Y. NODA KODAI MATH. J. 4 (1981), 426-442

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE EXPONENTIAL FUNCTION BY THE VALUE DISTRIBUTION

By Yoji Noda

1. Introduction. Baker [1] has shown the following characterization of the exponential function.

Let f(z) be a transcendental entire function. Assume that for every complex number w there is a straight line L_w of the complex plane on which all the solutions of f(z)=w lie. Then $f(z)=a+b \cdot \exp(Az)$ with constants a, b, A. Recently Kobayashi [6] has shown the following theorem

Recently Kobayashi [6] has shown the following theorem.

THEOREM A. Let f(z) be a transcendental entire function. Assume that there are three distinct finite complex numbers a_j and three straight lines L_j of the complex plane on which all the solutions of $f(z)=a_j$ lie (j=1, 2, 3). Assume further that these three values never lie on any straight line of the complex plane. Then $f(z)=P(\exp Az)$ with a quadratic polynomial P(z) and a non-zero constant A.

In this note we shall give a generalization of Baker's result. In what follows we shall mean a strip by the set $\{az+b; |\text{Re }z| \leq 1\}$, where $a \ (\neq 0)$ and b are constants.

THEOREM 1. Let f(z) be a transcendental entire function and k a positive number. Assume that for every complex number w there is a strip S_w of width k of the complex plane in which all the solutions of f(z)=w lie. Then $f(z)=a+b \cdot \exp(Az)$ with constants a, b, A, $bA \neq 0$.

THEOREM 2. Let f(z) be a transcendental real entire function of finite lower order and k a positive number. Assume that for every real number w there is a strip S_w of width k of the complex plane in which all the solutions of f(z)=wlie. Then $f(z)=a+b \cdot \exp(Az)$ with real constants a, b, A, $bA \neq 0$.

THEOREM 3. Let f(z) be a transcendental entire function and G an open subset of the complex plane. Assume that for every $w \in G$ there is a straight line L_w of the complex plane on which all but a finite number of the solutions of f(z)=w lie. Then $f(z)=a+b \cdot \exp(Az)$ with constants a, b, A, $bA \neq 0$.

THEOREM 4. Let f(z) be a transcendental entire function, G an open subset Received April 5, 1980 of the complex plane and n a positive integer. Assume that for every $w \in G$ there are n straight lines $L_{w,1}, \dots, L_{w,n}$ of the complex plane, which are parallel with one another, on which all the solutions of f(z)=w lie. Then f(z)=Q (exp Az) with a rational function Q of order at most n and a non-zero constant A.

Remarks. The function $e^z + e^{az}$ (a > 1) shows that the assumption "for every complex number" in Theorem 1 cannot be improved. If G is a straight line of the complex plane, then the conclusion of Theorem 3 cannot hold generally. This is shown by the function $f(z)=z \cos z$. It is easily seen that for every real number w all but a finite number of the solutions of f(z)=w lie on the real axis.

The auther wishes to express his sincere thanks to Professor Ozawa and Dr. Kobayashi for their valuable advice and suggestions.

2. Statement of known results. We need the following theorems.

THEOREM A [5]. Let f(z) be an entire function of finite genus $q \ (\geq 1)$. If its zeros $\{a_n\}$ satisfy

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0 \quad (|\arg a_n| \leq \pi),$$

then f(z) has zero as a deficient value.

THEOREM B [5]. Let f(z) be an entire function of finite genus $q \ (\geq 2)$. If its zeros $\{a_n\}$ lie in a strip of the complex plane, then f(z) has zero as a deficient value.

THEOREM C [5]. Let f(z) be a non-constant entire function satisfying

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{T(r,f)}{r}=0.$$

Then the smallest convex set which contains the zeros of f(z) also contains the zeros of f'(z).

LEMMA A [5]. Let f(z) be an entire function of genus at most one. Assume that 0 is not a lacunary value of f(z) and all the zeros $\{a_n\}$ of f(z) lie in the strip

$$\{z; |\operatorname{Re} z| \leq h\}.$$

Then

$$\operatorname{Re} - \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = A + \sum_{n} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{z - a_n}$$

with a real constant A. Further if A is positive, zero, or negative, then for real number x

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{x \to +\infty} |f(x)| = +\infty ,\\ &\lim_{x \to +\infty} |f(x)| = \lim_{x \to -\infty} |f(x)| = +\infty , \quad or \quad \lim_{x \to -\infty} |f(x)| = +\infty \end{split}$$

respectively.

LEMMA B [5]. If f(z) is regular in the half plane {z; Re z > h > 0} and fails to take there 0 and 1, then

$$\log |f(z)| \leq \frac{A(1+h+|z|)^2}{(x-h)} \qquad (\text{Re } z = x > h),$$

where A is a positive constant.

3. Lemmas. In this section we shall prove the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let f(z) be an entire function. Assume that there exist four distinct finite complex numbers a_j and four strips S_j of the complex plane such that all the solutions of $f(z)=a_j$ lie in S_j $(j=1, \dots, 4)$. Assume further that the four strips S_j are parallel with one another and $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$ $(i \neq j)$. Then f(z) has at most order one.

The proof is essentially the same as that of Theorem 4 in [5], hence omitted.

LEMMA 2. Let f(z) be an entire function. Assume that there exist three distinct finite complex numbers a_j and three strips S_j of the complex plane such that all the solutions of $f(z)=a_j$ lie in S_j (j=1, 2, 3). Assume further that no two of the three strips S_j run parallel with each other. Then the order ρ , of f(z), is finite and

$$ho \leq \max\left\{rac{\pi}{\omega_1+\omega_2}, rac{\pi}{\omega_2+\omega_3}, rac{\pi}{\omega_3+\omega_1}
ight\},$$

where ω_j (j=1, 2, 3) are apertures of those three angular sectors which are components of $C \setminus (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3)$ and adjoin.

Proof. We assume, without loss of generality, that

$$S_{1} = \{z ; |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq k\}, \qquad S_{2} = \{z ; |\operatorname{Im} (ze^{-\imath \omega_{2}})| \leq k\},$$
$$S_{3} = \{z ; |\operatorname{Im} (ze^{\imath \omega_{3}})| \leq k\}, \qquad \left(0 < \omega_{2}, \omega_{3} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, k > 0\right).$$

Let ε be an arbitrarily fixed positive number less than min (ω_2, ω_3) . We choose real numbers α , β such that

$$\{z^{\beta}e^{i\alpha}; \operatorname{Re} z > 0\} = \{z; \omega_2 - \varepsilon > \arg z > -\omega_3 + \varepsilon\}.$$

Let

$$F(z) = (f(z^{\beta}e^{i\alpha}) - a_2)/(a_3 - a_2),$$

then for a suitable positive number h F(z) fails to take 0 and 1 in Re z > h. Thus by Lemma B

(3.1)
$$\log^+|F(z)| \le A \frac{(1+h+|z|)^2}{(x-h)}$$
 (Re $z=x>h$).

Let δ be an arbitrarily fixed number in $(0, \pi/2)$. We choose a positive number γ satisfying $\cos \delta - (h/r) > \gamma$ for every sufficiently large r. Then by (3.1)

$$\begin{split} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \log^{+} |F(re^{i\theta})| \, d\theta &\leq A(1+h+r)^{2} \frac{1}{r} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{d\theta}{\cos \theta - h/r} \\ &\leq A \frac{2\delta}{\gamma} \frac{(1+h+r)^{2}}{r} = O(r) \end{split}$$

for every sufficiently large r. Thus

(3.2)
$$\int_{-\beta\delta+\alpha}^{\beta\delta+\alpha} \log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta = O(r^{1/\beta})$$

If ε is sufficiently small and δ is sufficiently close to $\pi/2$, then

$$\{e^{\imath\theta}; \beta\delta + \alpha > \theta > -\beta\delta + \alpha\} \supset \left\{e^{\imath\theta}; \frac{\omega_2}{2} > \theta > \frac{-\omega_3}{2}\right\}$$

Further if ε tends to 0 from above, then $1/\beta$ tends to $\pi/(\omega_2+\omega_3)$ from above. Thus by (3.2)

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} (\log r)^{-1} \log \left(\int_{-\omega_3/2}^{\omega_2/2} \log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \right) \leq \pi/(\omega_2 + \omega_3).$$

For other angular sectors we obtain similar results. Thus the order of f(z) is at most

$$\max\left\{\frac{\pi}{\omega_1+\omega_2}, \frac{\pi}{\omega_2+\omega_3}, \frac{\pi}{\omega_3+\omega_1}\right\}.$$

LEMMA 3. Let f(z) be an entire function. Assume that there exist three distinct finite complex numbers a_j and three straight lines L_j of the complex plane on which all but a finite number of the solutions of $f(z)=a_j$ lie (j=1, 2, 3). Then the order of f(z) is finite.

Proof. It is sufficient to consider only the case that the three lines L_j are distinct and parallel with one another. Indeed in other cases the assertion of Lemma 3 follows at once from Theorem 1 in [2].

We can assume, without loss of generality, that

$$L_1 = \{z; \text{Re } z = h_i\}$$
 $(h_1 > 0, h_2 = 0, h_3 < 0),$

and that $f(z) \neq a_i$ for every z in $C \setminus (\{z ; |z| < 1\} \cup L_i)$ (i=1, 2, 3). Let $w = \phi(z) =$

 $z-z^{-1}$, then the function $z=\phi^{-1}(w)$ maps the half plane Re w>0 conformally onto the region $\{z ; \text{Re } z>0, |z|>1\}$. Let $g(z)=(f(z)-a_2)/(a_3-a_2)$, then $g(\phi^{-1}(w))$ fails to take 0 and 1 in Re w>0. Thus by Lemma B

(3.3)
$$\log^+ |g(\phi^{-1}(w))| \leq \frac{A(1+|w|)^2}{\operatorname{Re} w}$$
 (Re $w > 0$).

If z (Re z>0) is sufficiently large, then Re $w=\text{Re}(z(1-|z|^{-2}))>\text{Re}(z/2)$. From (3.3) we thus obtain

$$\log^{+}|g(z)| \leq \frac{8A|z|^{2}}{\operatorname{Re} z}$$
 (Re z>0)

for sufficiently large z. Therefore

(3.4)
$$\log^+|f(z)| \le \frac{|9A||z||^2}{\operatorname{Re} z}$$
 (Re $z > 0$)

for sufficiently large z. Similarly, we obtain

(3.5)
$$\log^+|f(z)| \leq -\frac{9A|z|^2}{-\operatorname{Re} z}$$
 (Re $z < 0$)

for sufficiently large z. Applying the essentially same method as in the proof of Theorem 4 in [5] to (3.4) and (3.5), we conclude that f(z) has at most order one. Lemma 3 is thus proved.

LEMMA 4. Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of non-zero complex numbers such that $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $n(r, a_n)$ the counting function of the sequence $\{a_n\}$. Assume that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{|a_n|^2} < \infty.$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|z - a_n|^2} \ge \frac{1}{4|z|^2} n(|z|, a_n) \qquad (z \neq 0)$$

Proof. Let z be an arbitrarily fixed non-zero complex number. Let |z|=r, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|z-a_n|^2} \ge \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(r+|a_n|)^2}$$
$$= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(t+r)^2} dn(t, a_n) = 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(t+r)^3} n(t, a_n) dt$$
$$= \frac{2}{r^2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(s+1)^3} n(rs, a_n) ds \ge \frac{2}{r^2} n(r, a_n) \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{(s+1)^3} ds = \frac{1}{4r^2} n(r, a_n) .$$

Lemma 4 is thus proved.

4. Proof of Theorem 1. Firstly by Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 the order of f(z) must be finite. Let us denote

$$C^* = \{a \in C; \delta(a, f) = 0\}.$$

Then by Theorem B the genus of f(z)-a is at most one for every $a \in C^*$. Further by the following lemma all the strips S_a $(a \in C^*)$ are parallel with one another.

LEMMA 5. Let f(z) be a transcendental entire function of finite order, and A an infinite set of complex numbers containing no deficient value of f(z). Assume that the genus of f(z)-a is at most one for every $a \in A$, and that for every $a \in A$ there is a strip T_a of the complex plane in which all the solutions of f(z)=a lie. Then all the strips T_a $(a \in A)$ are parallel with one another.

Proof. We consider the following two cases.

(1) $\liminf_{r \to \infty} T(r, f)/r = 0.$ (2) $\liminf_{r \to \infty} T(r, f)/r \neq 0.$

Firstly we consider the case (1). If there exist two values, $a, b (\in A)$ such that T_a and T_b are not parallel with each other, then by Theorem C f'(z) has at most a finite number of zeros. Therefore by (1) f(z) must be a polynomial. This is a contradiction. Thus all the strips T_a $(a \in A)$ are parallel with one another in this case.

Secondly we consider the case (2). Suppose that T_a and T_b are not parallel with each other for some $a, b \ (\in A)$. There exist infinitely many elements $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of A and a straight line

$$L = \{te^{i\omega}; t \in \mathbf{R}\} \qquad (0 \leq \omega < \pi)$$

such that the direction of T_{a_n} approaches that of L as $n \to \infty$. Then using Lemma A and Lindelöf-Iversen-Gross' theorem [7] we deduce that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} |f(re^{i\theta})| = +\infty$$

uniformly for $|\theta - \omega + \pi/2| \leq \theta^* < \pi/2$ or for $|\theta - \omega - \pi/2| \leq \theta^* < \pi/2$, where θ^* is an arbitrarily fixed number in $(0, \pi/2)$. Thus *a*-points or *b*-points of f(z) must lie in a half strip. Therefore by Theorem A and (2) we conclude that *a* or *b* is a deficient value of f(z). This is a contradiction. Thus all the strips T_a $(a \in A)$ are parallel with one another in this case. Lemma 5 is thus proved.

By Lemma 5 we can assume, without loss of generality, that all the strips S_a $(a \in \mathbb{C}^*)$ run parallel with the imaginary axis. We now consider a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ of complex numbers such that $\operatorname{Re}(x_{n+1})-\operatorname{Re}(x_n)\geq 3k$, and that $f(x_n)=w_n\in\mathbb{C}^*$ $(n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots)$. Then $S_{w_n}\cap S_{w_n}=\emptyset$ $(i\neq j)$. From Lemma A

(4.1)
$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z) - w_n} = A_n + \sum_m \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{z - a_m},$$

where A_n is a real constant and $\{a_m\}$ the w_n -points of f(z) $(n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots)$. Next we prove

LEMMA 6. The following two cases donot occur.

(1) $A_n > 0, A_m < 0$ (n > m). (2) $A_n = A_m = 0$ $(n \neq m)$.

Proof. Firstly we assume that the case (1) occurs. Let us write $A_n = A$, $A_m = -B$, $w_n = a$, $w_m = b$, $\operatorname{Re}(x_n) + k = r$, $\operatorname{Re}(x_m) - k = s$. Let

$$L = \{a + t(a - b); t \in (0, \infty)\}.$$

By (4.1) and (1) we obtain

(4.2)
$$\arg (f(x+iy)-a) - \arg (f(x)-a)$$
$$- \int^{y} \mathbf{p}_{0} \int^{y} f'(x+it) dt > A dt$$

$$= \int_0^y \operatorname{Re} \frac{f'(x+it)}{f(x+it)-a} dt \ge Ay$$

for every $(x, y) \in (r, \infty) \times \mathbf{R}$. Thus from (4.2)

(4.3)
$$\{\arg(f(x+iy)-a); y \in [0, 2\pi/A]\} \supset [0, 2\pi]$$

for every x (>r). On the other hand, Lemma A and Lindelöf-Iversen-Gross' theorem [7] imply

(4.4)
$$\lim_{x \to +\infty} |f(x+iy)| = +\infty$$

uniformly for $y \ (0 \le y \le 2\pi/A)$. By (4.3) and (4.4) we see that for some point z_1 in Re z > r, $f(z_1)$ lies on L. Hence using the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 9 in [5], we can deduce that every sufficiently large value on L can be taken by f(z) in the half plane Re z > r. For completeness we shall give a proof of this assertion.

Let $v_1 = f(z_1)$, and $E(w, v_1)$ be the regular element of $f^{-1}(w)$ with center v_1 which satisfies $E(v_1, v_1) = z_1$. Put $v_1 = a + e^{i\alpha}t_1$ with real constants α , t_1 $(t_1 > 0)$. We continue $E(w, v_1)$ analytically along the segment $\{a + te^{i\alpha}; t_1 \leq t < t_2 < \infty\}$. Put

$$Z(t) = E(a + e^{i\alpha}(t_1 + t), v_1), \qquad 0 \leq t < (t_2 - t_1).$$

Then

(4.5)
$$f(Z(t)) = a + e^{i\alpha}(t_1 + t).$$

If $Z(t_*)$ $(0 \le t_* < t_2 - t_1)$ is contained in the half plane Re z > r, then Z(t) is differentiable at t_* and from (4.5)

(4.6)
$$f'(Z(t_*))Z'(t_*) = e^{i\alpha}$$
.

From (4.1) and (1)

(4.7)
$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{f'(Z(t_*))}{f(Z(t_*)) - a} \ge A > 0$$

By (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) we conclude

Re $Z'(t_*) > 0$.

Therefore Z(t) must be contained in Re z > r for every $t \ (\in [0, t_2-t_1))$. If this analytic continuation defines a transcendental singularity at the point $a+t_2 \exp(i\alpha)$, then the path $\Gamma = \{Z(t); 0 \le t < (t_2-t_1)\}$ must be an asymptotic path of f(z) and as z tends to infinity along this path Γ , f(z) approaches the value $a+t_2 \exp(i\alpha)$. Thus, by Lindelöf-Iversen-Gross' theorem [7], we deduce that for real number x

$$\lim_{x\to+\infty}f(x)=a+t_2e^{i\alpha}.$$

This contradicts (4.4). Thus $E(w, v_1)$ can be continued analytically along the half line L up to infinity. Hence we conclude that every sufficiently large value on L can be taken by f(z) in the half plane Re z > r.

Similarly, every sufficiently large value on L can be taken by f(z) in the half plane $\operatorname{Re} z < s$. Some sufficiently large value on L is in C^* . Thus we have a contradiction, since every strip S_a $(a \in C^*)$ is parallel with the imaginary axis. Thus (1) cannot occur.

We next show that the case (2) cannot occur. Indeed, if otherwise, then by (4.1) and (2) f'(z) fails to take 0 in C. Further by Lemma 1 the order of f(z) is at most one. Thus $f(z)=a+b\cdot\exp(Az)$ with constants a, b, A. On the other hand by Lemma A and (2) we deduce that for real number x

$$\lim_{x \to +\infty} |f(x)| = \lim_{x \to -\infty} |f(x)| = +\infty.$$

However the function $f(z)=a+b \cdot \exp(Az)$ does not satisfy this asymptotic behavior. Thus (2) cannot occur. Lemma 6 is thus proved.

- By Lemma 6 we have only the following two possibilities.
- 1) There exists an integer N such that $A_n > 0$ for every $n \leq N$.
- 2) There exists an integer N such that $A_n < 0$ for every $n \ge N$.

In each case by (4.1) f'(z) fails to take 0 in C. Further by Lemma 1 the order of f(z) is at most one. Thus $f(z)=a+b \cdot \exp(Az)$ with constants $a, b, A, bA \neq 0$. The proof of Theorem 1 is now complete.

5. Proof of Theorem 2. Let L be the real axis. If $a (\in \mathbb{R})$ is not a Picard exceptional value of f(z), then $S_a \supset L$ or S_a is at right angles to L. Thus by the theorem in [4] we see that S_a is at right angles to L for every sufficiently large $a (\in \mathbb{R})$. Further by Theorem 4 in [5] we see that the order of f(z) is at most one.

If there exist two real numbers a, b satisfying $S_a \supset L, S_b \supset L$, then by

Lemma A and Lindelöf-Iversen-Gross' theorem [7] we deduce that

$$\lim_{r \to +\infty} |f(re^{i\theta})| = +\infty$$

uniformly for $|\theta - \pi/2| \leq \theta^* < \pi/2$ and for $|\theta + \pi/2| \leq \theta^* < \pi/2$, where θ^* is an arbitrarily fixed number in $(0, \pi/2)$. Hence every sufficiently large real number must be a Picard exceptional value of f(z). This is a contradiction. Thus S_a is at right angles to L for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$ with at most one exception.

We now choose a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=\infty}^{+\infty}$ of real numbers such that $\operatorname{Re}(x_{n+1}-x_n) \ge 3k$ and that $S_{w_n}(w_n=f(x_n))$ is at right angles to $L(n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots)$. We define A_n by (4.1). Then as the proof of Theorem 1 we have only the following two possibilities.

1) There exists an integer N such that $A_n > 0$ for every $n \leq N$.

2) There exists an integer N such that $A_n < 0$ for every $n \ge N$.

In each case by (4.1) f'(z) fails to take 0 in C. Thus $f(z)=a+b \cdot \exp(Az)$ with real constants a, b, A, $bA \neq 0$. Theorem 2 is thus proved.

6. Proof of Theorem 4. Firstly we prove that the number of directions of the straight lines $L_{w,1}$ ($w \in G$) is finite. Indeed, if otherwise, by Lemma 2 the order of f(z) must be finite. Therefore Theorem 1 in [3] implies that f(z) has at most a finite number of deficient values. Thus without loss of generality we can assume that G contains no deficient value of f(z). Hence by Theorem B, the genus of f(z)-a is at most one for every $a \ (\in G)$. Thus by Lemma 5 we conclude that all the straight lines $L_{w,1} \ (w \in G)$ are parallel with one another. This is a contradiction.

From the above result it is easily seen that there is an open subset G^* of G such that all the straight lines $L_{w,1}$ ($w \in G^*$) are parallel with one another. We can assume, without loss of generality, that they are also parallel with the imaginary axis. Further we assume that there exists a points α ($\in G^*$) such that α is not a Picard exceptional value of f(z), and that $\{L_{\alpha,i}\}_{i=1}^n$ are n distinct straight lines each of which carries at least one α -point of f(z).

We choose n+1 α -points $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$ such that z_1 and z_2 lie on one of $\{L_{\alpha,i}\}_{i=1}^{n}$, say $L_{\alpha,i}$, and that z_i lies on $L_{\alpha,i-1}$ $(i=3, \dots, n+1)$. By the assumption on α it is easily seen that $f'(z_i) \neq 0$ $(i=1, \dots, n+1)$. Thus there exist neighborhoods U_i of z_i $(i=1, \dots, n+1)$ and a neighborhood A of α satisfying the following conditions.

- 1) f(z) is univalent in U_i $(i=1, 2, \dots, n+1)$.
- 2) $f(U_i) = A$ (*i*=1, 2, ..., *n*+1).
- 3) $A \subset G^*$.
- 4) {Re z; $z \in U_1$ } \cap {Re z; $z \in U_i$ } = ϕ (*i*=3, ..., *n*+1), {Re z; $z \in U_2$ } \cap {Re z; $z \in U_i$ } = ϕ (*i*=3, ..., *n*+1), {Re z; $z \in U_i$ } \cap {Re z; $z \in U_j$ } = ϕ (*i*, *j*=3, ..., *n*+1).

If $w \in A$, then by 1) and 2) there exists one *w*-point of f(z) in each U_i , which is denoted by p_i $(i=1, 2, \dots, n+1)$. By 3) and 4) we deduce that Re p_1 =Re p_2 . Put $F=(f|_{U_2})^{-1} \circ (f|_{U_1})$, then *F* is holomorphic in U_1 and F(z)-z is purely imaginary for every *z* in U_1 . Thus $F(z)\equiv z+c$ in a neighborhood of z_1 with a constant *c*. Hence we have

(6.1)
$$f(z+c) \equiv f(z) \, .$$

Therefore $f(z)=Q(\exp Bz)$, where Q is a regular function in $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ and B is a non-zero constant. From the assumption of Theorem 4, it is easily seen that Q is a rational function.

We may assume that Q(w) has no factorization of form $Q(w) = P(w^N)$, where P is a rational function and N is an integer (≥ 2) . Let m be the order of Q(w). Then by the assumption of α there are m distinct roots $\{a_i\}$ of $Q(w) = \alpha$, which lie on n distinct circles whose center is at the origin. If m > n, then there exist two of $\{a_i\}$, say a_i , a_j , such that $|a_i| = |a_j|$. Then using essentially the same method which is used in showing (6.1), we easily deduce that

$$Q(w) \equiv Q(we^{i\theta}) \qquad (\theta = \arg a_i - \arg a_j).$$

Thus $Q(w)=P(w^N)$ with a rational function P and an integer $N (\neq 0, \pm 1)$. This is a contradiction. Thus m=n. Theorem 4 is thus proved.

7. Proof of Theorem 3. By Lemma 3 the order of f(z) must be finite. Hence Theorem 1 in [3] and the Denjoy-Carleman-Ahlfors Theorem imply that f(z) has at most a finite number of deficient values and asymptotic values. Thus we may assume that G contains neither deficient nor asymptotic values of f(z). By Theorem B the genus of f(z)-a is at most one for every $a (\subseteq G)$. By Lemma 5 all the straight lines $L_a (a \in G)$ are parallel with the imaginary axis.

Let us write for every $a \ (\in G)$

$$h(a) = \operatorname{Re} x \qquad (x \in L_a),$$

(7.2)
$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)-a} = A(a) + \sum_{n} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{z-a_{n}},$$

where A(a) is a real constant and $\{a_n\}$ the *a*-points of f(z). (7.2) follows from Lemma A.

Next we show the following Lemma 7 and Lemma 8. Which are modifications of Lemma 5 and Lemma 7 in [5].

LEMMA 7. If h(a) < h(b) then A(a) < 0 or A(b) > 0 $(a, b \in G)$.

Proof. Suppose that $A(a) \ge 0$, $A(b) \le 0$. Let $\{a_n\}$ be the *a*-points of f(z) which lie on $L_a \setminus \{0\}$. We choose a positive number R such that $f(z) \ne a$ for every z (Re z > R). Put $\varepsilon = (h(b) - h(a))/3$, $S = \{z; h(a) + \varepsilon \le Re z \le R\}$,

$$\phi_a(z) = \operatorname{Re} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z) - a}$$
.

Then by (7.2)

(7.3)
$$\phi_a(z) > 0$$
 (Re $z > R$)

and

(7.4)
$$\phi_a(z) = A(a) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{z - a_n} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right) \quad (z \in S).$$

By (7.4) and Lemma 4 it is easily seen that

$$(7.5) \qquad \qquad \phi_a(z) > 0$$

for every sufficiently large $z \ (\subseteq S)$. From (7.3) and (7.5) f'(z) has at most a finite number of zeros in $\operatorname{Re} z \geq h(a) + \varepsilon$.

Similarly f'(z) has at most a finite number of zeros in $\operatorname{Re} z \leq h(b) - \varepsilon$. Thus f'(z) has at most a finite number of zeros in C. Therefore

(7.6)
$$f(z) = P(z) \cdot e^{\alpha z} + \beta$$

with constants α , β and a polynomial P(z). The assumption " $A(a) \ge 0$ and A(b) ≤ 0 ", Lemma A and Lindelöf-Iversen-Gross' theorem [7] imply that

$$\lim_{r \to +\infty} |f(re^{i\theta})| = +\infty$$

uniformly for $|\theta| \leq \theta^* < \pi/2$ and for $|\theta - \pi| \leq \theta^* < \pi/2$, where θ^* is an arbitrarily fixed number in (0, $\pi/2$). This asymptotic behavior contradicts (7.6). Lemma 7 is thus proved.

LEMMA 8. For every $a \ (\subseteq G)$ we have

$$\overline{(f(\overline{z}+h(a))-a)} = (\cdot f(-z+h(a))-a) \exp\left(2A(a)z+\iota B(a)\right)(\overline{P_a(\overline{z})}/P_a(-z))$$
with a polynomial $P_a(z)$ and a real constant $B(a)$.

Proof. Let $\{a_n\}$ be the *a*-points of f(z) which lie on L_a and $\{a'_m\}$ the *a*points of f(z) other than $\{a_n\}$. Let us write

(7.7)
$$f(z+h(a))-a=z^{p}e^{Az+B}\prod_{a_{n}\neq h(a)}E\left(\frac{z}{a_{n}-h(a)},1\right)\prod_{m}E\left(\frac{z}{a'_{m}-h(a)},1\right),$$

(7.8)
$$P_{a}(z) = \begin{cases} \prod_{m} \left(1 - \frac{z}{a'_{m} - h(a)} \right) & \text{if } a'_{m} \text{ exists,} \\ 1 & \text{if } a'_{m} \text{ does not} \end{cases}$$

if a'_m does not exist,

(7.9)
$$g_a(z) = (f(z+h(a))-a)/P_a(z)$$
,

where E(z, 1) is the Weierstrass primary factor of genus 1. Since all the zeros of $g_a(z)$ are purely imaginary, by Lemma 5 in [5]

(7.10)
$$\overline{g_a(\bar{z})} = g_a(-z) \cdot \exp\left(A(a)z + iB(a)\right)$$

with a real constant B(a), where

(7.11)
$$\widetilde{A(a)} = 2 \operatorname{Re}\left(A + \sum_{m} \frac{1}{a'_{m} - h(a)}\right)$$

From (7.7)

(7.12)
$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z) - a} = \widetilde{A(a)}/2 + \sum_{n} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{z - a_{n}} + \sum_{m} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{z - a'_{m}}.$$

By (7.2) and (7.12)

From (7.9), (7.10) and (7.13) we have the desired result. Next we prove

LEMMA 9. Let $a, b \in G$. Assume that h(a) > h(b), A(a)A(b) > 0. If A(a) > 0, then there exists a neighborhood $E(\subset G)$ of a, such that h(p) is continuous in Eand that A(p) > 0 for every p in E. If A(a) < 0, then there exists a neighborhood $E'(\subset G)$ of b, such that h(p) is continuous in E' and that A(p) < 0 for every p in E'.

Proof. In what follows we assume that A(a) > 0. When A(a) < 0, we only have to consider the function f(-z) instead of f(z).

Let ε be an arbitrarily fixed positive number less than (h(a)-h(b))/3 such that the set

$$C = \{z; 0 < |h(a) - (\text{Re } z)| \leq 2\varepsilon\}$$

contains no *a*-point of f(z). Using the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 7, we deduce that

(7.14)
$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z) - a} \ge A(a),$$

(7.15)
$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z) - b} \ge A(b)$$

for every z satisfying $h(a) + \varepsilon \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq h(a) + 2\varepsilon$ and $|\operatorname{Im} z| \geq R_0$, where R_0 is a suitable positive number.

Let $\gamma = |a-b|$ and δ a positive number satisfying

(7.16)
$$\log \frac{\gamma + \delta}{\gamma - \delta} \leq \varepsilon A(b) \,.$$

Put

$$L = \{z; \operatorname{Re} z = h(a) + \varepsilon\}.$$

(7.17) $S = \{z \in L ; |a - f(z)| < \delta\},\$

(7.18)
$$T = \{z \in L ; |a - f(z)| \ge \delta\}.$$

Let $z \in S$ and $|\operatorname{Im} z| \ge R_0$, then by (7.15)

(7.19)
$$\log |f(z+\varepsilon)-b| - \log |f(z)-b| = \int_0^\varepsilon \operatorname{Re} \frac{f'(x+t+iy)}{f(x+t+iy)-b} dt \ge A(b)\varepsilon \quad (z=x+iy).$$

By (7.16), (7.17) and (7.19)

$$\log |f(z+\varepsilon)-b| \ge \log |f(z)-b| + A(b)\varepsilon$$

$$\geq \log (\gamma - \delta) + A(b) \epsilon \geq \log (\gamma + \delta).$$

Thus $|f(z+\varepsilon)-b| \ge \gamma + \delta$. Hence

(7.20)
$$|f(z+\varepsilon)-a| \ge \delta \qquad (z \in S, |\operatorname{Im} z| \ge R_0),$$

Similarly, by (7.14) and (7.18)

(7.21)
$$|f(z+\varepsilon)-a| \ge \delta \qquad (z \in T, |\operatorname{Im} z| \ge R_0).$$

Since f(z)-a does not vanish on Re $z=h(a)+2\varepsilon$, by (7.20) and (7.21) we see that for a suitable positive number η

(7.22)
$$|f(z)-a| \ge \eta \qquad (\operatorname{Re} z = h(a) + 2\varepsilon).$$

By Lemma 8 and (7.22)

(7.23)
$$|f(-z+h(a))-a| \ge \eta e^{-4\varepsilon A(a)} (|P_a(-z)/P_a(\bar{z})|)$$
 (Re $z=2\varepsilon$).

Since f(z)-a does not vanish on Re $z=h(a)-2\varepsilon$, by (7.22) and (7.23) we conclude that for a suitable positive number ζ

(7.24)
$$|f(z)-a| \ge \zeta$$
 (Re $z=h(a)\pm 2\varepsilon$).

Let $\{a_i\}$ be the distinct *a*-points of f(z) outside \overline{C} and *d* a positive number satisfying the following conditions.

1) $D_i \cap D_j = \phi$ $(i \neq j)$, where $D_i = \{z ; |z - a_i| \leq d\}$. 2) $D_i \cap \overline{C} = \phi$.

Put

(7.25)
$$m = \min_{\substack{z \in \bigcup_{z \in U} D_i} \\ z \in U_z} |f(z) - a|.$$

Let r be an arbitrarily fixed positive number less than min(ζ , m) and $E = \{w; |w-a| < r\} \subset G$. By (7.24) and (7.25)

(7.26)
$$f^{-1}(E) \cap (\partial \overline{C} \cup (\bigcup \partial D_i)) = \phi$$

Since E contains no asymptotic value of f(z), each component of $f^{-1}(E)$ contains at least one *a*-point of f(z). Thus by (7.26)

(7.27)
$$f^{-1}(E) \subset (\overline{C} \cup (\bigcup_{i} D_{i})).$$

By (7.27) for every p in E

$$(7.28) |h(a)-h(p)| \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Since ε can be taken arbitrarily small, h(p) is continuous at the point *a*. By (7.28)

(7.29)
$$h(p) > h(b)$$
 $(p \in E)$.

By Lemma 7 and (7.29)

$$(7.30) A(p) > 0 (p \in E).$$

Applying the same method to (7.29) and (7.30), we conclude that h(p) is continuous in *E*. Lemma 9 is thus proved.

Let $H=\{p\in G; A(p)=0\}$. If $p, q\in H$, then by Lemma 7 $L_p=L_q$. Thus $H\subset f(L_p)$ $(p\in H)$, or $H=\phi$. Hence $G\setminus H$ has infinitely many elements. Thus there exist two elements $a, b \ (\in G)$ satisfying A(a)A(b)>0. There are the following two cases.

1)
$$h(a) \neq h(b)$$
. 2) $h(a) = h(b)$.

In the case 1), by Lemma 9, we easily see that for some two points α , β in E, or in E', $h(\alpha)=h(\beta)$ and $A(\alpha)A(\beta)>0$. Thus in both cases there exist two elements α , $\beta \in G$ such that $h(\alpha)=h(\beta)$ and $A(\alpha)A(\beta)>0$.

In what follows we assume, without loss of generality, that $\alpha=0$, $\beta=1$, $h(\alpha)=h(\beta)=0$. From Lemma 8 we have

$$\begin{split} \overline{f(\bar{z})} &= f(-z)e^{2A(0)z+iB(0)} \overline{(P_0(\bar{z})}/P_0(-z)) , \\ \overline{f(\bar{z})-1} &= (f(-z)-1)e^{2A(1)z+iB(1)} \overline{(P_1(\bar{z})}/P_1(-z)) , \end{split}$$

Put

$$\begin{split} X(-z) &= e^{iB(0)} \overline{(P_0(\bar{z}))} / P_0(-z)) , \\ Y(-z) &= e^{iB(1)} \overline{(P_1(\bar{z}))} / P_1(-z)) , \\ A &= 2A(0) , \qquad B = 2A(1) . \end{split}$$

Then

$$f(z)(X(z)e^{-Az}-Y(z)e^{-Bz})=1-Y(z)e^{-Bz}$$

Since $B \neq 0$, we easily have

(7.31)
$$f(z) = (e^{Bz} - Y(z))/(X(z)e^{(B-A)z} - Y(z)).$$

We now consider the following two cases.

(1) A = B. (2) $A \neq B$.

Firstly we consider the case (1). There are the following two subcases.

(1.1) X(z) and Y(z) are both constants.

(1.2) X(z) or Y(z) is not a constant.

Case (1.1). In this case, the assertion of Theorem 3 follows at once from (7.31) and (1).

Case (1.2). For instance, we assume that X(z) is not a constant. Another case, when Y(z) is not a constant, can be treated by the same method.

Let $p \in G$, and $\{z_n\}$ be the p-points of f(z). Put

$$F(z, p) = pX(z) + (1-p)Y(z)$$
.

Then by (7.31) and (1)

$$\exp\left(Bz_n\right) = F(z_n, p)$$

for sufficiently large n. Thus

(7.32)
$$|F(z_n, p)| = \exp(B \operatorname{Re} z_n) = \exp(B \cdot h(p))$$

for sufficiently large n. Since F(z, p) is regular at $z=\infty$, by (7.32)

(7.33)
$$|F(z, p)| = |F(\infty, p)|$$
 $(z \in L_p)$,

(7.34)
$$L_p = \{z ; \text{Re } z = (\log |F(\infty, p)|)/B\}.$$

Since X(z) and Y(z) have no common pole, any pole of X(z) must be also a pole of F(z, p) for every p in $G \setminus \{0\}$. Let t_0 be a fixed pole of X(z). Then (7.33) and Schwarz' reflection principle imply that F(z, p) vanishes at the point $t_0-2((\operatorname{Re} t_0)-h(p))$.

Put

$$c(p) = t_0 - 2((\operatorname{Re} t_0) - h(p)).$$

 $F(\infty, p)$ is an analytic function of p. Hence there exists a point x in $G \setminus \{0, 1\}$ satisfying

(7.35)
$$|F(\infty, x)| = |F(\infty, 1)|.$$

By (7.34) and (7.35) we have c(x)=c(1). Thus

$$x X(c(1)) + (1-x)Y(c(1)) = x X(c(x)) + (1-x)Y(c(x)) = 0,$$

$$1 \cdot X(c(1)) + 0 \cdot Y(c(1)) = X(c(1)) = 0.$$

Hence (1-x)Y(c(1))=0. Since X(z) and Y(z) have no common pole, $Y(c(1))\neq 0$. Thus x=1. This is a contradiction. Thus the case (1.2) cannot occur.

Secondly, we consider the case (2). In this case by (7.31) we easily conclude

that B/(B-A) must be an integer. Put q=B/(B-A). Then from (7.31)

(7.36)
$$\hat{f}(z) = (e^{q(B-A)z} - Y(z))/(X(z)e^{(B-A)z} - Y(z))$$

Let $\{a_n\}$ be the zeros of $X(z) \cdot \exp((B-A)z) - Y(z)$. From (7.36)

$$e^{(B-A)a_n} = Y(a_n)/X(a_n), \quad e^{q(B-A)a_n} = Y(a_n).$$

Thus

$$(Y(a_n)/X(a_n))^q = Y(a_n)$$
.

Therefore

(7.37)
$$Y(z)^{q-1} \equiv X(z)^q$$
.

By (7.36) and (7.37), q cannot be 0 or 1. Therefore X(z) and Y(z) are both constants, since X(z) and Y(z) have no common pole. Let us write $X(z) \equiv x$, $Y(z) \equiv y$. From (7.36) and (7.37)

(7.38)
$$f(z) = (e^{q(B-A)z} - y)/(xe^{(B-A)z} - y),$$

(7.39)
$$y^{q-1} = x^q$$

If $q \neq 2, 1, 0, -1$, then the order of the rational function

$$Q(w) = (w^q - y)/(xw - y)$$

is at least two. In this case, by the same method in the proof of Theorem 4, the function $f(z)=Q(\exp{(B-A)z})$ cannot fulfill the assumption of Theorem 3. Thus this case cannot occur. Hence q=2 or -1.

By (7.38) and (7.39) we have the following results.

(1) If q=2, then

$$f(z) = (e^{(B-A)z} + x)/x$$

(2) If q = -1, then

$$f(z) = (e^{-(B-A)z})/(-y)$$
.

The proof of Theorem 3 is now complete.

References

- [1] BAKER, I.N., Entire functions with linearly distributed values, Math. Zeits., 86 (1964), 263-267.
- [2] EDREI, A., Meromorphic functions with three radially distributed values. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 78 (1955), 276-293.
- [3] EDREI, A. AND FUCHS, W.H.J., Bounds for the number of deficient values of certain classes of meromorphic functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. 3. Ser., 12 (1962), 315-344.
- [4] KIMURA, S., Entire functions with almost radially distributed values, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 71 (1978), 73-78.

- [5] KOBAYASHI, T., On a characteristic property of the exponential function, Ködai Math. Sem. Rep., 29 (1977), 130-156.
- [6] KOBAYASHI, T., Entire functions with three linearly distributed values, Kodai Math. J., 1 (1978), 133-158.
- [7] NOSHIRO, K., Cluster sets, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1960.

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology