AN EXTRINSIC RIGIDITY THEOREM FOR SUBMANIFOLDS WITH PARALLEL MEAN CURVATURE IN A SPHERE

HONG-WEI XU, FEI HUANG AND FEI XIANG

Abstract

Let M be an n-dimensional closed submanifold with parallel mean curvature in S^{n+p} , \tilde{h} the trace free part of the second fundamental form, and $\tilde{\sigma}(u) = \|\tilde{h}(u,u)\|^2$ for any unit vector $u \in TM$. We prove that there exists a positive constant $C(n,p,H) \geq \frac{1}{3}$ such that if $\tilde{\sigma}(u) \leq C(n,p,H)$, then either $\tilde{\sigma}(u) \equiv 0$ and M is a totally umbilical sphere, or $\tilde{\sigma}(u) \equiv C(n,p,H)$. A geometrical classification of closed submanifolds with parallel mean curvature satisfying $\tilde{\sigma}(u) \equiv C(n,p,H)$ is also given. Our main result is an extension of the Gauchman theorem [4].

1. Introduction

Let M be an n-dimensional closed Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in a unit sphere S^{n+p} . Simons [10], Lawson [5], Chern, do Carmo and Kobayashi [3] proved a rigidity theorem for closed minimal manifolds in a sphere with bounded second fundamental form. Later, A. M. Li and J. M. Li [6] improved Simons' pinching constant to $\max\{n/(2-1/p), 2n/3\}$.

It was extended to submanifolds with parallel mean curvature in a sphere, first by Okumura [7] and Yau [16, 17], then by Xu [11], and finally by Cheng and Nakagawa [2] in codimension 1, and by Xu [12, 13] in codimension p independently. Later, Shiohama and Xu [9, 14] generalized the rigidity theorem to the case where the ambient space is a pinched Riemannian manifold.

Set $\sigma(u) = \|h(u, u)\|^2$ for any $u \in UM$, where h is the second fundamental form of the immersion, UM is the unit tangent bundle over M. Gauchman [4] proved that if M is an n-dimensional closed minimal submanifold in S^{n+p} , and if $\sigma(u) \leq \frac{1}{3}$ for any unit vector $u \in TM$, then either $\sigma(u) \equiv 0$ or $\sigma(u) \equiv \frac{1}{3}$. Moreover he gave a geometrical classification of closed minimal submanifolds satisfying $\sigma(u) = \frac{1}{3}$.

MR Subject Classification. 53C40, 53C42.

Keywords. Submanifolds, extrinsic rigidity, mean curvature, trace free second fundamental form. Research supported by the NSFC, Grant No. 10771187, 11071211; the Trans-Century Training Programme Foundation for Talents by the Ministry of Education of China; and the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province, Grant No. 101037.

Received March 9, 2010; revised August 24, 2010.

We give the following

DEFINITION 1.1. Choose a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1,\ldots,e_{n+p}\}$ on S^{n+p} such that e_1,\ldots,e_n are tangent to M and let $\{\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n\}$ be the dual frame field of $\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}$. Denote by $h=\sum_{\alpha,i,j}h_{ij}^\alpha\omega_i\otimes\omega_j\otimes e_\alpha$ the second fundamental form of M. We define the trace free second fundamental form of M by

$$ilde{ ilde{ extbf{h}}} := \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i,j \leq n \ n+1 < lpha < n+p}} ilde{ ilde{ extbf{h}}}_{ij}^{lpha} \omega_i \otimes \omega_j \otimes e_{lpha},$$

where
$$\tilde{h}_{ij}^{\alpha} = h_{ij}^{\alpha} - \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i}h_{ii}^{\alpha}\right)\delta_{ij}$$
.

From the above definition it is easy to see that $\operatorname{tr}(\tilde{h}_{ij}^{\alpha}) = \sum_{i} \tilde{h}_{ii}^{\alpha} = 0$ for any α and that \tilde{h} is a symmetric bilinear mapping $T_x M \times T_x M \to T_x^{\perp} M$ for $x \in M$, where $T_x M$ is the tangent space of M at x and $T_x M$ is the normal space to M at x. Define the squared norm of $\tilde{h}(u,u)$ by

$$\tilde{\sigma}(u) := \|\tilde{h}(u, u)\|^2.$$

If $\tilde{\sigma}(u) \equiv 0$ for any $u \in UM$, then $S \equiv nH^2$, and M^n is a totally umbilical sphere in S^{n+p} .

The following examples will help us to state our result precisely.

Example 1.2. Let $S^m(r)$ be an m-dimensional sphere of radius r in \mathbf{R}^{m+1} . We imbed $S^m(a) \times S^m(b)$ in S^{2m+1} as follows. Let $u \in S^m(a)$ and $v \in S^m(b)$ be the vectors of length a and b in \mathbf{R}^{m+1} . If $a^2 + b^2 = 1$, then we can consider (u,v) as a unit vector in $\mathbf{R}^{2m+2} = \mathbf{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$. It is easy to see that $S^m(a) \times S^m(b)$ is a submanifold of $S^{2m+1}(1)$ with parallel mean curvature $H = \left| \frac{b^2 - a^2}{2ab} \right|$. In particular,

$$S^{m}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+H^{2}+H\sqrt{1+H^{2}})}}\right) \times S^{m}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+H^{2}-H\sqrt{1+H^{2}})}}\right)$$

is an isoparametric hypersurface of S^{2m+1} with parallel mean curvature H and $\max_{u \in UM} \tilde{\sigma}(u) = 1 + H^2$.

Example 1.3 (see [4, 8]). Denote by RP^2 , CP^2 , QP^2 and $Cay\ P^2$ the projective plane over the real numbers, complex numbers, quaternions and octonions, $\psi_1: RP^2 \to S^4(1), \psi_2: CP^2 \to S^7(1), \psi_3: QP^2 \to S^{13}(1)$ and $\psi_4: Cay\ P^2 \to S^{25}(1)$ the corresponding isometric embeddings, and by $\tau_{n,m}: S^n(1) \to S^{n+m}(1)$ the inclusion. Let $\psi_1': S^2(\sqrt{3}) \to S^4(1)$ be the isometric immersion defined by $\psi_1' = \psi_1 \circ \pi$, where $\pi: S^2(\sqrt{3}) \to RP^2$ is the canonical projection. We set

$$\begin{split} \phi_{1,p} &= \tau_{4,p-1} \circ \psi_1 : RP^2 \to S^{2+p}, \quad p \geq 2, \\ \phi_{2,p} &= \tau_{7,p-3} \circ \psi_2 : CP^2 \to S^{4+p}, \quad p \geq 3, \\ \phi_{3,p} &= \tau_{13,p-5} \circ \psi_3 : QP^2 \to S^{8+p}, \quad p \geq 5, \\ \phi_{4,p} &= \tau_{25,p-9} \circ \psi_4 : Cay \ P^2 \to S^{16+p}, \quad p \geq 9, \\ \phi'_{1,p} &= \tau_{4,p-2} \circ \psi'_1 : S^2(\sqrt{3}) \to S^{2+p}, \quad p \geq 2. \end{split}$$

Then $\phi_{i,p}$ is an isometric minimal embedding and $\phi'_{1,p}$ is an isometric minimal immersion.

In this paper, we prove a new extrinsic rigidity theorem for submanifold with parallel mean curvature in a sphere. More precisely, we obtain the following

THEOREM 1.4 (Main Theorem). Let M^n be an n-dimensional compact submanifold in a unit sphere $S^{n+p}(1)$ with parallel mean curvature vector field of norm H. If

$$\tilde{\sigma}(u) \le C(n, p, H), \quad \text{for all } u \in UM,$$

- then M is one of the following: (1) the totally umbilical sphere $S_H^n = S^n \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+H^2}} \right)$; (2) Clifford isoparametric hypersurface

$$S^{n/2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+H^2+H\sqrt{1+H^2})}}\right) \times S^{n/2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+H^2-H\sqrt{1+H^2})}}\right);$$

(3) one of the embeddings $\phi_{i,p}$, i=1,2,3,4 or the immersion $\phi'_{1,p}$ Here

(1.1)

$$C(n,p,H) = \begin{cases} 1 + H^2, & p = 1, \ n \ is \ even, \\ \frac{n}{n-1} + \frac{2n^2 - 2n + 1}{2(n-1)^2} H^2 \\ & - \frac{H}{2(n-1)^2} \sqrt{(2n-1)^2 H^2 + 4n(n-1)}, \quad p = 1, \ n \ is \ odd, \\ 1 + \frac{3n+1}{4n} H^2, \quad p = 2 \ and \ H \neq 0, \\ \frac{1}{3} + \frac{7n+1}{24n} H^2, \quad p \geq 3 \ or \ p = 2 \ and \ H = 0. \end{cases}$$

Noting that

(1.2)
$$C_1(n, p) = \inf_{H \ge 0} C(n, p, H)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1, & p = 1 \text{ and } n \text{ is even, or } p = 2 \text{ and } H \ne 0, \\ \frac{4n^2}{(2n-1)^2}, & p = 1 \text{ and } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{1}{3}, & p \ge 3, \text{ or } p = 2 \text{ and } H = 0, \end{cases}$$

we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 1.5. Let M^n be an n-dimensional compact submanifold with parallel mean curvature in a unit sphere $S^{n+p}(1)$. If

$$\tilde{\sigma}(u) \le C_1(n, p)$$
, for all $u \in UM$,

then M is either a totally umbilical sphere, a Clifford isoparametric hypersurface, or one of the embeddings $\phi_{i,p}$, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, or the immersion $\phi'_{1,p}$.

When H=0, our main theorem reduces to Gauchman's rigidity theorem for minimal submanifolds [4].

2. Preliminaries

We shall make the following conventions on the range of indices:

$$1 \le A, B, C, \ldots \le n + p;$$
 $1 \le i, j, k, \ldots \le n;$ $n + 1 \le \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ldots \le n + p.$

Choose a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_A\}$ on S^{n+p} such that e_i' s are tangent to M. Let $\{\omega_A\}$ be the dual frame field of $\{e_A\}$ and $\{\omega_{AB}\}$ the connection 1-forms of S^{n+p} . Restricting these forms to M, we have

$$egin{aligned} \omega_{lpha i} &= \sum_j h^lpha_{ij} \omega_j, \quad h^lpha_{ij} &= h^lpha_{ji}, \quad h = \sum_{lpha,i,j} h^lpha_{ij} \omega_i \otimes \omega_j \otimes e_lpha, \quad \xi = rac{1}{n} \sum_{lpha,i} h^lpha_{ii} e_lpha, \ R_{ijkl} &= \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} - \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} + \sum_lpha (h^lpha_{ik} h^lpha_{jl} - h^lpha_{il} h^lpha_{jk}), \ R_{lphaeta kl} &= \sum_i (h^lpha_{ik} h^eta_{il} - h^lpha_{il} h^eta_{ik}). \end{aligned}$$

where h, ξ , R_{ijkl} , $R_{\alpha\beta kl}$ are the second fundamental form, the mean curvature vector, the curvature tensor and the normal curvature tensor of M respectively. We set

$$S = ||h||^2$$
, $H = ||\xi||$, $H_{\alpha} = (h_{ij}^{\alpha})_{n \times n}$, $c_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} H_{\alpha}$.

Denoting the first and second covariant derivatives of h_{ij}^{α} by h_{ijk}^{α} and h_{ijkl}^{α} respectively, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{k} h_{ijk}^{\alpha} \omega_{k} = dh_{ij}^{\alpha} + \sum_{k} h_{kj}^{\alpha} \omega_{ik} + \sum_{k} h_{ik}^{\alpha} \omega_{jk} + \sum_{\beta} h_{ij}^{\beta} \omega_{\alpha\beta},$$

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{k} h_{ijkl}^{\alpha} \omega_{l} = dh_{ijk}^{\alpha} + \sum_{l} h_{ljk}^{\alpha} \omega_{il} + \sum_{l} h_{ilk}^{\alpha} \omega_{jl} + \sum_{l} h_{ijl}^{\alpha} \omega_{kl} + \sum_{\beta} h_{ijk}^{\beta} \omega_{\alpha\beta}.$$

The Laplacian of h is defined by $\triangle h_{ij}^{\alpha} = \sum_{k} h_{ijkk}^{\alpha}$. Let M be an n-dimensional submanifold with parallel mean curvature in S^{n+p} . Following [15, 16], we have

(2.3)
$$\sum_{i} h_{iik}^{\alpha} = 0, \quad \sum_{i} h_{iikl}^{\alpha} = 0, \quad \text{for all } k, l, \alpha,$$

(2.4)
$$\Delta h_{ij}^{\alpha} = \sum_{k,m} h_{km}^{\alpha} R_{mijk} + \sum_{k,m} h_{mi}^{\alpha} R_{mkjk} + \sum_{k,\beta} h_{ki}^{\beta} R_{\beta\alpha jk},$$

(2.5)
$$\sum_{\alpha} R_{\alpha\beta kl}(\operatorname{tr} H_{\alpha}) = 0.$$

Proposition 2.1. For any real numbers s, t, x, y, we have

(2.6)
$$sx^2 + txy \le \frac{1}{2}(s + \sqrt{s^2 + t^2})(x^2 + y^2),$$

and the equality holds if and only if

(2.7)
$$\begin{cases} (\sqrt{s^2 + t^2} - s)^{1/2} x = (\sqrt{s^2 + t^2} + s)^{1/2} y, & \text{for } t \ge 0, \\ (\sqrt{s^2 + t^2} - s)^{1/2} x = -(\sqrt{s^2 + t^2} + s)^{1/2} y, & \text{for } t < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Notice that

(2.8)
$$(s + \sqrt{s^2 + t^2})(x^2 + y^2) - 2(sx^2 + txy)$$

$$= (\sqrt{s^2 + t^2} - s)x^2 - 2txy + (s + \sqrt{s^2 + t^2})y^2.$$

When $t \ge 0$, the RHS of (2.8) can be written as

$$((\sqrt{s^2 + t^2} - s)^{1/2}x - (\sqrt{s^2 + t^2} + s)^{1/2}y)^2 \ge 0.$$

When t < 0, the RHS of (2.8) can be written as

$$(2.10) \qquad ((\sqrt{s^2 + t^2} - s)^{1/2}x + (\sqrt{s^2 + t^2} + s)^{1/2}y)^2 \ge 0.$$

Thus we get the desired inequality, and the equality in (2.6) holds if and only if (2.9) or (2.10) becomes equality. \Box

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let f(x) be a function defined by

$$f(x) = x - \sqrt{2cx + c^2 + H^2}, \quad x \in [-H, H].$$

Then we have

(2.11)
$$f(x) \ge \begin{cases} -\frac{H^2}{2c} - c, & \text{for } c \ge \frac{H}{2}, \\ c - 2H, & \text{for } c < \frac{H}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose that x_0 is a minimum point of f. Then $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\Big|_{x_0} = 0$. This implies $x_0 = -\frac{H^2}{2c}$. Noting that $x \in [-H, H]$, we get the desired inequality.

3. Maximal directions

Let $x \in M$. A vector $u \in UM_x$ is called a maximal direction of $\tilde{\sigma}$ at x if $\tilde{\sigma}(u) = \max_{v \in UM_x} \tilde{\sigma}(v)$.

Choose an adapted orthonormal frame $\{e_1,\ldots,e_{n+p}\}$ at x such that when restricted to M, the vectors e_1,\ldots,e_n are tangent to M. Assume that e_1 is a maximal direction at x, $\tilde{\sigma}(e_1) \neq 0$, and $e_{n+1} = \frac{\tilde{h}(e_1,e_1)}{\|\tilde{h}(e_1,e_1)\|}$, $e_{n+2} = \frac{\xi - \langle \xi,e_{n+1}\rangle e_{n+1}}{\|\xi - \langle \xi,e_{n+1}\rangle e_{n+1}\|}$ (if ξ is not parallel to e_{n+1}). By our choices of e_{n+1} and e_{n+2} , we have

(3.1)
$$\tilde{h}_{11}^{\alpha} = 0$$
, if $\alpha \neq n+1$; and $c_{\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq n+1, n+2$.

Since e_1 is a maximal direction, at the point x for any $t \in \mathbf{R}$ we have

$$\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{h}}(e_1 + te_i, e_1 + te_i)\|^2 \le (1 + t^2)^2 (\tilde{\boldsymbol{h}}_{11}^{n+1})^2.$$

Expanding in terms of t, we obtain

$$4t\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}\tilde{h}_{1i}^{n+1} + O(t^2) \le 0.$$

It follows that

(3.3)
$$\tilde{h}_{1i}^{n+1} = 0, \quad i = 2, \dots, n.$$

We now choose an adapted frame at $x \in M$ such that in addition to (3.1) and (3.3),

(3.4)
$$\tilde{h}_{ij}^{n+1} = h_{ij}^{n+1} = 0, \quad i \neq j.$$

Once more expanding (3.2) in terms of t, we can get

$$-2t^{2}\left[\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}-\tilde{h}_{ii}^{n+1})-2\sum_{\alpha\neq n+1}(\tilde{h}_{1i}^{\alpha})^{2}\right]+O(t^{3})\leq 0.$$

It follows that

$$(3.5) 2\sum_{\alpha \neq n+1} (\tilde{h}_{1i}^{\alpha})^2 \leq \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} - \tilde{h}_{ii}^{n+1}), \quad i = 2, \dots, n.$$

Define a tensor field $ilde{T} = (ilde{T}_{ijkl})$ on M by

$$ilde{T}_{ijkl} = \sum_{lpha} ilde{h}_{ij}^{lpha} ilde{h}_{kl}^{lpha}.$$

It is obvious that $\tilde{\sigma}(u) = \tilde{T}(u, u, u, u)$.

LEMMA 3.1. Let u be a maximal direction at $x \in M$. Assume that $\tilde{\sigma}(u) \neq 0$. Let e_1, \ldots, e_{n+p} be an adapted frame at x such that

$$e_1 = u, \quad e_{n+1} = \frac{\tilde{h}(e_1, e_1)}{\|\tilde{h}(e_1, e_1)\|}, \quad e_{n+2} = \frac{\xi - \langle \xi, e_{n+1} \rangle e_{n+1}}{\|\xi - \langle \xi, e_{n+1} \rangle e_{n+1}\|},$$

 $h_{ij}^{n+1} = 0$ for $i \neq j$, and ξ is not parallel to e_{n+1} . At the point x, (1) If p = 1, then

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{1}{2} (\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} \ge \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} \left[n \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} (1 + H^2 + c_{n+1} \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}) - (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} + c_{n+1}) \sum_{k} (\tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+1})^2 \right];$$

(2) If p = 2 and $H \neq 0$, then

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{1}{2} (\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} \ge \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} \left[n \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} (1 + H^2 + c_{n+1} \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}) - (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} + c_{n+1}) \sum_{k} (\tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+1})^2 - c_{n+2} \sum_{k} \tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+1} \tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+2} \right];$$

(3) If $p \ge 3$, or p = 2 and H = 0, then

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{1}{2} (\Delta \tilde{T})_{1111} \ge \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} \left[n \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} (1 + H^2 + c_{n+1} \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} - (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2) \right. \\ \left. - (2 \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} + c_{n+1}) \sum_{k} (\tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+1})^2 - c_{n+2} \sum_{k} \tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+1} \tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+2} \right],$$

and equality holds if and only if

$$(3.9) \qquad \qquad (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} - \tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+1}) \left[\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} - \tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+1}) - 2 \sum_{\alpha} (\tilde{h}_{1k}^{\alpha})^2 \right] = 0,$$

and $\tilde{h}_{11k}^{\alpha} = 0$, for any k, α .

Proof. We have

(3.10)
$$\frac{1}{2}(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} = \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} \triangle \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} + \sum_{i,\alpha} (\tilde{h}_{11i}^{\alpha})^{2}.$$

From (2.3), it is easy to see that $\triangle c_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k,i} h_{kkii}^{n+1} = 0$. From (2.4), (3.1) and (3.4), noting that $\sum_k \tilde{h}_{kk}^{\alpha} = 0$ for any α , we have

$$\begin{split} \triangle \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} &= \triangle h_{11}^{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{k,m} h_{km}^{n+1} R_{m11k} + \sum_{k,m} h_{m1}^{n+1} R_{mk1k} + \sum_{k,\alpha} h_{1k}^{\alpha} R_{\alpha n+1,1k} \\ &= \sum_{k} (h_{11}^{n+1} - h_{kk}^{n+1}) R_{1k1k} + \sum_{k,\alpha} h_{1k}^{\alpha} \sum_{l} (h_{l1}^{\alpha} h_{lk}^{n+1} - h_{lk}^{\alpha} h_{l1}^{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{k} (h_{11}^{n+1} - h_{kk}^{n+1}) \left[1 - (\delta_{1k})^2 + \sum_{\alpha} h_{11}^{\alpha} h_{kk}^{\alpha} - 2 \sum_{\alpha} (h_{1k}^{\alpha})^2 \right] \\ &= \sum_{k} (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} - \tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+1}) \left[1 + h_{11}^{n+1} h_{kk}^{n+1} + c_{n+2} h_{kk}^{n+2} - 2 \sum_{\alpha \neq n+1} (h_{1k}^{\alpha})^2 \right] \\ &= n\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} (1 + H^2 + c_{n+1}\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}) - (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} + c_{n+1}) \sum_{k} (\tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+1})^2 \\ &- c_{n+2} \sum_{k} \tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+1} \tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+2} - 2 \sum_{k} (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} - \tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+1}) \sum_{\alpha \neq n+1} (\tilde{h}_{1k}^{\alpha})^2. \end{split}$$

- (1) If p = 1, then $c_{n+2} = 0$, and the last two terms above vanish.
- (2) If p = 2 and $H \neq 0$, it follows from (2.5) and (3.1) that $R_{(n+1)(n+2)kl} = 0$. Hence the last term above vanishes again.
 - (3) If $p \ge 3$, or p = 2 and H = 0, by (3.5), we get

$$\Delta \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} \ge n \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} (1 + H^2 + c_{n+1} \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} - (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2) - (2\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} + c_{n+1}) \sum_{k} (\tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+1})^2 - c_{n+2} \sum_{k} \tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+1} \tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+2}.$$

Substituting this into (3.10), we get the desired results. \square

LEMMA 3.2. Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{n+p}\}$ be an adapted frame at $x \in M$ as in Lemma 3.1. Assume $\tilde{\sigma}(u) \neq 0$.

(1) If p=1, n(=2m) is even, $\tilde{\sigma}(u) \leq 1+H^2$ for any $u \in UM_x$, then $(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} \geq 0$. If equality $(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} = 0$ holds, after suitable rearrangement of e_2, \ldots, e_{2m} we have

$$(3.11) \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} = \dots = \tilde{h}_{mm}^{n+1} = -\tilde{h}_{m+1,m+1}^{n+1} = \dots = -\tilde{h}_{2m,2m}^{n+1} = \sqrt{1 + H^2}.$$

(2) If
$$p = 1$$
, $n(= 2m + 1)$ is odd and

$$\tilde{\sigma}(u) \le \frac{n}{n-1} + \frac{2n^2 - 2n + 1}{2(n-1)}H^2 - \frac{H}{2(n-1)^2}\sqrt{(2n-1)^2H^2 + 4n(n-1)},$$

for any $u \in UM_x$, then $(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} \ge 0$. If equality $(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} = 0$ holds, after suitable rearrangement of $e_2, \ldots, e_{2m}, e_{2m+1}$ we have

(3.12)
$$\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} = \dots = \tilde{h}_{mm}^{n+1} = -\tilde{h}_{m+1,m+1}^{n+1} = \dots = -\tilde{h}_{2m,2m}^{n+1}$$

$$= \frac{-H + \sqrt{(2n-1)^2 H^2 + 4n(n-1)}}{2(n-1)},$$

$$\tilde{h}_{m}^{n+1} = 0, \quad c_{n+1} = -H.$$

$$(3.13) h_{11}^{n+1} = \dots = h_{mm}^{n+1} = -h_{m+1,m+1}^{n+1} = \dots = -h_{2m,2m}^{n+1} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}.$$

Proof. First we prove assertions (1) and (2). Since e_1 is a maximal direction of $\tilde{\sigma}$, we have

(3.14)
$$-\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} \le \tilde{h}_{ii}^{n+1} \le \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}, \quad i = 2, \dots, n.$$

By Definition 1.1 we have

(3.15)
$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} \tilde{h}_{ii}^{n+1} = -\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}.$$

It is easy to see that the convex function $f(\tilde{h}_{22}^{n+1},\ldots,\tilde{h}_{nm}^{n+1})=\sum_{i=2}^n(\tilde{h}_{ii}^{n+1})^2$ subject to the linear constraints (3.14) and (3.15) attains its maximal value $f_{max}=(n-1)(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2$ when (after suitable rearrangement of e_1,\ldots,e_{2m})

(3.16)
$$\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} = \cdots = \tilde{h}_{mm}^{n+1} = -\tilde{h}_{m+1,m+1}^{n+1} = \cdots = -\tilde{h}_{2m,2m}^{n+1}$$
, if $n = 2m$; and $f_{max} = (n-2)(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2$ when

(3.17)
$$\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} = \dots = \tilde{h}_{mm}^{n+1} = -\tilde{h}_{m+1,m+1}^{n+1}$$
$$= \dots = -\tilde{h}_{2m,2m}^{n+1}, \tilde{h}_{m}^{n+1} = 0, \quad \text{if } n = 2m+1.$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.1(1) we get

$$(3.18) \frac{1}{2}(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} \geq \begin{cases} n(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^{2}[1+H^{2}-\tilde{\sigma}(e_{1})], & \text{if } p=1, \, n=2m, \\ (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^{2}[n(1+H^{2})+c_{n+1}\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} \\ -(n-1)(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^{2}], & \text{if } p=1, \, n=2m+1. \end{cases}$$

Assertion (1) follows from (3.16) and (3.18) immediately. If p = 1, n = 2m + 1, we have $c_{n+1} = \pm H$, and if

$$\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} \leq \frac{-H + \sqrt{(2n-1)^2 H^2 + 4n(n-1)}}{2(n-1)},$$

then

$$n(1+H^2) + c_{n+1}\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} - (n-1)(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2 \ge 0.$$

So assertion (2) follows from (3.17) and (3.19).

Secondly we prove assertion (3). We take the following steps: first prove $(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} \ge 0$, then show that the equality can not attain. Since e_1 is a maximal direction of $\tilde{\sigma}$, we have

$$(3.20) (\tilde{h}_{ii}^{n+1})^2 + (\tilde{h}_{ii}^{n+2})^2 \le (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2, \quad i = 2, \dots, n.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 2.1, we have

$$(3.21) \quad (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} + c_{n+1})(\tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+1})^{2} + c_{n+2}\tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+1}\tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^{2}[\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} + c_{n+1} + \sqrt{(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^{2} + 2c_{n+1}\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} + H^{2}}], \ k = 2, \dots, n.$$

Substituting this into (3.7), we obtain

$$(3.22) \qquad \frac{1}{2} (\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} \ge (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2 \left[n(1+H^2) - \frac{n+1}{2} (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2 + \frac{n-1}{2} \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} (c_{n+1} - \sqrt{(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2 + 2c_{n+1} \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} + H^2}) \right].$$

By Proposition 2.2, the function

$$f(c_{n+1}) = c_{n+1} - \sqrt{(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2 + 2c_{n+1}\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} + H^2}, \quad c_{n+1} \in [-H, H],$$

satisfies

$$f(c_{n+1}) \geq \begin{cases} -\frac{H^2}{2\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}} - \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}, & \text{if } \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} \geq \frac{H}{2}, \\ \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} - 2H, & \text{if } \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} < \frac{H}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Substituting the above into (3.22), we have

$$(3.23) \quad \frac{1}{2} (\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} \geq \begin{cases} (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2 \left[n + \frac{3n+1}{4n} H^2 - n\tilde{\sigma}(e_1) \right], & \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} \geq \frac{H}{2}, \\ (3.24) \end{cases}$$

$$(3.24) \quad \frac{1}{2} (\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} \geq \begin{cases} (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2 \left[n(1+H^2) - (n-1)H\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} - (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2 \right], & \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} < \frac{H}{2}. \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Assume} \quad \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} \leq \sqrt{1 + \frac{3n+1}{4n} H^2} \quad \text{as} \quad \text{in} \quad \text{assertion} \quad (3). \quad \text{If} \quad \frac{H}{2} \leq \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} \leq \\ \sqrt{1 + \frac{3n+1}{4n} H^2}, \quad \text{from} \quad (3.23) \quad \text{we obtain} \quad (\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} \geq 0. \quad \text{If} \quad \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} < \frac{H}{2}, \quad \text{then} \end{cases}$$

$$n(1+H^2) - (n-1)H\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} - (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2 > n(1+H^2) - \frac{n-1}{2}H^2 - \frac{H^2}{4}$$

$$= n + \frac{2n+1}{4}H^2 > 0.$$

Thus from (3.24), $(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} > 0$. In summary, it follows that $(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} \ge 0$. If $(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} = 0$, then (3.20)–(3.24) all become equalities. By Proposition 2.1, (3.21) becomes equality if and only if (after suitable rearrangement of e_i)

$$(3.25) \begin{cases} \tilde{h}_{22}^{n+1} = \cdots = \tilde{h}_{ss}^{n+1} = -\tilde{h}_{s+1,s+1}^{n+1} = \cdots = -\tilde{h}_{nn}^{n+1} = C_1(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}, c_{n+1}, H), \\ \tilde{h}_{22}^{n+2} = \cdots = \tilde{h}_{ss}^{n+2} = -\tilde{h}_{s+1,s+1}^{n+2} = \cdots = -\tilde{h}_{nn}^{n+2} = C_2(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}, c_{n+1}, H), \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} C_{1}(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1},c_{n+1},H) = \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}[1 + (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} + c_{n+1})/\sqrt{(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^{2} + 2c_{n+1}\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} + H^{2}}], \\ C_{2}(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1},c_{n+1},H) \\ = sgn(c_{n+2}) \cdot \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}[1 - (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} + c_{n+1})/\sqrt{(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^{2} + 2c_{n+1}\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} + H^{2}}], \end{cases}$$

and $sgn(c_{n+2})$ is the sign function of c_{n+2} . On the other hand, by Definition 1.1 and (3.1) we have

(3.26)
$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} \tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+1} = -\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}, \quad \sum_{k=2}^{n} \tilde{h}_{kk}^{n+2} = 0.$$

Hence, by substituting (3.25) into (3.26), we have

(3.27)
$$\begin{cases} \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} + (2s - n - 1)C_1(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}, c_{n+1}, H) = 0, \\ (2s - n - 1)C_2(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}, c_{n+1}, H) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Noting $\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} \neq 0$, we have

$$C_2(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}, c_{n+1}, H) = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(3.28) c_{n+1} = +H, c_{n+2} = 0.$$

Since (3.23) becomes equality, we have

(3.29)
$$\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} = \sqrt{1 + \frac{3n+1}{4n}H^2} \left(> \frac{H}{2} \right).$$

Together with Proposition 2.2, we get

$$(3.30) c_{n+1} = -\frac{H^2}{2\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}} (\leq 0).$$

From (3.28)–(3.30), we obtain H = 0, which is a contradiction with the assumption $H \neq 0$. So $(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} \neq 0$. This proves assertion (3). Finally we prove assertion (4). From Proposition 2.1 and (3.20) we have

$$(3.31) (2\tilde{\boldsymbol{h}}_{11}^{n+1} + c_{n+1})(\tilde{\boldsymbol{h}}_{kk}^{n+1})^{2} + c_{n+2}\tilde{\boldsymbol{h}}_{kk}^{n+1}\tilde{\boldsymbol{h}}_{kk}^{n+2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{h}}_{11}^{n+1})^{2}(2\tilde{\boldsymbol{h}}_{11}^{n+1} + c_{n+1} + \sqrt{4(\tilde{\boldsymbol{h}}_{11}^{n+1})^{2} + 4c_{n+1}\tilde{\boldsymbol{h}}_{11}^{n+1} + H^{2}}),$$

$$k = 2, \dots, n.$$

Substituting this into (3.8), we obtain

$$(3.32) \qquad \frac{1}{2} (\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} \ge (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2 [n(1+H^2) - (2n+1)(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2 + \frac{n-1}{2} \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} (c_{n+1} - \sqrt{4(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2 + 4c_{n+1}} \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} + H^2)].$$

By Proposition 2.2, the function

$$g(c_{n+1}) = c_{n+1} - \sqrt{4(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2 + 4c_{n+1}\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} + H^2}, \quad c_{n+1} \in [-H, H],$$

satisfies

$$g(c_{n+1}) \geq \begin{cases} -\frac{H^2}{4\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}} - 2\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}, & \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} \geq \frac{H}{4}, \\ \\ 2\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} - 2H, & \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} < \frac{H}{4}. \end{cases}$$

Substituting this into (3.32), we get

(3.33)

$$\frac{1}{2}(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} \geq \begin{cases} (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2 \left[n + \frac{7n+1}{8}H^2 - 3n(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2 \right], & \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} \geq \frac{H}{4}, \\ (\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2 [n(1+H^2) - (n-1)H\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} - (n+2)(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2], & \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} < \frac{H}{4}. \end{cases}$$

Assume $\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{7n+1}{24n}H^2}$ as in assertion (4). If $\frac{H}{4} \leq \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{7n+1}{24n}H^2}$, then from (3.33) we obtain $(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} \geq 0$. If $\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} < \frac{H}{4}$, we have

$$n(1+H^2) - (n-1)H\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} - (n+2)(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2 > n + \frac{11n+2}{16}H^2 > 0.$$

It's seen from (3.33) that $(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} > 0$. In summary, we have $(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} \ge 0$. If $(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} = 0$, then (3.31)–(3.33) all become equalities. By Proposition 2.1, (3.31) becomes equality if and only if (after suitable rearrangement of e_i)

$$(3.34) \begin{cases} \tilde{h}_{22}^{n+1} = \cdots = \tilde{h}_{tt}^{n+1} = -\tilde{h}_{t+1,t+1}^{n+1} = \cdots = -\tilde{h}_{nn}^{n+1} = C_1'(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}, c_{n+1}, H), \\ \tilde{h}_{22}^{n+2} = \cdots = \tilde{h}_{tt}^{n+2} = -\tilde{h}_{t+1,t+1}^{n+2} = \cdots = -\tilde{h}_{nn}^{n+2} = C_2'(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}, c_{n+1}, H), \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} C_1'(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1},c_{n+1},H) = \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}[1+(2\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}+c_{n+1})/\sqrt{4(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2+4c_{n+1}\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}+H^2}],\\ C_2'(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1},c_{n+1},H) \\ = sgn(c_{n+2})\cdot \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}[1-(2\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}+c_{n+1})/\sqrt{4(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1})^2+4c_{n+1}\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}+H^2}]. \end{cases}$$

Substituting (3.34) into (3.26) we obtain

(3.35)
$$\begin{cases} \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} + (2t - n - 1)C_1'(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}, c_{n+1}, H) = 0, \\ (2t - n - 1)C_2'(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}, c_{n+1}, H) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Noting $\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} \neq 0$, we have

$$C_2'(\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}, c_{n+1}, H) = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(3.36) c_{n+1} = \pm H, c_{n+2} = 0.$$

Substituting (3.36) into the first identity of (3.35), we obtain

$$(3.37) n = 2t.$$

Combining (3.34), (3.36), (3.37), we get that n(=2m) is even and

(3.38)
$$\begin{cases} \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} = \dots = \tilde{h}_{mm}^{n+1} = -\tilde{h}_{m+1,m+1}^{n+1} = \dots = -\tilde{h}_{2m,2m}^{n+1}, \\ \tilde{h}_{11}^{n+2} = \dots = \tilde{h}_{mm}^{n+2} = -\tilde{h}_{m+1,m+1}^{n+2} = \dots = -\tilde{h}_{2m,2m}^{n+2} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since (3.33) becomes equality, we get

(3.39)
$$\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{7n+1}{24n}H^2} \left(> \frac{H}{4} \right).$$

Together with Proposition 2.1, we have

(3.40)
$$c_{n+1} = -\frac{H^2}{4\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}} (\leq 0).$$

From (3.36), (3.39) and (3.40), we obtain H=0, and $\tilde{h}_{11}^{n+1}=h_{11}^{n+1}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}$. Assertion (4) is proved.

Let $\tilde{L}(x)$ be a function defined by $\tilde{L}(x) = \max_{u \in UM_x} \tilde{\sigma}(u)$ on M. We have

LEMMA 3.3. Let M be an n-dimensional compact submanifold with parallel mean curvature in a unit sphere $S^{n+p}(1)$. Assume that one of the following conditions is satisfied.

- (1) If p = 1, n(=2m) is even, $\tilde{\sigma}(u) \le 1 + H^2$ for all $u \in UM$,
- (2) If p = 1, n(= 2m + 1) is odd,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\sigma}(u) & \leq \frac{n}{n-1} + \frac{2n^2 - 2n + 1}{2(n-1)} H^2 \\ & - \frac{H}{2(n-1)^2} \sqrt{(2n-1)^2 H^2 + 4n(n-1)}, \quad \textit{for all } u \in UM. \end{split}$$

- (3) If p = 2, $H \neq 0$, $\tilde{\sigma}(u) \leq 1 + \frac{3n+1}{4n}H^2$ for all $u \in UM$,
- (4) If $p \ge 3$, or p = 2 and H = 0, and if $\tilde{\sigma}(u) \le \frac{1}{3} + \frac{7n+1}{24n}H^2$ for all $u \in UM$.

Then $\tilde{L}(x)$ is a constant function on M.

Proof. $\tilde{L}(x)$ is obviously a continuous function. Using the maximum principle, it suffices to show that $\tilde{L}(x)$ is a subharmonic function in the generalized sense. Fix $x \in M$ and let e_1 be a maximal direction of $\tilde{\sigma}(u)$ at x. In an open neighborhood U_x of x within the cut-locus of x, we denote by u_y the tangent vector to M obtained by parallel transport of $e_1 = u(x)$ along the unique geodesic connecting x and y. Define $\tilde{g}_x(y) = \tilde{\sigma}(u(y))$ on U_x , then

If $\|\tilde{h}(e_1, e_1)\| \neq 0$, by Lemma 3.2, we have $(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111}(x) \geq 0$. If $\|\tilde{h}(e_1, e_1)\| = 0$, then $\tilde{h} \equiv 0$ at x, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} h_{ii}^{\alpha} = c_{\alpha}, & \text{for any } \alpha, i, \\ h_{ii}^{\alpha} = 0, & \text{for any } \alpha, i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Substituting quantities above into (2.4), it is easy to see that $\triangle h_{ij}^{\alpha} = 0$ for any α , i, j. Therefore,

$$(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111}(x) = 2 \sum_{i,\alpha} (\tilde{h}_{11i}^{\alpha})^2 \ge 0.$$

Thus, we have in any case $\triangle \tilde{g}_x(x) = (\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111}(x) \ge 0$.

For the Laplacian of continuous functions, we have the generalized definition

$$\Delta \tilde{L} = C \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\int_{B(x,r)} \tilde{L} / \int_{B(x,r)} 1 - \tilde{L}(x) \right),$$

where C is a positive constant and B(x,r) denotes the geodesic ball of radius r centered at x. With this definition \tilde{L} is subharmonic on M if and only if $\Delta \tilde{L}(x) \geq 0$ at each point $x \in M$. Since $\tilde{g}_x(x) = \tilde{L}(x)$ and $\tilde{g}_x \leq \tilde{L}$ on U_x , we have $\Delta \tilde{L}(x) \geq \Delta \tilde{g}_x(x) \geq 0$. Thus, $\tilde{L}(x)$ is subharmonic and hence constant on M.

4. Proof of Main Theorem

To prove Theorem 1.4 (Main Theorem), we need to prove the following results.

LEMMA 4.1. Let M be an n-dimensional compact submanifold with parallel mean curvature in a unit sphere $S^{n+p}(1)$. Assume that one of the following is satisfied:

- (1) If p = 1, n(=2m) is even, $\tilde{\sigma}(u) < 1 + H^2$ for all $u \in UM$,
- (2) If p = 1, n(=2m+1) is odd,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\sigma}(u) < \frac{n}{n-1} + \frac{2n^2 - 2n + 1}{2(n-1)}H^2 \\ - \frac{H}{2(n-1)^2} \sqrt{(2n-1)^2 H^2 + 4n(n-1)}, \quad \textit{for all } u \in UM, \end{split}$$

- (3) If p = 2, $H \neq 0$, $\tilde{\sigma}(u) \leq 1 + \frac{3n+1}{4n}H^2$ for all $u \in UM$,
- (4) If $p \ge 3$, or p = 2 and H = 0, and if $\tilde{\sigma}(u) < \frac{1}{3} + \frac{7n+1}{24n}H^2$ for all then M is the totally umbilical sphere $S^n\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+H^2}}\right)$.

Proof. Let e_1 be a maximal direction of $\tilde{\sigma}$ at $x \in M$. Assume that M is not an umbilical submanifold, i.e., $\tilde{\sigma}(e_1) \neq 0$. Define $\tilde{g}_x(y) = \tilde{\sigma}(u(y))$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.3. By Lemma 3.3, $\tilde{g}_x(x)$ is a maximum of \tilde{g}_x , thus $(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} = \triangle \tilde{g}_x(x) \leq 0$.

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.2, we have $(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} > 0$, for p = 2 and $H \neq 0$; $(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} \geq 0$, for $p \neq 2$, or p = 2 and H = 0. In case (3), we already

have the contradiction. In the other cases, we obtain $(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} = 0$ on M. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \tilde{\sigma}(e_1) = \begin{cases} 1 + H^2, & \text{if } p = 1, \ n \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{n}{n-1} + \frac{2n^2 - 2n + 1}{2(n-1)} H^2 \\ -\frac{H}{2(n-1)^2} \sqrt{(2n-1)^2 H^2 + 4n(n-1)}, & \text{if } p = 1, \ n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{1}{3}, & \text{if } p \ge 3, \text{ or } p = 2 \text{ and } H = 0. \end{cases}$$

This contradicts to assumptions in (1) and (2) respectively. Moreover, if $p \ge 3$ and $(\triangle T)_{1111}(x) = 0$ on M, from Lemma 3.2 we have H = 0. Hence (4.1) contradicts to the assumption in (4). Therefore, $\tilde{\sigma}(u) = 0$ for any $u \in UM$, and M is totally umbilical in S^{n+p} .

Theorem 4.2. Let M be a compact hypersurface with constant mean curvature in S^{n+1} . Assume that n(=2m) is even.

- (1) If $\tilde{\sigma}(u) < 1 + H^2$ for any $u \in UM$, then M is the totally umbilic sphere $S^n\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+H^2}}\right);$
- (2) If $\max_{u \in UM} \tilde{\sigma}(u) = 1 + H^2$, then M is the Clifford isoparametric hyper-

$$S^{m}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+H^{2}+H\sqrt{1+H^{2}})}}\right)\times S^{m}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+H^{2}-H\sqrt{1+H^{2}})}}\right).$$

Proof. The assertion of (1) follows from Lemma 4.1. We prove (2). As in Lemma 4.1, we get $(\triangle T)_{1111} = 0$.

From (3.11) we obtain

(4.2)
$$\tilde{h}_{aa}^{n+1} = -\tilde{h}_{rr}^{n+1} = \sqrt{1+H^2}$$
, for $a = 1, \dots, m, r = m+1, \dots, n$.

Correspondingly.

(4.3)
$$h_{aa}^{n+1} = c_{n+1} + \sqrt{1 + H^2}, \quad h_{rr}^{n+1} = c_{n+1} - \sqrt{1 + H^2},$$

where $c_{n+1} = \pm H$ is a constant.

By Lemma 3.1, $\tilde{h}_{11k}^{n+1}=0$. In this case every e_i is a maximal direction, so we have $\tilde{h}_{iik}^{n+1}=0$ for any i, k. From (2.3) we have $h_{iik}^{n+1}=\nabla_k(h_{ii}^{n+1})=\nabla_k(\tilde{h}_{ii}^{n+1}+c_{n+1})=\tilde{h}_{iik}^{n+1}=0$. By polarization, we get $h_{ijk}^{n+1}=0$ for any i, j, k. From (2.1) and (3.4), we obtain

$$0 = \sum_{k} h_{ijk}^{n+1} \omega_k = \sum_{l} h_{il}^{n+1} \omega_{lj} + \sum_{l} h_{lj}^{n+1} \omega_{li} = (h_{ii}^{n+1} - h_{jj}^{n+1}) \omega_{ij}.$$

Therefore, $\omega_{ar}=0$. Then M is locally a Riemannian product $V_1\times V_2$ with $\dim V_1=\dim V_2=m$. The curvatures of V_1 and V_2 are

$$\begin{split} R_{abcd} &= \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} - \delta_{ad} \delta_{bc} + h_{ac}^{n+1} h_{bd}^{n+1} - h_{ad}^{n+1} h_{bc}^{n+1} \\ &= (1 + (c_{n+1} + \sqrt{1 + H^2})^2) (\delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} - \delta_{ad} \delta_{bc}), \quad for \ 1 \le a, b, c, d \le m, \\ R_{rotw} &= (1 + (c_{n+1} - \sqrt{1 + H^2})^2) (\delta_{rt} \delta_{sw} - \delta_{rw} \delta_{st}), \quad for \ m+1 \le r, s, t, w \le n. \end{split}$$

Thus V_1 and V_2 are two spaces with constant curvatures $2(1+H^2)+2H\sqrt{1+H^2}$ and $2(1+H^2)-2H\sqrt{1+H^2}$ respectively. The compactness of M allows us to complete the proof. \square

THEOREM 4.3. Let M be a compact hypersurface with constant mean curvature in S^{n+1} . Assume that n(=2m+1) is odd. If

$$\tilde{\sigma}(u) \le \frac{n}{n-1} + \frac{2n^2 - 2n + 1}{2(n-1)}H^2 - \frac{H}{2(n-1)^2}\sqrt{(2n-1)^2H^2 + 4n(n-1)},$$

then M is the totally umbilical sphere $S^n\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+H^2}}\right)$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that $\tilde{L}(x) = \max_{u \in UM_x} \tilde{\sigma}(u)$ is a constant function on M. Assume that

$$\tilde{L}(x) \equiv \frac{n}{n-1} + \frac{2n^2 - 2n + 1}{2(n-1)}H^2 - \frac{H}{2(n-1)^2}\sqrt{(2n-1)^2H^2 + 4n(n-1)}.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we have $(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} \equiv 0$ on M. From (3.12) we have

(4.5)
$$\begin{cases} h_{aa}^{n+1} = -H + \left[-H + \sqrt{(2n-1)^2 H^2 + 4n(n-1)} \right] / 2(n-1), \\ for \ a = 1, \dots, m, \\ h_{rr}^{n+1} = -H - \left[-H + \sqrt{(2n-1)^2 H^2 + 4n(n-1)} \right] / 2(n-1), \\ for \ r = m+1, \dots, 2m, \\ h_{nn}^{n+1} = -H. \end{cases}$$

Since h_m^{n+1} is a constant, from (2.1) and (3.4) we have

$$\sum_{k} h_{nnk}^{n+1} \omega_k = -2 \sum_{i} h_{ni}^{n+1} \omega_{in} = 0.$$

Therefore

$$(4.6) h_{nnk}^{n+1} = 0, k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Moreover, as in the proof of Theorem 4.2, we have $h_{ijk}^{n+1}=0$, for $i,j=1,\ldots,2m$, $k=1,\ldots,n$. Hence, $h_{ijk}^{n+1}=0$, for $i,j,k=1,\ldots,n$. Thus, it is easy to see from (2.1) that

$$(4.7) \omega_{ar} = \omega_{an} = \omega_{rn} = 0.$$

Then we have

$$0 = d\omega_{an}$$

$$= -\sum_{b=1}^{m} \omega_{ab} \wedge \omega_{bn} - \sum_{s=m+1}^{2m} \omega_{as} \wedge \omega_{sn} - \omega_{a,n+1} \wedge \omega_{n+1,n} + \omega_{a} \wedge \omega_{n}$$

$$= (h_{aa}^{n+1} h_{nn}^{n+1} + 1)\omega_{a} \wedge \omega_{n}.$$

Similarly we obtain $(h_{rr}^{n+1}h_{nn}^{n+1}+1)\omega_r \wedge \omega_n=0$. Then we have

(4.8)
$$\begin{cases} 1 + h_{aa}^{n+1} h_{nn}^{n+1} = 0, \\ 1 + h_{rr}^{n+1} h_{nn}^{n+1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

This implies $h_{aa}^{n+1} = h_{rr}^{n+1}$. Hence, we have

$$-H + [-H + \sqrt{(2n-1)^2 H^2 + 4n(n-1)}]/2(n-1)$$

$$= -H - [-H + \sqrt{(2n-1)^2 H^2 + 4n(n-1)}]/2(n-1).$$

Thus, $(2n-1)^2H^2 + 4n(n-1) = H^2$, i.e., $4n(n-1)(1+H^2) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore,

$$\tilde{\sigma}(u) < \frac{n}{n-1} + \frac{2n^2 - 2n + 1}{2(n-1)}H^2 - \frac{H}{2(n-1)^2}\sqrt{(2n-1)^2H^2 + 4n(n-1)}$$

for any $u \in UM$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that M is an totally umbilical sphere. \square

THEOREM 4.4. Let M be an n-dimensional compact submanifold with parallel mean curvature in S^{n+2} . If $H \neq 0$ and

$$\tilde{\sigma}(u) \le 1 + \frac{3n+1}{4n}H^2$$
, for any $u \in UM$,

then M is the totally umbilical sphere $S^n\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+H^2}}\right)$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.1.

THEOREM 4.5. Let M be an n-dimensional compact submanifold with parallel mean curvature in S^{n+p} , where $p \ge 3$, or p = 2 and H = 0. If

$$\tilde{\sigma}(u) \le \frac{1}{3} + \frac{7n+1}{24n}H^2$$
, for any $u \in UM$,

then M is either the totally umbilical sphere $S^n\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+H^2}}\right)$, one of the embeddings $\phi_{i,p}$, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, or the minimal immersion $\phi'_{1,p}$.

Proof. In the case where $\tilde{\sigma}(u) < \frac{1}{3} + \frac{7n+1}{24n}H^2$, for any $u \in UM$, it follows

from Lemma 4.1 that M is the totally umbilical sphere $S^n\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+H^2}}\right)$. In the case $\tilde{L}(x) = \max_{u \in UM_x} \tilde{\sigma}(u) \equiv \frac{1}{3} + \frac{7n+1}{24n}H^2$, as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we obtain $(\triangle \tilde{T})_{1111} = 0$ on M. By Lemma 3.2, we see that n = 2mis even and H=0, thus $\max_{u\in UM_x} \tilde{\sigma}(u) = \max_{u\in UM_x} \sigma(u) = \frac{1}{3}$. By the same argument as in [4], one see that the isometric immersion is a $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$ -isotropic minimal immersion with parallel second fundamental tensor. Using Sakamoto's classification [8] of all $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$ isotropic minimal immersions into a unit sphere with parallel second fundamental form, we conclude that M is one of $\phi_{i,p}$, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, or $\phi'_{1,n}$. This proves Theorem 4.5.

Proof of Main Theorem. It follows from Theorems 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. ALENCAR AND M. DO CARMO, Hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in spheres, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1994), 1223-1229.
- [2] Q. M. CHENG AND H. NAKAGAWA, Totally umbilic hypersurfaces, Hiroshima Math. J. 20 (1990), 1-10.
- [3] S. S. CHERN, M. DO CARMO AND S. KOBAYASHI, Minimal submanifolds of constant length, Functional analysis and related fields (F. E. Browder, ed.), Springer, New York, 1970.
- [4] H. GAUCHMAN, Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with bounded second fundamental form, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. 292 (1986), 779-791.
- [5] H. B. Lawson, Local rigidity theorems for minimal hypersurfaces, Ann. of Math. (2) 89 (1969), 187-197.
- [6] A. M. Li and J. M. Li, An intrinsic rigidity theorem for minimal submanifolds in a sphere, Arch. Math. 58 (1992), 582-594.
- [7] M. OKUMURA, Hypersurfaces and a pinching problem on the second fundamental tensor, Amer. J. Math. 96 (1974), 207-213.
- [8] K. SAKAMOTO, Planar geodesic immersions, Tokoku Math. J. 29 (1977), 25-26.
- K. SHIOHAMA AND H. W. Xu, A general rigidity theorem for complete submanifolds, Nagoya Math. J. 150 (1998), 105–134.
- [10] J. Simons, Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds, Ann. of Math. (2) 88 (1968), 62-105.

- [11] H. W. Xu, A Simons-type pinching constant and the problem of isometric immersion, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A. 12 (1991), 261–269.
- [12] H. W. Xu, Pinching theorems, global pinching theorems, and eigenvalues for Riemannian submanifolds, Ph.D. dissertation, Fudan University, 1990.
- [13] H. W. Xu, A rigidity theorem for submanifolds with parallel mean curvature in a sphere, Arch. Math. 61 (1993), 489–496.
- [14] H. W. Xu, On closed minimal submanifolds in pinched Riemannian manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 347 (1995), 1743–1751.
- [15] H. W. Xu, W. Fang and F. Xiang, A generalization of Gauchman's rigidity theorem, Pacific J. Math. 228 (2006), 185–199.
- [16] S. T. Yau, Submanifolds with constant mean curvature I, Amer. J. Math. 96 (1974), 346–366.
- [17] S. T. Yau, Submanifolds with constant mean curvature II, Amer. J. Math. 97 (1975), 76-100.

Hong-Wei Xu Center of Mathematical Sciences Zhejiang University Hangzhou 310027 P.R. China

E-mail: xuhw@cms.zju.edu.cn

Fei Huang
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY
HANGZHOU 310027
P.R. CHINA

E-mail: rileyhuang@163.com

Fei Xiang
CENTER OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY
HANGZHOU 310027
P.R. CHINA
E-mail: xiangf@cms.zju.edu.cn