

ON CERTAIN SUBMANIFOLDS OF CODIMENSION 2  
OF AN ALMOST TACHIBANA MANIFOLD

BY U-HANG KI

*Dedicated to Professor Kentaro Yano on his sixtieth birthday*

§0. Introduction.

Blair, Ludden and Yano [1] introduced a structure which is naturally induced on a submanifold of codimension 2 of an almost complex manifold. Yano and Okumura [6] introduced what they call an  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure and gave characterizations of even-dimensional sphere. In a previous paper [5], Yano and the present author proved that

THEOREM A. *Let  $M$  be a complete manifold with normal metric  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure satisfying*

$$(0.1) \quad (dv)_{ji} = 2cf_{ji},$$

or, equivalently

$$(0.2) \quad \mathcal{L}_u g_{ji} = -2c\lambda g_{ji},$$

where  $c$  is a non-zero constant on  $M$ . If  $\lambda(1-\lambda^2)$  is an almost everywhere non-zero function and  $\dim M > 2$ , then  $M$  is isometric with an even-dimensional sphere.

In the present paper, using theorem A, we study submanifolds of codimension 2 of an almost Tachibana manifold  $\tilde{M}$ .

In §1, we recall the properties of  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure of a submanifold of codimension 2 in  $\tilde{M}$  and find differential equations which the induced  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure satisfies.

We study in §2 totally umbilical submanifolds of codimension 2 of  $\tilde{M}$  and in §3 submanifolds of codimension 2 of 6-dimensional sphere  $S^6$ .

§1. Submanifolds of codimension 2 of an almost Tachibana manifold.

In this section, we recall some properties of submanifolds of codimension 2 in an almost Tachibana manifold as examples of the manifold with  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure (cf. [5], [6]). Let  $\tilde{M}$  be a  $(2n+2)$ -dimensional almost Tachibana manifold covered by

a system of coordinate neighborhoods  $\{\tilde{U}; y^\kappa\}$ , where here and in the sequel the indices  $\kappa, \lambda, \mu, \nu, \dots$  run over the range  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n+2\}$ , and let  $(F_\lambda^\kappa, G_{\mu\lambda})$  be the almost Tachibana structure, that is,  $F_\lambda^\kappa$  is the almost complex structure;

$$(1.1) \quad F_\mu^\kappa F_\lambda^\mu = -\delta_\lambda^\kappa,$$

and  $G_{\mu\lambda}$  a Riemannian metric such that

$$(1.2) \quad G_{\gamma\beta} F_\mu^\gamma F_\lambda^\beta = G_{\mu\lambda},$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad \nabla_\mu F_\lambda^\kappa + \nabla_\lambda F_\mu^\kappa = 0,$$

where we denote by  $\{\mu^\kappa_\lambda\}$  and  $\nabla_\mu$  the Christoffel symbols formed with  $G_{\mu\lambda}$  and the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to  $\{\mu^\kappa_\lambda\}$  respectively.

Let  $M$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold which is covered by a system of coordinate neighborhoods  $\{U; x^h\}$ , where here and in the sequel the indices  $h, i, j, \dots$  run over the range  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$  and which is differentiably immersed in  $\tilde{M}$  as a submanifold of codimension 2 by the equations

$$(1.4) \quad y^\kappa = y^\kappa(x^h).$$

We put

$$B_i^\kappa = \partial_i y^\kappa, \quad (\partial_i = \partial/\partial x^i),$$

then  $B_i^\kappa$  is, for each  $i$ , a local vector field of  $\tilde{M}$  tangent to  $M$  and the vectors  $B_i^\kappa$  are linearly independent in each coordinate neighborhood.  $B_i^\kappa$  is, for each  $\kappa$ , a local 1-form of  $M$ .

We assume that we can choose two mutually orthogonal unit vectors  $C^\kappa$  and  $D^\kappa$  of  $\tilde{M}$  normal to  $M$  in such a way that  $2n+2$  vectors  $B_i^\kappa, C^\kappa, D^\kappa$  give the positive orientation of  $\tilde{M}$ . The transforms  $F_\lambda^\kappa B_i^\lambda$  of  $B_i^\lambda$  by  $F_\lambda^\kappa$  can be expressed as linear combinations of  $B_i^\kappa, C^\kappa$  and  $D^\kappa$ , that is,

$$(1.5) \quad F_\lambda^\kappa B_i^\lambda = f_i^h B_h^\kappa + u_i C^\kappa + v_i D^\kappa,$$

where  $f_i^h$  is a tensor field of type (1,1) and  $u_i, v_i$  are 1-forms on  $M$ , and, the transform  $F_\lambda^\kappa C^\lambda$  of  $C^\lambda$  by  $F_\lambda^\kappa$  and the transform  $F_\lambda^\kappa D^\lambda$  of  $D^\lambda$  by  $F_\lambda^\kappa$  can be written as

$$(1.6) \quad F_\lambda^\kappa C^\lambda = -u^\kappa B_i^\kappa + \lambda D^\kappa,$$

$$F_\lambda^\kappa D^\lambda = -v^\kappa B_i^\kappa - \lambda C^\kappa,$$

respectively, where

$$u^\kappa = u_i g^{i\kappa}, \quad v^\kappa = v_i g^{i\kappa},$$

$g_{ji}$  being the Riemannian metric on  $M$  induced from that of  $\tilde{M}$ , and  $\lambda$  is a function on  $M$ . We can easily verify that  $\lambda$  is a function globally defined on  $M$ .

From (1. 2), (1. 5) and (1. 6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_j^t f_i^h &= -\delta_j^h + u_j u^h + v_j v^h, \\
 f_j^t f_i^s g_{ts} &= g_{ji} - u_j u_i - v_j v_i, \\
 (1. 7) \quad f_i^t u_i &= \lambda v_i \quad \text{or} \quad f_i^h u^i = -\lambda v^h, \\
 f_i^t v_i &= -\lambda u_i \quad \text{or} \quad f_i^h v^i = \lambda u^h, \\
 u_i u^i &= v_i v^i = 1 - \lambda^2, \quad u_i v^i = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we put

$$f_{ji} = f_j^r g_{ri},$$

then we can easily verify that  $f_{ji}$  is skew-symmetric.

We call an  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure of  $M$  the set of  $f, g, u, v$  and  $\lambda$  satisfying (1. 7).

An  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure is said to be *normal* if the tensor field  $S_{ji}^h$  of type (1, 2) defined by

$$(1. 8) \quad S_{ji}^h = N_{ji}^h + (F_j u_i - F_i u_j) u^h + (F_j v_i - F_i v_j) v^h$$

vanishes, where  $N_{ji}^h$  is the Nijenhuis tensor formed with  $f_i^h$ . We denote by  $\{j^h_i\}$  and  $F_i$  the Christoffel symbols formed with  $g_{ji}$  and the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to  $\{j^h_i\}$  respectively.

An  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure is said to be *quasi-normal* if the condition

$$(1. 9) \quad S_{jih} - (f_j^t f_{tih} - f_i^t f_{tjh}) = 0,$$

is satisfied, where

$$(1. 10) \quad f_{jih} = F_j f_{ih} + F_i f_{hj} + F_h f_{ji}.$$

Yano and the present author [5] proved

LEMMA 1. 1. *For a manifold with quasi-normal  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure, if  $\lambda(1 - \lambda^2)$  is almost everywhere non-zero, then we have*

$$(1. 11) \quad \lambda(1 - \lambda^2)(F_j u_i - F_i u_j) = u_i f_j^s u^t \mathcal{L}_v g_{st} - \{\lambda u_i v^t + (1 - \lambda^2) f_i^t\} \mathcal{L}_v g_{jt}, \quad (\mathcal{L}_u g_{ji}) u^j v^i = 0,$$

and

$$(1. 12) \quad \lambda(1 - \lambda^2)(F_j v_i - F_i v_j) = -v_i f_j^s v^t \mathcal{L}_u g_{st} - \{\lambda v_i u^t - (1 - \lambda^2) f_i^t\} \mathcal{L}_u g_{jt}, \quad (\mathcal{L}_v g_{ji}) u^j v^i = 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_u$  denotes the operator of Lie differentiation with respect to the vector field  $u^h$ .

The equations of Gauss of  $M$  are

$$(1.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_j B_i^\epsilon &= \partial_j B_i^\epsilon + \left\{ \begin{matrix} \kappa \\ \mu\lambda \end{matrix} \right\} B_j^\mu B_i^\lambda - B_h^\epsilon \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ j \ i \end{matrix} \right\} \\ &= h_{ji} C^\epsilon + k_{ji} D^\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where  $h_{ji}$  and  $k_{ji}$  are the second fundamental tensors of  $M$  with respect to the normals  $C^\epsilon$  and  $D^\epsilon$  respectively.

The equations of Weingarten are

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_j C^\epsilon &= \partial_j C^\epsilon + \left\{ \begin{matrix} \kappa \\ \mu\lambda \end{matrix} \right\} B_j^\mu C^\lambda = -h_j^\nu B_i^\epsilon + l_j D^\epsilon, \\ \nabla_j D^\epsilon &= \partial_j D^\epsilon + \left\{ \begin{matrix} \kappa \\ \mu\lambda \end{matrix} \right\} B_j^\mu D^\lambda = -k_j^\nu B_i^\epsilon - l_j C^\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h_j^\nu = h_{ji} g^{ti}, \quad k_j^\nu = k_{ji} g^{ti}$$

and  $l_j$  is the so-called third fundamental tensor.

Differentiating (1.5) covariantly along  $M$  and taking account of (1.13) and (1.14), we get

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\nabla_\mu F_\lambda^\epsilon) B_j^\mu B_i^\lambda - (h_{ji} u^h + k_{ji} v^h) B_h^\epsilon - \lambda k_{ji} C^\epsilon + \lambda h_{ji} D^\epsilon \\ &= (\nabla_j f_i^h - h_j^h u_i - k_j^h v_i) B_h^\epsilon + (\nabla_j u_i + h_{ji} f_i^t - l_j v_i) C^\epsilon + (\nabla_j v_i + k_{ji} f_i^t + l_j u_i) D^\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (1.3), we have

$$(1.16) \quad \nabla_j f_i^h + \nabla_i f_j^h = -2h_{ji} u^h + h_j^h u_i + h_i^h u_j - 2k_{ji} v^h + k_j^h v_i + k_i^h v_j,$$

$$(1.17) \quad \nabla_j u_i + \nabla_i u_j = -h_{ji} f_i^t - h_{it} f_j^t - 2\lambda k_{ji} + l_j v_i + l_i v_j,$$

$$(1.18) \quad \nabla_j v_i + \nabla_i v_j = -k_{ji} f_i^t - k_{it} f_j^t + 2\lambda h_{ji} - l_j u_i - l_i u_j.$$

In particular, if  $\tilde{M}$  is a Kählerian manifold, that is, if  $\nabla_\mu F_\lambda^\epsilon = 0$ , then we have from (1.15)

$$\nabla_j f_i^h = -h_{ji} u^h + h_j^h u_i - k_{ji} v^h + k_j^h v_i.$$

From this, we have  $f_{jih} = 0$ . Therefore, from (1.9), we see that a submanifold of codimension 2 with quasi-normal  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure of a Kählerian manifold is normal.

## § 2. Totally umbilical submanifolds of codimension 2 in an almost Tachibana manifold.

In this section, we consider totally umbilical submanifolds of codimension 2 with normal  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure of an almost Tachibana manifold.

Let  $M$  be a submanifold of codimension 2 of an almost Tachibana manifold. Then the mean curvature vector of  $M$  is defined to be

$$(2.1) \quad H^* = \frac{1}{2n} h_i^i C^* + \frac{1}{2n} k_i^i D^*,$$

and the mean curvature  $H$  of  $M$  is defined to be the length of  $H^*$ , that is,

$$(2.2) \quad H^2 = \frac{1}{4n^2} \{ (h_i^i)^2 + (k_i^i)^2 \}.$$

Differentiating (2.1) covariantly and making use of (1.13) and (1.14), we have

$$\nabla_j H^* = -\frac{1}{4n^2} \{ (h_i^i)^2 + (k_i^i)^2 \} B_j^* + \frac{1}{2n} (\nabla_j h_i^i - l_j k_i^i) C^* + \frac{1}{2n} (\nabla_j k_i^i + l_j h_i^i) D^*.$$

If the covariant derivative  $\nabla_j H^*$  of the mean curvature vector field of  $M$  is tangent to  $M$ , then

$$(2.3) \quad \nabla_j h_i^i = l_j k_i^i, \quad \nabla_j k_i^i = -l_j h_i^i.$$

We now suppose that  $M$  is totally umbilical. Then from (1.17) we have

$$(2.4) \quad \nabla_j u_i + \nabla_i u_j = -2 \left( \frac{1}{2n} k_i^i \right) \lambda g_{ji} + l_j v_i + l_i v_j,$$

from which, using the second equation of (1.11),  $l_i u^i = 0$ . Similarly we see, from (1.18) and the second equation of (1.12), that  $l_i v^i = 0$ . Taking the symmetric part of the first equation of (1.12) in  $j$  and  $i$  and using (2.4),  $l_i v^i = 0$  and  $l_i u^i = 0$ , we find  $l_j u_i + l_i u_j = 0$ , from which,  $l_j = 0$  and consequently  $h_i^i = \text{constant}$ ,  $k_i^i = \text{constant}$  because of (2.3). Thus the structure is normal (See [5]).

Taking account of Theorem A and  $l_j = 0$ , we have

**THEOREM 2.1.**<sup>1)</sup> *Let  $M$  be a complete totally umbilical submanifold of codimension 2 with normal  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure of an almost Tachibana manifold  $\tilde{M}$ . Suppose that the covariant derivative of the mean curvature vector of  $M$  is tangent to  $M$ , the mean curvature of  $M$  does not vanish and  $\lambda(1-\lambda^2)$  is almost everywhere non-zero ( $n > 1$ ). Then  $M$  is isometric with an even-dimensional sphere.*

As a direct consequence of (1.17), (2.4),  $l_j = 0$  and Theorem A, we have

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $M$  be a complete totally umbilical submanifold ( $n > 1$ ) of codimension 2 of an almost Tachibana manifold. If the  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure on  $M$  is normal,  $h_i^i$  or  $k_i^i$  is non-vanishing constant and  $\lambda(1-\lambda^2)$  is an almost everywhere non-zero function, then  $M$  is isometric with an even-dimensional sphere.*

---

1) M. Okumura has proved the theorem in the case  $\tilde{M}$  is Kählerian, [3].

### § 3. Submanifolds of codimension 2 of a 6-dimensional sphere.

Let  $M$  be an almost Tachibana manifold of constant curvature, that is, 6-dimensional sphere  $S^6$ , [4]. Its curvature form is given by

$$(3.1) \quad R_{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon} = k(G_{\nu\epsilon}G_{\mu\lambda} - G_{\mu\epsilon}G_{\nu\lambda}),$$

$k$  being a positive constant.

In this section, we consider a submanifold of codimension 2 of  $S^6$ . Substituting (3.1) into the Gauss, Codazzi, Ricci-equations;

$$\begin{cases} R_{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon}B_k^\nu B_j^\mu B_i^\lambda B_h^\epsilon = R_{kji h} - h_{kh}h_{ji} + h_{jh}h_{ki} - k_{kh}k_{ji} + k_{jh}k_{ki}, \\ R_{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon}B_k^\nu B_j^\mu B_i^\lambda C^\epsilon = \nabla_k h_{ji} - \nabla_j h_{ki} - l_k k_{ji} + l_j k_{ki}, \\ R_{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon}B_k^\nu B_j^\mu B_i^\lambda D^\epsilon = \nabla_k k_{ji} - \nabla_j k_{ki} + l_k h_{ji} - l_j h_{ki}, \\ R_{\nu\mu\lambda\epsilon}B_k^\nu B_j^\mu C^\lambda D^\epsilon = \nabla_k l_j - \nabla_j l_k + h_{ki}k_j^t - h_{ji}k_k^t, \end{cases}$$

we have respectively

$$(3.2) \quad R_{kji h} - h_{kh}h_{ji} + h_{jh}h_{ki} - k_{kh}k_{ji} + k_{jh}k_{ki} = k(g_{kh}g_{ji} - g_{jh}g_{ki}),$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla_k h_{ji} - \nabla_j h_{ki} - l_k k_{ji} + l_j k_{ki} = 0, \\ \nabla_k k_{ji} - \nabla_j k_{ki} + l_k h_{ji} - l_j h_{ki} = 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \nabla_k l_j - \nabla_j l_k + h_{ki}k_j^t - h_{ji}k_k^t = 0.$$

Now, we consider a submanifold  $M$  of codimension 2 of an almost Tachibana manifold satisfying the following conditions;

$$(3.5) \quad f_j^t h_i^h = h_j^t f_i^h,$$

$$(3.6) \quad f_j^t k_i^h = k_j^t f_i^h.$$

We see that (3.5) and (3.6) are global conditions over the submanifold  $M$ .

LEMMA 3.1. For  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure of  $M$  with (3.5) and (3.6), if  $\lambda$  does not vanish almost everywhere, we have

$$(3.7) \quad h_{ji}u^i = \alpha u_j, \quad h_{ji}v^i = \alpha v_j,$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad k_{ji}u^i = \bar{\alpha} u_j, \quad k_{ji}v^i = \bar{\alpha} v_j,$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\bar{\alpha}$  are scalars of  $M$  [2].

LEMMA 3.2. Let  $M$  be a submanifold of codimension 2 of an almost Tachibana

manifold. If the  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure on  $M$  is quasi-normal and satisfies (3. 5) and (3. 6), and assume that  $\lambda(1-\lambda^2)$  is almost everywhere non-zero, then we have

$$(3. 9) \quad \nabla_j u_i = -h_{jt} f_i^t - \lambda k_{ji},$$

$$(3. 10) \quad \nabla_j v_i = -k_{jt} f_i^t + \lambda h_{ji},$$

*Proof.* By assumptions, (1. 17) and (1.18) can be respectively written as

$$\nabla_j u_i + \nabla_i u_j = -2\lambda k_{ji} + l_j v_i + l_i v_j, \quad \nabla_j v_i + \nabla_i v_j = 2\lambda h_{ji} - l_j u_i - l_i u_j.$$

Substituting the equations above into the first equations of (1. 11), (1. 12) respectively, we have

$$(3. 11) \quad \nabla_j u_i - \nabla_i u_j = -2h_{jt} f_i^t + \frac{1}{\lambda} (u_j f_i^t l_t - u_i f_j^t l_t) + l_j v_i,$$

$$(3. 12) \quad \nabla_j v_i - \nabla_i v_j = -2k_{jt} f_i^t + \frac{1}{\lambda} (v_j f_i^t l_t - v_i f_j^t l_t) - l_j u_i$$

by virtue of Lemma 3. 1, from which, taking the symmetric part in  $j$  and  $i$  and using the second equations of (1. 11) and (1. 12),  $l_j u_i + l_i u_j = 0$  and  $l_i v_j + l_j v_i = 0$  and consequently  $l_j = 0$ . Thus (3. 9) and (3. 10) proved.

LEMMA 3. 3. *Let  $M$  be a submanifold of codimension 2 of  $S^6$ . If the  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure on  $M$  is quasi-normal and satisfies (3. 5) and (3. 6), and assume that  $\lambda(1-\lambda^2)$  is almost everywhere non-zero on  $M$ , then we have*

$$(3. 13) \quad h_i^t h_t^h = \alpha h_i^h, \quad k_i^t k_t^h = \bar{\alpha} k_i^h,$$

and  $\alpha$  and  $\bar{\alpha}$  are both constants.

*Proof.* Differentiating the first equation of (3. 7) covariantly, we obtain

$$(\nabla_k h_{ji}) u^s + h_{jt} (\nabla_k u^t) = (\nabla_k \alpha) u_j + \alpha \nabla_k u_j,$$

or, using (3. 9),

$$(\nabla_k h_{ji}) u^s + h_{jt} (h_k^t f_i^s - \lambda k_k^s) = (\nabla_k \alpha) u_j + \alpha (-h_{kt} f_j^t - \lambda k_{kj}),$$

and consequently, taking the skew-symmetric part, we have

$$h_{ji} h_k^t f_i^s - h_{ki} h_j^t f_i^s = (\nabla_k \alpha) u_j - (\nabla_j \alpha) u_k - \alpha h_{kt} f_j^t + \alpha h_{jt} f_k^t$$

because of  $\nabla_k h_{ji} - \nabla_j h_{ki} = 0$ ,  $h_{jt} k_k^t = h_{kt} k_j^t$ , or, using (3. 5),

$$(3. 14) \quad 2h_{ji} h_k^t f_i^s = (\nabla_k \alpha) u_j - (\nabla_j \alpha) u_k - 2\alpha h_{kt} f_j^t,$$

from which, transvecting with  $u^k$ ,

$$-2\alpha \lambda h_{ji} v^t = (u^k \nabla_k \alpha) u_j - (\nabla_j \alpha) (1 - \lambda^2) - 2\alpha^2 \lambda v_j,$$

that is,

$$(3.15) \quad (1-\lambda^2)\nabla_j\alpha=(u^k\nabla_k\alpha)u_j.$$

Thus,  $\nabla_j\alpha$  being proportional to  $u_j$ , we find from (3.14)

$$h_{ji}f_k^th_i^i=\alpha h_{ji}f_k^t$$

since  $h_k^t$  and  $f_i^t$  commute.

Transvecting this equation with  $f_n^k$ , we find

$$(h_{ji}h_i^t)(-\delta_n^k+u_nu^t+v_nv^t)=\alpha h_{ji}(-\delta_n^k+u_nu^t+v_nv^t),$$

or, using (3.7),

$$h_i^th_i^h=\alpha h_i^h.$$

Differentiating the second equation of (3.7) covariantly and taking account of (3.10), we find

$$(\nabla_jh_i^h)v_n-h_i^h(k_{jt}f_n^t-\lambda h_{jn})=(\nabla_j\alpha)v_i-\alpha(k_{jt}f_i^t-\lambda h_{ji}),$$

from which, taking the skew-symmetric part

$$h_j^hk_{it}f_n^t-h_i^hk_{jt}f_n^t=(\nabla_j\alpha)v_i-(\nabla_i\alpha)v_j+\alpha(k_{it}f_j^t-k_{jt}f_i^t),$$

because of the equation of Conazzi (3.3) with  $l_j=0$ .

Transvecting the above equation with  $v^j$  and making use of (1.7), (3.7) and (3.8), we obtain

$$(3.16) \quad (1-\lambda^2)\nabla_j\alpha=(v^k\nabla_k\alpha)v_j.$$

From (3.15) and (3.16), we see that  $\alpha$  is constant.

Similarly we can prove

$$k_i^tk_i^h=\bar{\alpha}k_i^h, \quad \bar{\alpha}=\text{constant}.$$

LEMMA 3.4. *Under the same assumptions as those in Lemma 3.3, the mean curvature of  $M$  is constant.*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha'$  be an eigenvalue of  $h_i^h$  at a point of  $M$  and  $p^i$  the eigenvector corresponding to  $\alpha'$  at the point. Then we have

$$h_i^hp^i=\alpha'p^h.$$

Applying this  $h_n^j$  and taking account of (3.13), we find

$$\alpha\alpha'p^j=\alpha'^2p^j,$$

from which

$$\alpha'=\alpha \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha'=0.$$

Thus the only eigenvalue of  $h_i^h$  is  $\alpha$  or 0 and consequently the eigenvalues of  $h_i^h$  are constant,



Similarly we can prove that  $k_i^h$  has only two constant eigenvalues  $\bar{\alpha}$  and 0.

Let  $r$  and  $s$  be multiplicities of the eigenvalues  $\alpha$  of  $h_i^h$  and  $\bar{\alpha}$  of  $k_i^h$  respectively. Then  $\alpha$  and  $\bar{\alpha}$  being constant,  $r$  and  $s$  are also constant. So we have

$$h_i^h = r\alpha, \quad k_i^h = s\bar{\alpha}.$$

Substituting these into the equation giving the mean curvature of  $M$ ;

$$(3.17) \quad H^2 = \frac{1}{16} \{ (h_i^i)^2 + (k_i^i)^2 \},$$

we have  $H = \text{const.}$  This completes the proof of the lemma.

We now assume that the mean curvature vector does not vanish everywhere on  $M$  and choose the second unit normal  $D^e$  in such a way that  $B_i^e, C^e, D^e$  form the positive orientation of  $S^6$ . Then from the equation giving the mean curvature vector of  $M$ :

$$H^e = \frac{1}{4} \{ (h_i^i C^e + k_i^i D^e) \},$$

we have

$$(3.18) \quad k_i^i = 0,$$

which implies that

$$k_{ji} k^{ji} = 0,$$

because of (3.13). This shows that  $k_{ji} = 0$  and consequently

$$(3.19) \quad \nabla_j v_i = \lambda h_{ji},$$

by virtue of (3.10).

Differentiating

$$v_i v^i = 1 - \lambda^2$$

covariantly and taking account of (3.19), we obtain

$$(3.20) \quad \nabla_j \lambda = -\alpha v_j.$$

Substituting (3.19) into the Ricci-identity:

$$\nabla_k \nabla_j v^h - \nabla_j \nabla_k v^h = R_{kji}{}^h v^j,$$

we have

$$R_{kji}{}^h v^j = (\nabla_k \lambda) h_j^h - (\nabla_j \lambda) h_k^h + \lambda (\nabla_k h_j^h - \nabla_j h_k^h),$$

or, using (3.3) with  $l_j = 0$  and (3.20),

$$(3.21) \quad R_{kji}{}^h v^j - \alpha v_j h_{kh} + \alpha v_k h_{jh} = 0.$$

On the other hand, transvecting (3.2) with  $v^i$  and using  $k_{ji} = 0$ , we have

$$R_{k_{j_i}h^i}v^i - \alpha v_j h_{k_h} + \alpha v_k h_{j_h} = k(g_{k_h}v_j - g_{j_h}v_k).$$

From these and (3. 21), we have  $k=0$ . This contradicts (3. 1). Thus, the mean curvature vector vanishes identically on  $M$  and consequently

$$h_i^i=0, \quad k_i^i=0.$$

So, using (3. 13), we have

$$h_{j_i}h^{j_i}=0, \quad k_{j_i}k^{j_i}=0$$

which implies that

$$(3. 22) \quad h_{j_i}=0, \quad k_{j_i}=0.$$

Thus we have

**THEOREM 3. 5.** *Let  $M$  be a submanifold of codimension 2 of  $S^6$ . If the  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure on  $M$  is quasi-normal,  $\lambda(1-\lambda^2)$  is almost everywhere non-zero on  $M$ , and the linear transformation  $h_j^i$  and  $k_j^i$  which are defined by the second fundamental tensors commute with  $f_j^i$ , then  $M$  is totally geodesic.*

*If the submanifold  $M$  is complete, then it is a great sphere.*

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] BLAIR, D. E., G. D. LUDDEN, AND K. YANO, Induced structures on submanifolds. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **22** (1970), 188-198.
- [2] KI, U-HANG, On certain submanifolds of codimension 2 of a locally Fubian manifold. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **24** (1972), 17-27.
- [3] OKUMURA, M., Totally umbilical submanifolds of a Kählerian manifold. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **19** (1967), 317-327.
- [4] TACHIBANA, S., On almost analytic vectors in certain almost Hermitian manifolds. *Tōhoku Math. J.* **11** (1959), 351-363.
- [5] YANO, K., AND U-HANG KI, On quasi-normal  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structures. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **24** (1972), 106-120.
- [6] YANO, K., AND M. OKUMURA, On  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structures. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **22** (1970), 401-423.
- [7] ———, On normal  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structures on submanifolds of codimension 2 in an even-dimensional Euclidean space. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **23** (1971), 172-197,

TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND  
KYUGPOOK UNIVERSITY.