J.K. LANGLEY KODAI MATH. J. 9 (1986), 430-439

ON COMPLEX OSCILLATION AND A PROBLEM OF OZAWA

By J.K. LANGLEY

Abstract

It is shown that if Q(z) is a non-constant polynomial, then all non-trivial solutions of

 $y'' + (e^z + Q(z))y = 0$

have zeros with infinite exponent of convergence. Similar methods are used to settle a problem of M. Ozawa: if P(z) is a non-constant polynomial, all non-trivial solutions of

$$y'' + e^{-z}y' + P(z)y = 0$$

have infinite order.

1. Introduction.

We are concerned with the order of growth of solutions of the differential equation

$$y'' + A(z)y' + B(z)y = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

where A(z) and B(z) are entire functions of finite order, not both polynomials. Denoting by $\sigma(g)$ the order of an entire function g, we note that if $\sigma(B) > \sigma(A)$ then it follows at once from the lemma of the logarithmic derivative (see [11]) that all non-trivial solutions of (1.1) are entire functions of infinite order. However, if $\sigma(B) < \sigma(A)$ it may be difficult to determine whether (1.1) can have non-trivial solutions of finite order, although since a finite order solution cannot grow large when A(z) is close to its maximum modulus in this case, certain equations (for example if $A(z) = \sin(z^n)$) are easily dealt with.

Ozawa [13] considered the equation

$$y'' + e^{-z}y' + P(z)y = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

where P(z) is a polynomial. A result of Frei [7] states that if P is a constant, then (1.2) has a solution of finite order if and only if $P=-n^2$, where n is an integer. (See also [3] for a result on the oscillation of solutions of (1.2) when P is a constant). The case where P is non-constant is more difficult to resolve and results of Ozawa [13], Amemiya and Ozawa [1], and Gundersen [9] may be summarised as follows:

Received May 1, 1986

THEOREM A. Let $P(z) = a_n z^n + a_p z^p + \dots + a_0$ be a non-constant polynomial. Then all non-trivial solutions of

$$y'' + e^{-z}y' + P(z)y = 0$$

have infinite order if P(z) satisfies any of the following:

- (a) n is odd;
- (b) n is even, and $n \ge 2p+3$;
- (c) n=2, and $a_1=a_0=0$;
- (d) n is even, and $a_n(-1)^{n/2}$ is not real and negative.

Now the problem of the growth of solutions of (1.1) is related to that of the oscillation of solutions of

$$y'' + A(z)y = 0$$
 (1.3)

where A(z) is a transcendental entire function of finite order. Denoting by $\lambda(g)$ the exponent of convergence of the zeros of g, it is shown in [2] that the product E(z) of two linearly independent solutions f_1 and f_2 of (1.3) itself satisfies

$$4A = (E'/E)^2 - 2(E''/E) - (1/E^2)$$
(1.4)

after a normalisation which makes the Wronskian of f_1 and f_2 equal to 1. Now (1.4) implies that if $\lambda(E)$ is finite then so is $\sigma(E)$ and moreover E is small where A is large so that if, for example, $\sigma(A) < \frac{1}{2}$ then $\lambda(E)$ must be infinite (see [2]). It is conjectured that if $\lambda(E)$ is finite then $\sigma(A)$ must be a positive integer. On the other hand examples of integer order do exist—there are pairs of polynomials P and Q (see [5]) whose degrees d_P , d_Q satisfy

such that the equation

$$y'' + (e^P + Q)y = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

has two linearly independent non-vanishing solutions. These examples make the following result sharp [5]:

 $d_{\rho}+2=2d_{P}$

THEOREM B. Let A(z) be a transcendental entire function of finite order ρ with the following property: there exists a set $H \subseteq \mathbf{R}$, of measure zero, such that for each real θ not in H, either

$$(i) \quad r^{-N} |A(re^{i\theta})| \to \infty \text{ as } r \to \infty, \text{ for each } N > 0,$$

or
$$(ii) \quad \int_0^\infty r |A(re^{i\theta})| \, dr < \infty,$$

or

(iii) there exists $n \ge 0$, possibly depending on θ , such that $(n+2) < 2\rho$ and

$$A(re^{i\theta}) = O(r^n)$$
 as $r \to \infty$.

Then if f_1, f_2 are linearly independent solutions of

y'' + A(z)y = 0

we have $\max{\lambda(f_1), \lambda(f_2)} = \infty$.

Now if (1.4) has a solution E of finite order, which must be the product of two solutions of (1.3) (see [4]), then E can only grow large where the growth of A(z) is bounded by some power of |z|. In view of this fact, Theorem B and the examples preceding it, it is of interest to consider equations of the form (1.5), and the following was proved in [5]:

THEOREM C. Let $K \in C$ and suppose that

$$f'' + (e^z - K)f = 0 \tag{1.6}$$

has a non-trivial solution with $\lambda(f) < \infty$. Then

$$K = q^2/16$$
 (1.7)

where q is an odd integer. Conversely if K satisfies (1.7) with q odd, then (1.6) has two linearly independent solutions f_1, f_2 with $\lambda(f_1f_2) \leq 1$.

We remark that this result gives examples where $\sigma(E)$ is finite, but E has zeros, although it seems worth noting that $\sigma(E) = \sigma(A)$ and the author is unaware of any examples of solutions of (1.4) for which A is transcendental and

 $\sigma(A) < \sigma(E) < \infty$.

In the case where P is linear and Q is non-constant we are able to prove the following:

THEOREM 1. Let Q(z) be a non-constant polynomial, and let $\alpha \in C$. Then every non-trivial solution f of

$$y'' + (e^{z+\alpha} + Q(z))y = 0$$
 (1.8)

satisfies $\lambda(f) = \infty$.

Now it turns out that (1.8) can only have a solution f with $\lambda(f) < \infty$ if the equation

$$y'' + \left(2ce^{z/2} - \frac{1}{2}\right)y' + \left(Q(z) + \frac{1}{16}\right)y = 0,$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, has a solution of finite order (see §3 for a proof of this fact) and of course this equation is very close to (1.2). By similar methods to those of Theorem 1 we are able to settle Ozawa's problem:

THEOREM 2. Let Q(z) be a non-constant polynomial. Then all non-trivial solutions of

$$y'' + Ae^{-z}y' + Q(z)y = 0 \tag{1.9}$$

have infinite order, for any $A \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

The author would like to acknowledge very valuable conversations with S. Bank.

2. Notation and Preliminary Lemmas.

We define an *R*-set to be a countable set of discs in the plane the sum of whose radii is finite, and remark, following Hayman [10], that the set of θ for which the ray $re^{i\theta}$ meets infinitely many discs of a given *R*-set has measure zero.

A key role is played by the following lemma:

LEMMA 1. Let S be the strip

 $z = x + iy, \qquad x \ge x_0, \qquad |y| \le 4.$

Suppose that in S

$$Q(z) = a_n z^n + O(|z|^{n-2})$$

where n is a positive integer and $a_n > 0$. Then there exists a path Γ tending to infinity in S such that all solutions of

y'' + Qy = 0

tend to zero on Γ .

Proof. We set N=(n+2)/2 and

$$Z = \int_a^z Q(t)^{1/2} dt$$

for some large a in S. Then Z satisfies

$$Z = \int_{a}^{z} k_{1} t^{n/2} (1 + O(t^{-2})) dt$$

= $k_{2} z^{N} + o(|z|^{N-1})$ (2.1)

in the smaller strip S_1 given by

 $x \ge x_1, \qquad |y| \le 2.$

Here k_1, k_2, \cdots denote positive constants.

We assert that Z is univalent in the strip S_2 given by

$$x \ge x_2, \qquad |y| \le 1$$

for some large x_2 . For suppose that z and z_1 are in S_2 . Then since

J. K. LANGLEY

$$z^{N}-z_{1}^{N}=(z^{N}+z_{1}^{N})^{-1}(z-z_{1})z_{1}^{2N-1}\left(1+\cdots+\left(\frac{z}{z_{1}}\right)^{2N-1}\right)$$

we have

$$|z^{N}-z_{1}^{N}| \ge k_{3}|z-z_{1}|\max\{|z|^{N-1}, |z_{1}|^{N-1}\}$$
(2.2)

using the fact that $Re((z/z_1)^j)>0$ for $j=1, \dots, 2N-1$ if x_2 is large enough. So (2.1) and (2.2) imply that if z_1 and z_2 are large with $Z(z_1)=Z(z_2)$, then $|z_1-z_2| < 1/2$. But then applying Rouché's theorem on the circle $|z-z_1|=1/2$, and using (2.1) and (2.2) we see that $Z(z)-Z(z_1)$ has but one zero in $|z-z_1|<1/2$.

We assert now that if X is large and positive, Z(z) takes the value X at some point in S_2 . This follows again from Rouché's theorem. Set $x_3 = X^{1/N}$. Then on the circle $|z-x_3|=1/2$, using (2.2), we have

$$Z(z) - X = (1 + o(1))(z^N - x_3^N).$$

We now choose Γ to be the preimage in S_2 of the half line $\mathcal L$ given by

Z = X, $X \ge X_0$,

with X_0 large. We make the standard transformation

$$y(z) = Q(z)^{-(1/4)}U(Z)$$
 (2.3)

so that U(Z) satisfies

$$\frac{d^2U}{dZ^2} + (1 - F(Z))U = 0$$

where

$$F(Z) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{Q''(z)}{Q(z)^2} - \frac{5}{16} \frac{Q'(z)^2}{Q(z)^3}.$$

Hence, for large z in S_2 and Z = Z(z),

$$F(Z) = O(|z|^{-(n+2)})$$
$$= O(|Z|^{-2})$$

so that writing

$$U(Z) = U_1(Z) + \int_{x_0}^{z} \sin(Z - t) F(t) U(t) dt$$

where U_1 satisfies

$$U_{1}''+U_{1}=0$$

we obtain

$$|U| \leq k_4 + k_5 \int_{X_0}^{Z} |F(t)U(t)| dt$$

for Z on \mathcal{L} so that U is bounded on \mathcal{L} by Gronwall's lemma ([6], p 35). By (2.3) we see that $y(z) \rightarrow 0$ on Γ .

We require the growth estimate below, deduced in [5] from Herold's comparison theorem [12]:

LEMMA A. Suppose that A(z) is analytic in a sector containing the ray \mathcal{L} : $re^{i\theta}$ and that as $r \to \infty$,

$$A(re^{i\theta}) = O(r^n)$$

for some $n \ge 0$. Then all solutions of

$$y'' + A(z)y = 0$$

satisfy

$$\log^{+}|y(re^{i\theta})| = O(r^{(n+2)/2})$$

on \mathcal{L} .

3. Proof of Theorem 1.

We assume that (1.8) has a solution y(z) with $\lambda(y) < \infty$. By a translation we may assume that

$$Q(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-2} z^{n-2} + \cdots$$
(3.1)

if $n \ge 2$ or

$$Q(z) = a_1 z \tag{3.2}$$

if n=1. We define the critical rays for Q as those rays $re^{i\theta}$ for which

Arg $a_n + (n+2)\theta = 0 \pmod{2\pi}$

and remark that the substitution $z = x e^{i\theta}$ transforms the equation (1.8) into

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + (e^{2i\theta}e^{\alpha + xe^{i\theta}} + Q_1(x))y = 0,$$

where $Q_1(x) = \alpha_1 x^n + O(x^{n-2})$ and $\alpha_1 > 0$. By Lemma 1, for any critical θ lying in $\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}\right)$ there exists a path Γ_{θ} tending to infinity, such that arg $z \to \theta$ on Γ_{θ} while $y(z) \to 0$ there.

A representation for y(z). Since $\lambda(y) < \infty$ we may write $y = \prod e^h$ where $\sigma(\prod)$ is finite, so that

$$\frac{\Pi''}{\Pi} + \frac{2\Pi'}{\Pi} h' + h'' + h'^2 + e^{z+\alpha} + Q = 0.$$
(3.3)

We differentiate (3.3) and subtract (3.3) from the differentiated equation, and find that

$$h'(2h''-h')=P(h')$$

where P(h') is a differential polynomial in h', linear in h', h'', \cdots , and with coefficients which are differential polynomials in Π'/Π . Clunie's Lemma applies ([11], p 68) and we deduce that

$$2h'' - h' = P_1(z)$$
,

with P_1 a polynomial, so that

$$h' = c e^{z/2} + P_2(z), \qquad (3.4)$$

where c is a constant and P_2 is a polynomial. We then set

$$y = W \exp\left(2ce^{z/2} - \frac{z}{4}\right) \tag{3.5}$$

and substitute in the equation (1.8). (This device is due to S. Bank.) We remark that by (3.4) and (3.5), W has finite order since Π has.

Now W satisfies

$$W'' + \left(2ce^{z/2} - \frac{1}{2}\right)W' + \left(c^2e^z + e^{z+\alpha} + Q(z) + \frac{1}{16}\right)W = 0.$$

Setting V = W'/W we have

$$V'+V^{2}+\left(2ce^{z/2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)V+\left(c^{2}e^{z}+e^{z+\alpha}+Q(z)+\frac{1}{16}\right)=0.$$
(3.6)

Since

$$|V'| + |V| = O(|z|^{M})$$

outside an *R*-set *U* we deduce from (3.6) that $c^2 = -e^{\alpha}$. Moreover, if $\phi \in \left(\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ is such that the ray $re^{i\phi}$ meets only finitely many discs of *U* we see that $V = o(|z|^{-2})$ as *z* tends to infinity on this ray and hence that *W* tends to a finite, non-zero limit. Applying this reasoning to a set of ϕ outside a set of zero measure we deduce by the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle that with no loss of generality, if ε is positive, then

$$W(re^{i\theta}) \longrightarrow 1$$
 (3.7)

as $r \to \infty$ with $|\theta| < \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon$. We deduce also from (3.5) that $W \to 0$ along the paths Γ_{θ} determined by the critical rays in the sector $\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \frac{3\pi}{2}$.

The order of W. By Lemma A, y(z) satisfies

$$\log^{+}|y(re^{i\theta})| = O(r^{(n+2)/2})$$
(3.8)

as $r \to \infty$, for any θ in $\left[\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}\right]$. Thus (3.5), (3.7), (3.8) and the fact that ε is

arbitrary imply that, by the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle,

$$\sigma(W) \leq \frac{n+2}{2}.$$
(3.9)

Conclusion of the proof.

If n=1, at least one critical θ for Q(z) must lie in $\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}\right)$. But this implies the existence of an unbounded domain of angular measure at most $\frac{\pi}{3} + \varepsilon$, bounded by a path on which $W(z) \rightarrow 0$ and a ray on which $W(z) \rightarrow 1$. But then the Phragmén-Lindelöf Theorem (see eg. [8], p 104) implies that $\sigma(W) \ge 3$, since ε is arbitrary, and this contradicts (3.9).

In the case $n \ge 2$ we claim that $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ is a critical ray for Q(z). For otherwise there exists a critical θ for Q in

$$\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{2\pi}{n+2}$$

and the same reasoning as above, with

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{2\pi}{n+2} - \theta \right)$$

implies that $\sigma(W) > \frac{n+2}{2}$, contradicting (3.9). But now by Lemma 1, $W(z) \rightarrow 0$ on a path $\Gamma_{\pi/2}$ on which arg $z \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}$ and this combined with (3.7) provides a contradiction.

4. Proof of Theorem 2.

Since the proof is very similar to that of Theorem 1 we present only a sketch. Assuming that (1.9) has a solution y(z) of finite order and that Q(z) satisfies (3.1), or (3.2) if Q is linear, we deduce as in the proof of Theorem 1 that, given any position ε , with no loss of generality

$$y(z) \longrightarrow 1$$
 (4.1)

as $z = re^{i\theta} \to \infty$ with $\frac{\pi}{2} + \varepsilon < \theta < \frac{3\pi}{2} - \varepsilon$.

We now set

$$y = uv \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$v = \exp\left(\frac{A}{2}e^{-z}\right) \tag{4.3}$$

and u satisfies

J. K. LANGLEY

$$u'' + \left(Q(z) - \frac{A^2}{4}e^{-2z} + \frac{A}{2}e^{-z}\right)u = 0.$$
(4.4)

Now, as $z \to \infty$ with $|\arg z| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, we see that $v(z) \to 1$, while on the strip

z = x + iy, $|x| \leq 4$

|v(z)| is bounded above and below. Defining again the critical rays for Q(z) as those rays $re^{i\theta}$ for which

$$\operatorname{Arg} a_n + (n+2)\theta = 0 \pmod{2\pi}$$

we deduce from (4.2), (4.3), (4.4) and Lemma 1 that for any critical ray with θ lying in $\left(\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ there exists a path Γ_{θ} on which u(z), and moreover y(z), tend to zero, and on which arg $z \rightarrow \theta$, while the same is true if $n \ge 2$ and $\theta = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ is a critical ray.

As in the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain from Lemma A the estimate

$$\sigma(y) \leq \frac{n+2}{2},\tag{4.5}$$

and proceed to a contradiction as follows. If n=1 some critical ray for Q must lie in $\left(\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ and we find that $y(z) \rightarrow 1$ on a ray and $y(z) \rightarrow 0$ on a path which together bound a region of angular measure at most $\frac{\pi}{3} + \varepsilon$, implying that $\sigma(y) > \frac{3}{2}$, which contradicts (4.5). On the other hand if $n \ge 2$ the same reasoning implies that $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ is a critical ray for Q(z) and again we find that y(z) tends to zero on a path $\Gamma_{\pi/2}$. Since y has finite order and ε is arbitrary, this and (4.1) provide a contradiction.

References

- [1] I. AMEMIYA AND M. OZAWA, Non-existence of finite order solutions of $w'' + e^{-z}w' + Q(z)w = 0$, Hokkaido Math. J., 10 (1981), Special Issue, 1-17.
- [2] S. BANK AND I. LAINE, On the oscillation theory of f'' + Af = 0 where A is entire, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 273, (1982), 351-363.
- [3] S. BANK AND I. LAINE, Representation of solutions of periodic second order linear differential equations", J. Reine Angew. Math., 344 (1983), 1-21.
- [4] S. BANK AND I. LAINE, On the zeros of meromorphic solutions of second order linear differential equations, Comment. Math. Helv., 58 (1983), 656-677.
- [5] S. BANK, I. LAINE AND J.K. LANGLEY, On the frequency of zeros of solutions of second order linear differential equations, to appear, Resultate der Mathematik.
- [6] R. BELLMAN, Stability theory of differential equations, McGraw-Hill, New York,

1953.

- [7] M. FREI, Über die subnormalen Lösungen der Differentialgleichung $w'' + e^{-z}w' + (\text{const.})w = 0$, Comment. Math. Helv., **36** (1962), 1-8.
- [8] W.H.J. FUCHS, Topics in the theory of functions of one complex variable, Van Nostrand Math. Studies, 12, 1967.
- [9] G. GUNDERSEN, On the question of whether $f'' + e^{-z}f' + B(z)f = 0$ can admit a solution $f \neq 0$ of finite order, Proc. R.S. Edinburgh, 102A (1986), 9-17.
- [10] W.K. HAYMAN, Slowly growing integral and subharmonic functions, Comment. Math. Helv., 34 (1960), 75-84.
- [11] W.K. HAYMAN, Meromorphic functions, Oxford at the Clarendon Press, 1964.
- [12] H. HEROLD, Ein Vergleichssatz f
 ür komplexe linearer Differentialgleichungen, Math. Zeit., 126 (1972), 91-94.
- [13] M. OZAWA, On a solution of $w'' + e^{-z}w' + (az+b)w = 0$, Kodai Math. J., 3 (1980), 295-309.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, North Haugh, St. Andrews, Ky16 9ss, Scotland