shortest of all the curves connecting $\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a}\right)\right]$ and $\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{b}\right)\right]$, we have $\left[f_{n}\left(\beta_{a b}\right)\right]=\beta_{a b}^{n}$.

By the definition of $\beta_{a b}^{n}$, the length of $\beta_{a b}^{n}$ depends only on the lengths of $\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a}\right)\right],\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{b}\right)\right]$ and $\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a b}\right)\right]$. Since $d_{L}\left(p_{n}, p_{0}\right)<\varepsilon$ for sufficiently large number $n$, the lengths of $\beta_{a b}$ and $\beta_{a b}^{n}=\left[f_{n} \widehat{\left(\beta_{a b}^{n}\right)}\right]$ are almost the same.

Let $H_{a b c}$ be a right hexagon in $R_{0}$ bounded by $\beta_{a b}, \beta_{b c}, \beta_{c a}$ and subarcs of $\alpha_{a}, \alpha_{b}, \alpha_{c}$ (See Figure 4). On the other hand, let $H_{a b c}^{n}$ be a right hexagon in $R_{n}$ bounded by $\left[f_{n}\left(\beta_{a b}\right)\right],\left[f_{n}\left(\beta_{b c}\right)\right],\left[f_{n}\left(\beta_{c a}\right)\right]$ and subarcs of $\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a}\right)\right],\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{b}\right)\right]$, $\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{c}\right)\right]$. By Lemma 2.2, we obtain a quasiconformal map from $H_{a b c}$ to $H_{a b c}^{n}$.

Let $\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset R_{0}$ be the set of all the right hexagons. For each $H_{i} \subset R_{0}$, we take a right hexagon $H_{i}^{n} \subset R_{n}$ in the same way we took $H_{a b c}^{n}$ for $H_{a b c}$. Put $R_{0}^{\prime}:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} H_{i}$ and $R_{n}^{\prime}:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} H_{i}^{n}$.

By Lemma 2.2, we obtain a quasiconformal map $g_{n}: R_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow R_{n}^{\prime}$. We claim that $f_{n}$ is homotopic to $g_{n}$ on $R_{0}^{\prime}$, where the homotopy map does not necessarily keep points of $\partial R_{0}$ fixed.

For an arbitrary simple closed geodesic $\alpha \subset R_{0}^{\prime}$, we consider $f_{n}(\alpha)$ and $g_{n}(\alpha)$. Let $\left\{H_{i(j)}\right\}_{j \in J} \subset R_{0}^{\prime}$ be the set of all the right hexagons such that $H_{i(j)} \cap \alpha \neq \emptyset$. Then for each $j \in J$, a curve $g_{n}\left(\alpha \cap H_{i(j)}\right)$ is homotopic to a curve $\left[f_{n}(\alpha)\right] \cap H_{i(j)}^{n}$ in $H_{i(j)}^{n}$, where the homotopy map does not necessarily fix endpoints. Hence $f_{n}(\alpha)$ is homotopic to $g_{n}(\alpha)$, so we verified the claim.

Next, we consider a quasiconformal map of the connected set $R_{0}^{a} \subset R_{0}-R_{0}^{\prime}$ bounded by $\alpha_{a}$ with eight punctures. We decompose $R_{0}^{a}$ into seven pairs of pants as in Figure 6.


Figure 6. The pants decomposition of $R_{0}^{a}$.

Let $\alpha_{a}^{1}$ and $\alpha_{a}^{2}$ be simple closed geodesics in $R_{0}^{a}$ surrounding four punctures (See Figure 6), and let $D_{\alpha_{a}^{i}}$ be an interior of $\alpha_{a}^{i}(i=1,2)$. By the lemmas of Bishop, we obtain quasiconformal maps $g_{n}$ on $D_{\alpha_{a}^{i}}(i=1,2)$. However, $g_{n}$ on $R_{0}^{\prime}$ is locally affine on $\alpha_{a}$, so we will construct a quasiconformal map on a pair of pants $P_{\alpha_{a}}$ bounded by $\alpha_{a}^{1}, \alpha_{a}^{2}$ and $\alpha_{a}$.


Figure 7. The division of $P_{\alpha_{a}}$.
Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{8} \in \alpha_{a}$ be eight vertices of right-hexagons outside $\alpha_{a}$, and let $A, \ldots, F \in \partial P_{\alpha_{a}}$ be the vertices of two symmetric right-hexagons constructing $P_{\alpha_{a}}$ (See Figure 7). Suppose that $A$ is on the segment $\left[a_{1} a_{2}\right]$, and $F$ is on the segment $\left[a_{5} a_{6}\right]$. Let $x_{12}$ be the length of $\left[a_{1} a_{2}\right]$ and let $x_{1 A}$ be the length of the $\left[a_{1} A\right]$. Then there is a number $t_{0} \in[0,1]$ such that $x_{1 A}=t_{0} x_{12}$. Similarly there is a number $s_{0} \in[0,1]$ such that $x_{5 F}=s_{0} x_{56}$.

On the other hand, let $a_{1}^{n}, \ldots, a_{8}^{n} \in\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a}\right)\right] \subset R_{n}$ be eight vertices of righthexagons outside $\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a}\right)\right]$. We take the points $g_{n}(A), \ldots, g_{n}(F)$ on the geodesics $\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a}\right)\right],\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a}^{1}\right)\right]$ and $\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a}^{2}\right)\right]$.

We consider a hyperbolic hexagon with vertices $g_{n}(A), \ldots, g_{n}(F)$. We claim that the angle formed by $\left[g_{n}(C) g_{n}(D)\right]$ and $\left[g_{n}(D) g_{n}(E)\right]$ is about $\pi / 2$.

Indeed, let $R_{0}^{a b} \subset R_{0}$ be a connected set bounded by $\alpha_{a b} \subset \Sigma_{R_{0}}^{\prime}$ and let $\widehat{R_{0}^{a b}}$ be the Nielsen extension of $R_{0}^{a b}$. We consider the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates of the Teichmüller space $T\left(\widehat{R_{0}^{a b}}\right)$. Then the twist parameter along $\left[f_{n}\left(\alpha_{a}^{1}\right)\right]=g_{n}\left(\alpha_{a}^{1}\right)$ is almost the same as that along $\alpha_{a}^{1}$ (cf. Shiga [7], Lemma 4.1). Hence we verify the claim. The remaining angles are about $\pi / 2$, similarly.

Let $x_{i j}^{n}$ be the hyperbolic length of the segment $\left[a_{i}^{n} a_{j}^{n}\right](1 \leq i, j \leq 8)$, and let $x_{i *}^{n}$ be the hyperbolic length of the segment $\left[a_{i}^{n} g_{n}(*)\right](*=A, F)$. Then, for $t_{0} \in[0,1]$ and $s_{0} \in[0,1]$ we took above, $x_{1 g_{n}(A)}^{n}=t_{0} x_{12}^{n}$ and $x_{5 g_{n}(F)}^{n}=s_{0} x_{56}^{n}$ hold, because $g_{n}$ of $R_{0}^{\prime}$ is locally affine on $\alpha_{a}$. Moreover, since for each $i=1,2, g_{n}$ of $D_{\alpha_{a}^{i}}$ is affine on $\alpha_{a}^{i}$, the lengths of six sides $[A B], \ldots,[F A]$ and the lengths of six sides $\left[g_{n}(A) g_{n}(B)\right], \ldots,\left[g_{n}(F) g_{n}(A)\right]$ are almost the same respectively. Hence the right-hexagon $A, \ldots, F$ and the hexagon $g_{n}(A), \ldots, g_{n}(F)$ are almost congruous.

We triangulate these hexagons as in Figure 8.


Figure 8. Triangulation.

From the First Cosine Rule (for hyperbolic geometry), the length of the new sides are determined by the sides and angles of the hexagon. Quasiconformal maps of the triangles $B C D, D E F, B D F$ are obtained from Lemma 2.1.

We consider a quasiconformal map of the triangle $A B F$. In the triangle $A B F$, connect the points $a_{2}, \ldots, a_{5}$ by geodesics segments to $B$. Similarly, in the triangle $g_{n}(A) g_{n}(B) g_{n}(F)$, connect the points $a_{2}^{n}, \ldots, a_{5}^{n}$ by geodesics segments to $g_{n}(B)$. Then we obtain a quasiconformal map of the triangle $A B F$ from Lemma 2.1.

Hence we obtain a quasiconformal map $g_{n}$ of the whole of $R_{0}$ such that $g_{n}$ is homotopic to $f_{n}$ and $K\left(g_{n}\right) \rightarrow 1(n \rightarrow \infty)$. Thus $d_{T}\left(p_{n}, p_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$.

In the case where $p_{0} \neq\left[R_{0}, i d\right]$, we can show that $d_{T}\left(p_{n}, p_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$ similarly. Indeed, $K$-quasiconformal map $f(1 \leq K<\infty)$ does not crush any triangle with the sides of bounded lengths. Since any right hexagon in $R_{0}$ can be divided into such triangles, any Riemann surface $f\left(R_{0}\right)$ in $T\left(R_{0}\right)$ can be divided into punctured regions and hexagons whose the lengths of the sides are uniformly bounded by a constant depending on $K$.

## 3. Examples and proof of Theorem $\mathbf{1 . 5}$

First, we give examples of Riemann surfaces satisfying the assumption of Theorem 1.5.

Examples. (a) Any Riemann surface $R_{0}$ satisfying the assumption of Theorem 1.4 satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.5. Indeed, let $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \Sigma_{R_{0}}^{\prime}$ be a sequence such that $\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$. Then for any sequence $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \Sigma_{R_{0}}^{\prime}$ with $\alpha_{n} \cap \beta_{n} \neq \emptyset \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)$, we have $\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\beta_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty(n \rightarrow \infty)$ by the collar lemma. Hence Theorem 1.5 extends Theorem 1.4.
(b) The Riemann surface $R_{0}$ constructed by Shiga ([7], pp. 317-319) satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.5. (The Riemann surface does not satisfy the assumption of Theorem 1.4.) In this case, some sequence $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \Sigma_{R_{0}}^{\prime}$ with $\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty(n \rightarrow \infty)$ satisfies the condition.
(c) We construct a Riemann surface $R_{0}$ such that $R_{0}$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.5 and the lengths of $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \Sigma_{R_{0}}^{\prime}$ are uniformly bounded from above and below.

First, let $P_{0}$ be a pair of pants with boundary lengths $\left(a_{0}, b_{0}, b_{0}\right)$. Make countable copies of $P_{0}$ and glue them along the boundaries of length $b_{0}$.

Next, we take a monotone divergent sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of positive numbers. Let $P_{n}$ be a pair of pants with boundary lengths $\left(a_{0}, a_{n}, a_{n}\right)$. Make two copies of $P_{n}$ and glue each copy with the union of the copies of $P_{0}$ along the boundaries of length $a_{0}$ as in Figure 9. Let $R_{0}^{\prime}$ denote a Riemann surface with boundary we have obtained.

Let $R_{0}$ be the Nielsen extension of $R_{0}^{\prime}$ and put $\alpha_{n}:=P_{0} \cap P_{n}$. Then $R_{0}$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.5. Also, if we take some pants $\left\{Q_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ and define $R_{0}:=R_{0}^{\prime} \cup \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} Q_{m}$, then $R_{0}$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.5.


Figure 9. $\quad R_{0}^{\prime}=P_{0} \cup P_{0} \cup \cdots \cup P_{1} \cup P_{1} \cup \cdots \cup P_{n} \cup P_{n} \cup \cdots$.

To prove Theorem 1.5, we use the following theorem, which is a partial result of Theorem 1 in Matsuzaki [6].

Theorem 3.1 ([6]). Let a be a simple closed geodesic on a Riemann surface $R_{0}$ and let $f: R_{0} \rightarrow R_{0}$ be the $n$-times Dehn twist along $\alpha$. Then the maximal dilatation $K(f)$ of an extremal quasiconformal automorphism of $f$ satisfies

$$
K(f) \geq\left\{\left(\frac{(2|n|-1) \ell_{R_{0}}(\alpha)}{\pi}\right)^{2}+1\right\}^{1 / 2}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5. First, suppose that there exists a constant $c>0$ such that $\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)>c$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $f_{n}$ be a Dehn twist along $\alpha_{n}$. Then we have

$$
\ell_{R_{0}}\left(f_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right)\right) \leq \ell_{R_{0}}\left(\beta_{n}\right)+\#\left(\alpha_{n} \cap \beta_{n}\right) \ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) .
$$

Thus,

$$
\frac{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(f_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right)\right)}{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\beta_{n}\right)} \leq 1+\frac{\#\left(\alpha_{n} \cap \beta_{n}\right) \ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\beta_{n}\right)} \rightarrow 1 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) .
$$

Since $f_{n}^{-1}$ is also a Dehn twist, from the same argument as above, we have

$$
\frac{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\beta_{n}\right)}{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(f_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right)\right)} \leq \frac{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\beta_{n}\right)}{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\beta_{n}\right)-\#\left(\alpha_{n} \cap \beta_{n}\right) \ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)} \rightarrow 1 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) .
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{L}\left(\left[R_{0}, f_{n}\right],\left[R_{0}, i d\right]\right)=0
$$

On the other hand, from Theorem 3.1 the maximal dilatation $K\left(f_{n}\right)$ of an extremal quasiconformal map of $f_{n}$ satisfies

$$
K\left(f_{n}\right) \geq\left\{\left(\frac{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\pi}\right)^{2}+1\right\}^{1 / 2}>\left\{\left(\frac{c}{\pi}\right)^{2}+1\right\}^{1 / 2}
$$

Hence

$$
\underline{\lim }_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{T}\left(\left[R_{0}, f_{n}\right],\left[R_{0}, i d\right]\right)>0 .
$$

Next, suppose that $\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)$. We note that $\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\beta_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ $(n \rightarrow \infty)$ by the collar lemma. Let $f_{n}$ be the $\left[1 / \ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)+1\right]$-times Dehn twist along $\alpha_{n}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(f_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right)\right)}{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\beta_{n}\right)} & \leq \frac{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\beta_{n}\right)+\#\left(\alpha_{n} \cap \beta_{n}\right)\left[\frac{1}{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}+1\right] \ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\beta_{n}\right)} \\
& \leq 1+\frac{\#\left(\alpha_{n} \cap \beta_{n}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}+1\right) \ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\beta_{n}\right)} \\
& \leq 1+\frac{\#\left(\alpha_{n} \cap \beta_{n}\right)\left(1+\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right)}{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\beta_{n}\right)} \rightarrow 1 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\beta_{n}\right)}{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(f_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right)\right)} \leq \frac{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\beta_{n}\right)}{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\beta_{n}\right)-\#\left(\alpha_{n} \cap \beta_{n}\right)\left[\frac{1}{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}+1\right] \ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)} \rightarrow 1 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) .
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{L}\left(\left[R_{0}, f_{n}\right],\left[R_{0}, i d\right]\right)=0
$$

On the other hand, from Theorem 3.1 the maximal dilatation $K\left(f_{n}\right)$ of an extremal quasiconformal map of $f_{n}$ satisfies

$$
K\left(f_{n}\right) \geq\left\{\left(\frac{\left(2 \cdot \frac{1}{\ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}-1\right) \ell_{R_{0}}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\pi}\right)^{2}+1\right\}^{1 / 2} \rightarrow\left\{\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{2}+1\right\}^{1 / 2}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$
\varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{T}\left(\left[R_{0}, f_{n}\right],\left[R_{0}, i d\right]\right)>0 .
$$
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