

## ON A HILBERT MODULE OVER AN OPERATOR ALGEBRA AND ITS APPLICATION TO HARMONIC ANALYSIS

BY YŪICHIRO KAKIHARA

### 1. Introduction.

We study a left  $A$ -module with an  $A$ -valued inner product where  $A$  is an operator algebra. Such a space has been investigated by many authors: Kaplansky [7], Saworotnow [14], Paschke [12], Rieffel [13], Ozawa [11], Itoh [5], Kaki-hara and Terasaki [6] and others.

Let  $A$  be a von Neumann algebra. Then a Hilbert  $A$ -module is defined to be a left  $A$ -module with an  $A$ -valued inner product respecting the module action, called a Gramian, which is complete with respect to (w.r.t.) the norm induced from the Gramian. Our main object is harmonic analysis on a topological group in the Hilbert  $A$ -module context. Especially, a Stone type and a Bochner type theorems are formulated and proved.

Basic definitions of a Hilbert  $A$ -module are given in section 2. In section 3,  $A$ -valued positive definite kernels are considered in connection with reproducing kernel Hilbert  $A$ -modules which are analogous to Aronszajn's reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces [1]. Section 4 deals with Gramian unitary representations of a topological group and Gramian  $*$ -representations of a  $L^1$ -group algebra on a Hilbert  $A$ -module. Results stated in sections 3 and 4 hold when  $A$  is a (unital)  $C^*$ -algebra. In section 5, we prove our main result which is a Stone type theorem for a continuous, in an appropriate sense, Gramian unitary representation of a locally compact abelian group. As a corollary we give a proof to a Bochner type theorem for a weakly continuous  $A$ -valued positive definite function. Section 6 is devoted to Hilbert  $A$ -module valued processes over a locally compact abelian group. Such a formulation of processes is closely related to Banach space valued stochastic processes (cf. Cobanjan and Weron [2], Weron [19] and Miamee [8]).

Acknowledgments: The author would like to express his hearty thanks to Professor H. Umegaki for his valuable suggestions and encouragement in the course of preparing this paper.

### 2. Hilbert $A$ -modules.

Throughout this paper  $A$  stands for a von Neumann algebra with the norm

---

Received June 4, 1982

$\|\cdot\|$ . We denote the *action* of  $A$  on a left  $A$ -module  $X$  by  $(a, x) \rightarrow a \cdot x$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $x \in X$ . We assume that all such modules treated below have a vector space structure compatible with that of  $A$  in the sense that  $\alpha(a \cdot x) = (\alpha a) \cdot x = a \cdot (\alpha x)$  for  $x \in X$ ,  $a \in A$  and a complex number  $\alpha$ .

2.1. DEFINITION. A (*left pre-Hilbert  $A$ -module*) is a left  $A$ -module for which there is a map  $[\cdot, \cdot]: X \times X \rightarrow A$  such that for  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $a \in A$  (1)  $[x, x] \geq 0$ , and  $[x, x] = 0$  iff  $x = 0$ ; (2)  $[x + y, z] = [x, z] + [y, z]$ ; (3)  $[a \cdot x, y] = a[x, y]$ ; (4)  $[x, y]^* = [y, x]$ . The map  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is called a *Gramian* on  $X$ . We sometimes denote it explicitly by  $[\cdot, \cdot]_X$ .

If  $X$  is a right  $A$ -module, then we can define (right) pre-Hilbert  $A$ -module structure for  $X$  in a similar manner as above except that the condition (3) is replaced by (3')  $[x \cdot a, y] = [x, y]a$ . Since there is no essential difference between right and left  $A$ -modules, we restrict our attention to left  $A$ -modules.

In a pre-Hilbert  $A$ -module  $X$  define  $\|x\|_X = \|[x, x]\|^{1/2}$ ,  $x \in X$ . Then by [12, 2.3 Proposition],  $\|\cdot\|_X$  becomes a norm on  $X$  and we have for  $x, y \in X$  and  $a \in A$

$$\|a \cdot x\|_X \leq \|a\| \cdot \|x\|_X, \quad \|[x, y]\| \leq \|x\|_X \cdot \|y\|_X. \quad (2.1)$$

2.2. DEFINITION A pre-Hilbert  $A$ -module  $X$  which is complete w.r.t. the norm  $\|\cdot\|_X$  is called a *Hilbert  $A$ -module*.

Examples of (right) Hilbert  $A$ -modules are seen in [12] where  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra.

2.3. DEFINITION. Let  $X$  be a Hilbert  $A$ -module. We define the *Gramian orthogonal complement* of a subset  $Y$  of  $X$  by  $Y^* = \{x \in X; [x, y] = 0, y \in Y\}$ . A subset  $Y$  is called a *submodule* if it is a left  $A$ -module and is closed w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_X$ . In this case  $Y$  is itself a Hilbert  $A$ -module. Denote by  $\mathfrak{S}(Y)$  the submodule generated by a subset  $Y$ . It is seen that for each subset  $Y$  its Gramian orthogonal complement  $Y^*$  is a submodule and the relation  $\mathfrak{S}(Y) \subset (Y^*)^*$  holds.

2.4. DEFINITION. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two Hilbert  $A$ -modules with Gramians  $[\cdot, \cdot]_X$  and  $[\cdot, \cdot]_Y$ , respectively.  $B(X, Y)$  denotes the Banach space of all bounded linear operators from  $X$  into  $Y$ .  $\mathfrak{A}(X, Y)$  denotes the set of all operators  $S \in B(X, Y)$  for which there is an operator  $T \in B(Y, X)$  such that  $[Sx, y]_Y = [x, Ty]_X$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$ . It is seen that  $T$  is unique if it exists, so that we denote it by  $S^*$  and call it the *Gramian adjoint* of  $S$ . An operator  $U \in B(X, Y)$  is said to be *Gramian unitary* if it is onto and satisfies that  $[Ux, Ux']_Y = [x, x']_X$ ,  $x, x' \in X$ . It can be seen that each Gramian unitary operator  $U \in B(X, Y)$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{A}(X, Y)$  and satisfies  $U^*U = I_X$ , the identity operator on  $X$ . We write  $B(X) = B(X, X)$  and  $\mathfrak{A}(X) = \mathfrak{A}(X, X)$ . An operator  $P \in B(X)$  is called a *Gramian projection* if  $P \in \mathfrak{A}(X)$  and  $P^2 = P^* = P$ . Two Hilbert  $A$ -modules  $X$  and  $Y$  are said to be *isomorphic*, in symbols  $X \cong Y$ , if there is a Gramian unitary operator in

$\mathfrak{A}(X, Y)$ .

For  $a \in A$  define  $\pi(a)$  by  $\pi(a)x = a \cdot x$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $X$  being a Hilbert  $A$ -module. Then, by (2.1),  $\pi(a) \in B(X)$ . A kind of functionals on a Hilbert  $A$ -module is defined in the following (cf. [7, 12, 14]).

2.5. DEFINITION. Let  $X$  be a Hilbert  $A$ -module. Denote by  $X^*$  the set of all bounded module maps  $\tau: X \rightarrow A$ . That is,  $\tau$  satisfies  $\tau(a \cdot x + b \cdot y) = a\tau(x) + b\tau(y)$ ,  $x, y \in X$ ,  $a, b \in A$ , and there is some  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $\|\tau(x)\| \leq \alpha \|x\|_X$ ,  $x \in X$ . Each  $x \in X$  gives rise to a map  $\hat{x} \in X^*$  defined by  $\hat{x}(y) = [y, x]$ ,  $y \in X$ .  $X$  is said to be self-dual if  $X^* = \hat{X} (= \{\hat{x}; x \in X\})$ .

2.6. Remark ([12]). Let  $X$  be a Hilbert  $A$ -module. Then  $X^*$  becomes a self-dual Hilbert  $A$ -module in which  $X$  can be embedded as a submodule. Moreover, each operator in  $\mathfrak{A}(X)$  can be uniquely extended to an operator in  $\mathfrak{A}(X^*)$ . If  $X$  is self-dual, then we have  $\mathfrak{A}(X) = \{S \in B(X); S\pi(a) = \pi(a)S, a \in A\}$ . That is,  $\mathfrak{A}(X)$  consists of all bounded module maps from  $X$  into itself. Furthermore, there is a collection  $\{p_i; i \in \mathfrak{I}\}$  of (not necessarily distinct) nonzero projections in  $A$  such that  $X \cong \text{UDS}\{Ap_i; i \in \mathfrak{I}\}$ , the ultraweak direct sum of self-dual Hilbert  $A$ -modules  $Ap_i$ ,  $i \in \mathfrak{I}$ . For each  $i \in \mathfrak{I}$  the Gramian on  $Ap_i$  is defined by  $[ap_i, bp_i]_i = ap_i b^*$ ,  $a, b \in A$ . As a consequence of this decomposition, for any self-dual submodule  $Y$  of  $X$ , we have that  $X = Y \oplus Y^*$ , the direct sum, and that there is a Gramian projection of  $X$  onto  $Y$ .

### 3. Positive definite kernels.

We consider  $A$ -valued positive definite kernels on  $\Omega \times \Omega$ ,  $\Omega$  being a set, and construct reproducing kernel Hilbert  $A$ -modules.

3.1. DEFINITION. An  $A$ -valued function  $\Gamma$  on  $\Omega \times \Omega$  is called a positive definite kernel (PDK) if for every finite  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\} \subset \Omega$  and  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subset A$  it holds that  $\sum_{i,j} a_i \Gamma(\omega_i, \omega_j) a_j^* \geq 0$ . Every PDK  $\Gamma$  on  $\Omega \times \Omega$  satisfies that  $\Gamma(\omega, \omega') = \Gamma(\omega', \omega)^*$ ,  $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega$ .

For each  $A$ -valued PDK  $\Gamma$  on  $\Omega \times \Omega$  we can associate a Hilbert  $A$ -module  $\Omega \otimes_\Gamma A$  by the method similar to that of Umegaki [17]. To this end, let  $F(\Omega; A)$  be the set of all  $A$ -valued functions on  $\Omega$  with finite supports. For  $f, g \in F(\Omega; A)$  and  $a \in A$  define  $(a \cdot f)(\cdot) = af(\cdot)$ ,  $[f, g]_\Gamma = \sum_{\omega, \omega'} f(\omega) \Gamma(\omega, \omega') g(\omega')^*$  and  $\|f\|_\Gamma = \|[f, f]_\Gamma\|^{1/2}$ . Then  $[\cdot, \cdot]_\Gamma$  satisfies conditions of a Gramian except that  $[f, f]_\Gamma = 0$  implies  $f = 0$ . Put  $N_\Gamma = \{f \in F(\Omega; A); [f, f]_\Gamma = 0\}$  and let  $\Omega \otimes_\Gamma A$  be the completion of the quotient space  $F(\Omega; A)/N_\Gamma$  w.r.t. the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\Gamma$ . Then  $\Omega \otimes_\Gamma A$  is a Hilbert  $A$ -module. Moreover, it is closely related to the reproducing kernel Hilbert  $A$ -module of  $\Gamma$  defined below.

3.2. DEFINITION. Let  $\Gamma$  be an  $A$ -valued PDK on  $\Omega \times \Omega$  and  $X$  be a Hilbert  $A$ -module consisting of  $A$ -valued functions on  $\Omega$ . Then  $X$  is said to be the *reproducing kernel (RK) Hilbert  $A$ -module* of  $\Gamma$  if

- (1) for each  $\omega \in \Omega, \Gamma(\omega, \cdot) \in X$ ;
- (2) for each  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $x \in X, x(\omega) = [x(\cdot), \Gamma(\omega, \cdot)]$ .

The PDK  $\Gamma$  is called the *reproducing kernel (RK)* for  $X$ .

3.3. PROPOSITION. For each  $A$ -valued PDK  $\Gamma$  on  $\Omega \times \Omega$  there is a unique, up to isomorphism, Hilbert  $A$ -module  $X_\Gamma$  admitting  $\Gamma$  as a RK. Moreover, the relation  $X_\Gamma \cong \Omega \otimes_\Gamma A$  holds.

*Proof.* The proof mimics that of [9, 2.5. Lemma] and we only give the outline. Let  $X_0$  be the set of all  $A$ -valued functions on  $\Omega$  of the form

$$x(\cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \Gamma(\omega_i, \cdot), \quad a_i \in A, \omega_i \in \Omega, 1 \leq i \leq n$$

with  $n$  finite. Define for  $x(\cdot) = \sum a_i \Gamma(\omega_i, \cdot), y(\cdot) = \sum b_j \Gamma(\omega'_j, \cdot) \in X_0$  and  $a \in A$

$$(a \cdot x)(\cdot) = \sum_i a a_i \Gamma(\omega_i, \cdot), \quad [x, y]_0 = \sum_{i,j} a_i \Gamma(\omega_i, \omega'_j) b_j^*.$$

Then  $X_0$  becomes a pre-Hilbert  $A$ -module with an action and a Gramian defined as above. Moreover, for  $x \in X_0$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$  the reproducing property  $x(\omega) = [x(\cdot), \Gamma(\omega, \cdot)]_0$  holds. Hence we have  $\|x(\omega)\| \leq \|x\|_0 \cdot \|\Gamma(\omega, \cdot)\|_0$  where  $\|y\|_0 = \|[y, y]_0\|^{1/2}, y \in X_0$ .

Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $X_0$  w.r.t. the norm  $\|\cdot\|_0$ . It follows from the above inequality that for every  $\omega \in \Omega$  there exists some  $x(\omega) \in A$  such that  $\|x_n(\omega) - x(\omega)\| \rightarrow 0$ . Denote by  $X_\Gamma$  the set of all  $A$ -valued functions  $x$  on  $\Omega$  obtained in this way. For  $x, y \in X_\Gamma$  define  $[x, y] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_n, y_n]_0$  where  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are Cauchy sequences in  $X_0$  determining  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively. Then we can check that  $X_\Gamma$  is actually a Hilbert  $A$ -module with the Gramian  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ . Furthermore, the reproducing property of  $\Gamma$  can also be checked and, therefore,  $\Gamma$  is a RK for  $X_\Gamma$ . The uniqueness of  $X_\Gamma$  and the isomorphism  $X_\Gamma \cong \Omega \otimes_\Gamma A$  are readily verified.

#### 4. Gramian unitary representations and Gramian \*-representations.

We first consider Gramian unitary representations of a topological group on a Hilbert  $A$ -module and their relation to  $A$ -valued positive definite functions on the group.

4.1. DEFINITION. Let  $G$  be a topological group and  $X$  be a Hilbert  $A$ -module. An  $A$ -valued function  $\Gamma$  on  $G$  is said to be *positive definite (PD)* if for every finite  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subset A$  and  $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\} \subset G$  it holds that  $\sum_{i,j} a_i \Gamma(s_j^{-1} s_i) a_j^* \geq 0$ . Putting  $\tilde{\Gamma}(s, t) = \Gamma(t^{-1} s), s, t \in G, \Gamma$  is PD iff  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  is a PDK on  $G \times G$ .  $\Gamma$  is said to be

continuous if it is norm continuous on  $G$ . A Gramian unitary representation (GUR) of  $G$  on  $X$  is a homomorphism  $s \rightarrow U(s)$  from  $G$  into  $\mathfrak{A}(X)$  for which  $U(s)$  is Gramian unitary for every  $s \in G$ . A GUR  $s \rightarrow U(s)$  is said to be continuous if for every  $x \in X$  the function  $s \rightarrow U(s)x$  is norm continuous on  $G$ . A vector  $x_0 \in X$  is said to be cyclic for a GUR  $s \rightarrow U(s)$  if  $\mathfrak{S}\{U(s)x_0; s \in G\} = X$ .

Then we can prove the following.

4.2. PROPOSITION. Let  $G$  be a topological group and  $\Gamma: G \rightarrow A$  be PD. Then there exist a Hilbert  $A$ -module  $X$ , a GUR  $s \rightarrow U(s)$  of  $G$  on  $X$  and a cyclic vector  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\Gamma(s) = [U(s)x_0, x_0]$ ,  $s \in G$ . It also holds

$$\|\Gamma(s)\| \leq \|\Gamma(e)\|, \quad \|\Gamma(s) - \Gamma(t)\|^2 \leq 2\|\Gamma(e) - \Gamma(s^{-1}t)\| \cdot \|\Gamma(e)\| \tag{4.1}$$

for  $s, t \in G$  where  $e$  is the identity of  $G$ . Furthermore,  $\Gamma$  is continuous if and only if so is  $s \rightarrow U(s)$ .

*Proof.* Put  $\tilde{\Gamma}(s, t) = \Gamma(t^{-1}s)$ ,  $s, t \in G$  and let  $X$  be the RK Hilbert  $A$ -module of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  with the Gramian  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  (cf. 3.3. Proposition). Then we have  $\Gamma(s) = \tilde{\Gamma}(s, e) = [\tilde{\Gamma}(s, \cdot), \tilde{\Gamma}(e, \cdot)]$ ,  $s \in G$ . Let  $X_0$  be the set of all  $A$ -valued functions on  $G$  of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \Gamma(s_i, \cdot)$ ,  $a_i \in A$ ,  $s_i \in G$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  with  $n$  finite. For  $s \in G$  define  $U(s)$  on  $X_0$  by  $U(s) \sum a_i \Gamma(s_i, \cdot) = \sum a_i \Gamma(ss_i, \cdot)$ . Then it is easy to see that for  $x, y \in X_0$  the equality  $[U(s)x, U(s)y] = [x, y]$  holds. Hence  $U(s)$  can be uniquely extended to a Gramian unitary operator on  $X$  since  $X_0$  is dense in  $X$ . Thus  $s \rightarrow U(s)$  is a GUR of  $G$  on  $X$ . Putting  $x_0 = \tilde{\Gamma}(e, \cdot) \in X$ , it is readily seen that  $x_0$  is a cyclic vector for  $s \rightarrow U(s)$  and that the equality  $\Gamma(s) = [U(s)x_0, x_0]$  holds for  $s \in G$ . Two inequalities in (4.1) follow from this equality as in the case of scalar valued PD functions (cf. [18]). The last assertion is not hard to check.

In the remainder of this section let  $G$  be a locally compact group with a left Haar measure  $ds$  and consider the space  $L^1(G; Z_A)$  of all  $Z_A$ -valued Bochner integrable functions on  $G$  w.r.t.  $ds$  where  $Z_A$  is the center of  $A$ , i.e.,  $Z_A = \{a \in A; ab = ba, b \in A\}$ .  $L^1(G; Z_A)$  is a Banach \*-algebra whose multiplication, involution and norm are respectively defined by  $(fg)(t) = \int_G f(s)g(s^{-1}t) ds$ ,  $f^*(t) = \Delta(t)^{-1}f(t^{-1})^*$  and  $\|f\|_1 = \int_G \|f(s)\| ds$  for each  $f, g \in L^1(G; Z_A)$  and  $t \in G$  where  $\Delta$  is the modular function of  $G$ . Define  $(a \cdot f)(\cdot) = af(\cdot)$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $f \in L^1(G; Z_A)$  and denote by  $\mathfrak{Q}^1(G; Z_A)$  the left  $A$ -module generated by  $L^1(G; Z_A)$ . Now we consider Gramian \*-representations of  $L^1(G; Z_A)$  on a Hilbert  $A$ -module in connection with GURs of  $G$ .

4.3. DEFINITION. Let  $X$  be a Hilbert  $A$ -module. Then a map  $f \rightarrow T(f)$  from  $\mathfrak{Q}^1(G; Z_A)$  into  $B(X)$  is called a Gramian \*-representation ( $G^*R$ ) of  $L^1(G; Z_A)$  on

$X$  if the restriction of  $T$  to  $L^1(G; Z_A)$  is  $\mathfrak{A}(X)$ -valued and if  $T(a \cdot f + b \cdot g) = \pi(a)T(f) + \pi(b)T(g)$ ,  $T(f^*) = T(f)^*$  and  $T(fg) = T(f)T(g)$  for each  $f, g \in L^1(G; Z_A)$  and  $a, b \in A$  where  $\pi(a)x = a \cdot x$ ,  $x \in X$ . A  $G^*R$   $f \rightarrow T(f)$  is said to be *nondegenerate* if  $\mathfrak{S}\{T(f)x; f \in L^1(G; Z_A), x \in X\} = X$ .

Given a continuous GUR  $s \rightarrow U(s)$  of  $G$  on a Hilbert  $A$ -module  $X$ , define  $T(f)$  for  $f \in L^1(G; Z_A)$  by

$$T(f)x = \int_G U(s)(f(s) \cdot x) ds, \quad x \in X, \tag{4.2}$$

where the right hand side is a well-defined Bochner integral. If  $X$  is self-dual, then we can show that  $f \rightarrow T(f)$  is a  $G^*R$  of  $L^1(G; Z_A)$  on  $X$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{B}_G$  be the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $G$  and  $M(G; Z_A)$  be the set of all  $Z_A$ -valued countably additive (CA) measures, in the norm, on  $\mathfrak{B}_G$  of bounded variations. For  $\mu, \nu \in M(G; Z_A)$  and  $a, b \in A$  define  $(a \cdot \mu + b \cdot \nu)(B) = a\mu(B) + b\nu(B)$ ,  $\mu^*(B) = \mu(B^{-1})^*$  and  $(\mu\nu)(B) = \mu \times \nu(B')$  ( $B' = \{(s, t); st \in B\}$ ) for  $B \in \mathfrak{B}_G$ , and  $\|\mu\| =$  the total variation of  $\mu$ . Then  $M(G; Z_A)$  becomes a Banach  $*$ -algebra.  $\mathfrak{M}(G; Z_A)$  denotes the left  $A$ -module generated by  $M(G; Z_A)$ . By a *Gramian  $*$ -representation* of  $M(G; Z_A)$  on a Hilbert  $A$ -module  $X$  we mean a map  $\mu \rightarrow T(\mu)$  from  $\mathfrak{M}(G; Z_A)$  into  $B(X)$  whose restriction to  $M(G; Z_A)$  is  $\mathfrak{A}(X)$ -valued and which satisfies that  $T(a \cdot \mu + b \cdot \nu) = \pi(a)T(\mu) + \pi(b)T(\nu)$ ,  $T(\mu^*) = T(\mu)^*$  and  $T(\mu\nu) = T(\mu)T(\nu)$  for  $\mu, \nu \in M(G; Z_A)$  and  $a, b \in A$ .  $L^1(G; Z_A)$  is a Banach  $*$ -subalgebra of  $M(G; Z_A)$  by identifying  $f \in L^1(G; Z_A)$  with  $f(s)ds \in M(G; Z_A)$ . By similar proofs of [3, 13.3.1. and 13.3.4. Propositions] we can show the following.

4.4. PROPOSITION. *Let  $X$  be a self-dual Hilbert  $A$ -module. Given a continuous GUR  $s \rightarrow U(s)$  of  $G$  on  $X$ , define for  $\mu \in M(G; Z_A)$*

$$T(\mu)x = \int_G U(s)\pi(\mu(ds))x, \quad x \in X.$$

*Then  $T(\mu)$  is a well-defined operator on  $X$  and  $\mu \rightarrow T(\mu)$  is a  $G^*R$  of  $M(G; Z_A)$  on  $X$  whose restriction to  $L^1(G; Z_A)$  is nondegenerate.*

*If  $f \rightarrow T(f)$  is a nondegenerate  $G^*R$  of  $L^1(G; Z_A)$  on  $X$ , then there is a unique continuous GUR  $s \rightarrow U(s)$  of  $G$  on  $X$  such that (4.2) holds.*

### 5. A Stone type and a Bochner type theorems.

In this section we assume that  $G$  is a locally compact abelian group. Denote by  $A_*$  the predual of  $A$  and by  $A_*^+$  its positive part. For a Hilbert  $A$ -module  $X$  we define the *Gramian  $\sigma$ -weak topology* on  $\mathfrak{A}(X)$  (or  $B(X)$ ) to be the topology determined by the family of seminorms

$$S \in \mathfrak{A}(X) \text{ (or } B(X)) \rightarrow |\rho([Sx, y])|, \quad x, y \in X, \rho \in A_*^+.$$

We prove a Stone type spectral representation theorem for a Gramian  $\sigma$ -weakly

continuous GUR of  $G$  on some self-dual Hilbert  $A$ -module. As a consequence we give a proof to a Bochner type integral representation theorem of an  $A$ -valued weakly continuous PD function on  $G$ . For the scalar valued case we refer to Nakamura and Umegaki [10] and Umegaki [18].

Before we proceed we need some preparations. Let  $\mathfrak{B}_{\hat{G}}$  be the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of the dual group  $\hat{G}$  of  $G$  and  $X$  be a Hilbert  $A$ -module.

5.1. DEFINITION. A map  $P: \mathfrak{B}_{\hat{G}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}(X)$  is called a *Gramian spectral measure* on  $\hat{G}$  if  $P$  is Gramian projection valued and if, for each  $\rho \in A_{\#}^+$  and  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\rho([P(\cdot)x, y])$  is a regular measure on  $\hat{G}$ .

Take  $\rho \in A_{\#}^+$  and define a semi-inner product on  $X$  by  $(x, y)_{\rho} = \rho([x, y])$ ,  $x, y \in X$ . Put  $N_{\rho} = \{x \in X; (x, x)_{\rho} = 0\}$  and define  $X_{\rho}$  to be the completion of the quotient space  $X/N_{\rho}$  w.r.t.  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\rho}$ . Then  $X_{\rho}$  is a Hilbert space where we denote the inner product and the norm by  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\rho}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\rho}$ , respectively. Write  $x_{\rho} = x + N_{\rho} \in X/N_{\rho}$  for  $x \in X$ . Note that the inequality  $\|x_{\rho}\|_{\rho} \leq \|\rho\|^{1/2} \cdot \|x\|_X$  holds for  $x \in X$ . Let  $s \rightarrow U(s)$  be a Gramian  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous GUR of  $G$  on  $X$ . For each  $s \in G$  define an operator  $U_{\rho}(s)$  on  $X/N_{\rho}$  by  $U_{\rho}(s)x_{\rho} = (U(s)x)_{\rho}$ ,  $x \in X$ . Then  $U_{\rho}(s)$  is well-defined, maps  $X/N_{\rho}$  onto itself and is isometry on  $X/N_{\rho}$ . Hence  $U_{\rho}(s)$  can be uniquely extended to a unitary operator, still denoted by  $U_{\rho}(s)$ , on  $X_{\rho}$ . Moreover,  $s \rightarrow U_{\rho}(s)$  is a weakly continuous unitary representation of  $G$  on the Hilbert space  $X_{\rho}$  by the Gramian  $\sigma$ -weak continuity of  $s \rightarrow U(s)$ . By Stone's theorem there is a regular spectral measure  $P_{\rho}$  on  $\hat{G}$  such that  $U_{\rho}(s) = \int_{\hat{G}} \overline{\langle s, \chi \rangle} P_{\rho}(d\chi)$ ,  $s \in G$  where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the duality pair of  $G$  and  $\hat{G}$  (cf. [18, Theorem 7.1]).

Now let  $x, y \in X$  and  $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{\hat{G}}$  be fixed and consider the functional  $A$  on  $A_{\#}^+$  defined by

$$A(\rho) = (P_{\rho}(B)x_{\rho}, y_{\rho})_{\rho}, \quad \rho \in A_{\#}^+. \tag{5.1}$$

We first show that  $A$  can be uniquely extended to a bounded linear functional on  $A_{\#}$ .

5.2. LEMMA. *The functional  $A$  on  $A_{\#}^+$  defined by (5.1) is uniquely extended to a bounded linear functional on  $A_{\#}$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that if  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n \in A_{\#}^+$  and complex numbers  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are such that  $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \rho_j = 0$ , then  $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j A(\rho_j) = 0$ . Put  $m_j(\cdot) = (P_{\rho_j}(\cdot)x_{\rho_j}, y_{\rho_j})_{\rho_j}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and define  $m = |m_1| + \dots + |m_n|$  where  $|m_j|$  is the variation of  $m_j$ . Then  $m$  is a finite positive regular measure on  $\hat{G}$  and the linear span of  $G$ , regarded as the dual group of  $\hat{G}$ , is dense in  $L^1(\hat{G}, m)$ . It follows that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist some  $s_1, \dots, s_l \in G$  and complex numbers  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_l$  such that

$$\int_{\hat{G}} \left| 1_B(\chi) - \sum_{k=1}^l \beta_k \overline{\langle s_k, \chi \rangle} \right| m(d\chi) < \frac{\varepsilon}{n} (\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |\alpha_j|)^{-1}$$

where  $1_B$  is the characteristic function of  $B$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_j \alpha_j A(\rho_j) - \sum_j \alpha_j \int_{\hat{G}} \sum_k \beta_k \overline{\langle s_k, \chi \rangle} m_j(d\chi) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_j \left| \alpha_j \int_{\hat{G}} \{1_B(\chi) - \sum_k \beta_k \overline{\langle s_k, \chi \rangle}\} m_j(d\chi) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_j |\alpha_j| \int_{\hat{G}} |1_B(\chi) - \sum_k \beta_k \overline{\langle s_k, \chi \rangle}| m(d\chi) < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from the assumption that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j \alpha_j \int_{\hat{G}} \sum_k \beta_k \overline{\langle s_k, \chi \rangle} m_j(d\chi) &= \sum_j \alpha_j \sum_k \beta_k (U_{\rho_j}(s_k) x_{\rho_j}, y_{\rho_j})_{\rho_j} \\ &= \sum_j \alpha_j \sum_k \beta_k \rho_j([U(s_k)x, y]) = \sum_j \alpha_j \rho_j(\sum_k \beta_k [U(s_k)x, y]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $|\sum \alpha_j A(\rho_j)| < \varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, we have  $\sum \alpha_j A(\rho_j) = 0$ , as desired. The boundedness of  $A$  on  $A_*$  is easily verified.

It follows from 5.2. Lemma that there is a unique element  $P_{x,y}(B) \in A$  such that  $A(\theta) = \theta(P_{x,y}(B))$ ,  $\theta \in A_*$  and, in particular,  $(P_\rho(B)x_\rho, y_\rho)_\rho = \rho(P_{x,y}(B))$ ,  $\rho \in A_*^+$ . If  $B$  varies over  $\mathfrak{B}_{\hat{G}}$ , the function  $P_{x,y}(\cdot)$  defines an  $A$ -valued  $\sigma$ -weakly CA measure on  $\hat{G}$ . Then we have the following.

5.3. LEMMA. (1) For each  $x, y \in X$  the relation

$$[U(s)x, y] = \int_{\hat{G}} \overline{\langle s, \chi \rangle} P_{x,y}(d\chi), \quad s \in G \tag{5.2}$$

holds where the integral is in the  $\sigma$ -weak topology of  $A$ .

(2) For each  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $a \in A$  the equalities  $P_{a \cdot x, y}(\cdot) = a P_{x, y}(\cdot)$ ,  $P_{x+y, z}(\cdot) = P_{x, z}(\cdot) + P_{y, z}(\cdot)$  and  $P_{x, y}(\cdot) = P_{y, x}(\cdot)^*$  hold.

(3) For each  $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{\hat{G}}$  and  $y \in X$  the function  $x \rightarrow P_{x, y}(B)$  from  $X$  into  $A$  is a bounded module map, i.e.,  $P_{\cdot, y}(B) \in X^*$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $x, y \in X$ . For every  $\rho \in A_*$  it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho([U(s)x, y]) &= (U_\rho(s)x_\rho, y_\rho)_\rho = \int_{\hat{G}} \overline{\langle s, \chi \rangle} (P_\rho(d\chi)x_\rho, y_\rho)_\rho \\ &= \int_{\hat{G}} \overline{\langle s, \chi \rangle} \rho(P_{x, y}(d\chi)) = \rho\left(\int_{\hat{G}} \overline{\langle s, \chi \rangle} P_{x, y}(d\chi)\right). \end{aligned}$$

This is enough to prove (5.2).

(2) Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $a \in A$ , and take  $\rho \in A_*^+$ . By  $[U(s)(a \cdot x), y] = a[U(s)x, y]$

we have  $\int_{\hat{G}} \overline{\langle s, \chi \rangle} \rho(P_{a \cdot x, y}(d\chi)) = \int_{\hat{G}} \overline{\langle s, \chi \rangle} \rho(aP_{x, y}(d\chi))$  for  $s \in G$ . Since  $\rho(P_{a \cdot x, y}(\cdot))$  and  $\rho(aP_{x, y}(\cdot))$  are regular, they coincide. This is enough to show that  $P_{a \cdot x, y}(\cdot) = aP_{x, y}(\cdot)$ . Other equalities can be checked in a similar manner.

(3) Let  $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{\hat{G}}$  and  $y \in X$ . It follows from (2) that  $x \rightarrow P_{x, y}(B)$  is a module map. To see the boundedness, let  $\rho \in A_{\#}^*$ . Then we have that  $|\rho(P_{x, y}(B))| = |(P_{\rho}(B)x_{\rho}, y_{\rho})_{\rho}| \leq \|x_{\rho}\|_{\rho} \cdot \|y_{\rho}\|_{\rho} \leq \|\rho\| \cdot \|x\|_X \cdot \|y\|_X$  for  $x \in X$ . Thus  $\|P_{x, y}(B)\| \leq 4\|x\|_X \cdot \|y\|_X$ ,  $x \in X$ . Therefore  $P_{\cdot, y}(B)$  is bounded.

Assume that  $X$  is self-dual. Then it follows from 5.3. Lemma (3) that for each  $y \in X$  and  $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{\hat{G}}$  there is a unique  $z \in X$  such that  $P_{x, y}(B) = [x, z]$ ,  $x \in X$ . Define  $z = P(B)y$ . Then  $P(B)$  is a well-defined operator in  $B(X)$  and  $P(\cdot)$  is a  $B(X)$ -valued Gramian  $\sigma$ -weakly CA measure on  $\hat{G}$  such that  $U(s) = \int_{\hat{G}} \overline{\langle s, \chi \rangle} P(d\chi)$ ,  $s \in G$  where the integral is in the Gramian  $\sigma$ -weak topology. All we have to do is to show that  $P(\cdot)$  is a Gramian spectral measure.

5.4. LEMMA.  $P(\cdot)$  is a Gramian spectral measure on  $\hat{G}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{\hat{G}}$  be fixed. It follows from 5.3. Lemma (2) that  $[x, P(B)y] = P_{x, y}(B) = P_{y, x}(B)^* = [y, P(B)x]^* = [P(B)x, y]$  for  $x, y \in X$ . Hence  $P(B) \in \mathfrak{A}(X)$  with  $P(B)^* = P(B)$ . Now we show that  $P(B)^2 = P(B)$ . First we see that  $(x_{\rho}, (P(B)y)_{\rho})_{\rho} = \rho([x, P(B)y]) = \rho(P_{x, y}(B)) = (x_{\rho}, P_{\rho}(B)y_{\rho})_{\rho}$  for  $x, y \in X$  and  $\rho \in A_{\#}^*$ . Hence  $(P(B)y)_{\rho} = P_{\rho}(B)y_{\rho}$ ,  $y \in X$ ,  $\rho \in A_{\#}^*$ . Consequently we have  $(P(B)y)_{\rho} = P_{\rho}(B)^2 y_{\rho} = P_{\rho}(B)(P_{\rho}(B)y_{\rho}) = P_{\rho}(B)(P(B)y)_{\rho} = (P(B)^2 y)_{\rho}$  for  $y \in X$  and  $\rho \in A_{\#}^*$ . Therefore  $P(B)^2 = P(B)$ , as desired. It is clear that  $\rho([P(\cdot)x, y])$  is a regular measure on  $\hat{G}$  for each  $x, y \in X$  and  $\rho \in A_{\#}^*$ . Thus  $P(\cdot)$  is a Gramian spectral measure.

We summarize these discussions in the following theorem.

5.5. THEOREM. Let  $X$  be a self-dual Hilbert  $A$ -module and  $s \rightarrow U(s)$  be a Gramian  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous GUR of  $G$  on  $X$ . Then there is a Gramian spectral measure  $P$  on  $\hat{G}$  such that

$$U(s) = \int_{\hat{G}} \overline{\langle s, \chi \rangle} P(d\chi), \quad s \in G$$

where the integral is in the Gramian  $\sigma$ -weak topology.

Now we can prove a Bochner type theorem as follows.

5.6. COROLLARY. For an  $A$ -valued weakly continuous PD function  $\Gamma$  on  $G$  there is an  $A$ -valued  $\sigma$ -weakly CA measure  $F$  on  $\hat{G}$  such that

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_{\hat{G}} \overline{\langle s, \chi \rangle} F(d\chi), \quad s \in G$$

where the integral is in the  $\sigma$ -weak topology of  $A$ .

*Proof.* It follows from 4.2. Proposition that there exist a Hilbert  $A$ -module  $X_G$ , a GUR  $s \rightarrow U_0(s)$  of  $G$  on  $X_G$  and a cyclic vector  $x_0 \in X_G$  such that  $\Gamma(s) = [U_0(s)x_0, x_0]_G$ ,  $s \in G$  where  $[\cdot, \cdot]_G$  is the Gramian on  $X_G$ . Again by 4.2. Proposition  $\Gamma$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous since weak and  $\sigma$ -weak topologies coincide on bounded subsets of  $A$ . Hence we can see that  $s \rightarrow U_0(s)$  is Gramian  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous. Then  $s \rightarrow U_0(s)$  can be uniquely extended to a Gramian  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous GUR  $s \rightarrow U(s)$  of  $G$  on the self-dual Hilbert  $A$ -module  $X_G^*$ . Consequently, by 5.5. Theorem, there is a Gramian spectral measure  $P$  on  $\hat{G}$  such that  $U(s) = \int_{\hat{G}} \overline{\langle s, \chi \rangle} P(d\chi)$ ,  $s \in G$ . Putting  $F(\cdot) = [P(\cdot)x_0, x_0]$  where  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is the Gramian on  $X_G^*$ , we have that  $F$  is an  $A$ -valued  $\sigma$ -weakly CA measure on  $\hat{G}$  and that, for  $s \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(s) &= [U(s)x_0, x_0] = \left[ \int_{\hat{G}} \overline{\langle s, \chi \rangle} P(d\chi)x_0, x_0 \right] = \int_{\hat{G}} \overline{\langle s, \chi \rangle} [P(d\chi)x_0, x_0] \\ &= \int_{\hat{G}} \overline{\langle s, \chi \rangle} F(d\chi). \end{aligned}$$

**6. Hilbert  $A$ -module valued processes.**

Let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group and  $X$  be a Hilbert  $A$ -module. We consider  $X$ -valued processes over  $G$ .

6.1. DEFINITION. (1) An  $X$ -valued process  $\{x(t)\}$  over  $G$  is a map  $t \rightarrow x(t)$  from  $G$  into  $X$ .

(2) The covariance function  $\Gamma$  of a process  $\{x(t)\}$  is defined by  $\Gamma(s, t) = [x(s), x(t)]$ ,  $s, t \in G$ .  $\Gamma$  is an  $A$ -valued PDK on  $G \times G$ .

(3) A process  $\{x(t)\}$  is said to be stationary if its covariance function  $\Gamma(s, t)$  depends only on  $st^{-1}$  and, putting  $\Gamma(s, t) = \Gamma(st^{-1})$ , if  $\Gamma$  is an  $A$ -valued weakly continuous function on  $G$ .

(4) For a process  $\tilde{x} = \{x(t)\}$  the time domain  $\mathfrak{F}(\tilde{x})$  and an observation space  $\mathfrak{F}(\tilde{x}; D)$  of a subset  $D$  of  $G$  are defined as submodules by  $\mathfrak{F}(\tilde{x}) = \mathfrak{S}\{x(t); t \in G\}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}(\tilde{x}; D) = \mathfrak{S}\{x(t); t \in D\}$ , respectively.

(5) Let  $\tilde{x} = \{x(t)\}$  be an  $X$ -valued process and  $\tilde{y} = \{y(t)\}$  be a  $Y$ -valued process,  $Y$  being a Hilbert  $A$ -module. Then  $\tilde{x}$  and  $\tilde{y}$  are said to be equivalent if there exists a Gramian unitary operator  $U: \mathfrak{F}(\tilde{x}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(\tilde{y})$  such that  $Ux(t) = y(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ .

Then the following is easily proved.

6.2. PROPOSITION. (1) For any  $A$ -valued PDK  $\Gamma$  on  $G \times G$  there is some Hilbert  $A$ -module valued process with the covariance function  $\Gamma$ .

(2) Let  $\tilde{x}$  be an  $X$ -valued process with the covariance function  $\Gamma$ . Then we have, for each subset  $D$  of  $G$ ,  $\mathfrak{H}(\tilde{x}; D) \cong D \otimes_{\Gamma} A$  and, in particular,  $\mathfrak{H}(\tilde{x}) \cong G \otimes_{\Gamma} A$  where  $D \otimes_{\Gamma} A$  was constructed in section 3.

(3) Let  $\tilde{x}$  be an  $X$ -valued process and  $\tilde{y}$  be a  $Y$ -valued process,  $Y$  being a Hilbert  $A$ -module. Then  $\tilde{x}$  and  $\tilde{y}$  are equivalent if and only if their covariance functions are identical.

(4) Stationarity is invariant within equivalence. More precisely, let  $\tilde{x}$  and  $\tilde{y}$  be as in (3) above. If they are equivalent and  $\tilde{x}$  is stationary, then  $\tilde{y}$  is also stationary.

(5) Let  $\{x(t)\}$  be an  $X$ -valued stationary process with the covariance function

$\Gamma$ . Then there exist an  $X^*$ -valued CA orthogonally scattered measure  $\xi$  and an  $A$ -valued CA measure  $F$  on  $\hat{G}$  such that

$$x(t) = \int_{\hat{G}} \overline{\langle t, \chi \rangle} \xi(d\chi), \quad \Gamma(t) = \int_{\hat{G}} \overline{\langle t, \chi \rangle} F(d\chi), \quad t \in G$$

where the orthogonal scatteredness of  $\xi$  means that  $[\xi(A), \xi(B)] = 0$  for every disjoint pair  $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}_{\hat{G}}$ .

Let  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$  be a probability measure space and  $E$  be a Banach space with the dual space  $E^*$ . An  $E$ -valued function  $x$  on  $\Omega$  is said to be of weak second order if it is weakly measurable and  $f^*(x(\cdot)) \in L^2(\Omega, \mu)$  for every  $f^* \in E^*$ . For each such function  $x$  there is an operator  $T_x: E^* \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mu)$  such that  $(T_x f^*)(\cdot) = f^*(x(\cdot))$ ,  $f^* \in E^*$ . If  $E$  is separable, then  $T_x^*: L^2(\Omega, \mu) \rightarrow E \subset E^{**}$  (cf. [19, 2.2. Proposition]). Putting  $H = L^2(\Omega, \mu)$  and  $L = E^*$ , we define an  $E$ -valued process over  $G$  of weak second order to be a  $B(L, H)$ -valued process over  $G$  where  $B(L, H)$  is the Banach space of all bounded linear operators from  $L$  into  $H$ . The case where  $L$  is a Hilbert space was studied by Gangolli [4]. In this case  $B(L, H)$  is a (right) Hilbert  $B(L)$ -module as was noted by Gangolli. Susiu and Valsescu [16] considered in this view point (see also Saworotnow [15]). The case where  $L$  is an arbitrary Banach space was studied by several authors such as Cobanjan and Weron [2], Weron [19] and Miamee [8] (cf. [9]).

Let  $\{x(t)\}$  be an  $E$ -valued process of weak second order, i.e.,  $\{x(t)\}$  is a  $B(E^*, H)$ -valued process. When  $E$  is separable or reflexive, the adjoint process  $\{x(t)^*\}$ , which is  $B(H, E^{**})$ -valued, becomes a  $B(H, E)$ -valued process. The space  $B(H, E)$  is a (right) Hilbert  $B(H)$ -module if we define a module action and a Gramian by  $x \cdot a = xa$  and  $[x, y] = y^*x$  for  $x, y \in B(H, E)$  and  $a \in B(H)$ , respectively. Hence our theory is available in this respect.

REFERENCES

[1] ARONSZAJN, N., Theory of reproducing kernels, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 68 (1950), 337-404.  
 [2] COBANJAN, S. A. AND WERON, A., Banach space valued stationary processes and

- their linear prediction, *Dissertationes Math.* **125** (1975), 1-45.
- [ 3 ] DIXMIER, J., *C\*-algebras*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
  - [ 4 ] GANGOLLI, R., Wide-sense stationary sequences of distributions on Hilbert space and the factorization of operator valued functions, *J. Math. Mech.* **12** (1963), 893-910.
  - [ 5 ] ITOH, S., A note on dilations in modules over  $C^*$ -algebras, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **22** (1980), 117-126.
  - [ 6 ] KAKIHARA, Y. AND TERASAKI, T., Hilbert  $B(H)$ -modules with applications I, *Res. Rep. Inst. Inf. Sci. Tech.* (Tokyo Denki Univ.) **5** (1979), 23-32.
  - [ 7 ] KAPLANSKY, I., Modules over operator algebras, *Amer. J. Math.* **75** (1953), 839-858.
  - [ 8 ] MIAMEE, A.G., On  $B(X, K)$ -valued stationary stochastic processes, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **25** (1976), 921-932.
  - [ 9 ] MIAMEE, A.G. AND SALEHI, H., On the square root of a positive  $B(\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}^*)$ -valued function, *J. Multivar. Anal.* **7** (1977), 535-550.
  - [10] NAKAMURA, M. AND UMEGAKI, H., A remark on theorems of Stone and Bochner, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **27** (1951), 506-507.
  - [11] OZAWA, M., Hilbert  $B(H)$ -modules and stationary processes, *Kodai Math. J.* **3** (1980), 26-39.
  - [12] PASCHKE, W.L., Inner product modules over  $B^*$ -algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **182** (1973), 443-468.
  - [13] RIEFFEL, M.A., Induced representations of  $C^*$ -algebras, *Advances Math.* **13** (1974), 176-257.
  - [14] SAWOROTNOW, P.P., A generalized Hilbert space, *Duke Math. J.* **35** (1968), 191-197.
  - [15] SAWOROTNOW, P.P., Abstract stationary processes, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **40** (1973), 585-589.
  - [16] SUSIU, I. AND VALTESCU, I., A linear filtering problem in complete correlated actions, *J. Multivar. Anal.* **9** (1979), 599-613.
  - [17] UMEGAKI, H., Positive definite function and direct product Hilbert space, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **7** (1955), 206-211.
  - [18] UMEGAKI, H., An operator method for harmonic analysis on locally compact abelian group, *MRC Tech. Summary Rep. #725*, Madison, Wisconsin, 1967.
  - [19] WERON, A., Prediction theory in Banach space, *Lecture Notes in Math. No. 472*, pp. 207-228, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE  
 TOKYO DENKI UNIVERSITY  
 ISHIZAKA, HATAYAMA-MACHI, HIKI-GUN  
 SAITAMA-KEN 350-03, JAPAN