

By Hisaharu UMEGAKI

In the present note, first we shall supply the proof of the last part of the previous note [4] (the proofs of Theorem 2 and its Corollary resp.), here we shall describe more general form than Theorem 2 of [4], and next we shall prove some relations between semi-traces and traces in  $D^*$ -algebra. The definitions and the notations in the note [4] will be used in this note.

1. Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a  $D^*$ -algebra and  $\tau$  be a finite semi-trace of  $\mathcal{O}$ . And let  $\{x^a, x^b, j, l_j\}$  be the representation of  $\mathcal{O}$  generated by  $\tau$ , and let  $\mathcal{R}^a$  be  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $\{x^a; x \in \mathcal{O}\}$ . All other algebras  $\mathcal{L}^a, \mathcal{L}^b, \mathcal{R}^a$ , and  $W^a$  are defined by the same way in §1 of [4]. Let  $\Omega$  be the character space of  $\mathcal{R}^a$  and  $N = \{\omega \in \Omega; \omega(x^a) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{O}\}$  then  $\Omega_0 (= \Omega - N)$  can be embedded into the trace space of  $\mathcal{R}^a$  with weak\* topology on  $\mathcal{R}^a$  by the canonical mapping  $\varphi$ :  $\varphi(\omega)(A) = \omega(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{R}^a$ . Putting  $\Omega' = \text{weak}^*$  closure of  $\varphi(\Omega_0)$ ,  $\Omega'$  is locally compact with respect to the weak\* topology on  $\mathcal{R}^a$ . If  $K'$  is a compact set in  $\Omega'$ , then it is covered by finite number of nbds (with compact closures in  $\Omega'$ ):  $U(\varphi(\omega_i), A_j, \varepsilon) = \{\omega' \in \Omega'; |\omega'(A_j) - \varphi(\omega_i)(A_j)| < \varepsilon\}$ . ( $\omega_i \in \Omega_0, A_j \in \mathcal{R}^a, \varepsilon > 0$ ;  $i=1, 2, \dots, n; j=1, 2, \dots, m$ ). Hence  $K = \varphi^{-1}(K' \cap \varphi(\Omega_0)) \subset \bigcup_{i,j} U(\omega_i, A_j, \varepsilon)$  where  $U(\omega_i, A_j, \varepsilon) = \{\omega \in \Omega_0; |\omega(A_j) - \omega_i(A_j)| < \varepsilon\}$  and each nbd has compact closure in  $\Omega$ . Since  $K$  is closed in  $\Omega$ , it is also compact. Let  $L'$  be a set of all continuous functions on  $\Omega'$  with compact supports. Then for any  $f \in L'$   $f'(\omega) (= f(\varphi(\omega)))$  for  $\omega \in \Omega_0$  and  $= 0$  for  $\omega \in N$  is continuous on  $\Omega$  and vanishes outside of a compact set in  $\Omega$ . Putting  $F(f) = \int_{\Omega} f'(\omega) d\mu(\omega)$ ,  $F(\cdot)$  is positive linear on  $L'$ , and hence there exists a positive Radon measure  $\nu$  on  $\Omega'$  such that  $F(f) = \int_{\Omega'} f'(\omega) d\nu(\omega)$  for all  $f \in L'$ . For any  $x \in \mathcal{O}$   $x^a \in \mathcal{R}^a$  and  $x^a(\omega') (= \omega'(x^a)) \in L'$  (cf. (5°) of proof of Th. 1 of [4]). If we denote any element in  $\Omega'$  merely by  $\omega$ , we have Th. 2 of the previous note [4] as a special case, that is,  $\mathcal{O} = L$  (group algebra of  $G$  consisting of all continuous functions on  $G$  with compact support),  $\tau =$  positive Radon measure of finite class on  $G$  and  $\Omega' = G^*$ .

While if  $\mathcal{O}$  has a centering  $h$  such that  $\tau(x^b) = \tau(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{O}$  and all traces  $\tau$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ , then all  $\omega \in \Omega'$  are characters of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Next, in the proof of Cor. of Th. 2, the domain  $\Omega$  of the measure  $\nu$  is misprint of  $G^*$ . In that proof we have shown that for  $\omega \in G^*$   $\omega(st) = \omega(s)\omega(t)$  for  $t \in G$  and  $s \in$  center of  $G$ . Now we give more direct and precise proof of the fact. For  $\omega \in G^*$ , there correspond two representations  $\{x^a, x^b, j, l_j\}$  of  $L$  and  $\{s^a, s^b, j, l_j\}$  of  $G$  with same  $h_j$  such that  $\omega(x) = (x^a \xi, \xi)$  and  $\omega(s) = (s^a \xi, \xi)$  for all  $x \in L$  and  $s \in G$ , where  $\xi$  is the normalizing vector. Since  $s \rightarrow s^a$  was defined by  $s^a x^b = (x_s)^b$ ,  $(x_s)^a y^b = y^b (x_s)^b = y^b s^a x^b = s^a y^b x^b = s^a x^a y^b$  for all  $x, y \in L$ . Hence  $(x_s)^a = s^a x^a$ . If  $s$  is in center of  $G$ ,  $(s^a e_{\xi}^a y^a \xi, \xi) \rightarrow (s^a y^a \xi, \xi)$  and the left side  $= ((e_{\xi})_s^a y^a \xi, \xi) = \omega((e_{\xi})_s y) = \omega((e_{\xi})_s) \omega(y)$  (since  $(e_{\xi})_s$  is center of  $L$ )  $= ((e_{\xi})_s^a \xi, \xi)(y^a \xi, \xi) \rightarrow (s^a \xi, \xi)(y^a \xi, \xi)$ . Hence  $(s^a \xi, \xi)(y^a \xi, \xi) = (s^a y^a \xi, \xi)$  for all  $y \in L$ . Put  $y = (e_{\xi})_t$  and take the limit with respect to the directed set  $\{e_{\xi}\}$  of the both sides. Then  $(s^a \xi, \xi)(t^a \xi, \xi) = (s^a t^a \xi, \xi)$  or  $\omega(s)\omega(t) = \omega(st)$ .

We have called that  $\nu$  in  $h_j$  is bounded if and only if  $\nu(x^b) \leq M(x^a)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{O}$  and a const.  $M > 0$  (cf. §1 of [4] in which  $x^a$  must be replaced by  $x^b$  at P.123, right side, lines 24 and 28). Now we describe supplementary remarks with respect to the bounded elements in  $h_j$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the set of all bounded elements in  $h_j$ . If for  $v \in \mathcal{L}$  we put  $v^* = jv$ , then  $v^* \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $v^{**} = v^{**}$ . For,  $(x^b jv, y^b) = (jv, (y x^a)^b) = ((x y^a)^b, v) = (x^b, y^b v) = (x^b, v^a y^b) = (v^{**} x^b, y^b)$  i.e.  $x^b jv = v^{**} x^b$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{O}$  and we have  $v^* \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $v^{**} = v^{**}$ . For any  $v \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $(x^a v, y^b) = (v, y^b x^a) = (y^b v, x^a) = (v^a y^b, x^a) = (jy^b, v^a x^a) = (jv^{**} jx^a, y^b)$  Hence putting  $v^b = jv^{**} j$ ,  $v^b$  is a bounded operator on  $h_j$  and  $x^a v = v^b x^a$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{O}$ .

2. Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a  $D^*$ -algebra with the approximate identity  $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ , let  $\tau$  be a semi-trace of  $\mathcal{O}$  and let  $\{x^a, x^b, j, l_j\}$  be the corresponding representation of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Moreover let

$\mathcal{L}^a$  and  $W^a$  be uniform and weak closure of  $\mathcal{L}^a (= \{v^a; v \in \mathcal{L}\})$  respectively.

PROPOSITION 1. The following conditions are equivalent each other:  
(1°)  $\tau$  is trace. (2°) There exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that  $\tau(e_\alpha e_\alpha) \leq M$  for all  $\alpha$ . (3°)  $I \in \mathcal{L}^a$ .  
(4°)  $\mathcal{L}^a = W^a$ .

Proof. (1°)  $\rightarrow$  (2°) is clear. First we prove (2°)  $\rightarrow$  (3°). Since  $\tau((e_\alpha x - x)(e_\alpha x - x))^* \leq \|e_\alpha x - x\|^2 \tau(y^* y)$

$$\rightarrow 0, \|e_\alpha^2(x y)^0 - (x y)^0\|^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 1.1 of [3], there exists a directed set  $x_\beta \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $x_\beta \rightarrow I$  (strongly). Hence  $(x_\beta y)^0 = x_\beta^0 y^0 \rightarrow y^0$  in  $\mathcal{L}_y$  and  $\{(x y)^0; x, y \in \mathcal{O}\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}_y$ . For any  $\zeta \in \mathcal{L}_y$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  we find  $x, y \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $\alpha_0$  such that  $\|\zeta - (x y)^0\| < \varepsilon/3$  and  $\|e_\alpha(x y)^0 - (x y)^0\| < \varepsilon/3$  for  $\alpha > \alpha_0$ . Therefore  $\|e_\alpha^2 \zeta - \zeta\| \leq \|e_\alpha^2 \zeta - e_\alpha^2(x y)^0\| + \|e_\alpha^2(x y)^0 - (x y)^0\| + \|(x y)^0 - \zeta\| < 2\varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 = \varepsilon$  for  $\alpha > \alpha_0$  and hence  $e_\alpha^2 \rightarrow I$  strongly. Putting  $f_\alpha(\zeta) = (\zeta, e_\alpha^2)$  for  $\zeta \in \mathcal{L}_y$ ,  $\|f_\alpha(\zeta)\| \leq \|\zeta\| \|e_\alpha^2\| \leq \|\zeta\| \tau(e_\alpha^2 e_\alpha) \leq M \|\zeta\|$ . Hence  $\|f_\alpha\| \leq M^{1/2}$  for all  $\alpha$ . Moreover  $f_\alpha((y x^*)^0) = (x^* y^0, e_\alpha^2) = (y^0, x^* e_\alpha^2) = (y^0, e_\alpha^2 x^0) \rightarrow (y^0, x^0)$ . Since  $\{(y x^*)^0; x, y \in \mathcal{O}\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}_y$ ,  $\{f_\alpha\}$  weakly converges to a bounded linear functional  $f$  on  $\mathcal{L}_y$  and there exists  $\xi \in \mathcal{L}_y$  such that  $f(\zeta) = (\zeta, \xi)$ . Hence  $(\zeta, e_\alpha^2) \rightarrow (\zeta, \xi)$  for all  $\zeta \in \mathcal{L}_y$  or  $e_\alpha^2 \rightarrow \xi$  (weakly). In the equality  $(\zeta, e_\alpha^2 x^0) = (\zeta, x^* e_\alpha^2)$ , the left side  $\rightarrow (\zeta, x^0)$  and the right side  $\rightarrow (\zeta, x^* \xi)$ . Hence  $x^0 = x^* \xi$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{O}$ . Putting  $A x^0 = x^* \xi$ ,  $A x^0 = x^0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $A = I$  or  $I^0 = \xi^{(2)}$  and  $\xi^* = A$ . Thus  $I \in \mathcal{L}^a$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}^a$  is an ideal in  $W^a$ , (3°)  $\rightarrow$  (4°) is clear. Finally we prove that (4°)  $\rightarrow$  (1°). (4°)  $\rightarrow I \in \mathcal{L}^a \rightarrow I^0 = \xi \in \mathcal{L} \rightarrow x^* \xi = x^* I^0 = I x^0 = x^0$ . While,  $x^* \xi = j x^{**} j \xi = j x^{**} \xi = j x^{*0} = j j x^0 = x^0 = x^* \xi$  and  $\tau(x^* y) = (y^0, x^0) = (y^0 \xi, x^0 \xi) = ((x^* y)^0 \xi, \xi)$ . That is,  $\tau$  is a trace of  $\mathcal{O}$  (cf. Th. 1.4 of [3]).

REMARK 1. In the previous proof we have that  $e_\alpha^2 \rightarrow I$  strongly. Hence any semi-trace  $\tau$  of a  $D^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}$  satisfies

$$(†) \quad \tau((e_\alpha x - x)^*(e_\alpha x - x)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{O}$$

which is stronger than the condition:

$$(†) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{there exists a subsequence} \\ &\{e_{\alpha_n}\} \subset \{e_\alpha\} \text{ depending on each} \\ &x \in \mathcal{O} \text{ such that} \\ &\tau((e_{\alpha_n} x - x)^*(e_{\alpha_n} x - x)) \rightarrow \tau(x^* x) \text{ (} n \rightarrow \infty \text{)} \end{aligned}$$

which is a condition of semi-trace (cf. §1 of [4]). But the condition

(†) must be assumed in the definition of semi-trace. For, (†) is necessary in the proof of the fact that the two-sided representation corresponding to a semi-trace is proper (cf. Th. 2 of [3]) and the properness is used in the proof of  $e_\alpha^2 \rightarrow I$ .

REMARK 2. Prop. 1 implies that if  $G$  is a unimodular locally compact group, then  $B(G) = W(G)$  if and only if  $G$  is discrete.

Now we show a theorem of Godement (Th. 7 of [1]) in the following case.

PROPOSITION 2.  $\tau$  is a finite pure semi-trace of  $\mathcal{O}$  if and only if it is pure trace.

Proof. We prove the part of "only if", since the converse has been proved in the previous paper (Th. 1 of [3]). Let  $\{x^*, x^b, j, \mathcal{L}_y\}$  be the corresponding representation of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Since it is irreducible,  $W^a \cap W^b = \{\lambda I\}$ . Furthermore, since  $W^a$  is of finite class,  $A^h$  is scalar operator for any  $A \in W^a$ . Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be central manifold of  $\mathcal{L}_y$  (i.e.  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  if and only if  $x^* \zeta = x^b \zeta$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{O}$ ). By Lemma 3 and the proof of Prop. 1 of [3], we can find a vector  $0 \neq v \in \mathcal{L}_y \cap \mathcal{Z}$ . Clearly  $v^* \in W^a \cap W^b$  and  $v^*$  is scalar  $= \lambda I$  ( $\lambda \neq 0$ ). Therefore  $v = \lambda I^0$ . Since  $e_\alpha^2 \rightarrow I$  strongly, so is  $e_\alpha^2 \rightarrow I$  and  $e_\alpha^2 I^0 = e_\alpha^2 \rightarrow I^0$  strongly. Thus we have that  $\tau$  is a trace. From the irreducibility of  $\{x^*, x^b, j, \mathcal{L}_y\}$  it follows that  $\tau$  is a pure trace.

REMARK 3. Concerning the concept of pure trace of  $D^*$ -algebra we show the following (a general form of a theorem of Godement - Th. 9 of [1]). Let  $\tau$  be a trace of a  $D^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}$  with  $\|\tau\| = 1$ . If we put  $\tau_y(x) = \tau(x y)$  for all  $x$  and  $y \in \mathcal{O}$  and let  $\{x^*, x^b, j, \mathcal{L}_y\}$  be the corresponding two-sided representation of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}^0 = W^a$  (by Prop. 1) and  $\tau(x) = (x^* \xi, \xi)$  where  $\xi$  is the normalizing vector of  $\mathcal{L}_y$  (i.e.  $\xi^* = I$ ). Put  $(\tau_y)^*(x) = (x^* \xi, y^* x^b \xi)$ . Then we have that  $\tau$  is pure trace (= character) of  $\mathcal{O}$  if and only if  $(\tau_y)^*(x) = \tau(x) \tau(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{O}$ . For, if  $\tau$  is pure,  $T(A) = (A \xi, \xi)$  is pure trace on  $W^a$  and hence  $T(AB) = T(A)T(B)$  for all  $A, B \in W^a \cap W^b$  and  $A^* = T(A)I$ . Therefore  $y^{**} = T(y^*)I = (y^* \xi, \xi)I$ . While  $(\tau_y)^*(x) = (x^* \xi, y^* x^b \xi) = (y^{**} x^* \xi, \xi) = (x^0 \xi, \xi) = \tau(x)$  and  $\tau(y) = \tau(x^* x) \tau(y) = \tau(x^* x) \tau(y)$ , and its left side  $= ((x^* x)^* \xi, y^* x^b \xi) = (x^* \xi, y^{**} x^* \xi)$

and the right side  $= (z^* \xi, \tau(y^*) x^* \xi)$ . Since the both sides are equal for all  $x, y$  and  $z \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  $y^* \xi = \tau(y) \xi$ . Let  $P$  be projection onto the central manifold  $\mathcal{Z}$ . For any  $v \in \mathcal{Z}$ , there exist  $x_n \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $\|x_n^* - v\| \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Hence  $Px_n^* \rightarrow Pv = v$ . Since for all  $x \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  $Px^* \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $(Px^*)^* = x^{**}$ , cf. the proof of Prop. 1 of [3],  $(Px^*)^* = \tau(x) \xi$ ,  $Px^* = \tau(x) \xi$  and the center of  $\mathcal{L}$  is scalar, i.e.  $= \{\lambda \xi\}$ . Thus "the center of  $\mathcal{L}^{\omega} = \omega^* \wedge \omega^* (= \omega^{**})$  is  $\{\lambda \xi\}$ , and  $\tau$  is pure. The proposition obtained in this remark contains the first part of Prop. 2 of [4] as a special case.

#### FOOTNOTES

(1) In a separable  $D^*$ -algebra, the decomposition of arbitrary semi-trace into a system of pure semi-traces in the form of direct integral over the real line has been shown in the previous note [3] using the reduction theory of von Neumann. Recently I.E.Segal has been published his decomposition theory "Decomposition of Operator Algebras. I and II, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 1951". If we apply his theory, Th. 1 of [4] may be shown in a most general form (in separable case). The precise discussion may be stated in the following in which we may prove that, in Th. 1 of [4] all  $\omega \in \Omega$  are characters of  $A$  which is not always separable.

(2) For any  $A \in \mathcal{L}^*$ , let the corresponding bounded element ( $\in B$ ) denote  $A^0$ .

(3) It is known that for semi-trace or trace of a  $D^*$ -algebra being pure, it is NASC that the corresponding two-sided representation is irreducible respectively (cf. [3]).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Godement, Mémoire sur la théorie des caractères dans les groupes localement compact unimodulaire, Journ. Math. pure et appl. 30 (1950), pp. 1-110.
- [2] I.E. Segal, Irreducible representations of operator algebra, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 48 (1947), pp. 73-88.
- [3] H. Umegaki, Decomposition theorems of operator algebra and their applications, Jap. Jour. of Math. 22 (1952).
- [4] ———, Operator algebra of finite class, Kodai Math. Seminar Reports, No. 4, (1952), pp. 123-129.

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology.

(\*) Received May 7, 1953.