ON CARTAN SUBALGEBRAS OF A LIE ALGEBRA

By Nagayoshi IWAHORI and Ichirô SATAKE

(Communicated by Y. Komatu)

Let L. be a Lie algebra over a ground field F and  $x \rightarrow ad(x)$  be its adjoint representation. If the number  $k_x$  of characteristic root 0 of ad(x)attains the minimum for  $x \in L$ , x is called a regular element of L, and it is well known that the eigenspace P of ad(x) for the root 0 is a nil-potent subalgebra of order  $k_x$  of L. Such a subalgebra P is called a Cartan subalgebra of L.

Now if F is the complex number field, it is known<sup>(1)</sup> that, for any two Cartan subalgebras  $P_{i}$  and  $P_{i}$ , there exists an element  $\sigma$  in the adjoint group of L, i.e. the linear group generated by  $\exp(ad(x))$ ,  $t \in L$ , such that

 $\sigma(P_i) = P_2$ 

However, for general ground field F, this does not hold. For example, if F does not contain  $\alpha^2$  for some  $\alpha \in F$ , the simple Lie algebra of type A of order 3 over F, given by

L = uF + vF + wF

$$[\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}] = \mathcal{V}, \quad [\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}] = -\mathcal{W}, \quad [\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}] = \mathcal{U},$$

contains two Cartan subalgebras  $P_{i} = \left(\frac{\psi}{2} + \omega\right)F$  and  $R = \left(\frac{\psi}{2} + \omega\right)F$  corresponding to regular elements  $\frac{\psi}{2} + \omega^{r}$  and  $\frac{\psi}{2} + \omega^{r}$ , respectively, such that for any automorphism 7 of L, we have have

$$r(P_i) \neq P_i$$

This shows that for the conjugateness of Cartan subalgebras some conditions on  $\overline{F}$  and L, will be necessary. In this note we shall study such conditions us-ing the theory of algebraic Lie algebras of C.Chevalley.

## §1. In this section, let F be an arbitrary field of characteristic ο.

Let L be an L-algebraic <sup>(2)</sup> Lie algebra over  $F ( L \subset \P(F, m))$ , R its radical, and let one of its Levi decom-positions be L = R + S, then as is known, <sup>(3)</sup> there is an ideal N of L con-sisting of all nilpotent matrices in R and a subalgebra C of L con-sisting of only semi-simple matrices such that

$$R = N + C$$
,  $N - C = \{0\}$ ,  $[C, S] = \{0\}$ .

We call this decomposition L = (N+C)+Sa 'normal decomposition' of L.

Lemma 1. "Let L be a nilpotent Lie algebra and let M be its repre-sentation space, and let  $\mathbf{X} \longrightarrow \rho(\mathbf{X})$ be the representation given by M. Let the following conditions be satisfied:

- (1) If p(x)·m=0 for all x∈ L and for some m∈ M, then necessarily m=0;
  (ii) The image L = p(L) consists of only semi-simple matrices,<sup>(4)</sup>

Then the first cohomology group (5) of L by M vanishes: H'(L, M) = 0

Proof. First, we assume that all eigenvalues of P(x),  $x \in L$ , belong to the ground field F. Then by (11), M is a direct sum of P(L) -invariant subspaces of dimension one, so we may assume further that M is 1-dimensional. Now if  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}(L, M)$ , then

o = Sf(x, y) = p(x)f(y) - p(y)f(x) + f([x, y])

and it follows from (i) that  $f([x, y_1]) = 0$ , and for some  $x_0$ ,  $\rho(x_0) \neq 0$ . Hence if we put  $f_0 = f(x_0)/\rho(x_0)$ , we have

$$f(x) = \rho(x)f_0 = \delta f_0(x).$$

namely  $f(x) \in B'(L, M)$ 

In the general case, let  $F^*$  be the in the general case, let  $\Gamma$  be the finite Galois extension of F contain-ing all eigenvalues of  $P(\Sigma)$ ,  $\chi \in L$ , and let G be its Galois group. Since the same assumptions hold for the scalar extension  $L_{\mathbf{F}^*}$  and  $M_{\mathbf{F}^*}$ , we have  $\mathbf{H}^*(L_{\mathbf{F}^*}, M_{\mathbf{F}^*}) = 0$ . Now if f(x)  $\mathbf{e}^* Z^*(L, M) \subset Z^*(L_{\mathbf{F}^*}, M_{\mathbf{F}^*})$ , then there exists  $f^* \in M_{\mathbf{F}^*}$  such that

Let  $f_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{\chi} \sum_{e \in G} \sigma(f_{\sigma}^{*}), (\gamma = [F^{*}:F])$ then for  $\chi \in L$  we have

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(f(\mathbf{x})) = \rho(\mathbf{x}) \sigma(f_{\mathbf{x}}^*)$$

averaging over G , we have

where f. EM Q.E.D.

Lemma. 2. "Let L be a solvable 1-algebraic Lie algebra and  $L = N + H_1$ "  $N \neq H_2$  be two normal decompositions of L. Then there exists an element

$$x \in [L, L]$$
 such that  
 $exp(ad(x)) H_1 = H_2$   
where, as is well known, ad(x) is a

nilpotent derivation on L and ...

$$expX = 1 + \frac{X}{11} + \frac{X^{-1}}{21} + \frac{X^{-$$

$$(X = ad(x))$$
, (finite series!)

- - •

gives an automorphism of L ."

Proof. 1) The case where N is abelian: Put  $N_0 = N_A Z(H_1) = N_A Z(H_2)$ (where  $Z(H_1)$  denotes the centralizer of  $H_1$  in  $L_1$ , i.e. the set of all ele-ments X in L such that  $[X, H_1=0)$ , then by the complete reducibility of Nas an  $ad(H_1)$ -modul (7) there exists a subspace  $N_1$  of N such that

$$N = N_0 + N_1$$
,  $N_0 = \{0\}$ ,  $[H_1, N_1] \leq N_1$ .

Now decompose  $x \in H$ . :

$$x = k_2 + j_0 + j_1$$
,  $k_2 \in H_2$ ,  $j_0 \in N_0$ ,  $j_1 \in N_1$ ,

then, as can be seen easily, the map-ping  $\chi \longrightarrow \Psi_i = f(\chi)$  belongs to the first cycle group  $\chi'(H_i, N_i)$  so that by Lemma 1 there is an element  $\chi \in N, \subset [L, L]$ such that  $f(\chi) = [\chi, \chi]$  (for all  $\chi \in H_i$ ) and hence

 $X + [x, X] = h_2 + y_0 = exp(ad(Z)) X$ =(exp(Z) X (exp(-2)) ;

 $=(exp z) \times (exp(-z)) ;$ so %.2, %. is respectively the semi-simple and the nilpotent part of a semi-simple matrix (exp(z)) (exp(-z)) whence %. = 0 which yields exp(cd(z))H,  $\subset$  H<sub>2</sub> . As exp(cd(z)) is an automorphism of L., so comparing the dimensions, we have

 $e_{1}(ad(z))H_{1} = H_{2}$ .

ii) General case: Induction on dim N gives the result without much difficulty.

Theorem 1. "Let L be a solvable Lie algebra over F, and let  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$  be two Cartan subalgebras of L. Then there exists an element  $x \in [L, L]$  such that

$$(exp X)P_1 = P_2$$
  $(X = ad(x))$ 

where X is a nilpotent derivation as in Lemma 2.60 "

Proof. By the use of the adjoint representation, it can be easily seen that we may assume without loss of generality that L, is a linear Lie algebra.

Denoting the smallest  $\ell$ -algebraic Lie algebra containing L by  $L^*$ , which is also solvable, we have<sup>(3)</sup>

$$L^* = L + P_i^*$$
,  $P_i = L \land P_i^*$ .

Let the normal decomposition of  $P^*$  be

$$P_i^* = N_i + H_i$$

and let N be the set of all nilpotent matrices in  $L^{*}$ , then we have easily that

$$L^* = N + H_i$$

and that this is a normal decomposition of L\* . Now it holds that

$$Z(H_i) = P^*$$

In fact, as  $H_i$  is in the center of  $P_i^*$ we have  $Z(H_i) \supset P_i^*$ . Conversely, let  $x \in L^*$  be such that  $[x, H_i] = 0$ . Let us denote by M the sum of all the eigenspaces of ad(a) which do not belong to eigenvalue 0. As  $P_i$  contains a regular element a, we have . .

$$L = P_1 + M$$
,  $P_1 \land M = \{0\}$ ,  $ad(a)M = M$ .

Then we have

$$L^* = P_i^* + M, P_i^* \land M = \{ \circ \}.$$

Put

$$x = x_0 + x_1, x_0 \in P_1^*, x_1 \in M$$

Then the semi-simple part A' of A, which belongs to  $H_i$  has the following properties:

ad(a') 
$$M = M$$
,  
 $0 = ad(a')x = [a', x] = [a', x_0 + x_1]$   
 $= [a', x_1]$ .

Hence we have

$$x_1 = 0$$
,  $x = x_0 \in P_1^{\wedge}$ 

Similarly, we have for  $P_2$ 

$$P_2 = L_{1}P_2^{*}, P_2^{*} = Z(H_2),$$

and to a normal decomposition  $P_2^* = N_2 + H_2$ corresponds the normal decomposition of L :

$$L^* = N + H_2 .$$

Now we have by Lemma 2. there exists an  $x \in [L^*, L^*] = [L, L]$  such that

$$\exp X(H_1) = H_2 , \quad X = ad(x),$$

as exp  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  is an automorphism of  $L^{\star}$ , taking the centralizer of both sides, we have

$$\mathscr{P} X(P_1^*) = P_2^*$$

Now since L is an ideal of  $L^*$ , we have

$$\exp X(L) = L .$$

So we have

$$expX(P_1) = exp(P_1^* L) = P_2^* L = P_2,$$
  
 $q_1 \in D.$ 

- 58 -

Remark. From the uniqueness of Levi decomposition<sup>(1)</sup> and Lemma 2 we have easily the following L

Lemma 21. "Let L he an *l*-algebraic Lie algebra and

be two normal decompositions of L. Then there exists an element  $x \in N_{\Lambda}[L, L]$  such that

$$expX(C_1) = C_2$$
,  $expX(S_1) = S_2$ ,  
(X = ad(x))

(of course exp X(N) = N)".

§2. Theorem 2. "Let F be the real number field, and let L be a Lie algebra over F, and R its radical. If any two Cartan subalgebras of L/R are conjugate to each other under the adjoint group of L/R, then the same holds for L. (So that the problem on conjugateness of Cartan subalgebras of a real Lie algebra reduces to the case of simple Lie algebras.)

We shall give only the outline of the proof of this Theorem, making use of the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3. "Let L be an  $\ell$ -algebra-ic Lie algebra over a field of charac-teristic  $\Im$ , R its radical and let P be one of its Cartan subalgebra be-longing to regular element A. Let Hbe the set of all semi-simple matrices which are replices of A. which are replicas of a , then

$$R = (H_{\wedge}R) + L^{\perp},$$
  

$$L^{\perp} = \{x; x \in L, t_r(xy) = 0 \text{ for all } j \in L\}$$

gives a normal decomposition of R and there exists a Levi decomposition of Lsuch that

$$L = R + S, H = (H_{\Lambda}R) + (H_{\Lambda}S).$$

Proof. Decompose L into eigenspa-ces of a as follows:

$$L = M_0 + M_1 + \dots + M_r$$
,  $M_0 = P = H + N_0$ .

No being the set of all nilpotent matrices in P , then

$$R = \Sigma_{i=0}^{r} (M_{i} \land R), \quad M_{0} \land L^{\perp} = N_{0},$$
$$M_{0} \land R = (H_{1} \land R) + N_{0},$$
$$M_{i} \land R = M_{i} \land L^{\perp} (i \ge i)$$

and hence we have

$$R = (H_{\Lambda}R) + L^{\perp}.$$

Take the corresponding Levi decomposition of  $L_{\rm c}$  :

$$L = R + S$$
,  $[H \land R, S] = o$ 

and let  $\Psi$  be the natural homomorphism of L on  $S \cong L/R$  and put  $\Psi(H) = B$ , then B is abelian and since N(H+R/R) $\cong H+R/R$ , the normalizer of B in S coincides with B. From this it can be shown without much difficulty that B is a Cartan subagebra of S and B is composed of only semi-simple matrices. Then since  $[H_{-R}, B] = 0$ ,  $(H_{-R}, R) + B$  is composed of only semi-simple matrices, and we have

 $H+L^{\perp}=H+R=B+R=B+(H_{-}R)+L^{\perp}$ 

and this gives two normal decompositions of an  $\ell$ -algebraic solvable Lie algebra H+R, so there is an element  $\chi \in L^{-\ell}$  such that

$$e_{X}(H) = (H_{A}R) + B X = ad(x)$$

Now  $(H_R) + B = H'$ satisfies

$$H' = (H' - R) + (H' - S)$$

so the same holds for H , Q.E.D.

Lemma 4. "Let L be an  $\ell$ -alge-braic Lie algebra over the real number field F and let R be its radical. Suppose that any two Cartan subalgebras of L/R are conjugate to each other under the adjoint group of L/R. Then for any two regular elements a.  $A_2$ of L the subalgebras H, and H<sub>2</sub> be-longing to A, and  $A_2$  respectively are conjugate under the adjoint group of L.

Proof. There exist by Lemma 3 two normal decompositions of L. such that 

$$L = (N + C_{1}) + S_{1},$$
  

$$H_{1} = (H_{1} - R) + (H_{1} - S_{1}), H_{1} - R = C_{1},$$
  

$$L = (N + C_{2}) + S_{2},$$
  

$$H_{2} = (H_{2} - R) + (H_{2} - S_{2}), H_{2} - R = C_{2}$$

"Then, by Lemma 2', there exists an element  $x \in N_[L, L]$  such that

$$\exp X(C_1) = C_2, \exp X(S_1) = S_2,$$

then we have

exp 
$$X(H_1) = C_2 + (exp X(H_1), S_2)$$
.  
Now by assumption there exist elements  
 $y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_r$  in  $S_2$  such that

$$\mathfrak{syp}Y_1 \cdots \mathfrak{syp}Y_r (\mathfrak{syp}X(H_1) \cap S_2)$$

=  $H_{2}$ ,  $S_{2}$ . ( $Y_{i} = ad(Y_{i})$ ,  $| \le i \le r$ ). Now since  $[C_1, S_2] = 0$  we have  $\exp Y_i$ , whence we have  $C_2$ 

$$exp Y_1 \cdots exp Y_Y exp X (H_1) = H_2,$$
  
I. E. D.

Proof of Th. 2 comes now immediately from Lemma 4, note (10), and the similar reasoning as in Th. 1.

- (\*) Received July 8, 1950.

- C.Chevalley: An algebraic proof of a property of Lie groups, Amer. Journ. of Math. 63 (1941) (informed only by Math. Reviews).
   Y.Matsushima: Zenkoku-Shijð-Danwa-Kai (2-5-50) (1946) (in Japanese).
   Cf. C.Chevalley: On algebraic Lie algebras, Ann. of Math. 48 (1947) (We shall cite this paper as C.), or M.Goto, On algebraic Lie alge-bras, Journ. Math. Soc. Japan, 1 (1948) (We shall cite this paper as G.), or Y.Matsushima, On al-gebraic Lie groups and algebras, as above. as above.
- (3) C. § 5. Th. 4.

- (4) Then it can be easily seen by generalized Lie's Theorem (C. § 4. Th. 3) that I is abelian.
  (5) For cohomology groups, cf. C.Chevalley and S.Eilenberg: Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. 63 (1948), § 23.
  (6) Cf. G. p.36, since *M* X (3) = (*exp* X) Y (*exp*(X)) holds, we have *exp*X(N) = N.
  (7) C. § 5. Lemma 1.
  (8) G. 5 44.
  (9) G. Lemma 10.
  (10) We call H the subalgebra belonging to a. H is abelian as In is composed of polynomials of a. It is shown easily that Mo = Z(H) and we shall denote H by H(a)

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University.