

## ON THE EXISTENCE OF ANALYTIC MAPPINGS, II

BY GENKÔ HIROMI AND HIDEO MUTÔ

§1. Let  $R$  and  $S$  be Riemann surfaces which are the proper existence domains of  $n$ - and  $m$ -valued entire algebroid functions  $f(z)$  and  $F(w)$ , respectively, where  $f$  and  $F$  are defined by irreducible equations

$$(1) \quad f^n + A_1(z)f^{n-1} + \cdots + A_{n-1}(z)f + A_n(z) = 0,$$

$$(2) \quad F^m + B_1(w)F^{m-1} + \cdots + B_{m-1}(w)F + B_m(w) = 0,$$

where  $A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_{m-1}$  and  $B_m$  are entire functions.

Let  $\varphi$  be an analytic mapping of  $R$  into  $S$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}_R$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_S$  be the projection maps:  $(z, f(z)) \rightarrow z$  and  $(w, F(w)) \rightarrow w$ , respectively. If  $\varphi$  preserves the projection maps, then we say that  $\varphi$  is a rigid analytic mapping. This means that every  $n$ -tuple of points on  $R$  having the same projection is carried to an  $m$ -tuple of points on  $S$  having the same projection. In this paper we study the analytic mappings of  $R$  into  $S$ . In the case of  $n=m=2$ , Ozawa obtained several interesting results [5], [6], [7], [8]. Here an analytic mapping means a non-trivial one.

The authors wish to express their heartiest thanks to Professor M. Ozawa for his valuable advices.

§2. In this section we assume that  $R$  and  $S$  have an infinite number of branch points. Put  $h(z) = \mathfrak{P}_S \circ \varphi \circ \mathfrak{P}_R^{-1}(z)$ . Let  $E$  be the projection of all the branch points of  $R$ . Let  $z_0 \notin E$  be an arbitrary but fixed point in the  $z$ -plane. Let  $U(z_0)$ ,  $U(z_0) \cap E = \emptyset$  be a disk whose center is  $z_0$ . In  $U(z_0)$  there exist  $n$  analytic branches of  $\mathfrak{P}_R^{-1}(z)$ :  $\mathfrak{P}_R^{-1}(z)_1, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_R^{-1}(z)_n$ . Put  $h_1(z) = \mathfrak{P}_S \circ \varphi \circ \mathfrak{P}_R^{-1}(z)_1, \dots, h_n(z) = \mathfrak{P}_S \circ \varphi \circ \mathfrak{P}_R^{-1}(z)_n$ . For these functions we define the fundamental symmetric polynomials:

$$H_1(z) = h_1(z) + h_2(z) + \cdots + h_n(z),$$

$$H_2(z) = h_1(z)h_2(z) + h_1(z)h_3(z) + \cdots + h_{n-1}(z)h_n(z),$$

$$\dots\dots\dots,$$

$$H_n(z) = h_1(z)h_2(z) \cdots h_n(z).$$

We can extend these functions over the  $z$ -plane except  $E$ . The resulting functions denoting with the same symbols are single-valued regular functions except  $E$ . Hence  $h(z)$  satisfies the equation

---

Received April 24, 1967

$$(3) \quad h(z)^n - H_1(z)h(z)^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n H_n(z) = 0.$$

It is easily seen that every point of the set  $E$  is a removable singularity of  $H_j(z)$  ( $j=1, \dots, n$ ). Thus  $h(z)$  is an entire algebroid (or algebraic) function of  $z$ . We shall prove the following

**THEOREM 1.** *Assume that there exists an analytic mapping  $\varphi$  of  $R$  into  $S$ . If  $n$  is a prime number, then  $\varphi$  is rigid. If  $n$  is not a prime number, then the corresponding function  $h(z)$  of  $\varphi$  is  $k$ -valued where  $k$  is a proper divisor of  $n$  and  $\varphi$  may or may not be rigid.*

*Proof.* We shall prove this along the same manner as in [9] pp. 29-34. In the first place we assume that  $h(z)$  is  $n$ -valued. Then  $R$  is the proper existence domain of  $h(z)$ . Let  $p_0, \mathfrak{P}_R(p_0)=z_0$  be a point on  $R$  whose order of ramification is  $\lambda_{p_0}-1$ . Let  $q_0, \mathfrak{P}_S(q_0)=w_0$  be the  $\varphi$ -image of  $p_0$  on  $S$ . Then we have

$$(4) \quad h(z) = w_0 + a_1(\sqrt[\lambda_{p_0}]{z-z_0})^\tau + \dots, \quad a_i \neq 0.$$

Put

$$(5) \quad N(r; q_0, S) = \frac{1}{n\lambda_{q_0}} \int_0^r \{n(t; q_0, S) - n(0; q_0, S)\} \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{n(0; q_0, S)}{n\lambda_{q_0}} \log r,$$

where

$$n(r; q_0, S) = \sum_{\varphi(p)=q_0, |\mathfrak{P}_R(p)| \leq r} \tau.$$

Let  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  be distinct points on  $S$ . Then there exists a function  $u(q; q_1, q_2)$  which is harmonic in  $q$  on  $S$  save at  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ , has a positive normalized logarithmic singularity at  $q_1$  and a negative normalized logarithmic singularity at  $q_2$  and is bounded in the complement of some compact neighborhood of  $\{q_1, q_2\}$  [1];

$$u(q; q_1, q_2) + \frac{1}{\lambda_{q_1}} \log \frac{1}{|w-w_1|},$$

$$u(q; q_1, q_2) - \frac{1}{\lambda_{q_2}} \log \frac{1}{|w-w_2|}$$

are harmonic at  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ , respectively, where  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are the projections of  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ , respectively. Let  $R(r)$  be the part of  $R$  whose projection lies on  $|z| \leq r$  and  $\Gamma(r)$  be the boundary of  $R(r)$ . We take a small neighborhood whose projection is a disk for every  $q_1$ - and  $q_2$ -points of  $\varphi$  and branch points of  $R$ . Then we have a subset  $R'(r)$  of  $R(r)$  with boundary  $\Gamma'(r)$ . We assume that there are no  $q_1$ - and  $q_2$ -points of  $\varphi$  and no branch points of  $R$  on  $\Gamma'(r)$ . Then we have

$$\int_{\Gamma'(r)} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} ds = 0$$

with  $v(p)=u(\varphi(p); q_1, q_2)$ , and

$$r \frac{d\mu(r)}{dr} + \frac{n(r; q_1, S)}{n\lambda_{q_1}} - \frac{n(r; q_2, S)}{n\lambda_{q_2}} = 0,$$

where

$$\mu(r) = \frac{1}{2n\pi} \int_{\gamma(r)} u(\varphi(\mathfrak{P}_R^{-1}(re^{i\theta})); q_1, q_2) d\theta, \quad \gamma(r) = \mathfrak{P}_R(\Gamma(r)).$$

Using the continuity property of  $\mu(r)$  we have

$$(6) \quad \mu(r) + N(r; q_1, S) - N(r; q_2, S) = A \text{ (const.)}$$

for every  $r$ .

Let  $K_{q_1}$  and  $K_{q_2}$ ,  $K_{q_1} \cap K_{q_2} = \phi$  be neighborhoods of  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  whose projections are disks with finite radii  $\delta_{q_1}$  and  $\delta_{q_2}$ , respectively. We define a function  $u_{q_1}(q)$  as follows:

$$u_{q_1}(q) = \frac{1}{\lambda_{q_1}} \log \frac{\delta_{q_1}}{|w-w_1|}, \quad q \in K_{q_1},$$

$$= 0, \quad q \notin K_{q_1}.$$

We also define a function  $u_{q_2}(q)$  analogously. Put

$$m(r; q_1, S) = \frac{1}{2n\pi} \int_{\gamma(r)} u_{q_1}(\varphi(\mathfrak{P}_R^{-1}(re^{i\theta}))) d\theta.$$

Using  $u_{q_2}(q)$  we also define  $m(r; q_2, S)$  analogously. By (6) we have

$$(7) \quad m(r; q_1, S) + N(r; q_1, S) = m(r; q_2, S) + N(r; q_2, S) + Q(r),$$

where  $A - B \leq Q(r) \leq A + B$ ,  $B = \sup |u(q; q_1, q_2) - u_{q_1}(q) + u_{q_2}(q)|$ . Let  $\varphi(p) \ni q_1, q_2$  for every  $p$  with  $\mathfrak{P}_R(p) = 0$ . Then we have

$$A = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} u(r) = \frac{1}{n} \sum u(\varphi(\mathfrak{P}_R^{-1}(0)); q_1, q_2),$$

where the summation is taken for all choices of  $\mathfrak{P}_R^{-1}(0)$ .

From (7) we can derive a simple relation between the sum  $m(r; q, S) + N(r; q, S)$  and  $T(r; h)$ . In the following  $m(r; w_0)$ ,  $N(r; w_0)$  and  $T(r; h)$  are the Nevanlinna-Selberg corresponding functions for  $h(z)$ . Let  $q_1, \dots, q_j$  ( $j \leq m$ ) be the points on  $S$  having the same projection  $w_0$ . Let  $j > 1$ . Then we have

$$(8) \quad m(r; q_1, S) + N(r; q_1, S) = \frac{1}{m} \{m(r; w_0) + N(r; w_0)\} + O(1) = \frac{1}{m} T(r; h) + O(1).$$

Let  $j > 1$ . Then we have

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} m\{m(r; q_\nu, S) + N(r; q_\nu, S)\} &= \sum_{l=1}^j \lambda_{q_l} \{m(r; q_l, S) + N(r; q_l, S)\} + O(1) \\ &= m(r; w_0) + N(r; w_0) + O(1) = T(r; h) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

The above relation (9) holds for all  $q_\nu$  ( $\nu=1, \dots, j \leq m$ ).

Let  $\{w_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^{k-1}$  be the projections of all the branch points  $\{q_\nu\}$  of  $S$ . Put

$$\begin{aligned} n(r; S_h) &= \sum_{R(r)} (\tau - 1), \\ N(r; S_h) &= \frac{1}{n} \int_0^r \{n(t; S_h) - n(0; S_h)\} \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{n(0; S_h)}{n} \log r, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tau$  is the quantity given in (4). By the Nevanlinna-Selberg second fundamental theorem applied to  $h(z)$  we have

$$(k - 2n)T(r; h) \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{k-1} N(r; w_\nu) - N(r; S_h) + O(\log r T(r; h))$$

outside a set of finite measure. Using (8) and (9) we have

$$\left(k - 1 - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\nu=1}^{k'} \lambda_{q_\nu}\right) T(r; h) + O(1) > \sum_{\nu=1}^{k-1} N(r; w_\nu) - \sum_{\nu=1}^{k'} \lambda_{q_\nu} N(r; q_\nu, S),$$

where  $k'$  is the number of branch points which lie over  $\{w_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^{k-1}$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{\nu=1}^{k'} \lambda_{q_\nu} - 2n + 1\right) T(r; h) \\ &\leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{k'} \lambda_{q_\nu} N(r; q_\nu, S) - N(r; S_h) + O(\log r T(r; h)) \end{aligned}$$

outside a set of finite measure. Since  $\varphi$  is an analytic mapping of  $R$  into  $S$  we have

$$h(z) - w_0 = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \sqrt[n]{z - z_0}\right)^{\lambda_{q_0}},$$

where  $h(z_0) = w_0$ ,  $\mathfrak{P}_R(p_0) = z_0$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_S(q_0) = w_0$ . Hence we have

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{k'} \lambda_{q_\nu} N(r; q_\nu, S) - N(r; S_h) \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{k'} N(r; q_\nu, S) = \frac{k'}{m} T(r; h) + O(1).$$

Consequently we have the following inequality:

$$\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{\nu=1}^k (\lambda_{q_\nu} - 1) - 2n + 1\right) T(r; h) < O(\log r T(r; h))$$

outside a set of finite measure. On the other hand  $S$  has an infinite number of branch points whose order of ramification  $\geq 1$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $h(z) = \mathfrak{P}_S \circ \varphi \circ \mathfrak{P}_R^{-1}(z)$  cannot be an  $n$ -valued function of  $z$  for every analytic mapping



**THEOREM 2.** *Assume that  $n$  is a prime number. Then there is no analytic mapping of  $R_n$  into  $S_m$ , when  $n \neq m$ .*

*Proof.* By theorem 1 every analytic mapping  $\varphi$  of  $R_n$  into  $S_m$  is rigid whenever it exists. Hence the corresponding function  $h(z) = \mathfrak{P}_{S_m} \circ \varphi \circ \mathfrak{P}_{R_n}^{-1}(z)$  is an entire function of  $z$ . Let  $g^*$  be the function of  $S_m$  defined by  $g^* = \sqrt[m]{g \circ \mathfrak{P}_{S_m}}$ . Then we have

$$(10) \quad g^* \circ \varphi \circ \mathfrak{P}_{R_n}^{-1}(z) = \lambda_0(z) + \lambda_1(z) \sqrt[n]{G(z)} + \dots + \lambda_{n-1}(z) \sqrt[n]{G(z)^{n-1}},$$

where  $(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{n-1})$  satisfies the property (A). On the other hand we have

$$(11) \quad g^* \circ \varphi \circ \mathfrak{P}_{R_n}^{-1}(z) = \sqrt[m]{g \circ \mathfrak{P}_{S_m}} \circ \mathfrak{P}_{S_m}^{-1} \circ h \circ \mathfrak{P}_{R_n} \circ \mathfrak{P}_{R_n}^{-1}(z) = \sqrt[m]{g \circ h(z)}.$$

By (10) and (11) we have

$$g \circ h(z) = \{ \lambda_0(z) + \lambda_1(z) \sqrt[n]{G(z)} + \dots + \lambda_{n-1}(z) \sqrt[n]{G(z)^{n-1}} \}^m.$$

Since  $h$  is an entire function we have

$$\{ \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \omega \sqrt[n]{G} + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} \omega^{n-1} \sqrt[n]{G^{n-1}} \}^m = \{ \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \sqrt[n]{G} + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} \sqrt[n]{G^{n-1}} \}^m,$$

where  $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/n)$ . Hence at most one of  $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}$  and  $\lambda_{n-1}$  does not vanish identically. We have one of the following functional equations:

$$g \circ h(z) = \lambda_j(z)^m G(z)^{j^{m/n}} \quad (j=0, \dots, n-1).$$

Using the Nevanlinna-Selberg ramification relation we can easily see that  $g \circ h(z) = \lambda_0(z)^m$  cannot hold in our case. Let  $(n, m) = 1$ . Then  $G^{j^{m/n}}$  cannot reduce to a single-valued function of  $z$ . This is a contradiction. Hence there is no analytic mapping of  $R_n$  into  $S_m$  when  $(n, m) = 1$ . Let  $cn = m$  with a integer  $c \geq 2$ . Then we have

$$g \circ h(z) = \lambda_j(z)^m G(z)^{j^c} \quad (j=0, \dots, n-1).$$

However, since the orders of all the zeros of  $g$  are not divisors of  $m$ , by the Nevanlinna-Selberg ramification relation we can see that such functional equations cannot hold in our case. Consequently there is no analytic mapping of  $R_n$  into  $S_m$  when  $n$  is a prime number and  $n \neq m$ .

By the quite same method we can prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose that there exists a rigid analytic mapping  $\varphi$  of  $R_n$  into  $S_m$ . Then  $n$  is an integral multiple of  $m$  by an integer  $c$  and the corresponding entire function  $h(z)$  satisfies one of the following functional equations:*

$$f_j(z)^m G(z)^k = g \circ h(z),$$

where  $k = cj$  is coprime to  $m$  and  $(f_0, \dots, f_{n-1})$  satisfies the property (A).

§ 4. Let  $R_n$  and  $S_m$  be the regularly branched surfaces defined in § 3. We

give the following theorems which can be proved by means of the same method as in [3], [4], [6]. Thus the proofs may be omitted here.

**THEOREM 4** (cf. Theorem 3 in [4], Theorem 3 in [6]). *Suppose that there exists a rigid analytic mapping  $\varphi$  of  $R_n$  into  $S_m$ . Then the corresponding entire function  $h(z)$  satisfies*

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r; 0, G)}{T(r; h)} = \infty.$$

Let  $G_c$  and  $g_c$  be canonical products having the same zeros with the same orders as those of  $G$  and  $g$ , respectively. Let  $\rho_{G_c}$  and  $\rho_{g_c}$  be the orders of  $G_c$  and  $g_c$ , respectively. Then we have the following

**THEOREM 5** (cf. Theorem 1 in [3]). *Suppose that  $\rho_{G_c} < \infty$  and  $0 < \rho_{g_c} < \infty$  and that there exists a rigid analytic mapping  $\varphi$  of  $R_n$  into  $S_m$ . Then  $\rho_{G_c}$  is an integral multiple of  $\rho_{g_c}$ .*

**THEOREM 6** (cf. Theorem 2 in [3]). *Suppose that there exists a rigid analytic mapping  $\varphi$  of  $R_n$  into itself. Then  $\varphi$  is a univalent conformal mapping of  $R_n$  onto itself and the corresponding entire function  $h(z)$  is a linear function of the form  $e^{2\pi i p/q} z + b$  with a suitable rational number  $p/q$ .*

Recently Ozawa [8] introduced the notion of a finite modification of an ultrahyperelliptic surface and proved two interesting theorems. According to his definition we say that  $S_n$  is a finite modification of  $R_n$  when  $G(z)$  and  $g(z)$  have the same zeros for  $|z| \geq R_0$  for a suitable  $R_0$ .

**THEOREM 7** (cf. Theorem 1 in [8]). *Suppose that there exists a rigid analytic mapping  $\varphi$  of  $R_n$  into  $S_n$ , which is a finite modification of  $R_n$ , then the corresponding entire function  $h(z)$  reduces to the form  $az + b$ , that is,  $\varphi$  is a univalent conformal mapping of  $R_n$  onto  $S_n$ .*

**THEOREM 8** (cf. Theorem 2 in [8]). *Suppose that there exists a rigid analytic mapping  $\varphi$  of  $R_n$  into  $S_n$ , which is a finite modification of  $R_n$  and that  $G$  and  $g$  have the same number of zeros in  $|z| < R_0$ , then  $\varphi$  is a univalent conformal mapping of  $R_n$  onto  $S_n$  and the corresponding entire function  $h(z)$  reduces to the form  $e^{2\pi i p/q} z + b$  with a suitable rational number  $p/q$ .*

**§ 5.** Let  $R$  be a Riemann surface defined in §1. Let  $S^*$  be a Riemann surface which is the proper existence domain of  $m$ -valued algebraic function  $F^*(w)$  defined by an irreducible equation

$$F^{*m} + P_1(w)F^{*(m-1)} + \dots + P_{m-1}(w)F^* + P_m(w) = 0,$$

where  $P_j$  ( $j=1, \dots, m$ ) are polynomials.

Let  $\varphi$  be an analytic mapping of  $R$  into  $S^*$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}_{S^*}$  be the projection map:  $(w, F^*(w)) \rightarrow w$ . As before we define the corresponding function  $h(z) = \mathfrak{P}_{S^*} \circ \varphi \circ \mathfrak{P}_R^{-1}(z)$ .

Then by the same method as in §2 we can prove the following

**THEOREM 9.** *Assume that there exists an analytic mapping  $\varphi$  of  $R$  into  $S^*$ , when the genus of  $S^*$  is greater than  $m(n-1)+1$ . If  $n$  is a prime number, then  $\varphi$  is rigid. If  $n$  is not a prime number, then the corresponding function  $h(z)$  is  $k$ -valued where  $k$  is a proper divisor of  $n$  and  $\varphi$  may or may not be rigid.*

§6. Let  $R_n$  be a regularly branched Riemann surface defined in §3. Let  $S_m^*$  be a Riemann surface which is the proper existence domain of an  $m$ -valued algebraic function  $F^*(w)$  defined by

$$(12) \quad F^{*m} = \prod_{j=1}^p (w-w_j)^{c_j}$$

where  $c_j < m$  are positive integers which are coprime to  $m$  and  $w_i \neq w_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Then  $S_m^*$  is a closed and regularly branched  $m$ -sheeted covering Riemann surface.

Let  $\varphi$  be an analytic mapping of  $R_n$  into  $S_m^*$ . Let  $n$  be a prime number. By theorem 9 the corresponding function  $h(z) = \mathfrak{P}_{S_m^*} \circ \varphi \circ \mathfrak{P}_{R_n}^{-1}(z)$  reduces to a single-valued function of  $z$ , when the genus of  $S_m^*$  is greater than  $m(n-1)+1$ . Using the same method as in the proof of theorem 2 we can prove the following

**THEOREM 10.** *Assume that  $n$  is a prime number and  $n \neq m$ . Then there is no analytic mapping of  $R_n$  into  $S_m^*$ , when the genus of  $S_m^*$  is greater than  $m(n-1)+1$ . Furthermore there is no rigid analytic mapping of  $R_n$  into  $S_m^*$ , when the genus of  $S_m^*$  is greater than 1.*

There exists a pair of Riemann surfaces for which there is a non-rigid analytic mapping.

**EXAMPLE 1** (cf. [4], [6]). Let  $R_n$  be the proper existence domain of  $\sqrt[n]{\text{sn } z}$  with Jacobi's sn-function. Let  $S_2^*$  be the hyperelliptic surface which is the proper existence domain of  $\sqrt{(1-w^{2n})(1-k^2w^{2n})}$ . It is well-known that

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{sn}'z)^2 &= (1-\text{sn}^2z)(1-k^2\text{sn}^2z) \\ &= (1-\sqrt[n]{\text{sn } z})(\omega-\sqrt[n]{\text{sn } z}) \cdots (\omega^{n-1}-\sqrt[n]{\text{sn } z}) \\ &\quad \cdot (-1-\sqrt[n]{\text{sn } z})(-\omega-\sqrt[n]{\text{sn } z}) \cdots (-\omega^{n-1}-\sqrt[n]{\text{sn } z}) \\ &\quad \cdot (1-\sqrt[n]{k \text{ sn } z})(\omega-\sqrt[n]{k \text{ sn } z}) \cdots (\omega^{n-1}-\sqrt[n]{k \text{ sn } z}) \\ &\quad \cdot (-1-\sqrt[n]{k \text{ sn } z})(-\omega-\sqrt[n]{k \text{ sn } z}) \cdots (-\omega^{n-1}-\sqrt[n]{k \text{ sn } z}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/n)$ . This shows that there exists an analytic mapping  $\varphi$  of  $R_n$  into  $S_2^*$ , which is induced by  $\sqrt[n]{\text{sn } z}$ , that is,  $h(z) = \sqrt[n]{\text{sn } z}$ ,  $\varphi = \mathfrak{P}_{S_2^*}^{-1} \circ h \circ \mathfrak{P}_{R_n}$ , when the genus of  $S_2^*$  is  $2n-1$ . This mapping is not rigid.

**EXAMPLE 2** (cf. [4], [6]). Let  $R_n$  be the proper existence domain of  $\sqrt[n]{\wp(z)}$  with

Weierstrass'  $\wp$ -function with the primitive periods  $2\omega_1$  and  $2\omega_2$  where  $n \geq 5$  is an odd integer. Let  $S_2^*$  be the hyperelliptic surface which is the proper existence of  $2\sqrt{(w^n - e_1)(w^n - e_2)(w^n - e_3)}$ , where  $e_1 = \wp(\omega_1)$ ,  $e_2 = \wp(\omega_2)$  and  $e_3 = \wp(\omega_1 + \omega_2)$ . Evidently the genus of  $S_2^*$  is  $3t - 1$ , when  $n = 2t + 1$ . It is well-known that

$$\begin{aligned} \wp'(z)^2 &= 4 \{ \wp(z) - e_1 \} \{ \wp(z) - e_2 \} \{ \wp(z) - e_3 \} \\ &= 4 \{ \sqrt[n]{\wp(z) - e_1} \} \{ \sqrt[n]{\wp(z) - e_1} \omega \} \cdots \{ \sqrt[n]{\wp(z) - e_1} \omega^{n-1} \} \\ &\quad \cdot \{ \sqrt[n]{\wp(z) - e_2} \} \{ \sqrt[n]{\wp(z) - e_2} \omega \} \cdots \{ \sqrt[n]{\wp(z) - e_2} \omega^{n-1} \} \\ &\quad \cdot \{ \sqrt[n]{\wp(z) - e_3} \} \{ \sqrt[n]{\wp(z) - e_3} \omega \} \cdots \{ \sqrt[n]{\wp(z) - e_3} \omega^{n-1} \}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/n)$ . This shows that there exists an analytic mapping  $\varphi$  of  $R_n$  into  $S_2^*$ , which is induced by  $\sqrt[n]{\wp(z)}$ , that is,  $h(z) = \sqrt[n]{\wp(z)}$ ,  $\varphi = \mathfrak{P}_{S_2^*}^{-1} \circ h \circ \mathfrak{P}_{R_n}$ . This analytic mapping is not rigid.

EXAMPLE 3 (cf. [4]). Put

$$z = \Psi(w) = \int_0^w \frac{dt}{\sqrt[3]{(t - a_1)(t - a_2)(t - a_3)}},$$

where  $a_1, a_2$  and  $a_3$  are non-zero distinct complex numbers. Let  $w = f(z)$  be the inverse function, then it can be continued over the whole plane as a single-valued meromorphic function. Let  $S_3^*$  be the regularly branched three-sheeted covering Riemann surface which is the proper existence domain of  $\sqrt[3]{(w^n - a_1)(w^n - a_2)(w^n - a_3)}$ . Let  $R_n$  be the proper existence domain of  $\sqrt[n]{f(z)}$ , then it is a regularly branched  $n$ -sheeted covering Riemann surface.  $f(z)$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z)^3 &= \{ (f(z) - a_1)(f(z) - a_2)(f(z) - a_3) \}^2 \\ &= \{ (\sqrt[n]{f(z) - a_1} \} (\sqrt[n]{f(z) - a_1} \omega) \cdots (\sqrt[n]{f(z) - a_1} \omega^{n-1}) \\ &\quad \cdot (\sqrt[n]{f(z) - a_2} \} (\sqrt[n]{f(z) - a_2} \omega) \cdots (\sqrt[n]{f(z) - a_2} \omega^{n-1}) \\ &\quad \cdot (\sqrt[n]{f(z) - a_3} \} (\sqrt[n]{f(z) - a_3} \omega) \cdots (\sqrt[n]{f(z) - a_3} \omega^{n-1}) \}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/n)$ . This shows that there exists an analytic mapping  $\varphi$  of  $R_n$  into  $S_3^*$ , which is induced by  $\sqrt[n]{f(z)}$ , that is,  $h(z) = \sqrt[n]{f(z)}$ ,  $\varphi = \mathfrak{P}_{S_3^*}^{-1} \circ h \circ \mathfrak{P}_{R_n}$ , when the genus of  $S_3^*$  is  $3n - 2$ . This analytic mapping is not rigid.

We can prove the following theorem by the same method as in theorem 2.

THEOREM 11. *Suppose that there exists a rigid analytic mapping  $\varphi$  of  $R_n$  into  $S_m^*$ , when the genus of  $S_m^*$  is greater than 1. Then  $n$  is an integral multiple of  $m$  and the corresponding meromorphic function  $h(z)$  satisfies one of the following functional equations:*

$$(13) \quad f(z)^m G(z)^k = \prod_{j=1}^p (h(z) - w_j)^{c_j},$$

where  $k < m$  is an integer being coprime to  $m$  and  $f$  is a meromorphic function.

By this theorem we can prove the following theorem:

**THEOREM 12.** *Suppose that there exists a rigid analytic mapping  $\varphi$  of  $R_n$  into  $S_m^*$ , when the genus of  $S_m^*$  is greater than 1. Then the corresponding meromorphic function  $h(z)$  satisfies*

$$\left(p - \frac{2m}{m-1}\right) / (m-1) \leq \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r; 0, G)}{T(r; h)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^p c_j.$$

*Proof.* In this case every branch point of  $R_n$  has its  $\varphi$ -image on a branch point of  $S_m^*$ . This is proved as in [5]. Hence  $h(z)$  should be a transcendental meromorphic function of  $z$ . In fact, assume that  $h(z)$  is a polynomial. Then every branch point of  $S_m^*$  is covered only finitely often by  $\varphi(R_n)$  and every branch point of  $R_n$  is carried to a branch point of  $S_m^*$ . There is an infinite number of branch points on  $R_n$ . This is a contradiction. Using (13) we have

$$k N(r; 0, G) \leq \sum_{j=1}^p c_j N(r; w_j, h) = \sum_{j=1}^p c_j T(r; h) + O(1).$$

Hence we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r; 0, G)}{T(r; h)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^p c_j.$$

Let  $w_1, \dots, w_{p-1}$  and  $w_p$  be the values defined in (12). Then by the second fundamental theorem applied to  $h(z)$  we have

$$(p-2)T(r; h) \leq \sum_{j=1}^p \bar{N}(r; w_j, h) + O(\log r T(r; h))$$

outside a set of finite measure. On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^p \bar{N}(r; w_j, h) &= \sum_{j=1}^p \bar{N}_{m-1}(r; w_j, h) + \sum_{j=1}^p \bar{N}_m(r; w_j, h), \\ (m-1) \sum_{j=1}^p \bar{N}_m(r; w_j, h) &\leq N(r; 0, h') \leq 2 T(r; h) + O(\log r T(r; h)) \end{aligned}$$

outside a set of finite measure, where  $\bar{N}_{m-1}$  denotes the counting function of  $w_j$ -points whose multiplicities are less than  $m$  and  $\bar{N}_m$  that of other  $w_j$ -points which are counted only once, respectively. By (13) we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \bar{N}_{m-1}(r; w_j, h) \leq k N(r; 0, G).$$

Therefore we have

$$\left(p - \frac{2m}{m-1}\right) T(r; h) \leq k N(r; 0, G) + O(\log r T(r; h))$$

outside a set of finite measure. Hence we have

$$\left(p - \frac{2m}{m-1}\right) / (m-1) \leq \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r; 0, G)}{T(r; h)}.$$

This completes the proof.

In the case of  $n=m=2$ , Ozawa proved the assertion of theorem 12. Further he showed the sharpness of the left and right hand side inequalities of (14) [7].

We give the sharpness of the right hand side inequality of (14) in the case of  $n=m$  and the sharpness of the left hand side inequality of (14) in the case of  $n=m=3$  by the following examples:

EXAMPLE 4. Let  $G(z)$  be  $e^{pz}-1$  and let  $P(w)$  be

$$\prod_{j=1}^p (w-w_j) = \prod_{j=1}^p (w-\omega^j),$$

where  $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/p)$ . Let  $R_n$  and  $S_n^*$  be Riemann surfaces which are the proper existence domains of  $\sqrt[p]{G(z)}$  and  $\sqrt[p]{P(w)}$ , respectively. Then there exists a rigid analytic mapping which is induced by  $h(z) = e^z$ , that is,  $\varphi = \mathfrak{B}_{S_n^*}^{-1} \circ h \circ \mathfrak{B}_{R_n}$ . In this case

$$N(r; 0, G) = p T(r; e^z) = p T(r; h).$$

Hence we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r; 0, G)}{T(r; h)} = p.$$

EXAMPLE 5. Let  $G(z)$  be

$$\{(f(z)-a_4)(f(z)-a_5)\cdots(f(z)-a_p)\}^2$$

where  $f(z)$  is the function defined in example 3 and  $a_4, \dots, a_p$  are  $p-3$  different complex numbers and they are different with  $a_1, a_2$  and  $a_3$ . Let  $R_3$  be the proper existence domain of  $\sqrt[3]{G(z)}$  and let  $S_3^*$  be the proper existence domain of  $\sqrt[3]\{(w-a_1)(w-a_2)\cdots(w-a_p)\}^2$ . Then there is an analytic mapping  $\varphi$  whose corresponding function is  $f(z)$ . In this case

$$2N(r; 0, G) = (p-3) T(r; f(z)) = (p-3) T(r; h).$$

Hence

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r; 0, G)}{T(r; h)} = \frac{p-3}{2}.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] HEINS, M., Lindelöfian maps. *Ann. of Math.* **62** (1955), 418-446.
- [2] HENSEL, K., AND G. LANDSBERG, *Theorie der algebraischen Funktionen einer Variablen.* Leipzig (1902).
- [3] HIROMI, G., AND H. MUTŌ, On the existence of analytic mappings, I. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **19** (1967), 236-244.
- [4] MUTŌ, H., On the existence of analytic mappings. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **18** (1966), 24-35.
- [5] OZAWA, M., On complex analytic mappings between two ultrahyperelliptic surfaces. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **17** (1965), 158-165.
- [6] OZAWA, M., On the existence of analytic mappings. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **17** (1965), 191-197.
- [7] OZAWA, M., A remark on the growth of analytic mappings. (unpublished)
- [8] OZAWA, M., On a finite modification of an ultrahyperelliptic surface. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **19** (1967), 312-316.
- [9] SELBERG, H. L., *Algebroiden Funktionen und Umkehrfunktionen Abelscher Integrale.* *Avh. Norske Vid. Akad. Oslo Nr. 8* (1934), 1-72.

TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, AND  
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY.