

OPERATOR ALGEBRAS OF TYPE I

Yosineo MISONOU

Recently J.Dixmier [1], I.Kaplansky [2] and I.E.Segal [4] have studied of operator algebras on a Hilbert space in the large. In this paper we shall consider operator algebras of type I. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a such algebra, then  $\mathcal{A}$  can be directly decomposed into subalgebras  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  indexed by cardinal numbers  $\alpha$  such that each  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  is of type  $I_\alpha$ [1]. On the other hand, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is of type I then  $\mathcal{A}$  can be directly decomposed into subalgebras  $\mathcal{A}_\beta$  indexed by cardinal numbers  $\beta$  such that each  $\mathcal{A}_\beta$  is of uniform multiplicity  $\beta$  [4]. The one of purposes of this paper is to give the relation of above two decompositions (Theorem 2,3). Another purpose is to study the unitary equivalency of two operator algebras of type I (Theorem 4).

1. Definitions and some lemmas. By a  $W^*$ -algebra we mean a weakly closed self-adjoint algebra of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space. In this paper, we shall consider  $W^*$ -algebras which contain the identity operator  $I$ . According to J.Dixmier [1] and I.Kaplansky [2], we shall give following definitions: A non-zero projection  $P$  in a  $W^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is abelian if  $P\mathcal{A}P$  is commutative. A  $W^*$ -algebra is of type I if every direct summand has an abelian projection. Let  $P$  be any abelian projection in a  $W^*$ -algebra of type I, then by Zorn's lemma there exists a maximal abelian projection which contains  $P$  [2].

Lemma 1. Let  $P$  be an abelian projection in a  $W^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $P$  is maximal if and only if  $PE \neq 0$  for any non-zero central projection  $E$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Proof. Let  $P$  be any maximal abelian projection and  $E$  a non-zero central projection such that  $EP = 0$ . From the definition of type I, there exists an abelian projection  $Q$  such that  $E \geq Q$ . Our assumption implies that  $PQ = 0$ . If we put  $P_1 = P + Q$ , then  $P_1$  is an abelian projection and  $P_1 > P$ . This is a contradiction.

Conversely, let  $P$  be an abelian projection such that  $PE \neq 0$  for any non-zero central projection  $E$ . Let  $F_1$  be an abelian projection such that  $P \leq F_1$ , then there exists a central projection  $F$  such that  $P \leq FF_1$  [2]. Obviously  $(1 - F)P = 0$ . This implies that  $I - F = 0$ , that is,  $I = F$ .

Thus we have  $P = IP = P_1$ , that is,  $P$  is maximal. This proves the lemma.

We say that two projection  $P$  and  $Q$  of a  $W^*$ -algebra are equivalent, written  $P \sim Q$ , if there exists  $V \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $VV^* = P$  and  $V^*V = Q$ . We write  $P \geq Q$  if there exists a projection  $P' \geq P$  with  $P' \sim Q$ .

Lemma 2. Let  $P$  be an abelian projection of a  $W^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $P \sim Q$ . Then  $Q$  is abelian projection and  $Q$  is maximal if and only if  $P$  is maximal.

Proof. Since  $P \sim Q$  there exists an element  $V \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $VV^* = P$  and  $V^*V = Q$ . Then, for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} QAQQBQ &= V^*VV^*VAV^*VV^*VV^*VBV^*VV^*V = \\ &= V^*PVAV^*FPVEV^*PV = V^*PVEV^*FPVAV^*PV = \\ &= QBQQAQ, \end{aligned}$$

that is,  $Q$  is abelian. Let  $E$  be any central projection satisfying  $QE = 0$ , then

$$PE = VV^*VV^*E = VQE = 0.$$

Therefore if  $P$  is maximal abelian then  $E = 0$ , that is,  $Q$  is maximal abelian by Lemma 1.

Lemma 3. All maximal abelian projections of a  $W^*$ -algebra are equivalent each other.

Proof. Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be any maximal abelian projections of a  $W^*$ -algebra. According to I.Kaplansky [2], there exists a central projection  $E$  such that

$$EP \geq EQ \quad \text{and} \quad (I - E)P \leq (I - E)Q,$$

that is, there exists a projection  $Q_1$  such that  $EP \geq Q_1 \sim EQ$ . Obviously  $EP$  is abelian too, then there exists a central projection  $F$  such that  $Q_1 = FEP$  [2]. We may assume that  $F \leq E$  without loss of generality. If  $E - F \neq 0$ , then  $Q(E - F) = 0$ . Put

$$Q' = Q_1 + (I - E)Q,$$

then  $Q' \sim Q$  and  $Q'$  is maximal by the preceding lemma. On the other hand  $Q'(E - F) = 0$ . This contradicts to maximality of  $Q'$ . Thus we have  $E = F$ . It follows that  $EQ \sim Q_1 = EP$ . By an analogous way, we can show that  $(I - E)P \sim (I - E)Q$ . This implies that  $P \sim Q$ . This proves the lemma.

Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a  $W^*$ -algebra of type I. If there exists a family  $\{P_\mu\}$  of mutually orthogonal maximal abelian projections of  $\mathcal{O}$  whose power is  $\alpha$  and satisfying  $\bigvee P_\mu = I$ , then the power of another such family is  $\alpha$  [1]. We say that the algebra  $\mathcal{O}$  is of type  $I_\alpha$ . Notice that a power of any family of mutually orthogonal maximal abelian projections in a  $W^*$ -algebra of type  $I_\alpha$  is not greater than  $\alpha$ .

2. A characterization of  $W^*$ -algebras of type  $I_\alpha$ . In this section, we shall consider  $W^*$ -algebras of type  $I_\alpha$  and the relations of direct decompositions of  $W^*$ -algebras of type I stated in [3] and [4]. According I.E.Segal [4], we shall give following definitions: An operator algebra  $\mathcal{O}$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is called an  $\alpha$ -fold copy of an operator algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $\alpha$  being a cardinal number greater than 0, if

- (1) there is a set  $S$  of power  $\alpha$  such that by consists of all functions  $f$  on  $S$  to  $\mathcal{K}$  for which the series  $\sum x \in S |f(x)|^2$  is convergent, with  $(f, g)$  defined as  $\sum x \in S (f(x), g(x))$ , and
- (2)  $\mathcal{O}$  consists of all operators  $A$  of the form  $(Af)(x) = Bf(x)$  for some  $B$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

In the following, by  $\mathcal{O}'$  we mean the commutator of an operator algebra  $\mathcal{O}$ . A  $W^*$ -algebra on an Hilbert space is said to be of minimal multiplicity  $\alpha$  if  $\alpha$  is the least upper bound of the cardinal numbers  $\beta$  such that there exist  $\beta$  mutually orthogonal projections  $P_\mu$  in  $\mathcal{O}'$  such that the operation of contracting  $\mathcal{O}$  to  $P_\mu \mathcal{H}$  is an algebraic isomorphism. It is said to be of uniform multiplicity  $\alpha$  if for every non-zero central projection  $E$  of the contraction of  $\mathcal{O}$  to  $E \mathcal{H}$  has minimal multiplicity  $\alpha$ . Specially, a  $W^*$ -algebra is called hyper-reducible if it is of uniform multiplicity 1. A  $W^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}$  is hyper-reducible if and only if  $\mathcal{O}'$  is commutative. In the following of this section, we shall consider operator algebras only on a fixed Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . We shall prove the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a  $W^*$ -algebra of type  $I_\alpha$ , then  $\mathcal{O}'$  is of uniform multiplicity  $\alpha$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a  $W^*$ -algebra of type  $I_\alpha$ , then there exist  $\alpha$  mutually orthogonal maximal abelian projections  $P_\mu$ . By a lemma due to I.E.Segal [4], the contraction of  $\mathcal{O}'$  to each  $P_\mu \mathcal{H}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}'$ . Thus  $\mathcal{O}'$  is of minimal multiplicity  $\beta$  with  $\beta \leq \alpha$ .

Let  $\{Q_\mu\}$  be a family of projections in  $\mathcal{O}$  which are mutually orthogonal and the contraction on each  $Q_\mu \mathcal{H}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}'$ . Obviously  $E Q_\mu = 0$  for any non-zero central projection  $E$  of  $\mathcal{O}$  and for each  $Q_\mu$ . Let  $P$  be any maximal abelian projection,

then for every  $\mu$  there exists a central projection  $E_1$  such that

$$E_1 Q_\mu \geq E_1 Q \text{ and } (I - E_1) P \leq (I - E_1) Q.$$

By Lemma 2, there exist abelian projections  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  such that  $P \geq E Q$ ,  $P_2 \leq (I - E) Q$  and  $P_1 \sim E_1 P$  and  $P_2 \sim (I - E_1) P$ . Since there exists an element  $\sqrt{V} \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $P_1 = V^* E P V$ , we have  $E_1 P_1 = P_1$ , that is,  $P \leq E_1$ . Since  $P_1$  is abelian, there exists a central projection  $E_2$  satisfying  $E_1 Q_\mu = E_2 P_1$  and furthermore, we can assume that  $E_1 \geq E_2$  without loss of generality. If  $E_1 - E_2 \neq 0$ , then

$$E_1 Q_\mu (E_1 - E_2) = E_2 P_1 (E_1 - E_2) = 0,$$

that is,  $Q_\mu (E_1 - E_2) = 0$ . This is a contradiction since  $\mathcal{O}$  is isomorphic to the contraction of  $\mathcal{O}$  to  $Q_\mu \mathcal{H}$ . Thus we have  $E_1 = E_2$ . It follows that

$$E_1 Q_\mu = E_2 P_1 = E_1 P_1 = P.$$

Obviously we have  $P_\mu \sim P$  by putting  $P_\mu = P_1 + P_2$ . By Lemma 2  $P_\mu$  is a maximal abelian projection and  $Q_\mu \geq P_\mu$ . It is clear that  $P_\mu P_\nu = 0$  if  $\mu \neq \nu$ . Then the power of  $\{P_\mu\}$  is  $\leq \alpha$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{O}'$  is of minimal multiplicity  $\beta$  with  $\beta \leq \alpha$ . This proves that  $\mathcal{O}'$  is of minimal multiplicity  $\alpha$ .

Let  $E$  be any central projection of  $\mathcal{O}'$  and let  $\mathcal{O}'_E$  be the contraction of  $\mathcal{O}'$  to  $E \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{O}'_E)'$  is identically with the contraction of  $\mathcal{O}$  to  $E \mathcal{H}$ , therefore  $(\mathcal{O}'_E)'$  is of type I [3]. Above proof shows that  $(\mathcal{O}'_E)'$  is of minimal multiplicity of  $\alpha$ . In other words,  $\mathcal{O}'$  is of uniform multiplicity  $\alpha$ . This proves the theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** A  $W^*$ -algebra of type  $I_\alpha$  if and only if the commutator is unitary equivalent to an  $\alpha$ -fold copy of a hyper-reducible algebra.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a  $W^*$ -algebra of type  $I_\alpha$ , then there exists a family  $\{P_\mu\}$  of power  $\alpha$  such that  $P_\mu$  are mutually orthogonal maximal abelian projections in  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\bigvee P_\mu = I$ . Let  $\alpha_\mu$  and  $\alpha'_\mu$  be the contractions of  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{O}'$  to  $P_\mu \mathcal{H}$  respectively. Then we have  $(\alpha'_\mu)' = \alpha_\mu$ . Since  $P_\mu$  are abelian is commutative. This implies the hyper-reducibility of  $\alpha'_\mu$ . By Lemma 3, all  $P_\mu$  are equivalent each other and for fixed any  $P_\mu$ ,  $P_\nu$  there exists a (necessary partially isometric) operator  $V \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $V V^* = P_\mu$  and  $V^* V = P_\nu$ . Let  $A_\mu$  and  $A_\nu$  be contractions of any  $A \in \mathcal{O}'$  to  $P_\mu \mathcal{H}$  and  $P_\nu \mathcal{H}$  respectively, then

$$A_\nu = P_\nu A = V^* V V A = V^* P_\mu A V = V^* A_\mu V.$$

Therefore by corresponding  $A_\mu$  to  $A_\nu$ , is unitary equivalent to  $\alpha'_\nu$ . Since  $\mathcal{H} = \sum \oplus P_\mu \mathcal{H}$ , it is clear that  $\mathcal{O}'$  is unitary equivalent

to an  $\alpha$ -fold copy of any fixed  $\mathcal{A}'_\mu$ . This proves the necessity.

Conversely, let  $\mathcal{A}$  be unitary equivalent to an  $\alpha$ -fold copy of a hyper-reducible algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ . Then we may assume without loss of generality that  $\mathcal{H} = \sum_\mu \mathcal{H}_\mu$  where the power of indices is  $\alpha$  and each  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$  reduces  $\mathcal{A}$  and the contraction of  $\mathcal{A}$  to each  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$  is unitary equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}'_\mu$ . Thus we may assume that the contraction of  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$  is hyper-reducible.

Now let  $P_\mu$  be the projection on  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ , then  $P_\mu$  commutes with every element of  $\mathcal{A}$ , that is,  $P_\mu \in (\mathcal{A}')' = \mathcal{A}$ . Obviously the commutator of  $\mathcal{A}'_\mu$  is  $P_\mu \mathcal{A} P_\mu$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}'_\mu$  is hyper-reducible,  $P_\mu \mathcal{A} P_\mu$  is commutative. In other words,  $P_\mu$  is an abelian projection. For any non-zero central projection  $E$ ,  $EP \neq 0$  by the fact that the contraction of  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $P_\mu \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_\mu$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}'$ . Therefore  $P_\mu$  is a maximal abelian projection by Lemma 1. All  $P_\mu$  are mutually orthogonal and the power of them is  $\alpha$  and  $\sum P_\mu = I$ . This proves that  $\mathcal{A}$  is of type  $I_\alpha$ , that is, the sufficiency was proved.

According to J. Dixmier [1], for any  $W^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of type I we can decompose it into subalgebras of type  $I_\alpha$ ; we have

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum \oplus \mathcal{A}_\alpha = \sum \oplus E_\alpha \mathcal{A}$$

where  $E_\alpha$  are central projection and  $E_\alpha \mathcal{A}$  are of type  $I_\alpha$  respectively. Since the family  $\{E_\alpha\}$  is a family mutually orthogonal central projections, we can decompose  $\mathcal{A}'$  by  $\{E_\alpha\}$ ; we have

$$\mathcal{A}' = \sum \oplus E_\alpha \mathcal{A}' = \sum \oplus \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$$

It is clear that the commutator of  $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha$  on  $E_\alpha \mathcal{H}$  is  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ , therefore by Theorem 2 each  $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha$  is an  $\alpha$ -fold copy of hyper-reducible algebra. Thus the above decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}'$  is identical with the one due to I.E. Segal [4]. The converse statement is obviously true. Thus we have a following theorem:

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be of a  $W^*$ -algebra of type I. Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum \oplus E_\alpha \mathcal{A}_\alpha = \sum \oplus E_\alpha \mathcal{A}$$

be a decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  are of type  $I_\alpha$  respectively, then

$$\mathcal{A}' = \sum \oplus E_\alpha \mathcal{A}' = \sum \oplus \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$$

is a decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}'$  such that each  $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha$  is an  $\alpha$ -fold copy of a hyper-reducible algebra and conversely.

3. An application. In this section we shall prove the following theorem which is well known in the case of factors in the sense of F.J. Murray and J. von Neumann [3].

**THEOREM 4.** Let  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  be  $W^*$ -algebras of type I on Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  respectively. Moreover we assume that  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}'_1$  are  $*$ -isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}_2$  and  $\mathcal{A}'_2$  respectively, then  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is unitary equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}_2$ .

*Proof.* Denote elements of  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}'_1$  by  $A^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(2)}, B^{(2)}, \dots$

Since  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is of type I, there exists a family  $\{E_\alpha^{(1)}\}$  of mutually orthogonal central projections such that each contraction of  $\mathcal{A}'_1$  to  $E_\alpha^{(1)} \mathcal{H}_1$  is of type  $I_\alpha$  and  $\sum E_\alpha^{(1)} = I^{(1)}$ . The  $W^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}'_1$  is of type I by [4] and then there exists a family  $\{F_\beta^{(1)}\}$  such as  $\{E_\alpha^{(1)}\}$  of  $\mathcal{A}'_1$ . If  $E_\alpha^{(1)} F_\beta^{(1)} \neq 0$ , then this is a non-zero central projection of  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and we shall denote  $E_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = E_\alpha^{(1)} F_\beta^{(1)}$  in such case. Then the contractions of  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}'_1$  to  $E_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \mathcal{H}_1$  are of type  $I_\alpha$  and  $I_\beta$  respectively. Since the notion of type is purely algebraical,  $E_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)}$  have same properties. Let  $\alpha_{\mu\nu}$  be the contractions of  $\mathcal{A}_1$  to  $E_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \mathcal{H}_1$ , ( $i=1,2$ ), then  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is unitary equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}_2$  if  $\alpha_{\mu\nu}$  are unitary equivalent to  $\alpha_{\mu\nu}$  for all such pairs  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . Thus we may assume that  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  are of type  $I_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{A}'_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}'_2$  are of type  $I_\beta$ .

In the case of above, there exists a family  $\{P_\mu\}$  of mutually orthogonal maximal abelian projections satisfying  $\sum P_\mu = I^{(1)}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_{1\mu}$  and  $\mathcal{A}'_{1\mu}$  be the contractions of  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}'_1$  to  $P_\mu \mathcal{H}_1$ , respectively, then we have  $(\mathcal{A}'_{1\mu})' = \mathcal{A}_{1\mu}$ . Since  $P_\mu^{(1)}$  is maximal abelian projection,  $\mathcal{A}_{1\mu}$  is commutative and  $\mathcal{A}'_{1\mu}$  is isomorphic  $\mathcal{A}'_1$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{A}'_{1\mu}$  is of type  $I_\beta$  too. By theorem 1,  $\mathcal{A}_{1\mu}$  is a commutative  $W^*$ -algebra of uniform multiplicity  $\beta$ . We can define  $\mathcal{A}_{2\mu}$  by an analogous way and we can prove that  $\mathcal{A}_{2\mu}$  is a commutative  $W^*$ -algebra of uniform multiplicity  $\beta$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{A}_{1\mu}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}_{2\mu}$ . By a theorem due to I.E. Segal [4], it follows that  $\mathcal{A}_{1\mu}$  are unitary equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}_{2\mu}$  for all  $\mu$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{A}'_{1\mu}$  are unitary equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}'_{2\mu}$  for all  $\mu$ . This proves that  $\mathcal{A}'_1$  is unitary equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}'_2$  by the fact that  $\sum P_\mu^{(i)} = I^{(i)}$  ( $i=1,2$ ). In other words,  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is unitary equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}_2$ .

REFERENCES

Tôhoku University.

(\*) Received May 9, 1953.

1. J.Dixmier, Sur la réduction des anneaux d'opérateurs, Ann. Ecole Norm., 68(1951), pp. 185-202.
2. I.Kaplansky, Projection in Banach algebras, Ann. of Math., 53(1951), pp. 235-249.
3. F.J.Murray and J. von Neumann, On rings of operators, Ann. of Math., 37(1936), pp. 166-229.
4. I.E.Segal, Decompositions of operator algebras, II, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.,(1951).