## ON A PROBLEM OF R. NEVANLINNA CONCERNING SOME CLASS OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

By Kiyoshi Niino

1. R. Nevanlinna proved

THEOREM A. Let f(z) be a meromorphic function and set

$$K(f) = \overline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \frac{N(r, 0) + N(r, \infty)}{T(r, f)},$$
$$k(\lambda) = \inf K(f),$$

where f ranges over all meromorphic functions of order  $\lambda$ . Then

 $k(\lambda) = 0$  ( $\lambda = 1, 2, 3, ...$ )

and  $k(\lambda) > 0$  for all other  $\lambda$ .

For non-integral  $\lambda$ , Nevanlinna found a positive lower bound for  $k(\lambda)$ . Realizing that this bound was not sharp, he posed the problem of determining the exact value of  $k(\lambda)$ .

Edrei-Fuchs [1, 2] proved that

$$k(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \sin \pi \lambda, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \lambda < 1, \end{cases}$$
$$k(\lambda) \geq \frac{|\sin \pi \lambda|}{2} = \frac{|\sin \pi \lambda|}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{|\sin \pi \lambda|}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1$$

and

$$k(\lambda) \ge rac{|\sin \pi \lambda|}{2.2\lambda + (1/2)|\sin \pi \lambda|}$$
.

It is generally conjectured on the basis of the asymptotic behavior of the Lindelöf functions [5, p. 54] that the correct value of  $k(\lambda)$  is given by

$$k(q, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{|\sin \pi \lambda|}{q+|\sin \pi \lambda|}, & q \leq \lambda \leq q + \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{|\sin \pi \lambda|}{q+1}, & q + \frac{1}{2} < \lambda \leq q+1. \end{cases}$$

Received June 11, 1971.

Recently Hellerstein-Williamson [4] have verified this conjecture for the class of entire functions having only negative zeros. They have proved

THEOREM B. Let f(z) be an entire function of genus q, order  $\lambda$  and lower order  $\mu$ , having only negative zeros. Then we have

$$K(f) = \overline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \frac{N(r, 0)}{T(r, f)} \ge \max_{\lambda \ge \rho \ge \mu} k(q, \rho) \ge \min_{\lambda \ge \rho \ge \mu} k(q, \rho) \ge \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{N(r, 0)}{T(r, f)}.$$

These inequalities are best possible.

In this paper we shall verify the above conjecture for a certain class of entire functions containing the class of entire functions having only negative zeros. We shall obtain

THEOREM 1. Let f(z) be an entire function of genus  $q(\geq 1)$ , order  $\lambda$  and lower order  $\mu$ . If every zero  $a_{\nu}$  of f(z) lies in a domain  $S_h$  for an arbitrarily fixed number h>0, where

$$S_h = \{z; \text{ Re } z < 0 \text{ and } |\text{Im } z| < h\},\$$

then we have  $q \leq \mu$  and

$$K(f) = \overline{\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{N(r, 0)}{T(r, f)}} \ge \max_{\lambda \ge \rho \ge \mu} k(q, \rho) \ge \min_{\lambda \ge \rho \ge \mu} k(q, \rho) \ge \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{N(r, 0)}{T(r, f)}$$

These inequalities are best possible.

**2.** Let

$$f(z) = \prod \left(1 - \frac{z}{a_n}\right) / \prod \left(1 - \frac{z}{b_n}\right) \qquad (\sum \left(|a_n|^{-1} + |b_n|^{-1}\right) < \infty)$$

and set

$$\widehat{f}(z) = \prod \left( 1 + \frac{z}{|a_n|} \right) \Big/ \prod \left( 1 - \frac{z}{|b_n|} \right), \qquad F(z) = \prod \left( 1 + \frac{z}{|a_n|} \right) \prod \left( 1 + \frac{z}{|b_n|} \right).$$

Then it follows from Goldberg [3] and D. F. Shea (cf. [4]) that

$$T(r, f) \leq T(r, \hat{f}) \leq T(r, F).$$

It shows that it is enough to consider the subclass of the entire functions having only negative zeros for the Nevanlinna problem for the class of meromorphic functions of genus zero. It is a question whether a similar situation is valid for all finite genera.

In this paper we shall show that a similar situation is true for the problem in the class of entire functions satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1, that is, THEOREM 2. Let

$$g(z) = \prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty} E\left(\frac{z}{a_{\nu}}, q\right)$$

be a canonical product of genus  $q(\geq 1)$  and set

$$\hat{g}(z) = \prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty} E\left(-\frac{z}{|a_{\nu}|}, q\right).$$

If all  $a_v$  belong to  $S_h$ , then we have

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{T(r,g)}{T(r,\hat{g})}=1.$$

Theorem 1 is a consequence of Theorem 2 and Theorem B. Hence we shall only prove Theorem 2 in the following lines.

3. *Proof of Theorem* 2. From the definition of the Weierstrass primary factor, we have

(3.1) 
$$\log |E(u, q)| = -\operatorname{Re} \sum_{l=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{u^l}{l}, \quad \text{if } |u| \leq \frac{q}{q+1},$$

and

(3.2) 
$$\log |E(u, q)| = \log |1-u| + \operatorname{Re} \sum_{l=1}^{q} \frac{u^{l}}{l}, \quad \text{if } |u| > \frac{q}{q+1}.$$

Put  $z=re^{i\theta}$  and  $a_{\nu}=r_{\nu}e^{i\theta_{\nu}}$ . Since  $a_{\nu}\in S_{h}$ , we have

$$|\pi-\theta_{\nu}| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \sin|\pi-\theta_{\nu}| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{h}{r_{\nu}} = \frac{A}{r_{\nu}},$$

where  $A = (\pi/2)h$ , and

$$(3.3) \qquad |(-1)^l \cos l\theta - \cos l(\theta - \theta_\nu)| \leq 2 \left| \sin \frac{l(\pi - \theta_\nu)}{2} \right| \leq l |\pi - \theta_\nu| \leq \frac{lA}{r_\nu}.$$

If  $|z/a_{\nu}| \leq q/(q+1)$ , then it follows from (3.1) and (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \log \left| E\left(\frac{z}{a_{\nu}}, q\right) \right| - \log \left| E\left(-\frac{z}{|a_{\nu}|}, q\right) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{l=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l} \left(\frac{r}{r_{\nu}}\right)^{l} \left((-1)^{l} \cos l\theta - \cos l \left(\theta - \theta_{\nu}\right)\right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{l=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{A}{r_{\nu}} \left(\frac{r}{r_{\nu}}\right)^{l} = \frac{A}{r_{\nu}} \left(\frac{r}{r_{\nu}}\right)^{q+1} \frac{1}{1 - r/r_{\nu}} \leq \frac{qA}{r_{\nu}^{q+1}} r^{q}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

310

A PROBLEM OF R. NEVANLINNA

$$(3.4) \quad \left| \log \left| E\left(\frac{z}{a_{\nu}}, q\right) \right| - \log \left| E\left(-\frac{z}{|a_{\nu}|}, q\right) \right| \right| \leq \frac{qA}{r_{\nu}^{q+1}} r^{q}, \text{ for } \left| \frac{z}{a_{\nu}} \right| \leq \frac{q}{q+1}.$$
If  $|z/a_{\nu}| > q/(q+1)$  and  $|\theta| < \pi$ , then it follows from (3.2) and (3.3) that
$$\log \left| E\left(\frac{z}{a_{\nu}}, q\right) \right| - \log \left| E\left(-\frac{z}{|a_{\nu}|}, q\right) \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \log \left| \frac{1-2(r/r_{\nu})\cos(\theta-\theta_{\nu}) + (r/r_{\nu})^{2}}{1+2(r/r_{\nu})\cos\theta + (r/r_{\nu})^{2}} \right| + \sum_{l=1}^{q} \frac{1}{l} \left(\frac{r}{r_{\nu}}\right)^{l} (\cos l(\theta-\theta_{\nu}) - (-1)^{l} \cos l\theta)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left| 1 - \frac{2(r/r_{\nu})(\cos(\theta-\theta_{\nu}) + \cos\theta)}{1+2(r/r_{\nu})\cos\theta + (r/r_{\nu})^{2}} \right| + \frac{A}{r_{\nu}} \sum_{l=1}^{q} \left(\frac{r}{r_{\nu}}\right)^{l}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left| 1 + \frac{2A(r/r_{\nu}^{2})}{1+2(r/r_{\nu})\cos\theta + (r/r_{\nu})^{2}} \right| + \frac{A}{r_{\nu}} \sum_{l=1}^{q} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)^{q-l} \left(\frac{r}{r_{\nu}}\right)^{q}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\log \left| E\left(\frac{z}{a_{\nu}}, q\right) \right| - \log \left| E\left(-\frac{z}{|a_{\nu}|}, q\right) \right|$$

(3.5)

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left| 1 + \frac{2A(r/r_{\nu}^2)}{1 + 2(r/r_{\nu})\cos\theta + (r/r_{\nu})^2} \right| + \frac{qeA}{r_{\nu}^{q+1}} r^q, \quad \text{for} \quad \left| \frac{z}{a_{\nu}} \right| > \frac{q}{q+1}, \ |\theta| < \pi.$$

Further if  $|z/a_{\nu}| \ge (q+1)/q$ , then we have

$$1 + 2\frac{r}{r_{\nu}}\cos\theta + \left(\frac{r}{r_{\nu}}\right)^{2} = \left(\frac{r}{r_{\nu}} - 1\right)^{2} + 2\frac{r}{r_{\nu}}(1 + \cos\theta) \ge \frac{1}{q^{2}},$$

and consequently, from (3.5),

$$(3.6) \quad \log \left| E\left(\frac{z}{a_{\nu}}, q\right) \right| - \log \left| E\left(-\frac{z}{|a_{\nu}|}, q\right) \right| \leq (eAq^2 + qeA) \frac{1}{r_{\nu}^{q+1}} r^q, \text{ for } \left| \frac{z}{a_{\nu}} \right| \geq \frac{q+1}{q}.$$

Since the genus of g(z) is q, we have  $\sum r_{\nu}^{-q-1} = A_1 < \infty$ . Using (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) we find that with  $\sigma = (q+1)/q$ ,

$$\log|g(z)| \leq \log|\hat{g}(z)| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma^{-1}r < r_{\nu} < \sigma r} \log\left(1 + \frac{2A(r/r_{\nu}^{2})}{1 + 2(r/r_{\nu})\cos\theta + (r/r_{\nu})^{2}}\right) + 2q^{2}eAA_{1}r^{q} \text{ for } |\theta| < \pi,$$

and consequently

(3.7) 
$$T(r,g) \leq T(r,\hat{g}) + \sum_{\sigma^{-1}r < \tau_{\nu} < \sigma r} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \log\left(1 + \frac{2A(r/r_{\nu}^{2})}{1 + 2(r/r_{\nu})\cos\theta + (r/r_{\nu})^{2}}\right) d\theta + A_{2}r^{q},$$

where  $A_2 = 2q^2 e A A_1$ .

We also know that for real a,

KIYOSHI NIINO

(3.8) 
$$\int_0^{\pi} \log(1+2a\cos\theta+a^2)d\theta = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } |a| \le 1, \\ \pi \log a^2, & \text{for } |a| > 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\int_0^{\pi} \log (a + \cos \theta) d\theta = \pi \log (a + \sqrt{a^2 - 1}) - \pi \log 2, \text{ for } a > 1.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\pi} \log \left( 1 + 2\frac{r}{r_{\nu}} \cos \theta + \frac{r^{2}}{r_{\nu}^{2}} + 2A\frac{r}{r_{\nu}^{2}} \right) d\theta \\ (3.9) \\ &= -\pi \log 2 + \pi \log \left( 1 + \frac{r^{2}}{r_{\nu}^{2}} + 2A\frac{r}{r_{\nu}^{2}} + \sqrt{\left( 1 - \frac{r^{2}}{r_{\nu}^{2}} \right)^{2} + 4A\frac{r}{r_{\nu}^{2}} \left( 1 + \frac{r^{2}}{r_{\nu}^{2}} \right) + 4A^{2}\frac{r^{2}}{r_{\nu}^{4}} \right). \\ &\text{If } 1 \ge r/r_{\nu} > q/(q+1), \text{ then } (3.8) \text{ and } (3.9) \text{ imply} \\ &\int_{0}^{\pi} \log \left( 1 + \frac{2A(r/r_{\nu}^{2})}{1 + 2(r/r_{\nu}) \cos \theta + (r/r_{\nu})^{2}} \right) d\theta \\ & \le -\pi \log 2 + \pi \log \left( 1 + \frac{r^{2}}{r_{\nu}^{2}} + 2A\frac{r}{r_{\nu}^{2}} + \left( 1 - \frac{r^{2}}{r_{\nu}^{2}} \right) + 2\sqrt{2A}\frac{r^{1/2}}{r_{\nu}} + 2A\frac{r}{r_{\nu}^{2}} \right) \\ & \le -\pi \log 2 + \pi \log \left( 2 + 2A\frac{1}{r_{\nu}} + 2\sqrt{2A}\frac{1}{r_{\nu}^{2}} + \left( 1 - \frac{r^{2}}{r_{\nu}^{2}} \right) + 2\sqrt{2A}\frac{1}{r_{\nu}} \right) \\ & \le 2A\pi \frac{1}{r_{\nu}} + \sqrt{2A}\pi \frac{1}{r_{\nu}^{1/2}} \le 2eA\pi \frac{1}{r_{\nu}^{q+1}}r^{q} + e\sqrt{2A}\pi \frac{1}{r_{\nu}^{q+1/2}}r^{q}. \\ & \text{If } ((q+1)/q) > r/r_{\nu} > 1, \text{ then } (3.8) \text{ and } (3.9) \text{ imply} \\ & \int_{0}^{\pi} \log \left( 1 + \frac{2A(r/r_{\nu}^{2})}{1 + 2(r/r_{\nu}) \cos \theta + (r/r_{\nu})^{2}} \right) d\theta \\ & = -\pi \log 2 + \pi \log \left( \frac{r_{\nu}^{2}}{r^{2}} + 1 + 2A\frac{1}{r} + \sqrt{\left( \frac{r_{\nu}^{2}}{r^{2}} - 1 \right)^{2} + 4A\frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{r_{\nu}^{2}}{r^{2}} + 1 \right) + 4A^{2}\frac{1}{r^{2}}} \end{split}$$

$$\leq 2A\pi \frac{1}{r_{\nu}} + \sqrt{2A}\pi \frac{1}{r_{\nu}^{1/2}} \leq 2A\pi \frac{1}{r_{\nu}^{q+1}} r^{q} + \sqrt{2A}\pi \frac{1}{r_{\nu}^{q+1/2}} r^{q}.$$

Using these inequalities in (3.7), we deduce that

(3.10) 
$$T(r, g) \leq T(r, \hat{g}) + A_3 \left( \sum_{\sigma^{-1}r < r_{\nu} < \sigma r} r_{\nu}^{-q-1/2} \right) r^q + A_4 r^q$$

with suitable positive constants  $A_3$  and  $A_4$ .

Now it follows that

$$(3.11) \qquad \sum_{\sigma^{-1}\tau < r_{\nu} < \sigma\tau} r_{\nu}^{-q-1/2} = \int_{\sigma^{-1}\tau}^{\sigma\tau} t^{-q-1/2} dn(t, 0) \leq \frac{n(\sigma\tau, 0)}{(\sigma\tau)^{q+1/2}} + \left(q + \frac{1}{2}\right) \int_{\sigma^{-1}\tau}^{\sigma\tau} \frac{n(t, 0)}{t^{q+3/2}} dt.$$

312

On the other hand, since  $\hat{g}(z)$  has only negative zeros, it follows from Edrei-Fuchs [1, p. 308] that

(3.12) 
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{r^q}{T(r, \hat{g})} = 0$$

and with a positive constant C,

$$T(r, \hat{g}) \ge Cr^{q+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{n(t, 0)}{t^{q+1}} \frac{dt}{t+r},$$

and consequently

(3.13) 
$$T(r, \hat{g}) \ge Cr^{q+1} \int_{\sigma^{-1}r}^{\sigma^{r}} \frac{n(t, 0)}{t^{q+3/2}} \frac{t^{1/2}}{t+r} dt \ge \frac{C}{(\sigma+1)\sigma^{1/2}} r^{q+1/2} \int_{\sigma^{-1}r}^{\sigma^{r}} \frac{n(t, 0)}{t^{q+3/2}} dt$$
and

(3.14) 
$$T(r, \hat{g}) \ge Cr^{q+1} \int_{\sigma r}^{\infty} \frac{n(t, 0)}{t^{q+1}} \frac{dt}{t+r} \ge \frac{C}{(q+1)(1+\sigma^{-1})\sigma^{q+1}} n(\sigma r, 0)$$

Therefore from (3.10)-(3.14) we obtain

(3.15) 
$$\overline{\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(r,g)}{T(r,\hat{g})}} \leq 1.$$

Next, by virtue of the same argument as above, we deduce that

$$T(r, \hat{g}) \leq T(r, g) + \sum_{\sigma^{-1}r < r_{\nu} < \sigma} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log\left(1 + \frac{2A(r/r_{\nu}^{2})}{1 - 2(r/r_{\nu})\cos(\theta - \theta_{\nu}) + (r/r_{\nu})^{2}}\right) d\theta + A_{2}r^{q},$$

and hence

$$T(r, \hat{g}) \leq T(r, g) + A_3 \left( \sum_{\sigma^{-1}r < r_{\nu} < \sigma r} r_{\nu}^{-q-1/2} \right) r^q + A_4 r^q.$$

Therefore from (3. 11)-(3. 14) we obtain

(3.16) 
$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{T(r,g)}{T(r,g)} \ge 1.$$

Consequently (3.15) and (3.16) imply

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{T(r,g)}{T(r,\hat{g})}=1,$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 2.

## KIYOSHI NIINO

## References

- EDREI, A., AND W. H. J. FUCHS, On the growth of mermorphic functions with several deficient values. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 93 (1959), 292-328.
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_, The deficiencies of meromorphic functions of order less than one. Duke Math. J. 27 (1960), 233-250.
- [3] GOLDBERG, A. A., On deficiencies of meromorphic functions. Doklady Akad. Nauk, S.S.S.R. 98 (1954), 893-895. (in Russian)
- [4] HELLERSTEIN, S., AND<sup>2</sup> J. WILLIAMSON, Entire functions with negative zeros and a problem of R. Nevanlinna, J. Analyse Math. **22** (1969), 233-267.
- [5] NEVANLINNA, R., Le théorème de Picard-Borel et la théorie des fonctions méromorphes. Borel Monograph, Paris (1929).

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology.