

ON RELATIONS BETWEEN BROWN-PETERSON COHOMOLOGY AND THE ORDINARY MOD p COHOMOLOGY THEORY

Dedicated to Professor Nobuo Shimada on his 60-th birthday

BY NOBUAKI YAGITA

Introduction.

Let $H^*(X; Z_p)$ be the ordinary mod p cohomology for odd prime and let $BP^*(X)$ be the Brown-Peterson cohomology theory with $BP^* = Z_{(p)}[v_1, v_2, \dots]$. The spectrum $V(n)$ is defined by $H^*(V(n); Z_p) \cong \wedge [Q_0, \dots, Q_n]$ [8] where Q_i is the Milnor operation and $V(n)$ is also defined by $BP^*(V(n)) \cong BP^*/(p, v_1, \dots, v_n)$ [6]. To consider the equivalence of the above two definitions was the beginning of this paper.

We note a relation between the Q_i -action and v_i -torsion, which is an immediate consequence from the Sullivan's bordism theory of manifolds with singularities.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $x_j \in BP^*(X)$ and $\sum v_j x_j = 0 \pmod{I_\infty^2}$ where $I_\infty = (p, v_1, \dots)$. Then there is $y \in H^*(X; Z_p)$ such that $Q_j(y) = i(x_j)$ where i is the inclusion map (Thom map) $i: BP \rightarrow HZ_p$.*

The Brown-Peterson cohomology is studied by many authors, especially the Adams spectral sequence for $BP^*(S^N)$ is well researched. However, known examples of non free BP^* -module $BP^*(X)$ are not so many. Using above lemma, we consider the way to calculate $BP^*(X)$ when the Steenrod algebra structure of $H^*(X; Z_p)$ is known, and we give examples of the BP^* -module $BP^*(X)$.

In section 1 using Sullivan's original definition of the bordism theory with (cone type) singularities, we treat the Quillen's geometric approach to the cobordism theory. In §2 main lemmas are shown. We recall some important facts about the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence and we define an invariant which is convenient to use. Some examples are discussed in §3. The spectrum $V(n)$ and Lens spaces are first treated. We next study about finite H -spaces and Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(Z, 3)$, in particular, BP^* -module structures of even dimensional indecomposed elements are discussed.

The author thanks to W. S. Wilson who suggested the proof of Theorem 3.4.2.

Received October 3, 1983

§ 1. Cobordism theory with singularities.

We first recall the definition of manifolds with singularities due to Baas [1]. Let $S_n = (P_1, \dots, P_n)$ be a sequence of closed manifolds. We say Z is an S_n -manifold if its boundary is decomposed as products of P_i , namely, there are manifolds $\partial_i Z, \partial_{(i_1, \dots, i_s)} Z, Z(i_1, \dots, i_s)$, for $(i_1, \dots, i_s) \subset (1, \dots, n)$, and natural isomorphisms

$$(1) \quad \partial Z \cong \cup \partial_i Z$$

$$\partial_{i_1} Z \cap \dots \cap \partial_{i_s} Z = \partial_{(i_1, \dots, i_s)} Z \cong Z(i_1, \dots, i_s) \times P_{i_1} \times \dots \times P_{i_s}.$$

We next define an \hat{S}_n -manifold (S_n -manifold with cones) by the quotient space of Z collapsing the P_i -factors, i.e.,

$$(2) \quad \hat{Z} = Z / (w, a) \sim (w, b) \quad \text{where} \quad (w, a), (w, b) \in \partial_{(i_1, \dots, i_s)} Z$$

$$\text{and} \quad w \in Z(i_1, \dots, i_s), \quad a, b \in P_{i_1} \times \dots \times P_{i_s}.$$

Sullivan originally defined an \hat{S}_n -manifold as the manifold such that each point of its boundaries has a neighbourhood factored cone $(P_{i_1} * \dots * P_{i_s})$. We show these two definition are equivalent.

Consider the tubular neighbourhoods of $\partial_{(1, \dots, s)} Z$,

$$(\text{Nei. in } \partial Z) \cong Z(1, \dots, s) \times P_1 \times \dots \times P_s \times \Delta_{s-1},$$

$$(\text{Nei. in } Z) \cong Z(1, \dots, s) \times P_1 \times \dots \times P_s \times \Delta_s$$

where we identify $\partial_{(1, \dots, s)} Z = Z(1, \dots, s) \times P_1 \times \dots \times P_s \times (\text{center of } \Delta_{s-1})$ and Δ_{s-1} includes the $s+1$ -th face of Δ_s . Take the boundary of Nei. in Z (the link complex)

$$Lk \cong Z(1, \dots, s) \times P_1 \times \dots \times P_s \times (\dot{\Delta}_s - \dot{\Delta}_{s-1}).$$

Since $(\dot{\Delta}_s - \dot{\Delta}_{s-1}) \cong \Delta_{s-1}$, if we take the quotient (2), then

$$\widehat{Lk} \cong Z(1, \dots, s) \times P_1 \times \dots \times P_s \times \Delta_{s-1} / (w, \dots, p_i, \dots, \sigma) \sim (w, \dots, p'_i, \dots, \sigma), \quad \sigma \in \dot{\Delta}_{s-1}$$

$$\cong Z(1, \dots, s) \times P_1 * \dots * P_s.$$

Since boundary $\partial_{(1, \dots, s)} Z$ collapses to $Z(1, \dots, s)$, (Nei. in Z) collapses to

$$(3) \quad \widehat{(\text{Nei. } Z)} \cong Z(1, \dots, s) \times (\text{cone } P_1 * \dots * P_s).$$

Hence the definition (2) is the Sullivan one. Moreover we note \hat{Z} is also defined by

$$(4) \quad \hat{Z} = \hat{Z} \cup \Sigma J(i) \times Z(i) \cup \Sigma j(i, j) \times Z(i, j) \cup \dots \cup J(i, \dots, n) \times Z(1, \dots, n)$$

where $J(1, \dots, s) = \text{cone}(P_1 * \dots * P_s)$

$$= \text{cone}(J(2, \dots, s) \times P_1 \cup \dots \cup J(\dots, t, \dots) \times P_t \cup \dots \cup J(1, \dots, s-1) \times P_s)$$

and cone $P = P \times I / P \times \{1\}$ and $\partial_{(1, \dots, s)} Z = \{0\} \times Z(1, \dots, s)$.

Hereafter let denote $\partial_{(1, \dots, s)} \hat{Z} = \hat{Z}(1, \dots, s)$.

DEFINITION 1.2. Let X be an (open or closed) manifold and \hat{Z} be an \hat{S}_n -manifold. A map $f: Z \rightarrow X$ is a complex oriented of dimension q if f is factored such that

$$f: \hat{Z} \xrightarrow{i} X \times R^N \xrightarrow{p} X$$

- (1) i is an embedding with normal bundles ν_{i_1, \dots, i_s} having compatible stable complex structure on each $(\partial_{(i_1, \dots, i_s)} \hat{Z} - \partial(\partial_{(i_1, \dots, i_s)} Z))$,
- (2) p is a projection,
- (3) if $z \in (\partial_{(i_1, \dots, i_s)} \hat{Z} - \partial(\partial_{(i_1, \dots, i_s)} Z))$, then
 $(\dim Z \text{ at } z) - (\dim X \text{ at } f(z)) = q - (\dim P_{i_1} + \dots + \dim P_{i_s} + s)$.

DEFINITION 1.3. Let $f: \hat{Z} \rightarrow X$ be a complex oriented map and $g: Y \rightarrow X$ be a map. Define the modified pull back $Y \times'_X Z \rightarrow Y$ as follows.

For ease of arguments, assume $n=1$, i.e., the S_1 -case. Let $\hat{Z} = Z \cup \text{cone } P_1 \times Z(1)$. Take $g' \times f'(1)$ transversal to the diagonal $\Delta \subset X \times X$. Then $(g' \times f'(1))^{-1} \Delta = Y \times_X Z(1)$ is a manifold and $(g' \times f'(1) \cdot \text{proj})^{-1} \Delta = Y \times_X Z(1) \times \text{cone } P_1$ where $\text{proj}: Z(1) \times \text{cone } P_1 \rightarrow Z(1)$ is the projection. Let $f'': Z \cup \partial Z(1) \times I \rightarrow X$ be a map so that $f'' = f$ on Z and f'' is the homotopy between f and f' on $Z(1) \times I$. Taking $g' \times f''$ for $g' \times f''$ transversal to Δ , we can define

$$(g' \times (f'' \cup f'(1) \cdot \text{proj}))^{-1} = Y \times'_X Z.$$

When $n > 1$, we can also define the modified pull back by descending induction on sequences (i_1, \dots, i_s) in (3).

DEFINITION 1.4. Let $f_i: Z_i \rightarrow X$, $i=1, 0$ be complex oriented maps. Then they are cobordant if there is a proper complex oriented map $b: W \rightarrow X \times R$ such that $\varepsilon_i: X \rightarrow X \times R$, $\varepsilon_i(x) = (x, i)$ is transversal to b , and the pull back of ε_i is isomorphic to f_i .

THEOREM 1.5. For a manifold X , the set of cobordism classes of proper complex oriented map of dimension $-q$ is $MU(S_n)^q(X)$. Here $MU(S_n)^*(X)$ is the cobordism theory with singularities and without cone due to Baas [1], [9].

DEFINITION 1.6. (Gysin homomorphism) A proper complex oriented map $g: \hat{X} \rightarrow Y$ of dimension d induces a map

$$g_*: MU(S_n)^*(\hat{X}) \longrightarrow MU(S_n)^{*-d}(Y)$$

which sends $f: \hat{Z} \rightarrow \hat{X}$ into $gf: \hat{Z} \rightarrow Y$.

DEFINITION 1.7. (Contravariant map) Let $g: Y \rightarrow X$ be a map of manifolds, and let $f: \hat{Z} \rightarrow X$ be a proper complex oriented map. Then g induces a map

$$g^*: MU(S_n)^*(X) \longrightarrow MU(S_n)^*(Y)$$

which sends $f: \hat{Z} \rightarrow X$ into the modified pull back $Y \times'_X \hat{Z} \rightarrow Y$.

THEOREM 1.8. (Sullivan's exact sequence) *There is an MU^* -module exact sequence*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} MU(S_n)^*(X) & \xrightarrow{P_{n+1}} & MU(S_n)^*(X) \\ & \swarrow \delta \quad \searrow i & \\ & MU(S_n, P_{n+1})^*(X) & \end{array}$$

where i is the natural inclusion map and $\delta(\hat{A}, f) = (\partial_{n+1}\hat{A}, f)$.

COROLLARY 1.9. *If S_n is a regular sequence in MU^* , then $MU(S_n)^*(S^0) \cong MU^*/(S_n)$.*

In particular $MU(x_i | i \neq p^j - 1)^*(X)_{(p)} \cong BP^*(X)$ and $MU(p, x_1, \dots)^*(X) \cong H^*(X; Z_p)$. Identifying $x_{p^i-1} = v_i$, we denote $MU(S_n x_i, \dots | i \neq p^i - 1)^*(X)_{(p)}$ by $BP(S_n)^*(X)$. Recall the notations $BP(p, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) = P(n)$, $BP(p, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_{n+1}, \dots) = k(n)$ and $v_n^{-1}k(n) = K(n)$.

Define an operation Q_{P_i} by $Q_{P_i}([\hat{A}, f]) = [\partial_i \hat{A}, f | \partial_i \hat{A}] = [\hat{A}(i), f(i)]$. Then it is easily seen $Q_{P_i}Q_{P_j} = -Q_{P_j}Q_{P_i}$. Hereafter we fix the generators v_n such that the Chern number $c\Delta_{p^{n-1}}(v_n) = p \bmod p^2$, namely, the Milnor manifolds.

THEOREM 1.10. *In $H^*(X; Z_p) \cong BP(p, v_1, \dots)^*(X)$, the operation Q_{v_i} is the Milnor operation Q_i , ($Q_0 =$ the Bockstein operation and $Q_i = \mathcal{P}^{p^i-1}Q_{i-1} - Q_{i-1}\mathcal{P}^{p^i-1}$) [9].*

The cohomology operations in $MU^*(-)$ are MU^* -generated by the Landweber-Novikov operation s_α . The operation s_α is defined also in $MU(I_n)^*(-)$, $I_n = (p, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$ [9]. We here define it from geometric viewpoints, as follows.

Given $[\hat{A}, f] \in MU(I_n)^*(X)$, we will define $s_\alpha[\hat{A}, f]$. First suppose $\partial \hat{A} = \partial_i \hat{A}$, i.e., $\partial \hat{A} = A(i) \times v_i$. Let $\tau_{\partial A} : \partial A \rightarrow BU$ be the map which represents the tangent bundle of ∂A . Since

$$\tau_{\partial A}^* \cong (\tau_{A(i)} \times \tau_{v_i})^* \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{\partial A}^*(c_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha = \alpha' + \alpha''} \tau_{A(i)}^* c_{\alpha'} \tau_{v_i}^* c_{\alpha''},$$

the definition of s_α in $MU^*(-)$ theory follows

$$s_\alpha[\partial A, \partial f] = \sum_{\alpha = \alpha' + \alpha''} s_{\alpha'} A(i) \cdot s_{\alpha''}(v_i).$$

Here $s_{\alpha''}(v_i) \in I_i = (p, \dots, v_{i-1})$ and we can write

$$s_\alpha[\partial A, \partial f] = \sum_{\alpha = \alpha' + \alpha''} s_{\alpha'} A(i) \cdot \sum_{j < i} b_{\alpha''} v_j. \quad (1)$$

Let $[M, g] \in MU^*(A)$ be a manifold which represents $\tau_A^*(c_\alpha)$. Then there is a manifold W so that

$$\partial W = \partial M \vee (\text{right hand side of (1)}).$$

Therefore we can define $s_\alpha[A, f]$ by

$$gf : M \bigcup_{\partial M} W \bigcup_{\alpha', j} (s_{\alpha'}(A(i)) \times b_{\alpha', j}) \times \text{cone } v_j \longrightarrow A \cup A(i) \times \text{cone } v_j \longrightarrow X.$$

The fact that if $b_{\alpha', j}$ is also in I_{i-1} then $b_{\alpha', j} = 0$ in $MU(I_n)^*$ implies that $s_{\alpha'}[A, f]$ is welldefined.

The case $\partial A = \bigcup \partial_i A$ is also proved by descending induction on sequences (i_1, \dots, i_s) in (3).

The cohomology operations in $MU(I_n)$ -theory is known

$$MU(I_n)_*(MU(I_n)) \cong MU^*/I_n \otimes MU^*(MU) \otimes A[Q_p, \dots, Q_{v_{n-1}}].$$

Therefore we can mimic the arguments in Quillen's paper [5]. In particular we can prove

THEOREM 1.11. *Let X be a finite complex. Then $MU(I_n)^*(X)$ (resp. $P(n)^*(X)$) is generated as a $MU(I_n)^*$ -module (resp. $P(n)^*$ -module) by elements of non negative degree.*

§ 2. Main lemmas.

In the previous section we noted the geometric mean of the Milnor operation Q_i .

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $x_j \in BP^*(X)$ and $\sum v_j x_j = 0 \pmod{I_\infty^2}$ where $I_\infty = (p, v_1, \dots)$. Then there is $y \in H^*(X; Z_p)$ such that $Q_j y = i(x_j)$ where i is the natural inclusion map $i: BP \rightarrow HZ_p$.*

Proof. Think of x_j as an singular manifold. Since $\sum v_j x_j = 0 \pmod{I_\infty^2}$, there is a manifold y' whose boundary is

$$\partial y' = \bigvee v_j (x_j \vee w_j) \text{ where } w_j = 0 \pmod{I_\infty}.$$

Let $y = y'$ be the I_∞ -manifold constructed from y' attaching cones

$$\hat{y}' = y' \bigcup_{\partial y'} (\text{cone } v_j) \times (x_j \vee w_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_j \hat{y}' = (x_j \vee w_j).$$

Think of $H^*(X; Z_p)$ as $BP(I_\infty)^*(X)$ and we have

$$i' \partial_j \hat{y}' = Q_j \hat{y}' = i(x_j \vee w_j) = i(x_j),$$

where $i': BP(\dots, \hat{v}_j, \dots)^*(X) = k(n)^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X; Z_p)$.

q. e. d.

We next recall the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence. Given multiplicative spectrum A , let denote by ${}_A E_r^{*,*}(X)$ the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence which converges to $A^*(X)$,

$${}_A E_2^{*,*} \cong H^*(X; A^*) \Rightarrow A^*(X).$$

The following lemma is well known [9],

LEMMA 2.2. *The first non zero differential of the spectral sequence ${}_{P(n)}E_r^{*,*}$ (and ${}_{k(n)}E_r^{*,*}$) is $d_{2p^{n-1}}=v_n Q_n$.*

COROLLARY 2.3. *In the spectral sequence ${}_{P(1)}E_r^{*,*}={}_{BP(-,Z)}E_r^{*,*}$, if $d_r x=0$ for $r<2p^n-1$ then $d_{2p^{n-1}}(x)=v_n Q_n(x) \bmod (p, \dots, v_{n-1})$.*

Proof. The natural inclusion map $i: P(1) \rightarrow P(n)$ and Lemma 2.2 follow the corollary. q. e. d.

Recall that an ideal I in BP^* is invariant if $\theta(I) \subset I$ for all operations $\theta \in BP^*(BP)$.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $x_1, \dots, x_s \in {}_{P(1)}E_r^{t,0}$. Then the mod annihilator $AM(x_1)=\{a \in P(1)^* \mid a x_1=0 \bmod (x_2, \dots, x_s) \text{ in } E_r^{t,*}\}$ is invariant.*

Proof. This $AM(x_1)$ is indeed the $\bmod (x_2, \dots, x_s)$ annihilator ideal in $P(1)^*(X^{t+1}/X^{t-r-2})$. Hence this is an invariant ideal. q. e. d.

We now consider relations between the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence and the Sullivan exact sequence.

LEMMA 2.5. *Let $w x=0$ in $P(1)^*(X)$ for $0 \neq w \in P(1)^*$, and let $i(x)=x' \neq 0$ in $H^*(X; Z_p)$. From the Sullivan exact sequence, there is y in $BP(p, w)^*(X)$ such that $\delta y=x$. Then $d_r y'=\lambda w x'$ in ${}_{P(1)}E_r^{*,*}$ where $0 \neq \lambda \in Z_p$, $i(y)=y'$ and $r=|w|+1$.*

Proof. Since $P(1)^*(X)=BP^*(X; Z_p) \cong BP^*(X \wedge S^0 \cup_p e^1)$, we consider this lemma in ${}_{BP}E_r(X \wedge S^0 \cup_p e^1)$. Take the normal cells decomposition of $X \wedge S^0 \cup_p e^1$, i. e.,

$$*=Y_0 \subset \dots \subset Y_\infty \cong X \wedge S^0 \cup_p e^1,$$

$$Y_n=Y_{n-1} \cup_f \text{cone}(\bigvee_k S^{n-2} \cup_p e^{n-1}), \quad k=\dim_{Z_p}(H_n(Y_\infty; Z)).$$

Put $Y(n-x')=Y_{n-1}/Y_{n-r-2} \cup \text{cone}(S^{n-2} \cup_p e^{n-1})_{x'}$ where $n=|x'|$ and $\text{cone}(S^{n-2} \cup_p e^{n-1})_{x'}$ is the cone of the Moore space which represents x' in $H^*(Y_\infty; Z)$. Since $\delta y=x'=0$ in $BP^*(Y(n-x'))$ where $y \in BP(w)^*(Y(n-x'))$, y is also in $BP^*(Y(n-x'))$ and y' is a permanent cycle in ${}_{BP}E^{*,*}(Y(n-x'))$, i. e., $d_r y'=0$.

On the other hand put

$$Y(n-1+x')=Y_{n-2}/Y_{n-r-2} \cup \text{cone}(S^{n-2} \cup_p e^{n-1})_{x'}.$$

Then $\delta y=x \neq 0$ and y' is not a permanent cycle in ${}_{BP}E^{*,*}(Y(n-1+x'))$. Hence $d_r y'=\lambda w x'$.

Therefore $d_r y'=\lambda w x'$ in ${}_{BP}E^{*,*}(Y_{n-1}/Y_{n-r-2})$. By the construction of the spectral sequence we have the lemma. q. e. d.

The following corollary is an analogous result of Lemma 2.1.

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let $(w_1, \dots, w_s)=J_s$, $|w_i| < |w_{i+1}|$ be a regular sequence in $P(1)^*$. Let $b_j \in P(1)^*(X)$ and $0 \neq i(b_j)$ in $H^*(X; Z_p)$. Suppose there is a relation in $P(1)^*(X)$ such that*

$$w_1 b_1 + w_2 b_2 + \cdots + w_s b_s = 0.$$

Then there is $y \in {}_{F(1)}E_2^{*,*}$ such that $d_{r_t}(y) = \lambda_t w_t i(b_t)$ in $BP(p, J_{t-1})E_{r_t}$, $0 \neq \lambda_t \in \mathbb{Z}$, for $1 \leq t \leq s$.

Proof. Using the argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1, we can construct a \hat{J}_s -manifold \hat{y} such that

$$\partial_j y = w_j b_j, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \partial_j \hat{y} = b_j.$$

Since $w_j b_j = 0$ and $\partial_j y = b_j$ in $BP(p, J_s - \{w_j\})^*(X)$, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$d_{r_j}(y) = \lambda_j w_j b_j \quad \text{in} \quad BP(p, J_s - \{w_j\})E_{r_j}^{*,*}.$$

That $BP(J_j)^* \cong BP(p, J_s - \{w_j\})^*$ for $* < |w_{j+1}|$ implies the lemma. q.e.d.

For the preceding of this paper, we define an index which is convenient to use. If $x \in H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is in the image of $i: P(n)^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, then x can be represented by a manifold with singularities of type (p, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) .

Define $t(x) = n$ if x is in Image $i: P(n)^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and is not in Image $i: P(n-1)^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

From the facts that $Q_j = i\delta_j$, $ir_\alpha = c(\mathcal{P}^\alpha)i$, and $P(n)^*(P(n)) \cong P(n)^* \bigotimes_{BP^*} BP^*(BP) \otimes \Lambda[Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}]$, we can easily see the following;

$$(2.1) \quad t(x) = n \quad \text{implies} \quad Q_m x = 0 \quad \text{for all } m \geq n.$$

$$(2.2) \quad Q_n x \neq 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad t(x) \geq n+1.$$

$$(2.3) \quad t(x) = n \quad \text{implies} \quad t(Q_{n-1}x) \leq n-1.$$

$$(2.4) \quad t(Q_n x) \leq t(x).$$

$$(2.5) \quad t(\mathcal{P}^\alpha x) \leq t(x).$$

$$(2.6) \quad t(xy) \leq \max(t(x), t(y)).$$

$$(2.7) \quad \text{Given } f: X \rightarrow Y, \quad t(f^*x) \leq t(x).$$

Question 2.7. Assume $t(b_1) \leq 1$, $t(b_i) \leq 1$ and there is a unique z in $H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ such that $Q_1 z = b_1$, respectively $v_k b_i = 0$ in ${}_{P(1)}E^{*,*}$ for all $k < i$ and $Q_i z = b_i$. Then are there b'_j in $BP^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ such that

$$Q_j z = i(b'_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum v_j b'_j = 0.$$

§ 3. Examples

3.1. The spectrum $V(n)$.

THEOREM 3.1.1. (Larry Smith) *Given a finite complex X , then $H^*(X; Z_p) \cong A[Q_0, \dots, Q_n]$ if and only if $BP^*(X) \cong BP^*/(p, v_1, \dots, v_n)$.*

Proof. Assume $H^*(X; Z_p) \cong A[Q_0, \dots, Q_n]$. Using Corollary 2.3, it is inductively proved that

$${}_{P(1)}E_{2p^s}^{*,*} \cong (A[Q_0, Q_{s+1}, \dots, Q_n] \otimes BP^*/(p, v_1, \dots, v_s)) Q_1 \cdots Q_s.$$

Hence we have $P(1)^*(X) \cong BP^*/(p, \dots, v_n) \otimes A[Q_0]$ and $BP^*(X) \cong BP^*/(p, \dots, v_n)$.

Conversely let $BP^*(X) \cong BP^*/(p, \dots, v_n)$. From Lemma 2.1, there are $y_r \in H^*(X; Z_p)$ with $Q_r y_r = v_r x$ where x is the BP^* -module generator of $BP^*(X)$. From Lemma 2.5, $d_s y_r = v_r x$ in ${}_{P(1)}E_{s,*}^{*,*}$. The BP^* -module generated by y_n in $E_{2p^{n-1}}$ is a $BP^*/(p, \dots, v_{n-1})$ -free module, indeed, if $d_{2p^{n-1}}$ is not monic then the BP^* -module generator of $\ker d_{2p^{n-1}}$ is of the second degree $> -2p^n + 1$ and this contradicts to that the generator is not a permanent cycle. Hence we can take $y_{n,n-1}$ in $H^*(X; Z_p)$ such that $Q_{n-1} y_{n,n-1} = y_n$.

Continuing this argument, there is z such that $Q_0 \cdots Q_n z = x$. Let $H^*(X; Z_p) \cong A[Q_0, \dots, Q_n]z + B$. Each element in B is not a permanent cycle in ${}_{P(1)}E^{*,*}$. Let w be a highest dimensional non zero element in B . Then $d w = v_n Q_{i_1} \cdots Q_{i_s} \bar{z}$ and this follows the contradiction. Therefore $B=0$. q. e. d.

Remark. Theorem 3.11 is also proved more easily by using the Sullivan exact sequence.

We show that all regular invariant ideals containing p appear as annihilator ideals of some elements in $BP^*(X)$.

EXAMPLE 3.1.2. Let $J_n = (p, a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be a regular invariant ideal of BP^* . Let $BP(J_n)$ be the spectrum of the bordism theory with the coefficient BP^*/J_n . The spectrum is inductively defined by the cofiber

$$BP(J_i) \xrightarrow{a_{i+1}} BP(J_i) \longrightarrow BP(J_{i+1}).$$

Using the fact $a_{i+1}^* = a_{i+1} \bmod J_i$, we can see (reference [9]) such as the case $J_n = I_{n+1} = (p, \dots, v_n)$

$$BP^*(BP(J_n)) \cong BP^*/J_n \otimes_{BP^*} BP^*(BP) \quad \text{and}$$

$$P(M)^*(BP(J_n)) \cong P(M)^* \otimes_{BP^*} BP^*(BP) \otimes A[\bar{Q}_0, \dots, \bar{Q}_n]$$

for sufficient large M , e. g., $M > |a_n|$.

Let $BP(J_n)^N$ be an N -dimensional skeleton of $BP(J_n)$, (note that $BP(J_n)^N$ is equivalent to a finite complex, because $p \in J_n$). The highest degree of the nonzero differential of the spectral sequence ${}_{P(1)}E^{*,*}(BP(J_n))$ is $|a_n| + 1$. Hence we have

$$BP^*(BP(J_n)^N) \cong BP^*/J_n \otimes_{BP^*} BP^*(BP^{N-|a_n|-2}) \oplus A$$

where A is the BP^* -module generated by generators $> N - |a_n| - 2$.

3.2. Lens space.

Let X be a finite complex with $H^{\text{odd}}(X; Z)=0$. Then the spectral sequence ${}_{BP}E^{*,*}(X)$ collapses.

THEOREM 3.2.1. *Let L be a $2m+1$ -dimensional generalized Lens space $L(p, q_1, \dots, q_m)$. Then there is a BP^* -algebra isomorphism*

$$BP^*(L) \cong BP^*[x]/(x^{m+1}, f(x))$$

where $f(x) = px + a_1x^2 + \dots$, and $a_{pn-1} = v_n \pmod{p, \dots, v_{n-1}}$.

Proof. The cohomology ring is well known

$$H^*(L; Z_p) \cong Z_p[x]/(x^{m+1}) \otimes \Lambda(\alpha), \quad Q_0\alpha = x.$$

Since $H^{\text{odd}}(L; Z)=0$, there is a BP^* -module isomorphism

$${}_{BP}E_{\infty}^{*,*} \cong BP^*[x]/(p, x^{m+1}).$$

From Lemma 2.1 and $Q_n\alpha = x^{p^n}$, we have

$$px + a_1x^2 + \dots = f(x) = 0 \text{ in } BP^*(L) \quad \text{and} \quad a_{pn-1} = v_n \pmod{p, \dots, v_{n-1}}. \\ \text{q.e.d.}$$

Remark. From the Gysin exact sequence, it is well known when $L=L(p, 1, \dots, 1)$, the polynomial $f(x)$ is the p -th product $[p]$ of the formal group law.

3.3. Finite H -spaces

Suppose that W is a 1-connected (mod p) finite H -space. Let Q be the Z_p -module of indecomposed elements in $H^*(W; Z_p)$. The Kane's binary theorem [4] is stated as follows.

- (1) $Q^{2n} = \delta \mathcal{P}^{s-1} Q^{2n-p^{s-1}} = Q_s Q^{2n-p^{s+1}} \quad \text{for } s \geq 0$
- (2) if $Q^{2n} \neq 0$ then $n = (p^{k+1}-1)/(p-1) - p^l \quad \text{for } 1 \leq l \leq k$.

Moreover for $a \in Q^{2n}$

- (3) $a^p = 0 \quad \text{if } l = p^k \text{ in (1),}$
 $a^{p^2} = 0 \quad \text{otherwise.}$

Let denote by (y_k, \dots, y_1) the system of generators such that

- (4) $|y_l| = (p^{k+1}-1)/(p-1) - p^l$
- (5) $\mathcal{P}^{p^{(l-1)}} y_l = y_{l-1}.$

Question 3.3.1. Is it true that $t(y_k)=0$ for all k and

$$v_h y'_l + v_l y'_h = 0 \pmod{p} \text{ in } BP^*(W)$$

where $i(y'_l) = y_l, i(y'_h) = y_h$?

Remark 3.3.2. (1) Harper constructed [3] an H -space for each odd prime p such as

$$H^*(W; Z_p) = \wedge(x_3, x_{2p+1}) \otimes Z_p[x_{2p+2}] / (x_{2p+2}^p).$$

Then by the arguments similar to [10],

$$\begin{aligned} BP^*(W) \cong BP^*\{1, y_3, y_a\} \oplus BP^*\{y_b, y_c\} / (p y_b = v_1 y_c) \\ \oplus BP^* / (p, v_1)[x_{2p+2}] / (x_{2p+2}^p), \end{aligned}$$

where $a=2p^2+2p+2$, $b=a-3$, $c=2p^2+1$.

(2) The cohomology ring of the exceptional Lid group E_8 for $p=3$ is

$$H^*(E_8; Z_3) \cong Z_3[x_8, x_{20}] / (x_8^3, x_{20}^3) \otimes A$$

where A is the external product of odd dimensional generators. The BP^* -module structure of $BP^*(E_8)$ is known [11]. It holds that $t(x_8)=0$ and hence $v_1 x_8 = v_2 x_{20}$.

It is unknown whether there exists an H -space such that $k \geq 3$ in the binary theorem (2).

3.4. Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(Z, 3)$.

The mod p cohomology of $K(Z, 3)$ is known

$$H^*(K(Z, 3); Z_p) \cong Z_p[\delta \mathcal{P}\tau, \delta \mathcal{P}^p \mathcal{P}\tau, \dots] \otimes A[\tau, \mathcal{P}\tau, \dots].$$

For simplicity of notations, let denote $\mathcal{P}^{p^{n-1}} \dots \mathcal{P}\tau = c_n$, $\delta c_n = b_n$. Then $|c_n| = 2(p^n - 1) + 3$, $|b_n| = 2(p^n - 1) + 4$.

LEMMA 3.4.1. In $H^*(K(Z, 3); Z_p)$, the Milnor operations act

- (1) $Q_m \tau = b_m$ (2) $Q_m b_n = 0$
- (3) $Q_m c_n = Q_n c_m = (b_{n-m})^{p^m}$ for $n > m > 0$ and $Q_m c_m = 0$.

Proof. The cohomology ring $H^*(K; Z_p)$ is a Hopf algebra and, c_n, b_n are primitive elements. By the definition, we have (1) and $Q_0 c_n = b_n$. We show $Q_m(\mathcal{P}^{p^{n-1}} c_{n-1}) = (Q_{m-1} c_{n-1})^p$, indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_m(\mathcal{P}^{p^{n-1}} c_{n-1}) &= \mathcal{P}^{p^{n-1} + p^{m-1}} Q_{m-1} c_{n-1} - Q_{m-1} \mathcal{P}^{p^{n-1} + p^{m-1}} c_{n-1} \\ &= (Q_{m-1} c_{m-1})^p, \text{ since } |Q_{m-1} c_{m-1}| = 2(p^{n-1} + p^{m-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence inductively we have $Q_m c_n = (b_{n-m}^{p^{m-1}})^p$.

Since Q_m is a derivation, $Q_m(b_n)$ is also primitive. Hence $Q_m(b_n)$ is an indecomposed element or its p -th power. By dimensional reason, we have (2).

q. e. d.

THEOREM 3.4.2. There exist $b'_j \in BP^*(K(Z, 3))$ such that $i(b'_j) = b_j$ and $v_1 b'_1 + v_2 b'_2 + \dots = 0$.

To prove this theorem we recall the Wilson's theorem. Let $BP\langle n \rangle = BP\langle v_{n+1}, \dots \rangle$, namely, $BP\langle n \rangle_* = Z_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n]$.

THEOREM 3.4.3. (Wilson [13]) For $k \leq 2(p^n + \dots + p + 1)$,

$$i: BP^k(X) \longrightarrow BP\langle n \rangle^k(X) \quad \text{is epic.}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.4.2. Since $b_1 = Q_0 c_1 = Q_1 \tau$,

$$b_1 \in \text{Image}(BP\langle 1 \rangle^*(K(Z, 3)) \longrightarrow H^*(K(Z, 3), Z_p)).$$

Moreover if $i(b_1'') = b_1$ then $v_1 b_1'' = 0$. By Wilson's theorem, $|b_1''| = 2(p+1)$ implies

$$b_1'' \in \text{Image}(BP^*(K(Z, 3)) \longrightarrow BP\langle 1 \rangle^*(K(Z, 3))).$$

Therefore $t(b_1) = 0$ and let $i(b_1') = b_1$.

From Sullivan's exact sequence, there is b_2'' such that

$$v_1 b_1 = -v_2 b_2'' \quad \text{in } BP\langle 2 \rangle^*(X).$$

Moreover from Lemma 2.1, $i(b_2'') = b_2$. By also Wilson's theorem, $|b_2''| < 2(p^2 + p + 1)$ implies

$$b_2'' \in \text{Image}(BP^*(K(Z, 3)) \longrightarrow BP\langle 2 \rangle^*(X)).$$

Take b_2' such as $i(b_2') = b_2''$. Continuing this argument. We have the theorem. q.e.d.

THEOREM 3.4.4. Let the filtration $F_S = \text{Ker}(P(1)^*(X) \rightarrow P(1)^*(X^S))$. Then there is a $P(1)^*$ -module isomorphism

$$P(1)^*(K(Z, 3))/F_{2p^3+2} \cong P(1)^*[b_1, b_2, b_3]/(R, D)$$

where D is the ideal of elements of degree $\geq 2p^3 + 2$ in $Z_p[b_1, b_2, b_3]$ and R is the ideal generated by the following five relations

- (1) $v_1 b_1 + v_2 b_2 + v_3 b_3 = 0 \mod I_3^2$
- (2) $v_1^p b_2 + v_2 b_1^p + v_3 b_2^p = 0 \mod I_3^2 - \{v_1\}^2$
- (3) $v_2 b_1^{p+1} + v_3 b_2^p b_1 = 0 \mod I_3^2$
- (4) $v_2 b_1^{p-1} b_2 + v_3 (b_1^{p^2} - b_1^{p-1} b_3) = 0 \mod I_3^2$
- (5) $v_1^{p^2+1} b_3 = 0 \mod I_3^2 - \{v_1^2\}$.

Proof. We compute the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence

$$E_2 = H^*(K(Z, 3), P(1)^*) \Rightarrow P(1)^*(K(Z, 3)).$$

The first non zero differential is $d_{2p-1} = v_1 \otimes Q_1$ and Q_1 acts such as

$$\tau \longrightarrow b_1, \quad c_1 \longrightarrow 0, \quad c_2 \longrightarrow b_1^q, \quad c_3 \longrightarrow b_2^q, \dots$$

Hence we have

$$E_{2p}^{s,*} \cong P(1)^*[b_1, \dots] \otimes \Lambda(c_1, c_2 - b_1^{q-1}\tau) / (v_1 b_1^q, v_1 b_2^q, \dots).$$

Each element x of $|c_1| < |x| < |b_2|$ or $|c_1| + |c_2| < |x| < |b_2^q|$ is v_1 -torsion in E_{2p} . Since $K(1)^*(K(Z,)) \cong 0$, $v_1^s b_2 \in \text{Im} d_r$ and we have

$$d_{2(p-1)p+1} c_1 = v_1^p b_2.$$

Since $d_s(c_2 - b_1^{q-1}\tau) = 0$ for $s \leq 2(p-1)p+1$, we also have

$$d_{2(p-1)p+1} c_1 (c_2 - b_1^{q-1}\tau) = v_1^p b_2 (c_2 - b_1^{q-1}\tau).$$

Hence if $s \leq 2p^3+2$, then

$$E_{2(p^2-1)}^{s,*} \cong P(1)[b_1, b_2, b_3] \otimes \Lambda(c_1 b_1, c_2 b_1^{q-1}) / (v_1 c_1 b_1, v_1 b_1^q, v_1^q b_2).$$

It is easily seen $v_1^q x = 0$ for $|c_1| + |c_2| < |x| < |v_2| + |c_2|$. The next non zero differential is $d_{2p^2+1} = v_2 \otimes Q_2$. The operation Q_2 acts

- (i) $c_1 b_1 \rightarrow v_2 b_1^{q+1}$; both sides are $P(1)^*/v_1$ -free,
- (ii) $c_2 - b_1^{q-1}\tau \rightarrow -v_2 b_1^{q-1} b_2$; the left side is $P(1)^*$ -free and the other is v_1 -torsion,
- (iii) $c_1(c_2 - b_1^{q-1}\tau) \rightarrow v_2(b_1^q(c_2 - b_1^{q-1}\tau) + c_1 b_1^{q-1} b_2)$; both sides are $P(1)/v_1$ -free.

Therefore if $s \leq 2p^3+2$,

$$E_{2p^2}^{s,*} \cong P(1)^*[b_1, b_2, b_3] \otimes \{1, v_1 \otimes (c_2 - b_1^{q-1}\tau), b_1^q(c_2 - b_1^{q-1}\tau) + c_1 b_1^{q-1} b_2\} / \\ (v_1 b_1, v_1^q b_2, v_2 b_1^{q+1}, v_2 b_1^{q-1} b_2, (v_1, v_2)(b_1^q(c_2 - b_1^{q-1}\tau) + c_1 b_1^{q-1} b_2))$$

We will see odd dimensional elements are not permanent. Since $K(1)^*(K(Z, 3)) \cong 0$, $v_1^s b_3 \in \text{Im} d_r$. The $P(1)^*$ -free generator of dimension $< |b_3|$ is only one and

$$d_r(v_1 \otimes c_2 - b_1^{q-1}\tau) = v_1^{p^2+1} b_3.$$

Since $d_{2p^3+1} = v_3 \otimes Q_3 \bmod (v_1, v_2)$, we have

$$d_{2p^3+1}(b_1^q(c_2 - b_1^{q-1}\tau) + c_1 b_1^{q-1} b_2) = v_3(b_1^{p+p^2} - b_1^{2p-1} b_3 + b_2^{p+1} b_1^{p+1}).$$

Hence for $s \leq 2p^3+2$

$$E_{2p^3}^{s,*} \cong P(1)^*[b_1, b_2, b_3] / (v_1 b_1, v_1^q b_2, v_2 b_1^{q+1}, v_2 b_1^{q-1} b_2, v_1^{p^2+1} b_3).$$

By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have the relation, for example, the derivations $d_r c_1 = v_1^q b_2$, $Q_2 c_1 = b_1^q$, $Q_3 c_1 = b_2^q$ imply relation (2). q.e.d.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. BAAS, On bordism theories of manifolds with singularities, Math. Scand., **33** (1973), 279-302.
- [2] W. BROWDER, On differential Hopf algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **107** (1963), 153-176.
- [3] J. HARPER, On the construction of mod p H -spaces, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., **32** (1978), 207-214.
- [4] R. KANE, BP -torsion in finite H -spaces, Trans. AMS **264** (1981), 473-497.
- [5] D. QUILLEN, Elementary proofs of some results of cobordism theory using Steenrod operations, Advanced Math., **7** (1971) 29-56.
- [6] L. SMITH, On realizing complex cobordism modules, Amer. J. Math. **92** (1970), 793-856.
- [7] D. SULLIVAN, Geometric seminar notes, Princeton Univ., (1967).
- [8] H. TODA, On realizing exterior parts of the Steenrod algebras, Topology **10** (1971), 53-65.
- [9] N. YAGITA, On the algebraic structure of cobordism operation with singularities, J. London Math. Soc. **16** (1977), 131-141.
- [10] N. YAGITA, Brown-Peterson cohomology groups of exceptional Lie groups, J. Pure and Applied Algebra **17** (1980), 223-226.
- [11] N. YAGITA, The BP^* -module structure of $BP^*(E_8)$ for $p=3$, preprint.
- [12] D. RAVENEL AND S. WILSON, The Morava K -theories of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces and the Conner-Floyd conjecture, Amer. J. Math., **102** (1980), 691-748.
- [13] S. WILSON, The Ω -spectrum for Brown-Peterson cohomology II Amer. J. Math., **97** (1975), 101-123.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 MUSASHI INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
 TAMAZUTSUMI SETAGAYA TOKYO JAPAN

