

# ON THE PROLONGATION OF AN OPEN RIEMANN SURFACE OF FINITE GENUS

BY KÔTARO OIKAWA

In his paper [3], M. Heins has proved the following theorem: "If  $F$  is an open Riemann surface of genus one, the set of principal moduli of all tori  $W$  that are prolongations of  $F$  is bounded". Making use of the concept of Teichmüller space introduced in [7] (see also [1]), we are able to express this fact, with a minor modification, as follows: "The  $W$ 's form a bounded set in Teichmüller space for torus". A theorem which will be proved in the present report may be regarded as containing an extension of this theorem for any genus greater than one.

1. We begin with a topological preparation.

Let  $F$  be an open Riemann surface of a finite genus,  $W$  be a closed Riemann surface of the same genus as  $F$ , and  $q = f(p)$  be a topological mapping of  $F$  onto a subdomain contained in  $W$ . Then,  $f$  induces a homomorphism  $\eta_f$  of  $\mathfrak{G}_F$  (the fundamental group of  $F$ ) into  $\mathfrak{G}_W$  (the fundamental group of  $W$ ) in the well-known manner.

LEMMA 1. (i)  $\eta_f$  maps  $\mathfrak{G}_F$  onto  $\mathfrak{G}_W$ .

(ii) The kernel of  $\eta_f$  depends only upon  $F$ ; i.e., if there exists another  $W'$ ,  $f'$ , then the kernels of  $\eta_f$  and  $\eta_{f'}$  coincide.

In fact, the kernel of  $\eta_f$  is the smallest normal subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}_F$  containing every such contour that divides  $F$  into two parts, one of which is of schlicht-artig.

We omit the proof in details, since it is obtained rather easily.

2. Next, we introduce Teichmüller space according to [1]. For an integer  $g > 1$ , we consider all closed Riemann surfaces  $W$  of genus  $g$ . Fix one of them, say  $W_0$ . With respect to any  $W$ , let  $\alpha$  be a homotopy-class of orientation-preserving topological mappings of  $W_0$  onto  $W$ , and consider the pair  $(W, \alpha)$ .  $(W, \alpha)$  and  $(W', \alpha')$  are said to be equivalent, if there exists a conformal mapping of  $W$  onto  $W'$  belonging to the homotopy-class  $\alpha'\alpha^{-1}$ . The equivalence class will be denoted by  $\langle W, \alpha \rangle$  and the whole set of these classes is called *Teichmüller space*, which will be denoted by  $T_g$ .

In this space, we define the distance of any two points  $\langle W, \alpha \rangle$ ,  $\langle W', \alpha' \rangle$

---

Received February 25, 1957.

by a quantity  $\log(\inf K_f)$ , where  $K_f$  denotes the maximal dilatation of  $f$ , a quasiconformal mapping of  $W$  onto  $W'$ , and the infimum is taken over all  $f$  belonging to the homotopy-class  $\alpha'\alpha^{-1}$ . It is not so difficult to see that  $T_g$  is a metric space by means of this distance.

As was proved in [1] (cf. [7]),  $T_g$  is homeomorphic to  $(6g - 6)$ -dimensional Euclidean space.

3. Let  $F$  be an open Riemann surface of genus  $g$  ( $1 < g < \infty$ ). A Riemann surface  $W$  is said to be a *prolongation* of  $F$  if there exists a conformal mapping  $q = f(p)$  of  $F$  onto a proper subdomain of  $W$  (we say, for simplicity's sake, that  $f$  maps  $F$  conformally into  $W$ ). We consider  $W$  together with  $f$ , in other words, we consider them as a pair  $\{W, f\}$ . Among them, we collect all  $\{W, f\}$  such that  $W$  are closed Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$ , and denote this set by  $\mathfrak{P}(F)$ . It is well-known that such a  $\{W, f\}$  actually exists.

We fix one  $\{W_0, f_0\} \in \mathfrak{P}(F)$ . For any  $\{W, f\} \in \mathfrak{P}(F)$ , the composed mapping  $f \circ f_0^{-1}$  maps  $f_0(F) \subset W_0$  conformally into  $W$ . Then, by LEMMA 1 it induces an isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{G}_{W_0}$  onto  $\mathfrak{G}_W$ , therefore it determines a homotopy-class of orientation-preserving topological mappings of  $W_0$  onto  $W$ , which will be denoted by  $\alpha_f$ . We can easily see that, for  $\{W, f\}, \{W, f'\} \in \mathfrak{P}(F)$ , the mappings  $f, f'$  are homotopic to each other (as continuous mappings of  $F$  into  $W$ ) if and only if  $\alpha_f = \alpha_{f'}$ .

Putting this  $W_0$  on center, we construct Teichmüller space  $T_g$  as in the last section. Then the above consideration gives us a correspondence

$$\mathfrak{P}(F) \ni \{W, f\} \longrightarrow \langle W, \alpha_f \rangle \in T_g.$$

The image of  $\mathfrak{P}(F)$  in  $T_g$  will be denoted by  $P(F)$ .

Now, what we should like to prove is the following

**THEOREM.**  *$P(F)$  is compact and connected in  $T_g$ .*

We shall show the compactness in §§ 4, 5 and connectedness in §§ 6, 7.

4. We know that the family  $\mathfrak{P}(F)$  is compact in a certain sense (see [2]). As we shall show, this compactness implies the compactness of  $P(F)$  in  $T_g$  under a condition that  $P(F)$  is bounded in  $T_g$ .

In order to show the boundedness of  $P(F)$ , it suffices to prove the following proposition:

*“There exists a constant  $K_0 < \infty$  with the following property: For any  $\{W, f\} \in \mathfrak{P}(F)$ , there is a quasiconformal mapping of  $W_0$  onto  $W$ , belonging to the homotopy-class  $\alpha_f$ , and whose maximal dilatation is majorated by  $K_0$ ”.*

To prove this, the following lemma will be required.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $B$  be a ring-domain in  $z$ -plane, one complementary continuum of which is  $1 \leq |z| \leq \infty$  and the other contains  $z = 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be the family of*

functions  $w(z)$ , which are regular, univalent,  $0 < |w(z)| < 1$  in  $B$  and  $|w(z)| = 1$  on  $|z| = 1$ . Then, there exist constants  $c, c'$  such that  $0 < c \leq c' < \infty$  and

$$c \leq \left| \frac{dw}{dz} \right| \leq c' \quad \text{on } |z| = 1$$

for all  $w(z) \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

*Proof of LEMMA 2.* We know that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a normal family and any converging subsequence of  $\mathfrak{F}$  converges uniformly on a sufficiently narrow annulus containing  $|z| = 1$ , and furthermore, limit function is not a constant (see [6], p. 636). So that, if there exists no number  $c$  satisfying the above condition, we obtain  $w_n(z) \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $z_n \in (|z| = 1) (n = 1, 2, \dots)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{dw_n}{dz}(z_n) = 0$ . For a suitably chosen subsequence, we get  $\lim w_{n_j}(z) = w_0(z) \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $\lim z_{n_j} = z_0 \in (|z| = 1)$ , which implies  $\frac{dw_0}{dz}(z_0) = 0$ , a contradiction. Similarly, we can see the existence of number  $c'$ , q.e.d.

Now, let us prove the above proposition. First of all, we can easily find a compact subdomain  $D$  of  $F$  (it means that  $D$  is a subdomain of  $F$  and the closure of  $D$  is compact in  $F$ ), which is of genus  $g$  and the relative boundary  $\partial D$  of which consists of only one closed Jordan curve. Moreover, we can take a ring-domain  $A$  in  $F - D$ , one of the boundary components of which coincides with  $\partial D$ . On  $W_0$ , the subdomain  $W_0 - \overline{f_0(D)}$  is simply connected. So that, it can be mapped conformally onto the unit disc  $|z| < 1$  in  $z$ -plane by a function  $z = \varphi_0(p)$ , where it may be assumed that  $z = 0$  corresponds to  $W_0 - \overline{f_0(F)}$ . On the ring-domain  $\varphi_0 \circ f_0(A)$ , numbers  $c$  and  $c'$  are determined by LEMMA 2. We show in the sequel that a number

$$K_0 = \max\left(c', \frac{1}{c}\right)$$

is the desired.

Take an arbitrary  $\{W, f\} \in \mathfrak{P}(F)$ . A simply connected domain  $W - \overline{f(D)}$  can be mapped conformally onto a unit disc  $|w| < 1$  by a function  $w = \varphi(p)$ , where  $w = 0$  corresponds to a point in  $W - \overline{f(F)}$ . A composed function

$$w = \varphi \circ f \circ f_0^{-1} \circ \varphi_0^{-1} \equiv \Phi(z)$$

belongs to the family  $\mathfrak{F}$  of LEMMA 2 with respect to the ring-domain  $B = \varphi_0 \circ f_0(A)$ , so that we get  $c \leq |d\Phi/dz| \leq c'$  on  $|z| = 1$ .

A mapping  $w = H(z)$  of  $|z| \leq 1$  onto  $|w| \leq 1$  defined by

$$H(re^{i\theta}) = r\Phi(e^{i\theta}) \quad (0 \leq r \leq 1, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi)$$

is evidently a topological mapping of  $|z| \leq 1$  onto  $|w| \leq 1$  and it coincides with  $\Phi$  on  $|z| = 1$ . Furthermore, it is differentiable in  $0 < |z| < 1$  and its dilatation at a point  $z = re^{i\theta}$  is equal to

$$\max\left(\left|\frac{d\Phi(e^{i\theta})}{dz}\right|, 1/\left|\frac{d\Phi(e^{i\theta})}{dz}\right|\right),$$

which is majorated by  $\max(c', 1/c) = K_0$ .

By the aid of this mapping, we define a mapping  $q = h(p)$  of  $W_0$  onto  $W$  by

$$h(p) = \begin{cases} f \circ f_0^{-1}(p) & p \in f_0(D), \\ \varphi \circ H \circ \varphi_0^{-1}(p) & p \in W_0 - f_0(D). \end{cases}$$

It is evidently a topological mapping of  $W_0$  onto  $W$ , and has a maximal dilatation not greater than  $K_0$ . It is not difficult to see that it belongs to our homotopy-class  $\alpha_f$ , which completes the proof of the boundness of  $P(F)$ .

5. Now, we show the compactness of  $P(F)$ . Since  $P(F)$  is bounded, any sequence  $\langle W_n, \alpha_{f_n} \rangle \in P(F) (n = 1, 2, \dots)$  contains a subsequence (we denote it again by  $\{n\}$ ) such that  $\langle W_n, \alpha_{f_n} \rangle \rightarrow \langle W^*, \alpha^* \rangle \in T_g$ . It suffices to show that  $\langle W^*, \alpha^* \rangle \in P(F)$ , i. e. there exists a  $\{W^*, f^*\}$  in  $\mathfrak{P}(F)$  such that  $\langle W^*, \alpha_{f^*} \rangle = \langle W^*, \alpha_{f^*} \rangle$ . We shall construct a mapping function  $f^*$  by the aid of uniformization. In what follows, we write  $\alpha_n$  instead of  $\alpha_{f_n}$ , for the sake of simplicity.

Let us denote by  $q = h_n(p)$  the extremal quasiconformal mapping of  $W_0$  onto  $W_n$  of the homotopy-class  $\alpha_n (n = 1, 2, \dots)^{1)}$ , and by  $q = h^*(p)$  that of  $W_0$  onto  $W^*$  of  $\alpha^*$ . Let  $|z| < 1$  be a universal covering surface of  $W_0$ , and  $|w| < 1$  be that of  $W_n, W^*$  such that  $w = 0$  lies above  $h_n(p_0), h^*(p_0)$  respectively, where  $p_0$  is the projection of  $z = 0$  on  $W_0$ . Mappings  $h_n, h^*$  are interpreted as mappings  $w = h_n(z), w = h^*(z)$  of  $|z| < 1$  onto  $|w| < 1$ , satisfying a condition that  $h_n(0) = h^*(0) = 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{G}_0, \mathfrak{G}_n, \mathfrak{G}^*$  be the groups of covering transformations of  $W_0, W_n, W^*$ , respectively ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Then,  $h_n(z)$  and  $h^*(z)$  induce isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{G}_0 \ni S &\rightarrow S^{a_n} \in \mathfrak{G}_n & (n = 1, 2, \dots), \\ \mathfrak{G}_0 \ni S &\rightarrow S^{a^*} \in \mathfrak{G}^*, \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad h_n(Sz) &= S^{a_n} h_n(z) & (n = 1, 2, \dots), \\ h^*(Sz) &= S^{a^*} h^*(z), \end{aligned}$$

respectively. We can see that the assumption  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle W_n, \alpha_n \rangle = \langle W^*, \alpha^* \rangle$  implies that

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(z) = h^*(z)$$

holds uniformly in  $|z| < 1$  (see [1], p. 56). So that, from (1) and (2), we get

$$(3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^{a_n}(w) = S^{a^*}(w)$$

in  $|w| < 1$ , for any  $S \in \mathfrak{G}_0$ .

---

1) It is a quasiconformal mapping belonging to  $\alpha_n$ , whose maximal dilatation is smaller than that of any other mapping of  $\alpha_n$ ; see [1, 7].

Next, let  $|\zeta| < 1$  be a universal covering surface of  $F$  and  $\Gamma = \{\sigma\}$  be the covering transformations. To  $\{W_0, f_0\}$  and  $\{W_n, f_n\} (n = 1, 2, \dots)$ , functions  $z = f_0(\zeta)$  and  $w = f_n(\zeta)$  correspond, which map  $|\zeta| < 1$  into  $|z| < 1$  and  $|w| < 1$ , respectively. Representing the homomorphism  $\eta_{f_0}$  (introduced in § 1) as

$$\Gamma \ni \sigma \rightarrow S \in \mathfrak{G}_0,$$

we obtain

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} f_0(\sigma\zeta) &= S f_0(\zeta), \\ f_n(\sigma\zeta) &= S_n S^{\alpha_n} S_n^{-1} f_n(\zeta) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots), \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_n \in \mathfrak{G}_n$  is chosen independent of  $\sigma$  so that

$$(5) \quad |f_n(0)| \leq 1 - \delta < 1 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

may hold. (It is possible because of (2).) We know that  $\{f_n(\zeta)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a normal family and, by (5), the limit function of any converging subsequence is not a constant (see [2]). Furthermore, by (4), a suitably chosen subsequence of  $\{S_n(w)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to a linear transformation ( $\cong \text{const.}$ ). So that, denoting a subsequence by  $\{n\}$  again, we obtain

$$\lim f_n(\zeta) = \hat{f}(\zeta), \quad \lim S_n(w) = \hat{S}(w).$$

Consequently,

$$\lim S_n f_n(\zeta) = \hat{S} \hat{f}(\zeta) \equiv f^*(\zeta) \cong \text{const.},$$

and by (3) and (4),

$$f^*(\sigma\zeta) = S^{\alpha^*} f^*(\zeta) \quad \text{for any } \sigma \in \Gamma,$$

which determines a conformal mapping  $f^*$  of  $F$  into  $W^*$  such that  $\alpha_{f^*} = \alpha^*$ .

6. To prove the connectedness of  $P(F)$ , a preparation is required.

Let  $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \uparrow F$  be an exhaustion of  $F$ , each member of which is a compact subdomain of genus  $g$  and has a relative boundary consists of a finite number of closed analytic curves. With respect to any  $\{W, f\} \in \mathfrak{P}(F)$ , if we restrict  $f$  on  $F_k$ , then  $\{W, f\}$  can be seen as a prolongation of  $F_k$ . In this sense  $\mathfrak{P}(F) \subset \mathfrak{P}(F_k)$ , so that we obtain  $P(F) \subset \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} P(F_k)$ .

LEMMA 3. 
$$P(F) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} P(F_k).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\langle W, \alpha \rangle$  be an element of  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} P(F_k)$ . There exist conformal mappings  $f_k$  of  $F_k$  into  $W$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ), which are homotopic to  $f_1$ . The consideration in the last section shows that a subsequence  $\{f_{k_j}\}$  converges uniformly in the wider sense on  $F$  to a conformal mapping  $f^*$  ( $\cong \text{const.}$ ) of  $F$  into  $W$ , which is homotopic to  $f_1$ . So that  $\{W, f^*\}$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{P}(F)$ , to which  $\langle W, \alpha \rangle$  corresponds, and it implies  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} P(F_k) \subset P(F)$ , q. e. d.

Consequently, to prove the connectedness of  $P(F)$ , it suffices to show that of  $P(F_k)$ .

7. Now, we show the connectedness of  $P(F_k)$ ; in other words, we show the connectedness of  $P(F)$  under a supposition that  $F$  is a compact subdomain of a Riemann surface, relative boundary  $\partial F$  of which consists of a finite number of closed analytic curves. As will be seen in the proof below, we may assume that  $\partial F$  consists of only one curve.

We try to connect any  $\langle W, \alpha \rangle, \langle W', \alpha' \rangle \in P(F)$  by a curve  $\langle W_t, \alpha_t \rangle$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ) in  $P(F)$ .

Let  $\{W, f\}$  and  $\{W', f'\}$  be elements of  $\mathfrak{P}(F)$ , to which  $\langle W, \alpha \rangle$  and  $\langle W', \alpha' \rangle$  correspond respectively. Take a ring-domain  $A$  in  $F$  which is bounded by two closed analytic curves, one of which coincides with  $\partial F$ . Since a domain  $W - f(\overline{F - A})$  is simply connected, we can map it conformally by  $z = \varphi(p)$  onto a disc  $|z| < 1$  in such a way that  $z = 0$  corresponds to a point contained in  $W - f(\overline{F})$ . Similarly we map  $W' - f'(\overline{F - A})$  onto  $|w| < 1$  by  $w = \varphi'(p)$ . A composed function

$$w = \varphi'_0 \circ f' \circ f_0^{-1} \circ \varphi^{-1}(z) \equiv \Phi(z)$$

maps the ring-domain  $\varphi \circ f(A)$  conformally onto  $\varphi' \circ f'(A)$ , where  $|z| = 1$  and  $|w| = 1$  correspond mutually.

Now, we need the following lemma concerning the function family in LEMMA 2.

LEMMA 4. *Let  $B$  be a ring-domain in  $z$ -plane, one complementary continuum of which is  $1 \leq |z| \leq \infty$  and the other contains  $z = 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be the family of functions  $w(z)$ , which are regular, univalent,  $0 < |w(z)| < 1$  in  $B$ ,  $|w(z)| = 1$  on  $|z| = 1$ . Then, for any  $\Phi(z) \in \mathfrak{F}$ , there exists a one-parameter family of functions  $\Phi_t(z)$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ), satisfying the following conditions:*

- i)  $\Phi_t(z) \in \mathfrak{F}$  for any fixed  $t$ ;
- ii)  $\Phi_t(z)$  is continuous with respect to  $t$  for any fixed  $z$ ;<sup>2)</sup>
- iii)  $\Phi_0(z) = z$ ,  $\Phi_1(z) = \Phi(z)$ .

*Proof of LEMMA 4.* Though we may prove this lemma from a general theory (see [5]), Prof. Y. Komatu has kindly taught the author a method of explicit construction of  $\Phi_t(z)$  as follows.

First of all, we may assume without loss of generality that  $B$  is a concentric annulus  $\rho < |z| < 1$  and  $\Phi(1) = 1$ . Let  $U(w)$  be a function which is harmonic in  $B' = \Phi(B)$ ,  $U(w) = 0$  on  $|w| = 1$  and  $U(w) = 0$  on the other boundary component of  $B'$ . For every  $t$  ( $0 < t < 1$ ), denoting by  $B'_t$  a ring-domain in  $B'$ , bounded by  $|w| = 1$  and the niveau curve  $U(w) = t$ , we can find a number  $r_t > 1$  such that

$$\text{mod} [B'_t \cup (1 \leq |w| < r_t)] = \log \frac{1}{p}.$$

So that, there exists a function  $w = \Psi_t(z)$  which maps  $B$  conformally onto  $B'_t \cup (1 \leq |w| < r_t)$ , where  $\Psi_t(1) = r_t$ . Then, the function-family defined by

2) We do not require here the continuity with respect to  $p \times t$ .

$$\Phi_t(z) = \begin{cases} z & \text{for } t = 0, \\ \frac{1}{r_t} & \text{for } 0 < t < 1, \\ \Phi(z) & \text{for } t = 1 \end{cases}$$

is the required, q. e. d.

We note that the normality of  $\mathfrak{F}$  shown in the proof of LEMMA 2 implies the fact that

$$(1) \quad \lim_{t' \rightarrow t} \frac{d\Phi_{t'}}{dz} = \frac{d\Phi_t}{dz}$$

holds uniformly on  $|z| = 1$ .

To continue, we apply LEMMA 4 to  $B = \varphi \circ f(A)$  and obtain  $\Phi_t(z)$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ). By the aid of it, a family of Riemann surfaces  $W_t$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ) can be constructed as follows:  $W_t$  is the union of sets  $f(F - A) \subset W$  and  $|w| \leq 1$ , where points  $p \in \alpha(f(F - A))$  and  $w = \Psi_t \circ \varphi(p) \in (|w| = 1)$  are identified; as local parameters, the original are chosen in  $f(F - A)$  and  $w$  in  $|w| < 1$ , which are connected across  $\partial(f(F - A)) = (|w| = 1)$  in the usual manner. Then, a mapping defined by

$$f_t(p) = \begin{cases} f(p) & \text{for } p \in F - A, \\ \Phi_t \circ \varphi \circ f(p) & \text{for } p \in \bar{A} \end{cases}$$

maps  $F$  conformally into  $W_t$ . Consequently, we obtain

$$\{W_t, f_t\} \in \mathfrak{P}(F) \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1),$$

to which corresponds

$$(2) \quad \langle W_t, \alpha_t \rangle \in P(F) \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1).$$

Evidently  $\langle W_0, \alpha \rangle^3 = \langle W, \alpha \rangle$ ,  $\langle W_1, \alpha_1 \rangle = \langle W', \alpha' \rangle$ .

Finally, we show that (2) is a continuous curve in  $T_g$ , i. e.

$$\lim_{t' \rightarrow t} \langle W_{t'}, \alpha_{t'} \rangle = \langle W_t, \alpha_t \rangle$$

holds for any  $t$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ). For this purpose, we define a topological mapping  $w = H_t(z)$  of  $|z| \leq 1$  onto  $|w| \leq 1$  by

$$H_t(re^{i\theta}) = r\Phi_t(e^{i\theta}) \quad (0 \leq r \leq 1, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi; 0 \leq t \leq 1)$$

and, by making use of it, construct a topological mapping  $q = h(p)$  of  $W_t$  onto  $W'_t$ :

$$h(p) = \begin{cases} p & \text{for } p \in f(W - A), \\ H_{t'} \circ H_t^{-1} & \text{for } p \leftrightarrow w \in (|w| \leq 1). \end{cases}$$

We can immediately see that  $h$  belongs to the homotopy-class  $\alpha_{t'}\alpha_t^{-1}$ . So that

---

3)  $W_0$  means  $W_{t=0}$ ; it is *not*  $W_0$  of §3 put on the center of  $T_g$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{dist. } (\langle Wt, \alpha_t \rangle, \langle Wt', \alpha_{t'} \rangle) \\
 & \leq \log (\text{maximal dilatation of } h) \\
 & = \log \max_{|z|=1} \max \left( \left| \frac{d\phi_t}{dz} \right| \left| \frac{d\phi_{t'}}{dz} \right|, \left| \frac{d\phi_{t'}}{dz} \right| \left| \frac{d\phi_t}{dz} \right| \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

which converges to 0 for  $t' \rightarrow t$ , by (1).

Consequently, we see that  $P(F)$  is a connected set in  $T_g$ .

# REFERENCES

- [1] L. V. AHLFORS, On quasiconformal mappings. J. d'Anal. Math., **3**(1953—1954), 1—58, 207—208.
- [2] M. HEINS, On the continuation of a Riemann surface. Ann. Math., **43** (1942), 280—297.
- [3] ———, A problem concerning the continuation of Riemann surfaces. Contributions to the theory of Riemann surfaces, Princeton (1953), 55—62.
- [4] A. MORI, A remark on the prolongation of Riemann surfaces of finite genus. J. Math. Soc. Japan **4** (1952), 27—30.
- [5] H. L. ROYDEN, Conformal deformation. Lectures on Functions of a complex variable, Ann Arbor (1955), 309—313.
- [6] O. TEICHMÜLLER, Untersuchungen über konforme und quasikonforme Abbildung. Deutsche Math. **3** (1938), 621—678.
- [7] ———, Extremale quasikonforme Abbildungen und quadratische Differenziale. Abh. Preuss. Akad. Math.-Naturw. Kl. **22** (1940), 1—197.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE,  
TOKYO UNIVERSITY.