# CURVATURE-PRESERVING TRANSFORMATIONS OF K-CONTACT RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS 

By Shûkichi Tanno

Let $M$ be a contact Riemannian manifold with a contact form $\eta$, the associated vector field $\xi,(1,1)$-tensor field $\phi$ and the associated Riemannian metric $g$. If $\xi$ is a Killing vector field, $M$ is said to be a $K$-contact Riemannian manifold. Further, $M$ is said to be normal, if $\phi$ satisfies the relation

$$
\left(\nabla_{X} \phi\right)(Y)=g(X, Y) \xi-\eta(Y) X
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$ on $M$, where $\nabla$ is the covariant differentiation with respect to $g$.

Recently Okumura [2] got the following result:
(A) In a normal contact Riemannian manifold, any curvature-preserving infinitesimal transformation is an infinitesimal isometry.

On the other hand, Sakai [3] got the result:
(B) Any affine transformation of a $K$-contact Riemannian manifold is an isometry.

In this note, we prove the next theorem which covers the above $(A)$ and (B):
Theorem. Let $M, N$ be $K$-contact Riemannian manifolds, then any curvaturepreserving transformation of $M$ to $N$ is an isometry.

The proof of our theorem has similar aspect to that in [3]. In an $m$-dimensional $K$-contact Riemannian manifold we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{1}(\xi, X)=(m-1) \eta(X),  \tag{1}\\
& R(X, \xi) \xi=-X+\eta(X) \xi \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for any vector field $X$ on $M$, where $R_{1}$ and $R$ denote the Ricci curvature and Riemannian curvature tensor [1].

## § Proof of the theorem.

We denote the corresponding tensors in $N$ by "'". Let $\varphi$ be a curvaturepreserving transformation of $M$ to $N$ and let $x$ be an arbitrary point of $M$, and we put $y=\varphi x$. By $X, Y, Z, W$ we denote vector fields on $M$. In any Riemannian manifold we have
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$$
\begin{equation*}
' g_{y}(\prime R(\varphi X, \varphi Y) \varphi Z, \varphi W)=-g_{y}\left({ }^{\prime} R(\varphi X, \varphi Y) \varphi W, \varphi Z\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $\varphi$ stands for the differential of $\varphi$. As $\varphi$ is curvature-preserving: $\varphi(R(X, Y) Z)$ $={ }^{\prime} R(\varphi X, \varphi Y) \varphi Z$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi^{* \prime} g\right)_{x}(R(X, Y) Z, W)=-\left(\varphi^{*} g\right)_{x}(R(X, Y) W, Z) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we put $Y=Z=W=\xi$, using (2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi^{*} g\right)_{x}(X, \xi)=\sigma_{x} \eta_{x}(X), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{x}=\left(\varphi^{* \prime} g\right)_{x}(\xi, \xi)$. Next we put $Y=Z=\xi$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi^{*} g\right)_{x}(-X+\eta(X) \xi, W)=-\left(\varphi^{*} g\right)_{x}(R(X, \xi) W, \xi) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replace $X$ in (5) by $W$ or $R(X, \xi) W$, then (6) turns to

$$
-\left(\varphi^{* \prime} g\right)_{x}(X, W)+\sigma_{x} \eta_{x}(X) \eta_{x}(W)=-\sigma_{x} \eta_{x}(R(X, \xi) W)
$$

On the other hand, as

$$
\eta_{x}(R(X, \xi) W)=g_{x}(R(X, \xi) W, \xi)=g_{x}(X, W)-\eta_{x}(X) \eta_{x}(W),
$$

we have $\left(\varphi^{*} g\right)_{x}(X, W)=\sigma_{x} g_{x}(X, W)$. Namely $\varphi$ is a conformal transformation.
Next we prove $\sigma_{x}=1$. Put $X=\varphi \xi$ in (1), then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
' R_{1 y}(\prime \xi, \varphi \xi)=(m-1)^{\prime} \eta_{y}(\varphi \xi) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varphi$ also leaves $R_{1}$ invariant, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
' R_{1 y}(\prime \xi, \varphi \xi)=R_{1 x}\left(\varphi^{-1 \prime} \xi, \xi\right)=(m-1) \eta_{x}\left(\varphi^{-1 \prime} \xi\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7) and (8), ${ }^{\prime} \eta_{y}(\varphi \xi)=\eta_{x}\left(\varphi^{-1} \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)$ follows. While we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
' \eta_{y}(\varphi \xi) & ={ }^{\prime} g_{y}\left({ }^{\prime} \xi, \varphi \xi\right)={ }^{\prime} g_{y}\left(\varphi \cdot \varphi^{-1} \prime \xi, \varphi \xi\right) \\
& =\left(\varphi^{* \prime} g\right)_{x}\left(\varphi^{-1} \xi \xi, \xi\right)=\sigma_{x} g_{x}\left(\varphi^{-1 \prime} \xi, \xi\right) \\
& =\sigma_{x} \eta_{x}\left(\varphi^{-1} \xi \xi\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we get $\sigma_{x}=1$ or ${ }^{\prime} \eta_{y}(\varphi \xi)=0$. Suppose that ${ }^{\prime} \eta_{y}(\varphi \xi)=0$, then we have ${ }^{\prime} R_{y}\left(\varphi \xi,{ }^{\prime} \xi\right)^{\prime} \xi$ $=-(\varphi \xi)_{y}$ by (2) and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{x} & ={ }^{\prime} g_{y}(\varphi \xi, \varphi \xi) \\
& ={ }^{\prime} g_{y}\left({ }^{\prime} R\left({ }^{\prime} \xi, \varphi \xi\right)^{\prime} \xi, \varphi \xi\right) \\
& ={ }^{\prime} g_{y}\left(\varphi \cdot R\left(\varphi^{-1} \prime \xi, \xi\right) \varphi^{-1} \xi, \varphi \xi\right) \\
& =\left(\varphi^{*} g\right)_{x}\left(R\left(\varphi^{-1} \prime \xi, \xi\right) \varphi^{-1} \xi \xi, \xi\right) \\
& =-\sigma_{x} g_{x}\left(R\left(\varphi^{-1} \xi, \xi\right) \xi, \varphi^{-1} \prime \xi\right) \\
& =\sigma_{x} g_{x}\left(\varphi^{-1} \xi \xi, \varphi^{-1 \prime} \xi\right)=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\sigma$ is equal to 1 on $M$, this completes the proof.
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