## By Masatomo UDAGAWA

(Communicated by T. Kawata)

The following theorem of Zygmund on lacunary trigonometric series is well known.<sup>1</sup>)

A. If  $n_{\alpha\beta}/n_{\alpha} > \lambda > 1$ ,  $n'_{\alpha} \land$ being integers, and the series  $\geq (a_{\alpha}^{2} + b_{\alpha}^{2})$ converges, then

(1) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( a_k \cos n_k x + b_k \sin n_k x \right)$$

is the Fourier series of a function (x) belonging to the class L', y being any positive number and

$$\left\{\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\left|f(x)\right|^{r}dx\right\}^{\prime/r}\leq A_{r,\lambda}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{k}^{2}+b_{k}^{2}\right)\right\}^{\prime/2}$$

where  $A_{x\lambda}$  depends only on  $\gamma$  and λ .

B. Under the conditions of A, we have 1/1 .2π .6

$$B_{r,\lambda}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\mathcal{A}_{k}^{2} + b_{k}^{2}\right)\right\} \leq \left\{\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{0}^{\infty} |f_{r,\lambda}|^{r} dx\right\}$$

where  $\frac{B_{\gamma,\lambda}}{\gamma}$  is positive and depends only on  $\gamma$ , and  $\lambda$ .

We shall prove that a theorem of same type is valid, even if the inte-gral character of the numbers is not assumed.

Theorem 1. If  $\lambda_{p+1}/\lambda_k \ge \lambda > 1$  $\lambda_k \ge 1$  (this is not on essential restriction, so for our convention we suppose this in the following all theorems), and if the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{2} |C_k|^2$  converges, then

(2) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k e^{\lambda_k x}$$

is almost everywhere convergent to a function f(x) belonging to every  $\begin{bmatrix} r^{\infty}(-\infty,\infty) \\ r^{\infty}(-\infty,\infty) \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} r^{\infty} \\ r^{\infty} \\ r^{\infty}(-\infty,\infty) \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} r^{\infty} \\ r^{\infty} \\ r^{\infty} \\ r^{\infty}(-\infty,\infty) \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} r^{\infty} \\ r^{\infty} \\ r^{\infty}(-\infty,\infty) \\ r^{\infty}(-\infty,\infty) \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} r^{\infty} \\ r^{\infty}(-\infty,\infty) \\ r^{\infty}(-\infty,\infty) \\ r^{\infty}(-\infty,\infty) \\ r^{\infty}(-\infty,\infty) \\ r^{\infty}(-\infty,\infty) \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $(r^{\infty}(-\infty,\infty), r^{\infty}(-\infty,\infty), r^{\infty}(-\infty,\infty) \\ r^{\infty}(-\infty$ 

$$G'(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^2} dt , \text{ and}$$

$$(3) \qquad \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 dG'(x) \right\}^{1/2} \leq A'_{r,\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |C_k|^2 \right\}^{1/2}$$

where holds. depends only on and

Theorem 2. Under the conditions of Theorem 1.

(4) 
$$B_{\mathbf{r},\lambda}^{\prime} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |C_k|^2 \right\}^{\prime/2} \leq \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{w}) \right\}^{\prime/1} \mathbf{r} \geq 1$$

where	Bra	<u>is a</u>	po	sit	L∀e	consi	cant
which	depends	only	on	r	and	$\lambda$	

Theorem 3. Under the conditions of Theorem 1, the series (2) converges in the mean with exponent  $\gamma$  over every finite interval to  $f(\chi)$ .

We shall prove more strong results than Theorem 1, that is;

Theorem 4. If the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied, and

$$S^{*}(x) = \sup_{n \neq \infty} |S_{n}(x)|$$

where

$$S_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n C_k e^{i\lambda_k x}$$

then

(5) 
$$\int_{\infty}^{\infty} |S_{(x)}|^{t} d\sigma_{(x)} \leq D_{r,\lambda} \int_{0}^{\infty} |f_{(x)}|^{t} d\sigma_{(x)}$$
where  $D_{r,\lambda}$  depends only on  $\tau$  and  $\tau > l$   
Theorem 5. Under the conditions of theorem 4. We have  $\int_{a}^{b} |S_{(x)}|^{t} dx \leq D \int_{a}^{b} |f_{(x)}|^{t} dx$ .  $r > l$ 

where depends on  $\gamma$ ,  $\lambda$ , a  $\mathcal{D}$ and h . .

Theorem	16.	There	exi:	<u>sts a</u>	const	tant	
M(>0)	which	h der	ends	only	ElC.	12. 4	
and 入	such	that	the :	funct:	lon	err	wд
belonging	to th	ne cla	ISS	Ľ, ° (-	00,00	) .	

Remark. All the above theorems still hold even if we take  $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{A}}^{(X)}(o < h \leq i)$  for  $\mathcal{C}^{(X)}$ , where

$$\mathcal{O}_{h}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{\sin^{2} ht}{ht^{2}} dt$$

2. <u>Proof of Theorem 1.</u> The almost everywhere convergence are already pro-ved by M.Kac,<sup>2)</sup> and so we shall prove the inequality (3).

By Holder's inequality, if  $\gamma < \gamma^{-1}$ we have . 00  $\left\{\int |f(x)|^2 d\sigma(x)\right\} \leq \left\{\int |f(x)|^2 d\sigma(x)\right\}$ 

and hence it is sufficient to consider T = 2m, m = 1, 2,

Moreover it is well known that if we prove the inequality

- 17 -

.....

$$(3') \begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} e^{i\lambda_{h} \chi} \right|^{2m}_{\mathcal{A} \circ (\chi)} \right|^{2m} \\ \leq A'_{2m,\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} |c_{k}|^{2} \right\}^{2}, \end{cases}$$

then the inequality (3) will be valid. Hence we shall prove the inequality (3'). Suppose first  $\lambda_{k_{r'}}/\lambda_k \ge \lambda > 4m$ .

Then

$$\left(\sum_{k} C_{k} e^{i\lambda_{k}x}\right)^{m} = \sum d_{\nu} e^{i\mu_{\nu}x}$$

where  $\mu_{\nu}$  is the number of the form

$$\begin{array}{l} \alpha_{i}\lambda_{k_{i}}+\alpha_{2}\lambda_{k_{2}}+\cdots, \text{ with} \\ \lambda_{k_{i}} \geqslant \lambda_{k_{2}} \geqslant \cdots, \quad \alpha_{i} \ge 0 \\ \alpha_{i}+\alpha_{2}+\cdots = m \end{array}$$

And under our assumptions every can be represented uniquely in the form (6). For otherwise we should have an equation

$$\beta_1 k_1 + \beta_2 k_2 + \cdots = 0$$

where

$$\begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{i} > \boldsymbol{0} \ , \ \ \boldsymbol{0} \leq |\boldsymbol{\beta}_{i}| \leq m \ (i=1,3] \\ \lambda_{k_{i}} > \lambda_{k_{2}} > \ , \ and \ oo \\ \lambda_{k_{i}} \leq m \ (\lambda_{k_{2}} + \lambda_{k_{3}} + \ ) \end{array}$$

Thus

$$1 \leq m(\lambda^{-1} + \lambda^{-2} + \cdots) \leq \frac{m}{\lambda^{-1}}.$$

This is impossible if  $\lambda > m+i$  . Moreover

$$|\mu_{\nu} - \mu_{\nu'}| \ge 1$$
 for  $\nu \neq \nu'$ .

Because if

then we should have an inequality

$$(\beta_1 \lambda_{k_1} + \beta_2 \lambda_{k_2} + \cdots) < 1$$

where

$$|\beta_1\rangle 0$$
,  $0 \leq |\beta_1| \leq m$ ,  $\lambda_{k_1}, \lambda_{k_2}, \ldots$ ,

and so

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{k}_{i}} < 1 + m \left( \lambda_{\mathbf{k}_{2}} + \lambda_{\mathbf{k}_{3}} + \cdots \right)$$

Thus

$$1 < \frac{1}{\lambda_{k_i}} + \frac{m}{\lambda - 1}$$

This is impossible if  $\lambda \ge 3m$ . Thus under our assumption  $\lambda_{k+1}/\lambda_k \ge \lambda$   $\ge 3m$ ,  $\{e^{t/\lambda_k}\}$  are an orthonormal system in  $(-\infty,\infty)$  with respect to  $\mathcal{O}(x)$ . Thus by Parseval's theorem,  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\sum C_{\mathcal{R}} e^{t\lambda_{\mathcal{R}} \chi}|_{\mathcal{A}}^{2m} |\mathcal{C}(x) = \sum |d_{\nu}|^2$  where  $d_{\mu}$  is of the form

$$d_{\nu} = \frac{m!}{\alpha_{i} / \alpha_{2} / \dots} C_{R_{i}}^{\alpha_{i}} C_{R_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}} \dots$$

$$|d_{\nu}|^{2} = \left(\frac{m!}{\alpha_{i} / \alpha_{2} / \dots}\right)^{2} |C_{R_{i}}|^{2\alpha_{i}} |C_{R_{2}}^{2\alpha_{i}} \dots$$

$$\leq m! \frac{m!}{\alpha_{i} / \alpha_{2} / \dots} |C_{R_{i}}|^{2\alpha_{i}} |C_{R_{2}}|^{2\alpha_{i}} \dots$$

Hence

$$\sum |d_{\nu}|^{2} \leq m! \left(\sum |C_{R}|^{2}\right)^{m}$$

Thus we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum C_{n} e^{i \lambda_{n} \mathbf{x}} \right|^{2m} d\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}) \leq m! \left( \sum \left| C_{n} \right|^{2} \right)^{m}$$
that is

$$\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\sum C_{\mathbf{R}} e^{i\lambda_{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{X}}\Big|_{d\,\sigma(\mathbf{x})}^{2m}\right\}^{1/2m} \leq (m!)^{1/2m} \left(\sum |C_{\mathbf{R}}|^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$

To prove (3) for general  $\lambda > 1$ , we break up (2) into a finite number  $\delta$ of series, which the gap  $\chi$  greater than 3m.

Then for each series, we have

(7) 
$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k} C_{s_{i}+k} e^{i\lambda_{s_{i}+k}} \chi_{d\sigma(x)}^{2m}\right)^{1/2m} \leq (m!)^{\frac{1}{2m}} \sum_{k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} |C_{s_{i}+k}|^{2}\right)^{1/2} (k = 1, 2, \cdots, s)$$

Thus by Minkowski's inequality

$$(8) \quad \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} C_{\mathbf{x}} e^{i\lambda_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x}} \Big|_{d\sigma(\mathbf{x})}^{2m} d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \right\}^{2m} \\ \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} C_{s_{\ell}+\ell} e^{i\lambda_{s_{\ell}+\ell} \mathbf{x}} \Big|_{d\sigma(\mathbf{x})}^{2m} d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \right\}^{1/2m} \\ \leq S \left( m! \right)^{1/2m} \left( \sum_{\mathbf{x}} |C_{\mathbf{x}}|^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$

Thus this completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n e^{i\lambda_n x}$$

Since

$$|f|^{2} = |f|^{\frac{2}{3}} |f|^{\frac{4}{3}}$$

we have, by Holder's inequality

$$\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f|^2 dG(x)\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f| d\sigma(x)\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f|^2 d\sigma(x)\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$$

Thus

$$\int_{\infty}^{\infty} |f| d\sigma(x) \ge \left\{ \int_{\infty}^{\infty} |f|^2 d\sigma(x) \right\}^{3/2} / \left\{ \int_{\infty}^{\infty} |f|^4 d\sigma(x) \right\}^{1/2}$$
$$\ge \left\{ \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k=1}}^{\infty} |C_k|^2 \right\}^{3/2} / A'_{\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |C_k|^2 \right\}$$
$$\ge B_{\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k=1}}^{\infty} |C_k|^2 \right\}^{1/2}$$

That is  

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f| d \mathcal{O}'(x) \geq B_{\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |C_{kk}|^{2} \right\}^{1/2}$$
Thus by Holder's inequality  

$$\left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f|^{r} d \mathcal{O}'(x) \right\}^{1/r} \geq B_{\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |C_{kl}|^{2} \right\}^{1/2}$$
Proof of Theorem 3. By Theorem 1  

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\sum_{k=\infty}^{\infty} C_{k} e^{i\lambda_{k}x} |^{r} \frac{din \chi}{\pi \chi^{2}} dx \leq C_{r\lambda} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |C_{kl}|^{2} \right]^{1/2}$$
Hence  

$$\int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_{k} e^{i\lambda_{k}x} |^{r} \frac{din \chi}{\pi \chi^{2}} dx \leq C_{r\lambda} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_{kl} e^{i\lambda_{k}x} \right]^{\frac{\pi}{2}} dx$$

$$\leq C_{r\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |C_{kl}|^{2} \right\}^{1/2}$$

we have, more generally

 $\lim_{\substack{i \neq j \\ i \neq j \\ \text{For}}} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} C_{k} e^{i\lambda_{k}x} dx \leq C_{r,\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{k=m}^{n} |C_{k}|^{2} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  $\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} C_{k} e^{i\lambda_{k}x} \right|^{r} dx = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=n}^{n} C_{k} e^{i\lambda_{k}x} e^{i\lambda_{k}x} |^{r} dx$  $\leq C_{r,\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{k=m}^{n} |C_{k}|^{2} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ Thus by (9), we get

 $\int_{a}^{b} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{k} e^{i\lambda_{k}z}\right]^{r} dz \leq \frac{b-a}{\pi} C_{r\lambda} \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[C_{k}\right]^{2}\right\}^{\gamma_{2}}$ Thus, if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[C_{n}\right]^{2} < \infty$ , then the series (2) converges to f(z) in the mean with exponent r over every finite interval.

We shall state a lemma for the proof of Theorem 4.

Lemma. There exist constant C, which is independent of  $f_{c}$  and  $h_{...}$ such that

$$\left|\int_{x_{o}}^{x_{o}+h} e^{\lambda h x} d\sigma(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda h} C_{1} \left\{ \frac{\sin^{2} x_{o}}{x_{o}^{2}} + \frac{\sin^{2} (x_{o}+h)^{2}}{(x_{o}+h)^{2}} \right\}$$

This is proved easily by the second mean value theorem.

We shall prove Theorem 4. From

 $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{k=1}^{m} C_{k} e^{i\lambda_{k}\mathbf{x}} \Big|^{2} d\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{x}) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (as \quad n \to \infty)$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{x}_{0}}^{\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{h}} f(\mathbf{x}) d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{k} \int_{\mathbf{x}_{0}}^{\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{h}} \frac{C_{k}\lambda_{k}\mathbf{x}}{C_{k}} d\sigma(\mathbf{x})$$
Using this fact and lemma, we have
$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{x}_{0}}^{\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{h}} f(\mathbf{x}) d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{i=1}^{r} C_{k} \int_{\mathbf{x}_{0}}^{\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{h}} \frac{C_{k}\lambda_{k}\mathbf{x}}{C_{0}} d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \right|$$

$$\leq \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |C_{k}|^{2} \right]^{1/2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} C_{i} \left\{ \frac{am^{2}X_{0}}{X_{0}^{2}} + \frac{am^{2}(\lambda_{0}+\mathbf{h})^{2}}{(X_{0}+\mathbf{h})^{2}} \right\} - 19 -$$

$$(11) \left| \sum_{k=1}^{T} C_{k} \sum_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+h}} \left[ e^{i\lambda_{k}x} - e^{i\lambda_{k}\chi_{0}} \right] d\sigma(x) \right|$$

$$\leq M \left| h \right| \left( \sum_{x_{k}}^{Y} \left| C_{k} \right| \lambda_{k} \right) \left| \sigma(\chi_{0} + h) - \sigma(\chi_{0}) \right|$$
Thus by (10) and (11)
$$\left| \frac{1}{\left[ \sigma(\chi_{0} + h) - \sigma(\chi_{0}) \right]} \int_{\chi_{0}}^{\chi_{0} + h} d\sigma(x) - \sum_{k=1}^{r} C_{k} e^{i\lambda_{k}\chi_{0}} \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \frac{1}{\sigma(\chi_{0} + h) - \sigma(\chi_{0})} \int_{\chi_{0}}^{\chi_{0} + h} d\sigma(x) - \frac{1}{\sigma(\chi_{0} + h) - \sigma(\chi_{0})} \int_{\chi_{0}}^{\chi_{0} + h} d\sigma(x) - \frac{1}{\sigma(\chi_{0} + h) - \sigma(\chi_{0})} \int_{\chi_{0}}^{\chi_{0} + h} d\sigma(x) - \frac{1}{\sigma(\chi_{0} + h) - \sigma(\chi_{0})} \int_{\chi_{0}}^{\chi_{0} + h} C_{k} \int_{\chi_{0}}^{\chi_{0} + h} e^{i\lambda_{k}\chi_{0}} d\sigma(x) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\left| \sigma(\chi_{0} + h) - \sigma(\chi_{0}) \right|} \sum_{k=1}^{T} \left| C_{k} \right|^{2} \frac{1}{\lambda_{v}} C_{k} \left\{ \frac{\sin^{2}\chi_{0}}{\chi_{0}^{2}} \frac{\sin^{2}\chi_{0}}}{\chi_{0}^{2}} \frac{\sin^{2}\chi_{0}}{\chi_{0}^{2}} \frac{\sin^{2}\chi_{0}}{\chi_{0}^{2}} \frac{\sin^{2}\chi_{0}}{\chi_{0}^{2}} \frac{\sin^{2}\chi_{0}}{\chi_{0}^{2}} \frac{\sin^{2}\chi_{0}}{\chi_{0}^{2}} \frac{\sin^{2}\chi_{0}}{\chi_{0}^{2}} \frac{\sin^{2}\chi_{0}}{\chi_{0}^{2}} \frac{\sin^{2}\chi_{0}}}{\chi_{0}^{2}} \frac{\sin^{2}\chi$$

where 
$$M_{i}$$
 and  $M_{2}$  are independent of  
 $\chi_{0}$ ,  $h_{i}$  and  $r_{i}$ . Hence we have  

$$\begin{vmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{r} C_{k} e^{i\lambda_{k}\chi_{0}} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\leq \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma(\chi_{0}+h)-\sigma(\chi_{0})} \int_{\chi_{0}}^{\chi_{0}+h} \frac{1}{f(x)} d\sigma(x) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$+ M_{r} \left( \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} |C_{k}|^{2} \right)^{\frac{r_{1}}{2}} \frac{1}{h\lambda_{r}} + M \ln \left| \left( \sum_{k=1}^{r} |C_{k}|\lambda_{k} \right) \right|$$
From this inequality, if we take  
 $\gamma = \gamma(\chi_{0})$  such that

$$S_{(x_0)}^{*} = \sup_{n} |S_n(x_0)| = |\sum_{i=1}^{r(x_0)} C_k e^{i\lambda_k x}|$$

then

$$S^{*}(\mathcal{I}_{0}) \leq \left| \frac{1}{\sigma(\chi_{0}+h) - \sigma(\chi_{0})} \int_{\chi_{0}}^{\chi_{0}+h} f(x) d\sigma(x) \right|$$

$$+ M_{1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| C_{k} \right|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{h\lambda_{r(\chi_{0})}} + M[h] \left( \sum_{k=1}^{r(\chi_{0})} |C_{k}|\lambda_{k} \right)$$

Now, let 
$$h = \lambda_{Y(I_{\sigma})}^{\prime\prime}$$
, then we have  

$$S^{*}(x_{\sigma}) = \left| \frac{1}{\sigma(\chi_{*} + \lambda_{Y(X_{\sigma})}^{-} - \sigma(\chi_{\sigma})} \int_{x_{\sigma}}^{x_{\sigma} + \lambda_{Y(X_{\sigma})}^{\prime}} \int_{x_{\sigma}}^{x_{\sigma} + \lambda_{Y(X_{\sigma})}^{\prime}} \right|$$

$$+ M_{1} \left( \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} |C_{R}|^{2} \right)^{1/2} + M \frac{1}{\lambda_{Y}} \left( \sum_{g=1}^{Y_{\sigma}} |C_{R}| \lambda_{g} \right)$$

Now since

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{r} |C_{k}| \lambda_{k} \right) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{r}} \sum_{k=1}^{r} |C_{k}| \lambda^{k}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{r}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{r} |C_{k}|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{r} \lambda^{2k} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq M_{\lambda} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |C_{k}|^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$

where  $M_{\lambda}$  is constant which depends only on  $\lambda$ . We have

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{S}^{*}(\mathbf{I}_{\bullet}) \leq \left| \frac{1}{\sigma(\mathbf{I}_{o} + \lambda_{\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{I}_{o})}^{*}) - \sigma(\mathbf{I}_{o})} \int_{\mathbf{I}_{\bullet}}^{\mathbf{I}_{o} + \lambda_{\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{I}_{o})}^{*}} f(\mathbf{I}) d\sigma(\mathbf{I}) \right| \\ + M_{\lambda} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |C_{k}|^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$

Using the well known maximal theorem of Hardy and Littlewood

where  $C_r$  is an absolute constant which depends only on  $\gamma$ .

From Theorem 1, (12) and (13), we completes the proof of Theorem 4.

The proof of Theorem 5 can be done in quitely similar way as in the proof of Theorem 4 by using Theorem 3.

## Proof of Theorem 6.

$$\begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{2n} c(\sigma(x))^{1/2n} \sum_{k=1}^{2n} C_{i}[n!]^{1/2n} \frac{3n}{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{1/2} [\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |C_{k}|^{2}]^{1/2} \\ \text{By (6)} \\ \{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{2n} C_{ij+k} e^{i\lambda_{sj}+e\chi} [\frac{2n}{d\sigma(\chi)}]^{1/2n} \sum_{k=1}^{2n} (n!)^{1/2n} (\sum_{ij} |C_{sj+k}|^{2})^{1/2} \\ (l = 1, 2, \dots, S), S = [\frac{3n}{\lambda}] + 1. \end{cases}$$

## So by Minkowski's inequality we have

$$\begin{split} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_{1}^{2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma(x)} \right\}^{l_{2n}} & \stackrel{\sim}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \left\{ \int_{1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k} c_{j+k} e^{i\lambda_{sj} u \cdot x} \int_{1}^{2n} d\sigma(x) \right\}^{l_{2n}} \\ & \leq (n!)^{l_{2n}} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2} |c_{sj+k}|^{2} \right)^{l_{2}} \\ & \leq (n!)^{l_{2n}} S \left[ \frac{1}{5} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_{k}|^{2} \right]^{l_{2}} \\ & \leq (n!)^{l_{2n}} S^{l_{2}} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_{k}|^{2} \right]^{l_{2}} \\ & \leq C_{1} \left[ n! \right]^{l_{2n}} \left[ \frac{3n}{\lambda} \right]^{l_{2}} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_{k}|^{2} \right]^{l_{2}} \end{split}$$

which proves the lemma.

2

We shall now prove the theorem. From the above lemma, using Stirling formula, we have The are of the 211.

$$\int_{\mathbf{k}\in\{2n\}}^{\infty} |f(x)|^{2n} d\sigma(x) \leq \int_{\mathbf{k}\in\{n\}}^{\infty} \frac{||x||}{|x||^2} \left[ \frac{3n}{\lambda} \right] \left[ \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\{1,n\}}^{\infty} ||c_{\mathbf{k}}|| \right]$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbf{k}\in\{1,n\}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{\mathbf{k}\in\{1,n\}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\{1,n\}}^{\infty} |c_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 \right]^n$$
Thus, if  $\frac{e^2}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \mu^2 \left( \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\{1,n\}}^{\infty} |c_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 \right)^n < 1$ , that is
$$(/4) \qquad \mathcal{M}^2 < \frac{4\lambda}{e^2 \cdot 3} \left( \sum |c_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 \right)$$

then the series

(15) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{2n}}{(2n)!} |f(x)|^{2n} d\sigma(x)$$

converges. Similarly, under the hypo-thesis (14),

(16) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{n} \frac{M^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!} \left| f(x) \right|^{2n-1} d\mathbf{O}'(x)$$

converges. Hence the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d^n}{n!} |f(x)|^n d\sigma(x)$$

converges. So if  $\mu^2 < \frac{4}{32} / \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |C_{k_k}|^2$ the function  $e^{\mu^2 + \frac{4}{32}}$  belongs to the class  $\Box^{1,\infty}(-\infty,\infty)$ . This completes the proof.

- (\*) Received April 12, 1950.
- A.2ygmund: Trigonometrical Series, Warsaw, 1935.
   M.Kac: Convergence and divergence
- (2) M.Adc: Convergence and diverging of non-harmonic gap series. Duke Math. Jour. 8 (1941).
  (3) M.Kac: Convergence of certain gap series, Ann. of Math., Vol. 44 (1943).
  (4) P.Hartman: Divergence of non-barronic gap approximation of the provention of the p
- harmonic gap theorems. Duke Math. Jour., 9 (1942). (5) S.Karlin: Orthogonal Properties
- of independent functions. Trans. of Amer. Math. Soc. 66 (1949).
- 66 (1949).
  (6) R.Salem and A.Zygmund: On lacunary trigonometric series (I), (II), Proceeding of the National Academy Science Vol. 33, No.11, (1947), Vol. 34, No.2 (1948).
  (7) T.Kawata and M.Udagawa: Some gap theorems. Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. Nos. 5-6 (1949).

Tokyo University of Education