ON A RENEWAL THEOREM

By Hidenori Morimura

1. Introduction. Let X_i (i = 1, 2, ...) be independent random variables having the mean value m, and put $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. So-called renewal theorem which is of the type as

(1.1)
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(x < S_n \le x + h) = \frac{h}{m}$$

was proved by Feller [6,7], Täcklind [12], Doob [5], Blackwell [1,2], Chung-Pollard [3], Cox [4], Smith [4,11], Karlin [8], etc., in the case X_i identically distributed under the various conditions.

Recently, Prof. T. Kawata [9] showed (1, 1) replacing $\lim_{x\to\infty}$ by $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{\xi} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{t} \cdots dx$ and *m* by $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{1}^{n} E(X_{i})/n$ which is assumed to exist in the case, where X_{i} are not necessarily identical. In this paper, roughly speaking, we shall discuss the limit of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n - x/m) P(x < S_{n} \leq x + h)$ in the same case as [9] by the method analogous to it.

Now, Prof. Kawata [10] discussed the convergence of

(1.2)
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \{ P(x < S_n \leq x+h) - P(x < S_{n+1} \leq x+h) \}.$$

Of course $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n P(x < S_n \le x + h)$ diverges. Our theorem will show the appearance of its divergence in a sense.

For convenience's sake, we shall devote sections 2 and 3 for preparations.

2. Notations and assumptions. Let X_i (i = 1, 2, ...) be independent random variables having the distributions $F_i(x)$, and let us put

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

Suppose that

$$(2.1) 0 < \mathbf{E}(X_i) = m_i < \infty_i$$

$$(2.2) E(X_i^2) = v_i' < \infty,$$

(2.3)
$$M_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \to m \qquad (n \to \infty)$$

(2.4)
$$V'_n = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n v'_i \to v' \qquad (n \to \infty),$$

Received October 11, 1956.

and

(2.5)
$$V_n = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \to v \qquad (n \to \infty),$$

where

(2.6)
$$E(X_i - m_i)^2 = v'_i - m_i^2 = v_i.$$

Moreover

(2.7)
$$\lim_{A\to\infty}\int_A^{\infty}x^2dF_{\iota}(x)=0,$$

uniformly with respect to i and there exists an s_0 such that

(2.8)
$$\lim_{A\to\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{-A}e^{-sx}dF_{i}(x)=0,$$

uniformly with repect to i for $0 < s \leq s_0$.

The distribution function of S_n will be denoted by $\sigma_n(x)$, i.e.,

(2.9)
$$\sigma_n(x) = F_1(x) * F_2(x) * \cdots * F_n(x).$$

Furthermore, we shall put

(2.10)
$$f_i(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} dF_i(x),$$

(2.11)
$$\varphi_n(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} d\sigma_n(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_i(s),$$

and

(2.12)
$$\psi_n(s) = n\varphi_n(s) + \frac{1}{M_n}\varphi'_n(s).$$

3. Lemmas. Lemma 1 was given in [9] and we shall omit the proof.
LEMMA 1. Let
$$g(t) \ge 0$$
,

(3.1)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-st} g(t) dt < \infty \qquad for \quad 0 \leq s \leq s_0,$$

and

(3.2)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-st} g(t) dt \sim \frac{A}{s^{\gamma}} \qquad as \quad s \to 0,$$

for some positive $\gamma > 0$, then

(3.3)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{t} g(u) du \sim \frac{At^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \qquad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

LEMMA 2. Under the condition (2.3) ~ (2.8), there exist the numbers s_5 , N, for arbitrary small $\varepsilon > 0$, such that

126

$$(3.4) \quad \{(1-\varepsilon)\varphi(s)\}^n \leq \varphi_n(s) \leq \{(1+\varepsilon)\varphi(s)\}^n \quad for \quad 0 \leq s \leq s_5, \ n > N,$$

where $\varphi(s)$ is a bilateral Laplace transform $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} d\sigma(x)$ of a suitable distribution function $\sigma(x)$.

Proof. From (2.7) and (2.9), there exists a constant C_1 independent of i such that

$$(3.5) \qquad \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 dF_i(x) < C_1.$$

Let \mathcal{E} be any given positive number. Take A so large that

(3.6)
$$\int_A^\infty x^2 dF_i(x) < \varepsilon, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{-A} x^2 e^{-s_0 x} dF_i(x) < \varepsilon.$$

Now we determine s_1 so that

(3.7)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{-A} x^2 e^{-sx} dF_i(x) < \int_{-\infty}^{-A} e^{-s_0 x} dF_i(x) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq s \leq s_1 < s_0.$$

Further, we take s_2 so that

$$|1-e^{s_4}| < \varepsilon \qquad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq s \leq s_2 \leq s_1.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(s) &= f_i(0) + sf'_i(0) + \frac{s^2}{2} f''_i(\theta s) \\ &= 1 - sm_i + \frac{s^2}{2} v'_i + \frac{s^2}{2} [f''_i(\theta s) - f''_i(0)], \qquad 0 < \theta < 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{split} |f_{i}''(\theta s) - f_{i}''(0)| &\leq \left| \left(\int_{|x| > A} + \int_{|x| \leq A} \right) (e^{-\theta s x} - 1) x^{2} dF_{i}(x) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{x > A} x^{2} dF_{i}(x) + \int_{x < -A} x^{2} e^{-s x} dF_{i}(x) + \int_{x' \leq A} (e^{s A} - 1) x^{2} dF_{i}(x) \\ &< \varepsilon + \varepsilon + (e^{s A} - 1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2} dF_{i}(x) < \varepsilon (2 + C_{1}). \end{split}$$

Hence

(3.9)
$$f_i(s) = 1 - sm_i + \frac{s^2}{2} v'_i + \frac{s^2}{2} \eta_i,$$
$$|\eta_i| < \varepsilon(2 + C_1) \qquad \text{for} \quad 0 \le s \le s_2$$

uniformly with respect to *i*. Write

(3.10)
$$\begin{cases} \log f_i(s) = \log \left(1 - sm_i + \frac{s^2}{2}v'_i + \frac{s^2}{2}\eta_i\right) \\ = -sm_i + \frac{s^2}{2}v'_i + \frac{s^2}{2}\eta_i - \frac{1}{2}\left(sm_i - \frac{s^2}{2}v'_i - \frac{s^2}{2}\eta_i\right)^2 - \cdots \end{cases}$$

$$= -sm_i + \frac{s^2}{2}(v'_i - m_i^2) + \frac{s^2}{2}\xi_i.$$

Then there exists an s_3 such that

$$(3.11) |\xi_i| < \varepsilon for 0 \leq s \leq s_3 uniformly for i,$$

noticing that m_i , v_i are uniformly bounded.

Now we have

(3.12)
$$\log \varphi_n(s) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f_i(s) = -snM_n + \frac{ns^2}{2}V_n + \frac{s^2}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$$
$$= n\left(-sM_n + \frac{s^2}{2}V_n + \frac{s^2}{2}Z_n\right).$$

Let $\sigma(x)$ be a distribution function with mean *m* and variance *v*; *m*, *v* being those defined in (2.3) and (2.5) and let its bilateral Laplace transform be $\varphi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} d\sigma(x)$ which is assumed to exist. Then we have

(3.13)

$$\log \varphi^{n}(s) = n \log \varphi(s)$$

$$= n \left[\log (1 + s\varphi'(0)) + \frac{s^{2}}{2} \varphi''(0) + \frac{s^{2}}{2} \left[\varphi''(\theta s) - \varphi''(0) \right] \right]$$

$$= n \left(-sm + \frac{s^{2}}{2} v + \frac{s^{2}}{2} \delta \right),$$

$$|\delta| < \varepsilon \qquad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq s \leq s_{4}.$$

Hence, we have

(3.14)
$$|\log \varphi_n(s) - \log \varphi^n(s)| = n \left| -s(M_n - m) + \frac{s^2}{2}(V_n - v) + \frac{s^2}{2}(\Xi_n - \delta) \right|$$
$$\leq n\varepsilon \log (1 + s) \qquad \text{for} \quad n > N,$$

and there exists an s_5 such as

$$(1+s)^{\varepsilon} < \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}$$
 for $0 \leq s \leq s_5$.

This implies (3.4) directly.

LEMMA 3. Under the conditions (2.1), (2.3), (2.8) and that

(3.15)
$$\lim_{A\to\infty}\int_{A}^{\infty} x dF_i(x) = 0$$

(uniformly with respect to i) the following relation holds:

(3.16)
$$\lim_{s \to 0} s \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M_n} \varphi_n(s) = \frac{1}{m^2}.$$

Proof. Since $M_n \to m \ (n \to \infty)$, $C_2 > M_n > C_3 > 0$, using the fact that for

128

given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist an N and an s_{ε} such that

(3.17)

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_n(s) &= e^{-su(m+\delta_n+\rho_n)}, \\
&|\delta_n| < \varepsilon \qquad \text{for} \quad n > N, \\
&|\rho_n| < \varepsilon \qquad 0 \leq s \leq s_6,
\end{aligned}$$

which were given in Lemma 2 of [9], we have

(3.18)
$$s\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_n(s)}{M_n} = s\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\varphi_n(s)}{M_n} + s\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_n(s)}{M_n}$$
$$\leq \frac{s}{C_3}N + s \cdot \frac{1}{m-\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{(m-2\varepsilon)s}$$

Thus noticing that ε is arbitrary, we get

(3.19)
$$\limsup_{s\to 0} s \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(s) \leq \frac{1}{m^2}.$$

Similarly since

$$s\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\varphi_n(s)}{M_n} \ge s\sum_{n=N}^{\infty}\frac{\varphi_n(s)}{M_n} \ge \frac{s}{m+\varepsilon}\left(\frac{1}{(m+2\varepsilon)s}-N\right),$$

we get

(3.20)
$$\liminf_{s\to 0} s\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_n(s)}{M_n} \geq \frac{1}{m^2},$$

which, with (3.19), proves the lemma.

LEMMA 4. Under the conditions $(2.3) \sim (2.8)$,

(3.21)
$$\lim_{s \to 0} s \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n(s) = \frac{v'}{m^3}$$

 $\psi_n(s)$ being the one in (2.12).

Proof. Since, using (3.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n'(s) &= \varphi_n(s) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\log f_i(s) \right)' \\ &= n \varphi_n(s) \left[-M_n + sV_n + s\Xi_n \right], \end{aligned}$$

(3.4) will give the following relation:

(3.22)
$$n(\varphi(s) - \varepsilon)^{n-1}(\varphi'(s) - \varepsilon) \le \varphi'_n(s) \le n(\varphi(s) + \varepsilon)^{n-1}(\varphi'(s) + \varepsilon),$$

for $0 \le s \le s_5, n > N_1$.

And by Lemma 2, for $0 \leq s \leq s_5$, $n > N_2$, (3.4) holds, then we have

(3.23)
$$\psi_n(s) \leq \frac{n}{M_n} \{M_n(\varphi(s) + \varepsilon) + \varphi'(s) + \varepsilon\} \{\varphi(s) + \varepsilon\}^{n-1}.$$

On the other hand, there exist N_3 and N_4 such that

(3.24)
$$m - \varepsilon < M_n < m + \varepsilon \qquad \text{for} \quad n > N_3,$$

(3.25)
$$n - \frac{x}{m - \varepsilon} > 0 \qquad \text{for} \quad n > N_4.$$

Putting as

(3.26) $N = \max(N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4),$

for n > N, we have

$$(3.27) \qquad s\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n(s) = s\sum_{n=1}^{N} \psi_n(s) + s\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \psi_n(s)$$
$$(3.27) \qquad \leq \frac{sN(N+1)}{2} C_4$$
$$+ \frac{s^2}{m-\varepsilon} \frac{(m+\varepsilon)\left(\varphi(s)+\varepsilon\right) + \left(\varphi'(s)+\varepsilon\right)}{s} \cdot \frac{1}{(1-\varphi(s)-\varepsilon)^2},$$

where $C_4 \ge |\psi_n(s)|$ $(n \le N)$. Now,

(3.28)
$$\lim_{s \to 0} \frac{m\varphi(s) + \varphi'(s)}{s} = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{\varphi'(s) - \varphi'(0)}{s} = \varphi''(0) = v'.$$

And since \mathcal{E} is arbitrary, we get

(3.29)
$$\limsup_{s\to 0} s \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n(s) \leq \frac{v'}{m^3}$$

Similarly for n > N,

$$s\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\psi_n(s) = s\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\psi_n(s) + s\sum_{n=1}^{N}\psi_n(s)$$
$$\geq s^2 \frac{1}{m+\epsilon} \cdot \frac{(m-\epsilon)(\varphi(s)-s) + \varphi'(s) - \epsilon}{s} \cdot \frac{1}{(1-\varphi(s)+\epsilon)^2}$$
$$- \frac{sN(N+1)}{2}C_4$$

and therefore

(3.30)
$$\liminf_{s\to 0} s \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(s) \ge \frac{v'}{m^3}.$$

From (3.29) and (3.30) we have (3.21).

4. Theorem. Using these lemmas we shall prove the following Theorem. If $(2.3) \sim (2.8)$ hold, then

$$(4.1) \qquad \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{X} \int_{-\infty}^{x} dx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(n - \frac{x}{M_n}\right) \mathbf{P}(x < S_n \leq x+h) = \frac{h}{m^2} \left(\frac{v'}{m} - \frac{h}{2}\right).$$

Proof. We shall put

130

(4.2)
$$G_N = \sum_{n=1}^N \left(n - \frac{x}{M_n} \right) \mathbf{P}(x < S_n \leq x + h)$$

and form

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} dG_N(x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} d\{\sigma_n(x+h) - \sigma_n(x)\} \left(n - \frac{x}{M_n}\right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n - \frac{x}{M_n}\right) e^{-sx} d\sigma_n(x+h) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n - \frac{x}{M_n}\right) e^{-sx} d\sigma_n(x) \right] \\ (4.3) &\quad -\frac{1}{M_n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} \sigma_n(x+h) dx + \frac{1}{M_n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} \sigma_n(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[\left(e^{sh} - 1\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} \left(n - \frac{x}{M_n}\right) d\sigma_n(x) - \frac{\left(e^{sh} - 1\right)}{M_n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} \sigma_n(x) dx \\ &+ \frac{h}{M_n} e^{sh} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} d\sigma_n(x) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by integration by parts

(4.4)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} \sigma_n(x) dx = -\frac{1}{s} \left[e^{-sx} \sigma_n(x) \right]_{-\infty}^{\infty} + \frac{1}{s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} d\sigma_n(x),$$

and the first term on the right hand of (4.4) is 0 according to (2.8). For,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{-A} e^{-sx} d\sigma_n(x) \to 0 \qquad (A \to \infty),$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{-A} e^{-sx} d\sigma_n(x) = \left[e^{-sx} \sigma_n(x) \right]_{-\infty}^{-A} + s \int_{-\infty}^{-A} e^{-sx} \sigma_n(x) dx,$$

where the both terms on right hand are non-negative. And hence (4.5) $\lim_{A\to\infty} e^{s_A} \sigma_n(-A) = 0.$

Therefore (4.3) implies

(4.6)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} dG_N(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[(e^{sh} - 1) \left(\psi_n(s) - \frac{1}{M_n s} \varphi_n(s) \right) + \frac{h}{M_n} e^{sh} \varphi_n(s) \right].$$

Since $\sum \psi_n(s)$ and $\sum \varphi_n(s)$ are convergent by Lemma 3 and Lemma 4,

(4.7)
$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-sx}dG_N(x)$$

exists, and we have

(4.8)
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} dG_N(x) = (e^{sh} - 1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n(s) + \frac{1 - e^{sh} + he^{sh}}{s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_n(s)}{M_n} - h \cdot \frac{v'}{m^3} - \frac{h^2}{2m^2} \qquad (s \to 0).$$

On the other hand,

(4.9)
$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} e^{-sx} G_N(x) = \lim_{x \to -\infty} e^{-sx} \sum_{n=1}^N \left(n - \frac{x}{M_n}\right) \mathbf{P}(x < S_n \le x + h)$$
$$= \lim_{x \to -\infty} e^{-sx} \sum_{n=1}^N \left(n - \frac{x}{M_n}\right) \mathbf{P}(S_n \le x + h)$$
$$- \lim_{x \to -\infty} e^{-sx} \sum_{n=1}^N \left(n - \frac{x}{M_n}\right) \mathbf{P}(S_n \le x)$$
$$= \lim_{x \to -\infty} \left(e^{sh} - 1\right) e^{-sx} K_N(x) + \frac{h}{M_n} \lim_{x \to -\infty} \left(e^{-sx} H_N(x)\right)$$

holds, where we denote

$$K_{N}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(n - \frac{x}{M_{n}}\right) P(S_{n} \leq x),$$
$$H_{N}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} P(S_{n} \leq x).$$

In the proof of theorem in [9],

$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} e^{-sx} H_N(x) = 0$$

was showed. So we shall show a similar relation concerning $K_{N}(x)$. From Lemma 4 $\sum \psi_n(s)$ converges, so we can put as

$$\psi_n(s) < C_4,$$

and get

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} dK_N(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \psi_n(s) < NC_4.$$

By an argument analogus to [9], we have

(4.11)
$$\lim_{x\to-\infty}e^{-sx}K_N(x)=0.$$

Combining (4.7), (4.10) and (4.11) we get

(4.12)
$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} e^{-sx} G_{N}(x) = 0.$$

And then

(4.13)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} dG_N(x) = s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} G_N(x) dx.$$

Since $G_N(x)$ increases as $N \to \infty$ and tends to a non-decreasing function, the existence of the limit (4.7), together with (4.13), shows that

(4.14)
$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-sx}G_N(x)\,dx=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-sx}G(x)\,dx$$

exists for $0 \leq s \leq s_6$, and

(4.15)
$$s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} G(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} dG(x)$$

exists. Combining (4.8) and (4.15) we have

(4.16)
$$s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} G(x) dx \sim \frac{hv'}{m^3} - \frac{h^2}{2m^2}$$

Thus by Lemma 1 we get

$$\int_{-\infty}^{x} G(x) dx \sim X \cdot \frac{h}{m^2} \left(\frac{v'}{m} - \frac{h}{2} \right)$$

which proves the theorem.

COROLLARY. If the conditions in 2 are satisfied,

(4.17)
$$\frac{1}{X} \int_{-\infty}^{x} dx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \mathbf{P}(x < S_n \leq x+h) \sim \frac{X \cdot h}{2m^2} \qquad (X \to \infty).$$

Proof. Since by Theorem in [9],

(4.18)
$$\frac{1}{X} \int_{-\infty}^{x} dx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x}{M_n} \operatorname{P}(x < S_n \leq x+h) \sim \frac{X \cdot h}{2m^2},$$

(4.17) is immediate from (4.1).

In conclusion, I express my sincerest thanks to Professor T. Kawata who has suggested this investigation and given valuable advices.

References

- [1] D. BLACKWELL, A renewal theorem. Duke Math. Journ. 15 (1946), 145-150.
- Extension of a renewal theorem. Pacific Journ. Math. 3 (1953), [2] -----315-332.
- [3] K. L. CHUNG AND H. POLLARD, An extension of renewal theorem. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1952), 303-309.
- [4] D. R. Cox AND W. L. SMITH, A direct proof of a fundamental theorem of renewal theory. Skandinavisk Aktuartidskrift (1953), 139-150.
- [5] J. L. Doob, Renewal theory from the point of view of the theory of probability. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 63 (1947), 422-438.
- [6] W. FELLER, On the integral equation of renewal theory. Ann. Math. Stat. 12 (1941), 243—267.
- [7] ____ Fluctuation theory of recurrent events. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. -, 67 (1949), 98-119.
- [8] S. KARLIN, On the renewal equation. Pacific Journ. Math. 5 (1955), 229-257.
- [9] T. KAWATA, A renewal theorem. Journ. Math. Soc. Japan 8, No. 2 (1956), 118-126.
- [10] _____, not yet published. [11] W. L. SMITH, Asymptotic renewal theorems. Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, Section A, 64 (1953-54), 9-48.
- [12] S. TÄCKLIND, Fourieranalytische Behandlung vom Erneuerunsproblem. Skandinavisk Aktuaridskrift 28 (1945), 68-105.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,

TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY.