

Under the assumptions I, II, we can apply the matching method to obtain asymptotic expansions of the solution of (2.1) in the neighborhood of turning point [3]. Moreover if the assumptions I, II, III are satisfied and if $h=1$ we can construct inner solutions in the neighborhood of the turning point as stated in the introduction.

Let $x=s \cdot \varepsilon^{n/(n+q)}$, $\varepsilon=\rho^{n+q}$, and let S be a sector of the s -plane of central angle less than $n\pi/(n+q)$ whose boundary lines do not coincide with any singular direction $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)s^{(n+q)/n}=0$ and contains them in its inside for every $j, k=1, \dots, n$. Then we have

THEOREM 1. *For every positive integer r , there exists a domain D of the s, ρ -plane defined by*

$$(2.8) \quad \text{arg } s \in S, \quad 0 < |\rho| \leq \rho_1, \quad |\arg \rho| \leq \delta_1, \quad |s^{1/n} \rho| \leq c_1,$$

where ρ_1, δ_1 and c_1 are some constants depending on r , and there exists a fundamental matrix solution $Y(s, \rho)$ such that

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} Y(s, \rho) &= \Omega(\rho^n \cdot s^{k(s)}) \sum_{\nu=1}^r Y_\nu(s) (s^{k(s) \cdot 1/n} \rho)^\nu s^{k(s)R} \exp [Q(s)] \\ &= \Omega(\rho^n \cdot s^{k(s)}) E_r(s, \rho) [s^{k(s) \cdot 1/n} \rho]^{r+1} \cdot s^{k(s)R} \exp [Q(s)], \end{aligned}$$

where $Y_\nu(s)$ and $E_r(s, \rho)$ are bounded in D . Here the matrices $\Omega(t)$ is of the form

$$\Omega(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & t^{q/n} & & \\ & \mathbf{0} & \ddots & \\ & & & t^{(n-1)q/n} \end{bmatrix},$$

R is a diagonal constant matrix, $Q(s)$ is also a diagonal matrix with polynomial elements of $s^{1/n}$ of the degree $n+q$, and $k(s)$ denotes a function such that

$$(2.10) \quad k(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & |s| \leq s_0, \\ 1, & |s| > s_0, \end{cases}$$

for some large s_0 . A finite number of domains of type D cover a full neighborhood of the turning point.

Proof. If we transform the equation (2.1) by

$$x = s \cdot \varepsilon^{n/(n+q)}, \quad \varepsilon = \rho^{n+q}, \quad y = \Omega(\rho^n) \cdot v$$

we have

$$\frac{dv}{ds} = H(s, \rho) \cdot v,$$

where

$$H(s, \rho) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & \dots \\ \rho^{-nq} p_n(x, \varepsilon), \dots, \rho^{-2q} p_2(x, \varepsilon), 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If the assumptions I, II, and III are satisfied, and if $h=1$, $H(s, \rho)$ is holomorphic in s and ρ for (2.3) and formally $H(s, \rho)$ is reordered in power series of ρ such that

$$H(s, \rho) \sim \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} H_{\nu}(s) \rho^{\nu}.$$

Here

$$H_0(s) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & & & \dots \\ p_{n_0q} s^q, \dots, p_{k_0\mu_k} s^{\mu_k}, \dots, 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$H_{\nu}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ h_{n\nu}(s), \dots, h_{k\nu}(s), \dots, 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $h_{k\nu}$ is a polynomial of s of degree at most $\{\nu + kq - (n+q)\}/n$. Then we can prove that for every $m \geq 1$, there exists a matrix function $E_{m+1}(s, \rho)$, bounded in (2.3) and $|s| \geq s_0$ such that

$$H(s, \rho) - \sum_{\nu=0}^m H_{\nu}(s) \rho^{\nu} = s^{-1} \Omega(s) \cdot E_{m+1}(s, \rho) \cdot \Omega(s^{-1}) \cdot [s^{1/n} \rho]^{m+1}.$$

If we construct an inner solution by the same method as in [3] and apply the above estimate, we obtain the desired results by using the number $e=1/n$ in place of $e=1+q/n+1/nh$ in [3].

§ 3. Example 2. Hydrodynamic type [4].

We consider here an n -th order equation of the type

$$(3.1) \quad \varepsilon^{n-m}L_n(y) + L_m(y) = 0,$$

where $n-2 \geq m \geq 0$, and

$$L_n(y) = -y^{(n)} + \sum_{\nu=m+1}^{n-1} R_{\nu+1}(x, \varepsilon)y^{(\nu)},$$

$$L_m(y) = \sum_{\nu=0}^m (P_{\nu+1}(x) + \varepsilon R_{\nu+1}(x, \varepsilon))y^{(\nu)}.$$

The functions $P_j(x)$ are holomorphic in x and in particular $P_{m+1}(x) = x^q$ ($q \geq 1$), and $R_j(x, \varepsilon)$ satisfy the same conditions as that of $p_j(x, \varepsilon)$ in the preceding section.

ASSUMPTION I'. *The characteristic polygon associated with the equation (3.1) consists of only one segment.*

This condition implies that the reduced equation (which is obtained from (3.1) by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$)

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^m P_{\nu+1}(x)y^{(\nu)} = 0$$

has a regular singular point at the origin. Let u_1, \dots, u_m be a characteristic roots of (3.2) at the regular singular point $x=0$.

ASSUMPTION II'. *$(n-m)(u_j - u_k)$ is not an integer for every $j \neq k$.*

ASSUMPTION III'. *$P_{\nu+1}(x) = p_{\nu+1}x^{q+\nu-m}$ with constant $p_{\nu+1}$ ($\nu=0, 1, \dots, m$).*

Under the assumptions I' and II', the asymptotic nature of solutions of (3.1) can be analyzed by the matching method. If the assumption III' is satisfied in addition we get an inner solution similar to that of Theorem 1. Let $x = s \cdot \varepsilon^{(n-m)/(n-m+q)}$, $\varepsilon = \rho^{n-m+q}$, S be a sector of the s -plane of central angle less than $(n-m)\pi/(n-m+q)$ whose boundary lines do not coincide with any singular direction $\text{Re}(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)s^{(n-m+q)/(n-m)} = 0$ and contain them in its inside for every j, k ($j \neq k$) where each λ_j is zero or a root of $x^{n-m} = 1$, and let Y be a column vector consists of $(y_1, \dots, y_m, y_{m+1}, \dots, y_n) = (y, y', \dots, y^{(m-1)}, y^{(m)}, \varepsilon y^{(m+1)}, \dots, \varepsilon y^{(n-1)})$. Then by a little changes of the construction of inner solution in [4] we can prove a following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *For every positive integer r , there exists a domain D of the s, ρ -plane defined by*

$$(3.3) \quad \arg s \in S, \quad 0 < |\rho| \leq \rho_1, \quad |\arg \rho| \leq \delta_1, \quad |s^{1/(n-m)}\rho| \leq c_1$$

