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#### Abstract

By using the potential theory and Pólya peaks, we will investigate the indirect singular points of meromorphic functions. This is a continuous work of M. Tsuji.


## 1. Introduction and results

We assume that the reader is familiar with the basic Nevanlinna notations. For example,

$$
\bar{N}^{l)}(r, \Omega, f=a)=\int_{r_{0}}^{r} \frac{\bar{n}^{l)}(t, \Omega, f=a)}{t} d t,
$$

where $\Omega=\{z: \alpha \leq \arg z \leq \beta,|z|<1\}$. $\bar{n}^{l)}(t, \Omega, f=a)$ is the number of distinct zeros with multiplicity $\leq l$ of $f(z)=a$ in $\Omega \cap\left\{r_{0}<|z|<t\right\}$ counted only once. The lower order $\mu$ and the order $\rho$ of $f(z)$ :

$$
\mu(f)=\liminf _{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}}, \quad \rho(f)=\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}} .
$$

For a meromorphic function in $|z|<1$, Tsuji [4] proved the analogue of Biernacki-Rauch's theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of finite order $\rho>0$ in $|z|<1$. Then there exist a point $z_{0}$ on $|z|=1$ and a line $J$ through $z_{0}$, directed inward of $|z|<1$, which may coincide with the tangent of $|z|=1$ at $z_{0}$ and satisfied the following condition.

Let $\omega$ be any small angular domain, which contains $J$ and is bounded by two lines through $z_{0}$ and $g(z)$ be a meromorphic function in $|z|<1$ and $\left\{z_{v}(f=g, \omega)\right\}$ be zero points of $f(z)=g(z)$ in $\omega$, multiple zeros being counted only once.

[^0](i) If $g(z)$ is of order $<\rho$, then
$$
\Sigma_{v}\left(1-\left|z_{v}(f=g, \omega)\right|\right)^{\rho+1-\varepsilon}=\infty, \quad \varepsilon>0
$$
with at most two exceptions for $g$.
(ii) If $f(z)$ is of divergence type and $\int_{0}^{1} T(r, g)(1-r)^{\rho-1} d r<\infty$, then
$$
\Sigma_{v}\left(1-\left|z_{v}(f=g, \omega)\right|\right)^{\rho+1}=\infty,
$$
with at most two exceptions for $g$.
The point $z_{0}$ in Theorem 1.1 is called the indirect singular point. In this paper, we will prove the existence of singular points dealing with multiple values for $f(z)$ with $0 \leq \rho(f) \leq \infty$. For the positive order case, we will prove Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.2. Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function with the order $0<\rho \leq \infty$ and the lower order $0 \leq \mu<\infty$ defined in $|z|<1$. Then there exist a point $z_{0}$ on $|z|=1$ and a line $J$ through $z_{0}$, directed inward of $|z|<1$, which may coincide with the tangent of $|z|=1$ at $z_{0}$ and satisfied the following condition.

Let $\omega$ be any small angular domain, which contains $J$ and is bounded by two lines through $z_{0}$ and $g(z)$ be a meromorphic function in $|z|<1$ with $T(r, g)=$ $o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow 1-$, and $l(\geq 3)$ be a positive integer. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{\bar{N}^{l}(r, \omega, f=g)}{T(r, f)}>0, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with two possible exceptions for $g$, and $z_{0}$ is called an indirect $T$ point of $f(z)$ dealing with multiple value.

Next, we consider some subclass of order zero. Suppose that $f(z)$ satisfies the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{T(r, f)}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}}=\infty, \quad \text { and } \quad \limsup _{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}}=0 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $X=\log \frac{1}{1-r} . \quad$ By Valiron's results (see [3]), there exists a type function $W(X)$, which has a non-decreasing and positive continuous derivative $W^{\prime}(X)$, and satisfies
(1) $T(r, f) \leq W(X)$, and there exists a sequence $X_{n}=\log \frac{1}{1-r_{n}} \rightarrow \infty$ $(n \rightarrow \infty)$, such that $\frac{1}{2} W\left(X_{n}\right)<T\left(r_{n}, f\right)$,

$$
\lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W(X)}{X}=\infty,
$$

(2) $\frac{W^{\prime}(X)}{W(X)}$ tending to 0 decreasingly,
(3) $W(X+\log h)<K(h) W(X), W^{\prime}(X+\log h)<K(h) W^{\prime}(X)$, where $K(h)$ is a constant dependent on $h$,

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{W\left(\log \frac{h}{1-r}\right)}{W\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)}=1, \quad \text { for any } h>1
$$

Theorem 1.3. Let $w=f(z)$ be a meromorphic function in $|z|<1$ and satisfy (1.2). Then there exist a point $z_{0} \in\{|z|=1\}$, and a line $J$ through $z_{0}$, directed inward of the unit disk, which may coincide with the tangent of $|z|=1$ at $z_{0}$ and satisfying the following condition.

Let $\omega$ be any small angular domain, which contains $J$ and is bounded by two lines through $z_{0}$ and $g(z)$ be a meromorphic function in $|z|<1$ with $T(r, g)=O(1)$, $l(\geq 3)$ be a positive integer. Then

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{\bar{N}^{l}(r, \omega, f(z)=g)}{T(r, f)}>0
$$

with at most two possible exceptions for $g(z)$.
Theorem 1.4. Let $w=f(z)$ be a meromorphic function in $|z|<1$ and satisfy (1.2). Then there exists a point $z_{0} \in\{|z|=1\}$, and a line $J$ through $z_{0}$, directed inward of the unit disk, which may coincide with the tangent of $|z|=1$ at $z_{0}$ and satisfying the following:

Let $\omega$ be any small angular domain, which contains $J$ and is bounded by two lines through $z_{0}$ and $g(z)$ be a meromorphic function in $|z|<1$ with $T(r, g)=$ $o\left(W\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)\right), l(\geq 3)$ be a positive integer. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{\log \bar{n}^{l)}(r, \omega, f=g)}{\log W\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)+\log \frac{1}{1-r}}=1 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with at most two possible exceptions for $g(z)$, where $W\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)$ is the type function of $T(r, f)$, and $z_{0}$ is called an indirect maximum type Borel point of $f(z)$ dealing with multiple value.

## 2. Some lemmas

First, we recall the Ahlfors-Shimuzi characteristic function of $f(z)$ defined in the sector $\Omega$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{S}(r, \Omega, f)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{r_{0}}^{r} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{\left|f^{\prime}\left(t e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left(1+\left|f\left(t e^{i \theta}\right)\right|\right)^{2}} t d t d \theta \\
\mathscr{T}(r, \Omega, f)=\int_{r_{0}}^{r} \frac{\mathscr{P}(t, \Omega, f)}{t} d t .
\end{gathered}
$$

We write $\mathscr{S}(r, \Omega, f)=S(r, f)$ and $\mathscr{T}(r, \Omega, f)=T(r, f)$ when $\Omega=\{z: 0 \leq \arg z<$ $2 \pi\}$.

Now we give some lemmas which will be used in the proof of the theorems.
Lemma 2.1 ([2]). Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function in $|z|<R, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{q}$ $(q \geq 3)$ be $q$ different points on $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ and the spherical distance between any two points in them $\left|a_{i}, a_{j}\right| \geq \delta, \delta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right), l(\geq 3)$ be a positive integer. Then for any $r \in(0, R)$, we have

$$
\left(q-2-\frac{2}{l}\right) S(r, f) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{q} \bar{n}^{l)}\left(R, a_{j}\right)+A \frac{R}{R-r},
$$

where $A$ is a constant number.
Combing Lemma 2.1 with [5], we can prove Lemmas 2.2-2.4. For the completeness, we give the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.2. Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic in $|z|<1$ and $\Delta \subset \Delta_{0}$ be two angular domains (see figure 1), each of which is bounded by two lines, through $z=1$, which do not touch $|z|=1$ and $\Delta(r), \Delta_{0}(r)$ be the part of $\Delta, \Delta_{0}$, which lies in $r_{0} \leq|z| \leq$ $r<1$ respectively, where $r_{0} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ is so chosen that the circle $|z|=r_{0}$ meets the both sides of $\Delta$ and $\Delta_{0}, l(\geq 3)$ be a positive integer. Then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(q-2-\frac{2}{l}\right) \mathscr{S}(r, \Delta, f) \leq 3 \sum_{j=1}^{q} \bar{n}^{l}\left(\frac{r+3}{4}, a_{i}, \Delta_{0}\right)+O\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right), \\
\left(q-2-\frac{2}{l}\right) \mathscr{T}(r, \Delta, f) \leq 21 \sum_{j=1}^{q} \bar{N}^{l)}\left(\frac{r+3}{4}, a_{i}, \Delta_{0}\right)+O(1)
\end{gathered}
$$
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Proof. Let $r_{n}=1-\frac{1-r_{0}}{2^{n}}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ and for $n \geq 2, \Delta_{n}$ be the part of $\Delta$, which lies in $r_{n-1} \leq|z| \leq r_{n}$ and $\Delta_{n}^{0}$ be that of $\Delta_{0}$, which lies in $r_{n-2} \leq|z| \leq$ $r_{n+1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}=\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Delta_{n}}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+|f(z)|^{2}}\right)^{2} r d r d \theta \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N_{n}^{0}$ be the number of zero points of $\prod_{i=1}^{q}\left(f(z)-a_{i}\right)$ in $\Delta_{n}^{0}$, multiple zeros being counted $\leq l$.

We map $\Delta_{n}^{0}$ conformally on $|\zeta|<1$, such that the point $z_{n}\left(\left|z_{n}\right|=\frac{r_{n}+r_{n-1}}{2}\right)$ on the bisector of $\Delta$ becomes $\zeta=0$, then the image of $\Delta_{n}$ is contained in $|\zeta|<\kappa<1$, where $\kappa$ is a constant, independent of $n$.

If we apply Lemma 2.1, then $(q-2-2 / l) S_{n}<N_{n}^{0}+K$ ( $K=$ const), so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q-2-\frac{2}{l}\right) \sum_{n=2}^{m} S_{n} \leq \sum_{n=2}^{m} N_{n}^{0}+K m=\sum_{n=2}^{m} N_{n}^{0}+O\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r_{m}}\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sum_{n=2}^{m} S_{n}=S\left(r_{m}, \Delta\right)-S\left(r_{1}, \Delta\right)$ and $\Delta_{n}^{0}$ overlap at most 3-times, $\sum_{n=2}^{m} N_{n}^{0} \leq 3 \sum_{i=1}^{q} \bar{n}^{l)}\left(r_{m+1}, \Delta_{0}, a_{i}\right)$, so that by (2.2),

$$
\left(q-2-\frac{2}{l}\right) \mathscr{S}\left(r_{n}, \Delta, f\right) \leq 3 \sum_{i=1}^{q} \bar{n}^{l l}\left(r_{n+1}, \Delta_{0}, a_{i}\right)+O\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r_{n}}\right) .
$$

If $r_{m-1} \leq r \leq r_{m}$, then $\mathscr{S}(r, \Delta, f) \leq \mathscr{S}\left(r_{m}, \Delta, f\right)$ and $r_{m+1}=\frac{r_{m-1}+3}{4} \leq \frac{r+3}{4}$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q-2-\frac{2}{l}\right) \mathscr{S}(r, \Delta, f) \leq 3 \sum_{i=1}^{q} \bar{n}^{l)}\left(\frac{r+3}{4}, \Delta_{0}, a_{i}\right)+O\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\left(q-2-\frac{2}{l}\right) \mathscr{T}(r, \Delta, f) \leq 12 \sum_{i=1}^{q} \int_{t_{0}}^{(r+3) / 4} \frac{\bar{n}^{l)}\left(t, \Delta_{0}, a_{i}\right)}{4 t-3} d t+O(1), \quad t_{0}=\frac{r_{0}+3}{4} \geq \frac{7}{8} .
$$

Since $4 t-3 \geq \frac{4 t}{7}$, if $t \geq 7 / 8$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q-2-\frac{2}{l}\right) \mathscr{T}(r, \Delta, f) \leq 21 \sum_{i=1}^{q} \bar{N}^{l)}\left(\frac{r+3}{4}, \Delta_{0}, a_{i}\right)+O(1) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic in $|z|<1$ and $\Delta \subset \Delta_{0}$ be two sectors, as shown in the figure 2, where $0<\rho_{0}<\rho<1 \Delta(r), \Delta_{0}(r)$ be the part of $\Delta, \Delta_{0}$, which lies in $r_{0} \leq|z| \leq r<1$ respectively, where $r_{0}=\frac{1+\rho}{2}, l(\geq 3)$ be a positive integer. Then
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$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(q-2-\frac{2}{l}\right) \mathscr{S}(r, \Delta, f) \leq 9 \sum_{j=1}^{q} \bar{n}^{l)}\left(\frac{r+3}{4}, a_{i}, \Delta_{0}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right), \\
\left(q-2-\frac{2}{l}\right) \mathscr{T}(r, \Delta, f) \leq 63 \sum_{j=1}^{q} \bar{N}^{l l}\left(\frac{r+3}{4}, a_{i}, \Delta_{0}\right)+O\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 2.4. Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic in $|z|<1$ and $\Delta \subset \Delta_{0}$ be two domains, as shown in the figure 3

$$
\Delta:|\arg z|<\alpha, \quad \Delta_{0}:|\arg z|<\alpha_{0} \quad\left(\alpha<\alpha_{0}\right)
$$

Let $\Delta(r), \Delta_{0}(r)$ be the part of $\Delta, \Delta_{0}$, which lies in $|z| \leq r<1$ respectively, $l(\geq 3)$ be a positive integer. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(q-2-\frac{2}{l}\right) \mathscr{S}(r, \Delta, f) \leq 9 \sum_{j=1}^{q} \bar{n}^{l)}\left(\frac{r+3}{4}, a_{i}, \Delta_{0}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right), \\
\left(q-2-\frac{2}{l}\right) \mathscr{T}(r, \Delta, f) \leq 63 \sum_{j=1}^{q} \bar{N}^{l)}\left(\frac{r+3}{4}, a_{i}, \Delta_{0}\right)+O\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The following lemma is applicable in the discussion of angular distribution of a meromorphic function dealing with small functions.

Lemma 2.5. Let $w(z), g_{i}(z)(i=1,2,3,4)$ be meromorphic in $|z|<1$. Set

$$
f(z)=\frac{g_{1}(z) w(z)+g_{2}(z)}{g_{3}(z) w(z)+g_{4}(z)} .
$$

Let $\Delta \subset \Delta_{0}$ be two sectors defined in Lemma 2.2. Then

$$
\mathscr{S}(r, \Delta, f) \leq 27 \mathscr{S}\left(\frac{r+63}{64}, \Delta_{0}, w\right)+O\left(\int_{0}^{(r+127) / 128} \frac{T(r, g)}{(1-r)^{2}} d r\right)
$$

where $T(r, g)=\sum_{i=1}^{4} T\left(r, g_{i}\right)$.
The same relation holds, if $\Delta \subset \Delta_{0}$ are sectors of Lemma 2.3, where 27 should be replaced by 729 .

## 3. Proof of the theorems

We introduce for the first time the Pólya peaks for a $T(r)$ in $(0,1)$.
Definition 3.1. A sequence of positive numbers $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is called a sequence of Pólya peaks for $T(r)$ of order $\beta$ (outside a set $E$ ) provided that there exist four sequence $\left\{r_{n}^{\prime}\right\},\left\{r_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\},\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ such that
(1) $r_{n} \notin E, 0<r_{n}^{\prime}<r_{n}<r_{n}^{\prime \prime}<1, r_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow 1-, \frac{1-r_{n}^{\prime}}{1-r_{n}} \rightarrow \infty, \frac{1-r_{n}}{1-r_{n}^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow \infty, \varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$,
$0(n \rightarrow \infty) ;$ $\varepsilon_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$;
(2) $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T\left(r_{n}\right)}{\log \frac{1}{1-r_{n}}} \geq \beta$;
(3) $T(t)<\left(1+\varepsilon_{n}\right)\left(\frac{1-r_{n}}{1-t}\right)^{\beta} T\left(r_{n}\right), t \in\left[r_{n}^{\prime}, r_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right]$;
(4) $T(t) \leq K T\left(r_{n}\right)\left(\frac{1-r_{n}}{1-t}\right)^{\beta-\varepsilon_{n}^{\prime}}, 0<t \leq r_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ and for a positive constant $K$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $T(r)$ be a non-negative and non-decreasing continuous function in $(0,1)$ with $0 \leq \mu(T)=\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r)}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}}<\infty$ and $0<\rho(T)=$ $\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r)}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}} \leq \infty$. Then for arbitrary finite and positive number $\beta$
satisfying $\mu \leq \beta \leq \lambda$ and a set $F$ with $\int_{F} \frac{d t}{1-t}<\infty$, there exists a sequence of the Pólya peaks of order $\beta$ outside $F$.

Proof. Zheng [6] proved that for a non-negative and non-decreasing function $T(r)$ in $0<r<\infty$ with $0 \leq \mu(T)=\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r)}{\log r}<\infty$ and $0<\rho(T)=$ $\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r)}{\log r} \leq \infty$. Then for arbitrary finite and positive number $\beta$ satisfying $\mu \leq \beta \leq \lambda$ and a set $F$ with finite logarithmic measure, that is $\int_{F} \frac{d t}{t}<\infty$, there exists a sequence of the Pólya peaks of order $\beta$ outside $F$.

For our purpose, we set $t=\frac{1}{1-r} \in(0,+\infty)$ for $r \in(0,1)$. Then using Zheng's result to the function $T(r)=T\left(1-\frac{1}{t}\right)$ implies the lemma.

The following lemma comes from Gao [1].
Lemma 3.2 [1]. Let $f$ be meromorphic in $|z|<1$ of zero order satisfying (1.2). Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log W\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)}=\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{\log S(r, f)}{\log W\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)+\log \frac{1}{1-r}}=1, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there exists $a \arg z=\theta$, such that for any $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{\log \mathscr{S}\left(r, Z_{\varepsilon}(\theta), f\right)}{\log W\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)+\log \frac{1}{1-r}}=1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $f$ be meromorphic in $|z|<1$ and satisfy (1.2). Then there exists a half line $\arg z=\theta$, such that for each $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, we have

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{\mathscr{T}\left(r, Z_{\varepsilon}(\theta), f\right)}{W\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)}>0
$$

where $Z_{\varepsilon}(\theta)=\{z: \theta-\varepsilon<\arg z<\theta+\varepsilon\}$.
Proof. Suppose the lemma fails, that is, for any $\theta \in[0,2 \pi)$, there exists $\varepsilon_{\theta}>0$

$$
\mathscr{T}\left(r, Z_{\varepsilon}(\theta), f\right)=o\left(W\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)\right) .
$$

There exist a finite number of the radials $\arg z=\theta_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots, m)$ and $\varepsilon_{\theta_{j}}>0$, such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[0,2 \pi) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{m}\left(\theta_{i}-\varepsilon_{\theta_{i}}, \theta_{i}+\varepsilon_{\theta_{i}}\right)} \\
T(r, f) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathscr{T}\left(r, Z_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{i}\right), f\right)=o\left(W\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

This leads a contradiction with (3.1).
Using the same method we also have the following lemma.
Lemma 3.4. Let $f$ be meromorphic in $|z|<1$. Then for any sequence $\left\{r_{n}\right\} \rightarrow 1-$, there exists $a \arg z=\theta$, for each $\varepsilon>0$ small enough,

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathscr{T}\left(r_{n}, Z_{\varepsilon}(\theta), f\right)}{T\left(r_{n}, f\right)}>0 .
$$

Now we are in position to prove Theorem 1.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. In view of Lemma 3.4, there exists a direction $L: \arg z=\theta_{0}$, for each small enough $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathscr{T}\left(r_{n}, Z_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right), f\right)}{T\left(r_{n}, f\right)}>0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $\theta_{0}=0$ and denote the sector: $|\arg z|<\delta,|z|<1$ by the letter $\Delta . \quad L_{1}, L_{2}$ denote the half lines $\arg z=\delta$ and $\arg z=-\delta$ respectively, and $\xi_{0}=e^{i \delta} \quad\left(0<\delta<\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ is the intersection point of $L_{1}$ and the unit circle. We draw two lines $L_{3}, L_{4}$ through $z=1$ directly inward of the unit disk and symmetric with respect to the real axis, making an angle $<\frac{\pi}{2}$ with the negative real axis. Point $a$ is the intersection point of $L_{1}$ and $L_{3}$. Let $\Omega$ be the angular domain, bounded by these two lines and we denote the common part of $\Omega$ and $\Delta$ by the same letter $\Omega$. Then $\Delta$ consists of three parts: $\Delta=\Omega+\Omega^{\prime}+\Omega^{\prime \prime}$, where $\Omega^{\prime}$ bounded by $L_{1}, L_{3}$ and the unit circle, $\Omega^{\prime \prime}$ bounded by $L_{2}, L_{4}$ and the unit circle (see figure 4). Then one of the following holds

$$
\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } \frac{\mathscr{T}\left(r_{n}, \Omega, f\right)}{T\left(r_{n}, f\right)}>0, \quad \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathscr{T}\left(r_{n}, \Omega^{\prime}, f\right)}{T\left(r_{n}, f\right)}>0, \quad \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } \frac{\mathscr{T}\left(r_{n}, \Omega^{\prime \prime}, f\right)}{T\left(r_{n}, f\right)}>0 .
$$
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Case 1. First we suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathscr{T}\left(r_{n}, \Omega, f\right)}{T\left(r_{n}, f\right)}>0 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By dividing the angular domain $\Omega$ into $2^{n}$ equal parts by lines through $z=1$, we see that there exists a line $J \in \Omega$ through $z=1$, such that for any small angular domain $\omega$, which contains $J$ and is bounded by two lines through $z=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathscr{T}\left(r_{n}, \omega, f\right)}{T\left(r_{n}, f\right)}>0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\omega \subset \omega_{1} \subset \omega_{0}$ be three angular domains, whose common vertex is at $z=1$. Let $g_{i}(z)(i=1,2,3)$ be three meromorphic functions in $|z|<1$, such that $T\left(r, g_{i}\right)=o(T(r, f))$.

Hence if we put $T(r, g)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} T\left(r, g_{i}\right)$, then $T(r, g)=o(T(r, f))$. We put

$$
w(z)=\frac{f(z)-g_{1}(z)}{f(z)-g_{3}(z)} \frac{g_{2}(z)-g_{3}(z)}{g_{2}(z)-g_{1}(z)}, \quad f(z)=\frac{h_{1}(z) w(z)+h_{2}(z)}{h_{3}(z) w(z)+h_{4}(z)},
$$

then $T\left(r, h_{i}\right)=O(T(r, g))(i=1,2,3,4)$. In view of Lemma 2.5, we have

$$
\mathscr{T}\left(r_{n}, \omega, f\right) \leq \text { const. } \mathscr{T}\left(\frac{r_{n}+63}{64}, \omega_{1}, w\right)+O\left(\int_{0}^{r_{n}} \int_{0}^{(r+127) / 128} \frac{T(t, g)}{(1-t)^{2}} d t d r\right)
$$

By Lemma 3.1, there exists a sequence of Pólya peaks (of $T(r, f))\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ with finite positive order $\sigma$ between $\mu$ and $\rho$ such that

$$
T\left(\frac{t+127}{128}, f\right) \leq K\left(\frac{1-r_{n}}{1-t}\right)^{\sigma} T\left(\frac{r_{n}+127}{128}, f\right),
$$

for $0 \leq t \leq r_{n}$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{r_{n}} \int_{0}^{(r+127) / 128} \frac{T(t, f)}{(1-t)^{2}} d t d r & =\int_{0}^{\left(r_{n}+127\right) / 128} \int_{128 t-127}^{r_{n}} \frac{T(t, f)}{(1-t)^{2}} d r d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\left(r_{n}+127\right) / 128} \int_{128 t-127}^{1} \frac{T(t, f)}{(1-t)^{2}} d r d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{\left(r_{n}+127\right) / 128} \frac{T(t, f)}{1-t} d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{r_{n}} \frac{T\left(\frac{t+127}{128}, f\right)}{1-t} d t \\
& \leq \sigma K T\left(\frac{r_{n}+127}{128}, f\right) \\
& \leq 2 \sigma K 128^{\sigma} T\left(r_{n}, f\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\mathscr{T}\left(r_{n}, \omega, f\right) \leq \text { const. } \mathscr{T}\left(\frac{r_{n}+63}{64}, \omega_{1}, w\right)+o\left(T\left(r_{n}, f\right)\right) .
$$

In view of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\left(1-\frac{2}{l}\right) \mathscr{T}\left(r_{n}, \omega, f\right) \leq \text { const. } \sum_{i=1}^{3} \bar{N}^{l}\left(\frac{r_{n}+255}{256}, \omega_{0}, f=g_{i}\right)+o\left(T\left(r_{n}, f\right)\right) .
$$

By noting that $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of Pólya peaks, we have $T\left(\frac{r_{n}+255}{256}, f\right) \leq$
$K T\left(r_{n}, f\right)$ and this implies that we have

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \bar{N}^{l)}\left(\frac{r_{n}+255}{256}, \omega_{0}, f=g_{i}\right)}{T\left(\frac{r_{n}+255}{256}, f\right)}>0 .
$$

Case 2. We assume

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathscr{T}\left(r_{n}, \Omega^{\prime}, f\right)}{T\left(r_{n}, f\right)}>0 .
$$

Let $\xi_{0}$ and $a$ defined as before, and $\xi_{1}$ be a point on $|z|=1$, which lies symmetric to $z=1$ with respect to the line $O \xi_{0}$.

Let $a_{0}$ be a point on the line $O \xi_{0}$, such that $a=\frac{a_{0}+\xi_{0}}{2}$.
Let $\Sigma_{0}, \Sigma$ be the sectors, defined as in the figure 5 .
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Then we have

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathscr{T}\left(r_{n}, \Sigma, f\right)}{T\left(r_{n}, f\right)}>0 .
$$

Using Lemma 2.3 and the method similar to Case 1, we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{\bar{N}^{l}\left(r, \Sigma_{0}, f=g\right)}{T(r, f)}>0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with at most two possible exceptions for $g$.
Case 3. We denote the angular magnitude of $\Omega$ by $|\Omega|$, then $0<|\Omega|<\pi$.
Let $\Omega_{1} \subseteq \Omega_{2} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \cdots$ be angular domains as $\Omega$, such that $0<\left|\Omega_{n}\right| \rightarrow \pi$ and suppose that for $n=1,2, \ldots$,

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{\mathscr{T}\left(r, \Omega_{n}, f\right)}{T(r, f)}>0 .
$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{\mathscr{T}\left(r, \Omega_{n}^{\prime}, f\right)}{T(r, f)}>0 \quad(n=1,2, \ldots) .
$$

Let $J$ be the positive tangent of $|z|<1$ at $z=1$. Then from (3.6) we see that for each small angular domain $\omega$, which contains $J$,

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{\bar{N}^{l)}(r, \omega, f=g)}{T(r, f)}>0,
$$

with two possible exceptions for $g$.
Theorem 1.2 follows.

Proofs of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4. We can prove Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 with the same method of Theorem 1.2, here we only give a sketch of the proofs of them.

Sketch of proof of Theorem 1.3. In view of Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-\frac{2}{l}\right) \mathscr{T}(r, \omega, f) \leq & K \sum_{i=1}^{3} \bar{N}^{l}\left(\frac{r+255}{256}, \omega_{0}, f=g_{i}(z)\right) \\
& +O\left(\int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{(r+127) / 128} \frac{T(t, g)}{(1-t)^{2}} d t d r\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $T(r, g)=\sum_{j=1}^{3} T\left(r, g_{j}\right)$, and $K$ is a constant.
The similar to the proof of Theorem 1.2 implies that

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{\mathscr{T}(r, \omega, f)}{W\left(\log \left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)\right)}>0
$$

Since $T(r, g)=O(1)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{(s+127) / 128} \frac{T(t, g)}{(1-t)^{2}} d t d s & =O\left(\int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{(s+127) / 128} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{2}} d t d s\right) \\
& =O\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)=o\left(W\left(\log \left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, by the property of the type function, we have

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \bar{N}^{l)}\left(\frac{r+255}{256}, \omega_{0}, f(z)=g_{i}(z)\right)}{W\left(\log \left(\frac{256}{1-r}\right)\right)}>0 .
$$

Thus

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{\bar{N}^{l}\left(r, \omega_{0}, f(z)=g(z)\right)}{T(r, f)}>0
$$

with at most two possible exceptions for $g$.

Sketch of proof of Theorem 1.4. (3.2) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(1-\frac{2}{l}\right) \mathscr{S}(r, \omega, f) \leq & K \sum_{j=1}^{3} \bar{n}^{l)}\left(\frac{r+255}{256}, \omega_{0}, f=a_{j}(z)\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
& +O\left(\int_{r_{0}}^{(r+127) / 128} \frac{T(r, g)}{(1-r)^{2}} d r\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now we treat with the last term of (3.7)

$$
\begin{aligned}
O\left(\int_{r_{0}}^{(r+127) / 128} \frac{T(r, g)}{(1-r)^{2}} d r\right) & =o\left(\int_{r_{0}}^{(r+127) / 128} \frac{W\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)}{(1-r)^{2}} d r\right) \\
& =o\left(W\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) \frac{1}{1-r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1-} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\log \bar{n}^{l}\left(r, \omega, f(z)=a_{j}(z)\right)}{\log W\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)+\log \frac{1}{1-r}} \geq 1 .
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1-} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\log \bar{n}^{l}\left(r, \omega, f(z)=a_{j}(z)\right)}{\log W\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)+\log \frac{1}{1-r}} \leq 1 .
$$

Thus Theorem 1.4 follows.
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