

# ON THE EXISTENCE OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS WITH PREASSIGNED ASYMPTOTIC SPOTS

BY GENKŌ HIROMI

In his paper [2], Heins introduced the notion of asymptotic spot of an interior transformation and then in [3], especially, he examined asymptotic spots of entire and meromorphic functions. Let  $f(z)$  be meromorphic in  $|z| < \infty$ , and let  $w_0$  denote a point of the extended  $w$ -plane. Then  $\sigma$  is called an asymptotic spot over  $w_0$  when  $\sigma$  is a function (a correspondence from sets to sets) whose domain is the family  $\Phi_{w_0}$  of simply-connected Jordan regions containing  $w_0$  and which satisfies: (a) for each  $\Omega \in \Phi_{w_0}$ ,  $\sigma(\Omega)$  is a component of  $f^{-1}(\Omega)$  which is not relatively compact, and (b) if  $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$ , for  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in \Phi_{w_0}$ , then  $\sigma(\Omega_1) \subset \sigma(\Omega_2)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma(w, w_0)$  denote Green's function for  $\Omega$  with the pole at  $w_0$ . We put

$$u_{\sigma(\Omega)}(z) \equiv \text{G.H.M. } \mathfrak{G}_\sigma(f_{\sigma(\Omega)}(z), w_0),$$

where  $f_{\sigma(\Omega)}(z)$  is the restriction of  $f(z)$  to  $\sigma(\Omega)$  and G.H.M. means the greatest harmonic minorant. We associate with the pair  $(\sigma, \Omega)$  an index  $h(\sigma, \Omega)$  as follows. If  $u_{\sigma(\Omega)}(z) \equiv 0$ , then  $h(\sigma, \Omega) = 0$ . If  $u_{\sigma(\Omega)}(z) > 0$  and is represented as a finite sum of  $n$  mutually non-proportional minimal positive harmonic functions on  $\sigma(\Omega)$ , then  $h(\sigma, \Omega) = n$ . In the remaining case, we set  $h(\sigma, \Omega) = +\infty$ . The index  $h(\sigma, \Omega)$  is monotone in  $\Omega$ , i.e. if  $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$ , then  $h(\sigma, \Omega_1) \leq h(\sigma, \Omega_2)$ . The harmonic index  $h(\sigma)$  of  $\sigma$  is then defined as

$$\inf_{\Omega \in \Phi_{w_0}} h(\sigma, \Omega).$$

Now Heins proposed the following realization problem: Let  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  denote  $n (\geq 1)$  given points on the extended  $w$ -plane and  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  denote  $n$  given positive integers. Does there exist a meromorphic function  $f(z)$  in  $|z| < \infty$  which satisfies: (I) the asymptotic spots of  $f(z)$  having positive harmonic indices are  $n$  in number, say  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ , (II)  $\sigma_k$  lies over  $w_k$  and  $h(\sigma_k) = h_k$ , (III)  $f(z)$  is of order  $H/2$ , where  $H = \sum_{k=1}^n h_k$ ?

The object of the present paper is to give a solution for this problem.

Heins showed an affirmative answer for the special cases: (i)  $n=1$ , (ii)  $n=2$ ,  $h_1=h_2=2$ . As a direct consequence of the method which Heins used to construct an example of the case (ii), M. Ozawa has informed to the author an affirmative answer for the case (iii)  $n=2$ ,  $h_1=h_2=m$ . In fact, it is shown that the argument similar to the case (ii) in [3] (p. 439) remains valid in the case (iii) by considering the starting function  $g(z) = e^{-iz} \cos z^m$  in place of  $g(z) = e^{-iz} \cos z^2$ .

---

Received December 10, 1964.

Here we shall give an answer for the case:  $n=2$ ,  $h_1$ ,  $h_2$ ,  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  unrestricted and further solve the general problem affirmatively.

To this end we need some preparatory considerations. Suppose that  $G$  is a Jordan region in  $|z|<\infty$  and that  $U$  is a harmonic function non-negative on  $G$  which vanishes on the boundary of  $G$ . Further suppose that  $\{G_k\}$  is a family of Jordan subregions of  $G$  satisfying  $G_k \cap G_l = \phi$  for  $k \neq l$ , and that  $U_k$  is a harmonic function non-negative on  $G_k$  which vanishes continuously on the boundary of  $G_k$  and is dominated by  $U$  on  $G_k$ . Let  $U_k^*$  denote the least harmonic majorant of the subharmonic function which is equal to  $U_k$  on  $G_k$  and to zero on  $G-G_k$ . Then we get the following lemma.

LEMMA. *Under the above assumption it holds*

$$\sum U_k^* \leq U;$$

*if each  $U_k$  is minimal in  $G_k$ , then  $U_1^*$ ,  $U_2^*$ ,  $\dots$  are minimal and mutually non-proportional in  $G$ .*

The proof of the lemma is contained in (f) and (c) of [2] (pp. 442-445).

In [3], Heins formulated the Denjoy-Carleman-Ahlfors theorem and gave the following theorem (p. 431).

THEOREM A. *Let  $H$  denote the grand total of the harmonic indices of all the asymptotic spots of a non-constant meromorphic function  $f$  in  $|z|<\infty$ . Let  $T(r; f)$  denote the Nevanlinna characteristic function of  $f$ . If  $H=+\infty$ , then*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r; f)}{\log r} = +\infty.$$

*If  $2 \leq H < \infty$ , then*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r; f)}{r^{H/2}} > 0.$$

*If  $H=1$  and the asymptotic spot  $\sigma_0$  with index one is such that for some  $\Omega$  of its domain, the complement of  $\sigma_0(\Omega)$  intersects all circles  $\{|z|=r\}$  with  $r$  sufficiently large, then*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r; f)}{r^{1/2}} > 0.$$

Now we observe Mittag-Leffler's function

$$E_\alpha(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(1+\alpha n)} \quad (0 < \alpha < 2)$$

which is an entire function of order  $1/\alpha$  and quote the following theorem (cf. § 3.62 in [1]):

If  $0 < \alpha < 1$  there exists a constant  $K$  independent of  $\alpha$  such that

$$(1) \quad \left| E_\alpha(z) - \frac{\exp z^{1/\alpha}}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{z\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \right| \leq \frac{K}{\alpha^2 |z|^2} \text{ for } |\arg z| \leq \frac{3}{4} \alpha \pi, |z| \geq 2,$$

$$(2) \quad \left| E_\alpha(z) + \frac{1}{z\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \right| \leq \frac{K}{\alpha^2|z|^2} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{3}{4}\alpha\pi \leq \arg z \leq 2\pi - \frac{3}{4}\alpha\pi, |z| \geq 2.$$

By using Mittag-Leffler's function  $E_\alpha(z)$ , we put

$$f_k(z) = E_{2/H}(z\varepsilon^{k-1}) \quad (k=1, 2, \dots, H(\geq 3)),$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is a primitive  $H$ -th root of 1:  $\varepsilon = \cos(2\pi/H) - i \sin(2\pi/H)$ .

PROPOSITION 1. *The function*

$$f(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{h_1} f_j(z) \Big/ \sum_{j=h_1+1}^H f_j(z)$$

has the desired properties for the case:  $n=2, w_1=\infty, w_2=0$  and  $H \geq 3$ .

*Proof.* We define an asymptotic spot  $\sigma_1$  over  $w_1=\infty$  as follows. For  $-\pi/H \leq \arg z \leq -\pi/2H$

$$|f_1(z)| \geq \frac{H}{2} |\exp z^{H/2}| - \frac{1}{|z|\Gamma(1-2/H)} - \frac{H^2K}{4|z|^2},$$

$$|f_H(z)| \leq \frac{H}{2} |\exp z^{-H/2}| + \frac{1}{|z|\Gamma(1-2/H)} - \frac{H^2K}{4|z|^2} \leq \frac{H}{2} + \frac{1}{|z|\Gamma(1-2/H)} + \frac{H^2K}{4|z|^2},$$

$$|f_j(z)| \leq \frac{1}{|z|\Gamma(1-2/H)} + \frac{H^2K}{4|z|^2} \quad (j=2, \dots, H-1);$$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\geq \left\{ |f_1(z)| - \sum_{j=2}^{h_1} |f_j(z)| \right\} \Big/ \sum_{j=h_1+1}^H |f_j(z)| \\ &\geq \left\{ |\exp z^{H/2}| - \frac{2}{|z|\Gamma(1-2/H)} - \frac{H^2K}{2|z|^2} \right\} \Big/ \left\{ 1 + \frac{2}{|z|\Gamma(1-2/H)} + \frac{H^2K}{2|z|^2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $|\arg z - 2(k-1)\pi/H| \leq \pi/2H$  ( $k=1, \dots, h_1$ )

$$|zf_k(z)| \geq \frac{H}{2} |\exp(z\varepsilon^{k-1})^{H/2}| - \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-2/H)} - \frac{H^2K}{4|z|},$$

$$|zf_j(z)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-2/H)} + \frac{H^2K}{4|z|} \quad (j=1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, H);$$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\geq \left\{ |zf_k(z)| - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |zf_j(z)| - \sum_{j=k+1}^{h_1} |zf_j(z)| \right\} \Big/ \sum_{j=h_1+1}^H |zf_j(z)| \\ &\geq \left\{ |z \exp(z\varepsilon^{k-1})^{H/2}| - \frac{2}{\Gamma(1-2/H)} - \frac{H^2K}{2|z|} \right\} \Big/ \left\{ \frac{2}{\Gamma(1-2/H)} + \frac{H^2K}{2|z|} \right\} \\ &\geq \left\{ |z \cosh(z\varepsilon^{k-1})^{H/2}| - \frac{2}{\Gamma(1-2/H)} - \frac{H^2K}{2|z|} \right\} \Big/ \left\{ \frac{2}{\Gamma(1-2/H)} + \frac{H^2K}{2|z|} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $|\arg z + \pi/H - 2k\pi/H| \leq \pi/2H$  ( $k=1, \dots, h_1-1$ )

$$\left| zf_k(z) - \frac{H}{2} z \exp(z\varepsilon^{k-1})^{H/2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-2/H)} + \frac{H^2K}{4|z|},$$

$$\left|zf_{k+1}(z) - \frac{H}{2} z \exp(ze^k)^{H/2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-2/H)} + \frac{H^2K}{4|z|},$$

$$|zf_j(z)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-2/H)} + \frac{H^2K}{4|z|} \quad (j=1, \dots, k-1, k+2, \dots, H);$$

$$|f(z)| \geq \left\{ |zf_k(z) + zf_{k+1}(z)| - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |zf_j(z)| - \sum_{j=k+2}^{h_1} |zf_j(z)| \right\} / \sum_{j=h_1+1}^H |zf_j(z)|$$

$$\geq \left\{ |z \cosh z^{H/2}| - \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-2/H)} - \frac{H^2K}{4|z|} \right\} / \left\{ \frac{2}{\Gamma(1-2/H)} + \frac{H^2K}{4|z|} \right\}.$$

And for  $\pi/2H + 2(h_1-1)\pi/H \leq \arg z \leq \pi/H + 2(h_1-1)\pi/H$

$$|f_{h_1}(z)| \geq \frac{H}{2} |\exp(ze^{h_1-1})^{H/2}| - \frac{1}{|z|\Gamma(1-2/H)} - \frac{H^2K}{4|z|^2},$$

$$|f_{h_1+1}(z)| \leq \frac{H}{2} |\exp(ze^{h_1})^{H/2}| + \frac{1}{|z|\Gamma(1-2/H)} + \frac{H^2K}{4|z|^2}$$

$$\leq \frac{H}{2} + \frac{1}{|z|\Gamma(1-2/H)} + \frac{H^2K}{4|z|^2},$$

$$|f_j(z)| \leq \frac{1}{|z|\Gamma(1-2/H)} + \frac{H^2K}{4|z|^2} \quad (j=1, \dots, h_1-1, h_1+2, \dots, H);$$

$$|f(z)| \geq \left\{ |f_{h_1}(z)| - \sum_{j=1}^{h_1-1} |f_j(z)| \right\} / \sum_{j=h_1+1}^H |f_j(z)|$$

$$\geq \left\{ |\exp(ze^{h_1-1})^{H/2}| - \frac{2}{|z|\Gamma(1-2/H)} - \frac{H^2K}{2|z|^2} \right\} / \left\{ 1 + \frac{2}{|z|\Gamma(1-2/H)} + \frac{H^2K}{2|z|^2} \right\}.$$

From these inequalities we see that if  $M$  is sufficiently large the open set  $\{z; |f(z)| > M\}$  contains the union  $G_1$  of regions

$$\left\{ z; |\exp z^{H/2}| > M^2, -\frac{\pi}{H} < \arg z \leq -\frac{\pi}{2H} \right\},$$

$$\left\{ z; |z \cosh z^{H/2}| > M^2, -\frac{\pi}{2H} \leq \arg z \leq \frac{\pi}{2H} + \frac{2(h_1-1)\pi}{H} \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{ z; |\exp(ze^{h_1-1})^{H/2}| > M^2, \frac{\pi}{2H} + \frac{2(h_1-1)\pi}{H} \leq \arg z < \frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{2(h_1-1)\pi}{H} \right\}.$$

Clearly the set  $G_1$  is an unbounded region. We define an asymptotic spot  $\sigma_1$  over  $w_1 = \infty$  by putting  $\sigma_1(|w| > M) \equiv$  the component of  $f^{-1}(|w| > M)$  containing  $G_1$ . Clearly for every  $\Omega \in \Phi_{w_1}$ ,  $\sigma(\Omega)$  is well defined suitably. Next we get  $h(\sigma_1) \geq h_1$ . In fact, for sufficiently large  $M$  the inequality

$$\log \frac{|f(z)|}{M} \geq U_k \equiv \operatorname{Re}(ze^{k-1})^{H/2} - 2 \log M$$

holds in the region

$$\Delta_k: \left\{ z; U_k(z) > 0, -\frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{2(k-1)\pi}{H} < \arg z < \frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{2(k-1)\pi}{H} \right\}, \quad k=1, \dots, h_1.$$

Now  $\log(|f(z)|/M)$  being superharmonic,  $u_{\sigma_1(|w|>M)}(z) \equiv \text{G.H.M.} \log(|f(z)|/M)$  is non-negative and  $u_{\sigma_1(|w|>M)}(z) \geq U_k(z)$  in  $\Delta_k$ . Since  $U_k(z)$  is minimal in  $\Delta_k$ ,  $u_{\sigma_1(|w|>M)}(z)$  dominates at least  $h_1$  mutually non-proportional minimal functions by Lemma. Therefore we get  $h(\sigma_1, |w|>M) \geq h_1$  for every large  $M$ , and hence  $h(\sigma_1) \geq h_1$ .

Similarly we can find an asymptotic spot  $\sigma_2$  over  $w_2=0$  having  $h(\sigma_2) \geq h_2$ . In fact, let a set  $G_2$  be the union of regions

$$\left\{ z; |\exp(z\varepsilon^{h_1-1})^{H/2}| > M^2, -\frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{2h_1\pi}{H} < \arg z \leq -\frac{\pi}{2H} + \frac{2h_1\pi}{H} \right\},$$

$$\left\{ z; |z \cosh z^{H/2}| > M^2, -\frac{\pi}{2H} + \frac{2h_1\pi}{H} \leq \arg z \leq \frac{\pi}{2H} + \frac{2(H-1)\pi}{H} \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{ z; |\exp(z\varepsilon^{H-1})^{H/2}| > M^2, \frac{\pi}{2H} + \frac{2(H-1)\pi}{H} \leq \arg z < \frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{2(H-1)\pi}{H} \right\}.$$

Then the set  $\{z; |f(z)| < 1/M\}$  contains  $G_2$ . If an asymptotic spot  $\sigma_2$  over  $w_2=0$  is defined by putting  $\sigma_2(|w| < 1/M) \equiv$  the component of  $f^{-1}(|w| < 1/M)$  containing  $G_2$ , we get  $h(\sigma_2) \geq h_2$  by the argument similar to the case of  $\sigma_1$ .

The order  $\rho$  of  $f(z)$  is at most  $H/2$  since  $E_a(z)$  is of order  $1/\alpha$ . On the other hand, we get, by Theorem A,  $\bar{H} \leq 2\rho$  for the grand total  $\bar{H}$  of the harmonic indices of all the asymptotic spots of  $f$ . Consequently we have

$$H = h_1 + h_2 \leq h(\sigma_1) + h(\sigma_2) \leq \bar{H} \leq 2\rho \leq H,$$

and hence

$$\rho = \frac{H}{2}, \quad h(\sigma_1) = h_1, \quad h(\sigma_2) = h_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{H} = H.$$

We thus obtain the desired result.

For arbitrary  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , if  $w_1 \neq w_2$  it suffices to consider a function  $L \circ f$  where  $L$  is a linear fractional transformation satisfying  $L(\infty) = w_1, L(0) = w_2$ , and if  $w_1 = w_2$  it suffices to consider a function  $f+1/f$  or  $1/(f+1/f)+w_1$  according to  $w_1 = \infty$  or  $w_1 \neq \infty$ . Here we remark that a set  $\{z; |f+1/f| > M\}$  has two desired unbounded components. For on the half rays  $\{z; \arg z = -\pi/H\}$  and  $\{z; \arg z = \pi/H + 2(h_1-1)\pi/H\}$  we get  $|f+1/f| \leq 3$  for every large  $|z|$ .

The assumption  $H \geq 3$  is not essential. For if  $H=2$  and  $n=2$  we have a particular function  $\exp z$  as the above  $f$ .

Now we shall treat the general problem. Let  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) denote  $n$  given points in the extended  $w$ -plane, and  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  denotes  $n$  given positive integers. We suppose without loss of generality that the set  $\{w_k; k=1, \dots, n\}$  does not contain the point at infinity. For the required properties are invariant under any linear fractional transformation of values of an admissible function,

Again by using Mittag-Leffler's function, we put

$$f_j(z) = E_{2, H}(z\varepsilon^j) \quad (j=1, \dots, H(=h_1+\dots+h_n \geq 3)),$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is a primitive  $H$ -th root of 1:  $\varepsilon = \cos(2\pi/H) - i \sin(2\pi/H)$ . From  $f_j(z)$  we construct a function  $\tilde{f}_k(z)$  associated with  $h_k$  as follows. If  $h_k=1$ , we put

$$\tilde{f}_k(z) = f_1(z).$$

If  $h_k > 1$  we put

$$\tilde{f}_k(z) = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{h_k} f_j(z) \right) g_k(z),$$

where  $g_k(z)$  is defined by

$$g_k(z) = \begin{cases} E_{2h_k/H}(z\varepsilon^{(h_k-1)/2}) & \text{for } 2h_k < H, \\ E_{2(H-h_k)/H}(z\varepsilon^{H+h_k-1})^{-1} & \text{for } 2h_k > H, \\ \exp z\varepsilon^{(h_k-1)/2} & \text{for } 2h_k = H. \end{cases}$$

PROPOSITION 2. *The function*

$$f(z) = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n w_k \tilde{f}_k(z\varepsilon^{h_1+\dots+h_{k-1}}) + A \right\} / \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{f}_k(z\varepsilon^{h_1+\dots+h_{k-1}})$$

has the required properties provided  $A$  is a sufficiently large number.

*Proof.* We first examine the properties of  $f_k(z)$ . From the estimations obtained in (1) and (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{h_k} f_j(z) \right| &\geq \frac{H}{2} |\cosh z^{H/2}| - \frac{H}{|z|\Gamma(1-2/H)} - \frac{H^3 K}{4|z|^2} \\ &\text{for } -\frac{\pi}{H} \leq \arg z \leq -\frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{2h_k\pi}{H}, \\ \left| \sum_{j=1}^{h_k} f_j(z) \right| &\leq \frac{H}{2} |\exp(z\varepsilon^{h_k-1})^{H/2}| + \frac{H}{|z|\Gamma(1-2/H)} + \frac{H^3 K}{4|z|^2} \\ &\text{for } -\frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{2h_k\pi}{H} \leq \arg z \leq -\frac{\pi}{2H} + \frac{2h_k\pi}{H}, \\ \left| \sum_{j=1}^{h_k} f_j(z) \right| &\leq \frac{H}{|z|\Gamma(1-2/H)} + \frac{H^3 K}{4|z|^2} \\ &\text{for } -\frac{\pi}{2H} + \frac{2h_k\pi}{H} \leq \arg z \leq 2\pi - \frac{3\pi}{2H}, \\ \left| \sum_{j=1}^{h_k} f_j(z) \right| &\leq \frac{H}{2} |\exp z^{H/2}| + \frac{H}{|z|\Gamma(1-2/H)} + \frac{H^3 K}{4|z|^2} \\ &\text{for } -\frac{3\pi}{2H} \leq \arg z \leq -\frac{\pi}{H}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for sufficiently large  $|z|$  we have

$$(3) \quad \left| \sum_{j=1}^{h_k} f_j(z) \right| \geq \frac{H}{4} |\cosh z^{H/2}| \quad \text{for } -\frac{\pi}{H} \leq \arg z \leq -\frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{2h_k\pi}{H},$$

$$(4) \quad \left| \sum_{j=1}^{h_k} f_j(z) \right| \leq H \quad \text{for } -\frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{2h_k\pi}{H} \leq \arg z \leq 2\pi - \frac{\pi}{H}.$$

Concerning  $g_k(z)$  we have

$$|g_k(z)| \geq \frac{H}{2h_k} |\exp(z\varepsilon^{(h_k-1)/2})^{H/2h_k}| - \frac{1}{|z|\Gamma(1-2h_k/H)} - \frac{H^2K}{4h_k^2|z|^2}$$

$$\text{for } -\frac{\pi}{H} \leq \arg z \leq -\frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{2h_k\pi}{H},$$

$$|g_k(z)| \geq \frac{H}{2h_k} |\exp(z\varepsilon^{(h_k-1)^2})^{H/2h_k}| + \frac{1}{|z|\Gamma(1-2h_k/H)} + \frac{H^2K}{4h_k^2|z|^2}$$

$$\text{for } -\frac{\pi}{H} - \frac{h_k\pi}{2H} \leq \arg z \leq -\frac{\pi}{H} \quad \text{and for } -\frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{2h_k\pi}{H} \leq \arg z \leq -\frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{5h_k\pi}{2H},$$

$$|g_k(z)| \leq \frac{1}{|z|\Gamma(1-2h_k/H)} + \frac{H^2K}{4h_k^2|z|}$$

$$\text{for } -\frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{5h_k\pi}{2H} \leq \arg z \leq 2\pi - \frac{\pi}{H} - \frac{h_k\pi}{2H}$$

if  $2h_k < H$ . If  $2h_k > H$ , then we have

$$|g_k(z)| \geq \left\{ \frac{H}{2(H-h_k)} |\exp(z\varepsilon^{(H+h_k-1)})^{H/(2H-2h_k)}| + \frac{1}{|z|\Gamma(2h_k/H-1)} + \frac{H^2K}{4(H-h_k)^2|z|^2} \right\}^{-1}$$

$$\text{for } 2\pi - \frac{\pi}{H} \leq \arg z \leq \frac{5\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{H} - \frac{h_k\pi}{2H} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{for } -\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{H} - \frac{5h_k\pi}{2H} \leq \arg z \leq -\frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{2h_k\pi}{H},$$

$$|g_k(z)| \geq \left\{ \frac{1}{|z|\Gamma(2h_k/H-1)} + \frac{H^2K}{4(H-h_k)^2|z|^2} \right\}^{-1}$$

$$\text{for } \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{H} - \frac{h_k\pi}{2H} \leq \arg z \leq -\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{5h_k\pi}{2H},$$

$$|g_k(z)| \leq \left\{ \frac{H}{2(H-h_k)} |\exp(z\varepsilon^{(H+h_k-1)})^{H/(2H-2h_k)}| - \frac{1}{|z|\Gamma(2h_k/H-1)} - \frac{H^2K}{4(H-h_k)^2|z|^2} \right\}^{-1}$$

$$\text{for } -\frac{\pi}{H} - \frac{2h_k\pi}{H} \leq \arg z \leq 2\pi - \frac{\pi}{H}.$$

Further if  $2h_k = H$ , then we have

$$|g_k(z)| = |\exp z\varepsilon^{(h_k-1)/2}|.$$

Thus, if  $2h_k < H$ , then we have for sufficiently large  $|z|$

$$(5) \quad |g_k(z)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \exp(z\varepsilon^{(k_k-1)/2})^{H/2h_k} \quad \text{for } -\frac{\pi}{H} \leq \arg z \leq -\frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{2h_k\pi}{H},$$

$$(6) \quad |g_k(z)| \leq H \quad \text{for } -\frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{2h_k\pi}{H} \leq \arg z \leq 2\pi - \frac{\pi}{H}.$$

If  $2h_k > H$ , then we have for sufficiently large  $|z|$

$$(7) \quad |g_k(z)| \geq \left\{ H |\exp(z\varepsilon^{(H+h_k-1)})^{H/(2H-2h_k)}| + \frac{1}{|z|} \right\}^{-1}$$

for  $2\pi - \frac{\pi}{H} \leq \arg z \leq \frac{5\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{H} - \frac{h_k\pi}{2H}$  and

for  $-\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{5h_k\pi}{2H} \leq \arg z \leq -\frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{2h_k\pi}{H},$

$$(8) \quad |g_k(z)| \geq |z| \quad \text{for } \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{H} - \frac{h_k\pi}{2H} \leq \arg z \leq -\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{5h_k\pi}{2H},$$

$$(9) \quad |g_k(z)| \leq 1 \quad \text{for } -\frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{2h_k\pi}{H} \leq \arg z \leq 2\pi - \frac{\pi}{H}.$$

If  $2h_k = H$ , then we have

$$(10) \quad |g_k(z)| = |\exp z\varepsilon^{(h_k-1)/2}| \quad \text{for } -\frac{\pi}{H} \leq \arg z \leq -\frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{2h_k\pi}{H},$$

$$(11) \quad |g_k(z)| \leq 1 \quad \text{for } -\frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{2h_k\pi}{H} \leq \arg z \leq 2\pi - \frac{\pi}{H}.$$

Therefore from (3) and (5), for sufficiently large  $M$ , the set  $\{z; |\tilde{f}_k(z)| > M\}$  contains an unbounded region

$$\tilde{G}_k(M) \equiv \left\{ z; |\cosh z^{H/2} \exp(z\varepsilon^{(h_k-1)})^{H/2h_k}| > M^2, -\frac{\pi}{H} \leq \arg z \leq -\frac{2h_k\pi}{H} \right\}$$

when  $2h_k < H$ . Or from (3), (7) and (8), for sufficiently  $M$ , the set  $\{z; |\tilde{f}_k(z)| > M\}$  contains an unbounded region  $\tilde{G}_k(M)$  which is a union of regions

$$\left\{ z; |\cosh z^{H/2}| \left\{ H |\exp(z\varepsilon^{(H+h_k-1)})^{H/(2H-2h_k)}| + \frac{1}{|z|} \right\}^{-1} > M^2, \right.$$

$$\left. 2\pi - \frac{\pi}{H} \leq \arg z \leq \frac{5\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{H} - \frac{h_k\pi}{2H} \text{ and } -\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{5h_k\pi}{2H} \leq \arg z \leq -\frac{\pi}{H} - \frac{2h_k\pi}{H} \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{ z; |z \cosh z^{H/2}| > M^2, \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{H} - \frac{h_k\pi}{2H} \leq \arg z \leq -\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{5h_k\pi}{2H} \right\}$$

when  $2h_k > H$ . Or from (3) and (10), for sufficiently large  $M$ , the set  $\{z; |\tilde{f}_k(z)| > M\}$  contains an unbounded region

$$\tilde{G}_k(M) \equiv \left\{ z; |\cosh z^{H/2} \exp(z\varepsilon^{(h_k-1)/2})| > M^2, -\frac{\pi}{H} \leq \arg z \leq -\frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{2h_k\pi}{H} \right\}$$

when  $2h_k=H$ . Moreover we have

$$(12) \quad |\tilde{f}_k(z)| \geq |\cosh z^{H/2}|$$

in the set  $\{z; |\cosh z^{H/2}| > M^2, -\pi/H < \arg z < -\pi/H + 2h_k\pi/H\}$  which is contained in  $\tilde{G}_k(M)$  for every  $k$ . Further from (4), (6), (9) and (11) we have

$$(13) \quad |\tilde{f}_k(z)| \leq H^2 \quad \text{for } -\frac{\pi}{H} + \frac{2h_k\pi}{H} \leq \arg z \leq 2\pi - \frac{\pi}{H}$$

for every  $k$ .

Now we define an asymptotic spot  $\sigma_k$  over  $w_k$  as follows. Let  $G_k$  be the obtained from  $\tilde{G}_k$  by the rotation  $z \rightarrow z\varepsilon^{h_1+\dots+h_{k-1}}$ . Then for  $z \in G_k(M^2)$  we have

$$|\tilde{f}_k(z\varepsilon^{h_1+\dots+h_{k-1}})| > M^2$$

and

$$|\tilde{f}_j(z\varepsilon^{h_1+\dots+h_{j-1}})| < H^2 \quad (j=1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, n),$$

and hence for a sufficiently large  $M$

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(z) - w_k| \\ & \leq \left\{ \sum_{j \neq k} |w_j - w_k| |\tilde{f}_j(z\varepsilon^{h_1+\dots+h_{j-1}})| + |A| \right\} / \left\{ |\tilde{f}_k(z\varepsilon^{h_1+\dots+h_{k-1}})| - \sum_{j \neq k} |\tilde{f}_j(z\varepsilon^{h_1+\dots+h_{j-1}})| \right\} \\ & \leq \left\{ \sum_{j \neq k} |w_j - w_k| H^2 + |A| \right\} / (M^2 - H^3) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{M}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the set  $\{z; |f(z) - w_k| < 1/M\}$  contains the region  $G_k(M^2)$ . We then define  $\sigma_k(|w - w_k| < 1/M)$  as the component of  $\{z; |f(z) - w_k| < 1/M\}$  containing  $G_k(M^2)$ . Further we see that all the spots  $\sigma_k, k=1, \dots, n$ , are different each other. In fact, by (13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z) - w_k| & \geq \left\{ |A| - \sum_{j \neq k} |w_j - w_k| |\tilde{f}_j(z\varepsilon^{h_1+\dots+h_{j-1}})| \right\} / \sum_{j=1}^n |\tilde{f}_j(z\varepsilon^{h_1+\dots+h_{j-1}})| \\ & \geq \left\{ |A| - \sum_{j \neq k} |w_j - w_k| H^2 \right\} / nH^2 \end{aligned}$$

on the half rays  $\{z; \arg z = -\pi/H + 2(h_1 + \dots + h_{k-1})\pi/H\}$  and  $\{z; \arg z = -\pi/H + 2(h_1 + \dots + h_k)\pi/H\}$  and if  $A$  is a sufficiently large constant there exists a positive number  $d$  such that  $|f(z) - w_k| > d > 0$ .

We next show that  $h(\sigma_k) \geq h_k$ . In  $G_k(M^2)$  we have

$$\frac{1}{M|f(z) - w_k|}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\cong \left\{ |\tilde{f}_k(z\varepsilon^{h_1+\dots+h_{k-1}})| - \sum_{j \neq k} |\tilde{f}_j(z\varepsilon^{h_1+\dots+h_{j-1}})| \right\} / M \left( |A| + \sum_{j \neq k} |w_j - w_k| |\tilde{f}_j(z\varepsilon^{h_1+\dots+h_{j-1}})| \right) \\ &\cong \left\{ |\tilde{f}_k(z\varepsilon^{h_1+\dots+h_{k-1}})| - nH^2 \right\} / M \left( |A| + \sum_{j \neq k} |w_j - w_k| H^2 \right) \\ &\cong |\tilde{f}_k(z\varepsilon^{h_1+\dots+h_{k-1}})| / M^2 \end{aligned}$$

for a sufficiently large  $M$ . By (12) and by Lemma, the function

$$u_{\sigma_k(|w-w_k|<1/M)}(z) \cong \text{G.H.M.} \frac{1}{M|f(z)-w_k|}$$

dominates at least  $h_k$  mutually non-proportional minimal functions. Therefore  $h(\sigma_k, |w-w_k|<1/M) \geq h_k$  and hence  $h(\sigma_k) \geq h_k$ .

The order  $\rho$  of  $f(z)$  is at most  $H/2$  since  $f_j(z)$  is at most of order  $H/2$  and  $g_k(z)$  is of order  $H/2h_k$  or  $H/(2H-2h_k)$ . On the other hand, we get, by Theorem A,  $\bar{H} \leq 2\rho$  for the grand total  $\bar{H}$  of the harmonic indices of all the asymptotic spots of  $f$ . Consequently we have

$$H = h_1 + \dots + h_n \leq h(\sigma_1) + \dots + h(\sigma_n) \leq \bar{H} \leq 2\rho \leq H,$$

and hence

$$\rho = \frac{H}{2}, h(\sigma_1) = h_1, \dots, h(\sigma_n) = h_n, \text{ and } \bar{H} = H.$$

We thus have the desired result.

Finally as a direct consequence of Propositions 1 and 2, we have the following theorem:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  denote  $n (\geq 1)$  given points on the extended plane and  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  denote  $n$  given positive integers. Then there exists a meromorphic function  $f(z)$  in  $|z| < \infty$  which satisfies (I) the asymptotic spots of  $f(z)$  with positive harmonic indices are  $n$  in number, say  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ , (II)  $\sigma_k$  lies over  $w_k$  and  $h(\sigma_k) = h_k$ , (III)  $f(z)$  is of order  $H/2$ , where  $H = \sum_{k=1}^n h_k$ .*

The author wishes to express his thanks to Professor M. Ozawa who gave him valuable advices.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] CARTWRIGHT, M. L., Integral functions. Cambridge (1962).
- [2] HEINS, M., On the Lindelöf principle. Ann. of Math. **61** (1955), 440-473.
- [3] HEINS, M., Asymptotic spots of entire and meromorphic functions. Ann. of Math. **66** (1957), 430-439.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY.