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## 1. Introudction

1.1. For $n, q \in \boldsymbol{N} \backslash\{0\}$ we consider the polynomial functions

$$
f=f_{n, q}: \boldsymbol{C}^{3} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}, \quad f(x, y, z)=f_{n, q}(x, y, z):=x-3 x^{2 n+1} y^{2 q}+2 x^{3 n+1} y^{3 q}+y z
$$

We will study some properties of these polynomials, related to their behaviour at infinity, and we will prove that some results, obtained in [6] and [3], [4], [7] for the case of polynomials in two variables, are not true in the case of polynomials in $m \geqq 3$ variables. Also, our polynomials $f_{n, q}$ show that several classes of polynomials, with "good" behaviour at infinity, considered in [8], [9], [14], [10], are distinct.

The first remark on our polynomials is:
1.2. Remark. After a suitable polynomial change of coordinates in $\boldsymbol{C}^{3}$, one can write $f(X, y, Z)=X$. Namely, taking $Z:=z-3 x^{2 n+1} y^{2 q-1}+2 x^{3 n+1} y^{3 q-1}$, we get: $f(x, y, Z)=x+y Z$. Next, we put $X:=x+y Z$ and we obtain $f(X, y, Z)=$ $X$. Thus, there exists a polynomial automorphism $P=\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}\right): \boldsymbol{C}^{3} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{3}$ such that $f=P_{1}$.
1.3. For a polynomial $g: \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$, we consider $\operatorname{grad} g(x):=\overline{\left(\overline{\partial f} / \partial x_{1}(x)\right.}, \ldots$, $\overline{\left.\partial f / \partial x_{m}(x)\right)}$. If $g$ has non-isolated singularities, the Lojasiewicz number at infinity, $L_{\infty}(g)$, is defined by $L_{\infty}(g):=-\infty$. When $g$ has only isolated singularities, the Łojasiewicz number at infinity is the supremum of the set

$$
\left\{\nu \in \boldsymbol{R} \mid \exists A>0, \exists B>0, \forall x \in \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \text {, if }\|x\| \geqq B \text {, then } A\|x\|^{\nu} \leqq\|\operatorname{grad} g(x)\|\right\} .
$$

Equivalent definition is (see for instance [6] or [5], proof of Proposition 1):

$$
L_{\infty}(g):=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \varphi(r)}{\log r}, \quad \text { where } \varphi(r):=\inf _{\|x\|=r}\|\operatorname{grad} g(x)\| .
$$

The following result is a reformulation of Theorem 10.2 from [4]:
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Theorem. Let $g: \boldsymbol{C}^{2} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$ be a polynomial function. Then there exists a polynomial automorphism $P=\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right): \boldsymbol{C}^{2} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{2}$ such that $g=P_{1}$ if and only if $g$ has no critical values and $L_{\infty}(g)>-1$.
1.4. In the next Section we will prove the following

PROPOSITION. $\quad L_{\infty}\left(f_{n, q}\right)=-\frac{n}{q}$.
In particular, if $n \geqq q$, then $L_{\infty}\left(f_{n, q}\right) \leqq-1$. Using Remark 1.2, our Proposition shows that Theorem 1.3 can not be extended to the case of a polynomial function $g: \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$, when $m \geqq 3$.
1.5. It is proved in [6] and [4] that a polynomial function $g: \boldsymbol{C}^{2} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$ has $L_{\infty}(g) \neq-1$. Proposition 1.4 shows this is no longer true for polynomial functions $\boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$, when $m \geqq 3$.
1.6. If $g: \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$ is a polynomial function, we call $t_{0} \in \boldsymbol{C}$ a typical value of $g$ if there exists $U \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}$, an open neigbourhood of $t_{0}$, such that the restricition $g: g^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a $C^{\infty}$ trivial fibration. If $t_{0}$ is not a typical value of $g$, then $t_{0}$ is called an atypical value of $g$. In general, the bifurcation set, $B_{g}$, of atypical values of $g$, contains, besides the set $\Sigma_{g}$ of critical values of $g$, some extra values, the so-called "critical values coming from infinity". For example, if $g(x, y)=x^{2} y+x$, then $\Sigma_{g}=\emptyset$ and $B_{g}=\{0\}$.

Several classes of polynomials without "critical values coming from infinity" are considered in literature, see for instance [1], [8], [9], [13], [11]. We recall now three of them. In the next Section we will use the polynomials $f_{n, q}$ to show that these classes are distinct.

For a polynomial $g: \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$, we denote:

$$
M(g):=\left\{x \in \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \mid \exists \lambda \in \boldsymbol{C} \text { such that } \operatorname{grad} g(x)=\lambda \cdot x\right\} .
$$

Geometrically, a point $x \in M(g)$ if and only if either $x$ is a critical point of $g$, or $x$ is not a critical point of $g$, but the hypersurface $g^{-1}(g(x))$ does not intersect transversally, at $x$, the sphere $\left\{z \in \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \mid\|z\|=\|x\|\right\}$.

A polynomial $g: \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$ is called $M$-tame if for any sequence $\left\{z^{k}\right\} \subseteq M(g)$ such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z^{k}\right\|=\infty$, we have $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|g\left(z^{k}\right)\right|=\infty$. See [12] for properties of $M$-tame polynomials.

A polynomial $g: \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$ is called quasitame if for any sequence $\left\{\boldsymbol{z}^{k}\right\} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z^{k}\right\|=\infty$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{grad} g\left(z^{k}\right)=0$, we have $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mid g\left(z^{k}\right)-\left\langle z^{k}\right.$, $\left.\operatorname{grad} g\left(z^{k}\right)\right\rangle \mid=\infty$. Here, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the Hermitian product on $\boldsymbol{C}^{m}$. See [8], [9] for properties of quasitame polynomials.

Follwing [13], [14], we will say that a polynomial $g: \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$ satisfies Malgrange's condition for $t_{0} \in \boldsymbol{C}$ if, for $\|x\|$ large enough and for $g(x)$ close to $t_{0}$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\|x\| \cdot\|\operatorname{grad} g(x)\| \geqq \delta$. Equivalent formulation is:

There exists no sequence $\left\{z^{k}\right\} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z^{k}\right\|=\infty, \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} g\left(z^{k}\right)=t_{0}$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z^{k}\right\| \cdot\left\|\operatorname{grad} g\left(z^{k}\right)\right\|=0$.

The next result seems to be well-known, see [12], [10], [15]. Its proof can be easily obtained, by contradiction.
1.7. Proposition. For $m \geqq 2$, let $g: \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$ be a polynomial function.
(a) If $g$ is quasitame, then $g$ satisfies Malgrange's condition for ang $t_{0} \in \boldsymbol{C}$.
(b) If $g$ satisfies Malgrange's condition for any $t_{0} \in \boldsymbol{C}$, then $g$ is $M$-tame.

We will show that these implications can not be reversed, if $m \geqq 3$ (for (a), see also [2]). More precisely, we have:
1.8. Proposition. (a) For any $n, q \in \boldsymbol{N} \backslash\{0\}$, the polynomial $f_{n, q}$ is $M$-tame, but not quasitame.
(b) The polynomial $f_{n, q}$ satisfies Malgrange's condition for any $t_{0} \in \boldsymbol{C}$, if and only if $n \leqq q$.

Thus, if $f=f_{n, q}$ for some $n>q$, then, by [14], the family $\bar{f}$ of projective closures of fibres of $f$ has nontrivial vanishing cycles, despite Remark 1.2. Also, such an $f$ is not $t$-regular at infinity, in the sense of [15], since by [14], the $t$-regularity at infinity is equivalent to Malgrange's condition for any $t_{0} \in$ $C$.

## 2. Proofs

2.1. Let $g: \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$ be a polynomial function with only isolated singularities. For an analytic curve $p:(0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\|p(t)\|=\infty$, we consider the expansions in Laurent series:

$$
\begin{gather*}
p(t)=a t^{\alpha}+a_{1} t^{\alpha+1}+\cdots, \quad \text { with } \alpha<0 \text { and } a \neq 0  \tag{1}\\
\operatorname{grad} g(p(t))=b t^{\beta}+b_{1} t^{\beta+1}+\cdots, \quad \text { with } \beta \neq 0 \text { and } b \neq 0 \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

and we denote $L(g ; p):=\operatorname{ord}(\operatorname{grad} g(p(t))) / \operatorname{ord}(p(t))=\beta / \alpha$. Here, ord denotes the order of series. It follows, for example from (the proof of) Proposition 1 in [5], that

$$
L_{\infty}(g)=\inf \left\{L(g ; p) \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
p:(0, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \text { is an analytic curve }  \tag{3}\\
\text { such that (1) and (2) are fulfilled }
\end{array}\right.\right\} .
$$

2.2. Proof of Proposition 1.4. Consider the curve $\Psi:(0,1) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ defined by : $\Psi(t):=\left(t^{-q}, t^{n}, 0\right)$. Then $L\left(f_{n, q} ; \Psi\right)=-(n / q)$, hence $L_{\infty}\left(f_{n, q}\right) \leqq-(n / q)<0$.

Let now consider an arbitrary analytic curve $p:(0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{m}, p(t)=(x(t), y(t)$, $z(t)$, such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\|p(t)\|=\infty$.

If $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left\|\operatorname{grad} f_{n, q}(p(t))\right\| \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{ord}\left(\operatorname{grad} f_{n, q}(p(t))\right) \leqq 0$. Hence, $L\left(f_{n, q} ; p\right)$ $\geqq 0>L\left(f_{n, q} ; \Psi\right)$.

Suppose now that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left\|\operatorname{grad} f_{n, q}(p(t))\right\|=0$. Then $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} y(t)=0$ and
(4) $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}(x(t))^{n} \cdot(y(t))^{q}$ is a root of the equation $1-(6 n+3) T^{2}+(6 n+2) T^{3}=0$.

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t) \not \equiv 0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} y(t)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\|x(t)\|=\infty . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ord}(y(t)) \geqq \operatorname{ord}\left(\operatorname{grad} f_{n, q}(p(t))\right)>0 \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{ord}(p(t)) \leqq \operatorname{ord}(x(t))<0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows, using (4) and (6), that

$$
L\left(f_{n, q} ; p\right)=\frac{\operatorname{ord}\left(\operatorname{grad} f_{n, q}(p(t))\right)}{\operatorname{ord}(p(t))} \geqq \frac{\operatorname{ord}(y(t))}{\operatorname{ord}(p(t))} \geqq \frac{\operatorname{ord}(y(t))}{\operatorname{ord}(x(t))}=-\frac{n}{q} .
$$

Proposition 1.4 is proved.
2.3. Proof of Proposition 1.8. Part (b) follows from (the proof of) Proposition 1.4.

If $n, q \in N \backslash\{0\}$ are fixed, then the curve $\Psi(t):=\left(t^{-q}, t^{n}, 0\right)$ can be used to show that $f_{n, q}$ is not quasitame.

Suppose now that $f=f_{n, q}$ is not $M$-tame. Using Curve Selection Lemma at infinity, see [12], one can find an analytic curve $p:(0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M(f), p(t)=$ $(x(t), y(t), z(t))$, such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} f(p(t)) \in C$. This implies that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{grad} f(p(t))$ $=0$, hence relations (4) and (5) hold. The condition $p(t) \in M(f)$ means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\overline{\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(p(t))\right.}, \overline{\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(p(t))}, \overline{\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(p(t))}\right)=\lambda(t) \cdot(x(t), y(t), z(t)) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some suitable analytic curve $\lambda:(0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$. It follows that none of the components of $p(t)$ or of $\operatorname{grad} f(p(t))$ is identically zero.

If $A:=\operatorname{ord}(x(t)), B:=\operatorname{ord}(y(t))$ and $C:=\operatorname{ord}((\partial f / \partial x)(p(t)))$, then $A<0, B>0$, $C>0$, and relations (4) and (7) give us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ord}(\lambda(t))=C-A, \quad n A+q B=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{ord}(z(t))=B+A-C . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f=y(\partial f / \partial y)+x\left(1+(6 q-3) x^{2 n} y^{2 q}-(6 q-2) x^{3 n} y^{3 q}\right)$, it is easy to see that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}(x(t))^{n} \cdot(y(t))^{q}$ is a root of the equation $1+(6 q-3) T^{2}-(6 q-2) T^{3}=0$.

Thus, using (4), it follows that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}(x(t))^{n} \cdot(y(t))^{q}=1$. Hence we can assume that

$$
x(t)=t^{A}, \quad y(t)=t^{B}+t^{B+D} \cdot \rho(t), \quad \text { with } \quad D>0 \quad \text { and } \quad \rho(0) \neq 0
$$

(since $(x(t))^{n} \cdot(y(t))^{q} \equiv 1$ implies that $\left.(\partial f / \partial x)(p(t)) \equiv 0\right)$. By a direct computation, we find that

$$
\lambda(t)=\frac{1}{x(t)} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(p(t))=6 n q \cdot \overline{\rho(0)} \cdot t^{D-A}+\text { higher terms }
$$

hence, by (8), $D=C$. Next, the second component in (7) gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
z(t) & =6 q x(t)^{2 n+1} y(t)^{2 q-1}\left(1-x(t)^{n} y(t)^{q}\right)+\overline{\lambda(t) y(t)} \\
& =-6 q^{2} \cdot \rho(0) \cdot t^{A-B+D}+\text { higher terms } .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by (8), we have $B=C=D$. Finally, the third component in (7) gives us

$$
t^{B}+t^{B+D} \cdot \overline{\rho(t)}=6 n q \cdot \overline{\rho(0)} \cdot t^{D-A} \cdot\left(-6 q^{2} \cdot \rho(0) \cdot t^{A-B+D}\right)+\text { higher terms }
$$

Comparing the ledings coefficients, we obtain

$$
1=-36 n q^{3} \cdot|\rho(0)|^{2},
$$

which is impossible. Thus, Proposition 1.8 is proved.
2.4. Remark. (i) If $n \leqq q$, it is possible to prove that $f_{n, q}$ is $M$-tame just looking at the order of various Laurent expansions.
(ii) It is not difficult to see that for the polynomials $f_{n, q}$, the Newton nondegeneracy condition fails on a face of dimension 1. Using this, one can construct other similar examples.
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