

NOTES ON LINKS OF COMPLEX ISOLATED SINGULAR POINTS

BY HIROO SHIGA

§ 1. Introduction.

Let $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be an algebraic variety of codimension d with isolated singular point at the origin, and K be the intersection with a small sphere S^{2n+1} centered at the origin. Then the cobordism class of K in S^{2n+1} is the obstruction to topological smoothing of the singularity and is represented by the element of $\pi_{2n+1}(MU(d))$.

D. Sullivan [3] gave an interesting conjecture that the link K cannot represent an element involving higher order whitehead product in $\pi_{2n+1}(MU(d)) \otimes Q$.

In this note we consider the case when V is a cone over smooth projective variety. Then using M. Larsen's result [2], we shall give an affirmative answer to Sullivan's conjecture. Moreover we can describe the represented class in $\pi_{2n+1}(MU(d)) \otimes Q$ in terms of the chern class of the normal bundle of V in CP^n . This shows that the classical Thom's condition is the only rational obstruction to topological smoothing of the singularity.

§ 2. The rational homotopy type of $MU(d)$.

In this section we shall construct the minimal model of universal Thom space $MU(d)$ according to Sullivan's idea.

Let c_i be the i -th chern class of the universal complex vector bundle, then $H^*(BU(d), Q) \cong Q[c_1 \cdots c_d]$. If $I = (i_1 \cdots i_{d-1})$ is a multi-index, we denote by c_I the monomial $c_1^{i_1} \cdots c_{d-1}^{i_{d-1}}$. Let S be the polynomial ring generated by c_a and $c_a c_I$, and T be the ideal of S generated by forms $(c_a c_I)(c_a c_J) - (c_a)(c_a c_{I+J})$. Then from the cofibration

$$BU(d-1) \rightarrow BU(d) \rightarrow MU(d)$$

we have the ring isomorphism:

$$H^*(MU(d)) \cong S/T$$

Since the rational homotopy type of $MU(d)$ is the formal consequence of its cohomology ring ([3]), we can construct the minimal model of $MU(d)$ from

Received November 24, 1978

S/T with the trivial differential as follows:

$$m^*(MU(d)) \cong \Lambda(c_d \cdots c_d c_I \cdots \theta_{I,J} \cdots \lambda_k \cdots)$$

where $\Lambda(xy \cdots)$ means a free graded commutative algebra generated by x, y, \cdots over Q . And the differential is as follows:

$$d(c_d) = 0, \quad d(c_d c_I) = 0$$

$$d(\theta_{I,J}) = (c_d c_I)(c_d c_J) - (c_d)(c_d c_{I+J})$$

$d(\lambda_k)$ is not a linear combination of products of closed elements.

In view of the theory of minimal model, $c_d c_I, \theta_{I,J}$ and λ_k correspond to the terms dual to Hurewicz image, single Whitehead product and higher order Whitehead product respectively in $\pi_*(MU(d)) \otimes Q$. According to Sullivan, he denotes elements corresponding to the dual of $c_d c_I, \theta_{I,J}$ by $c_d c_I, [c_d c_I, c_d c_J]$ respectively.

§ 3. Sullivan's conjecture.

In this section we study the effect of connectivity of manifolds on their cobordism classes. Then we have

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $N \subset S^{2n+1}$ be a closed submanifold of codimension d with complex normal bundle. If N is $n-2d$ connected, then N does not represent an element involving a higher order Whitehead product in $\pi_{2n+1}(MU(d)) \otimes Q$. Especially in case n is even the represented class in $\pi_{2n+1}(MU(d)) \otimes Q$ is zero.*

Proof. Let ν be the normal bundle of N in S^{2n+1} . Since $BU(d)$ has the same rational homotopy type as $\prod_{i=1}^d K(Q, 2i)$, by the assumption of connectivity, the localization of the classifying map of ν factors as follows:

$$N_{(0)} \rightarrow \prod_{i > \lceil n-2d/2 \rceil}^d K(Q, 2i) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^d K(Q, 2i)$$

Therefore the localization of the Thom map factors as follows:

$$(*) \quad S_{(0)}^{2n+1} \rightarrow T(\nu)_{(0)} \rightarrow M \rightarrow MU(d)_{(0)}$$

where $T(\nu)$ is a Thom space of ν , and M is the

$$\text{cofiber} \left(\prod_{i > \lceil n-2d/2 \rceil}^{d-1} K(Q, 2i) \rightarrow \prod_{i > \lceil n-2d/2 \rceil}^d K(Q, 2i) \right).$$

In the same way as the case of $MU(d)$, we can construct the minimal model of M as follows:

$$m^*(M) \cong \Lambda(c_d \cdots c_d c_I \cdots \theta_{I,J} \cdots \lambda_k \cdots)$$

where the element $c_d c_I$ appears if and only if degree of $c_I > n - 2d$. Hence there is no element in degree $2n + 1$ if n is even, and $\theta_{I, I}$ with $I = (0 \cdots 1, 0 \cdots)$ (1 appears in the $n - 2d + 1/2$ -th component) is the only generator in degree $2n + 1$ if n is odd. Thus the proof is completed.

Now we consider the link of the cone over smooth projective varieties. First we quote the following theorem obtained by M. Larsen.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $K \subset S^{2n+1}$ be the link of the cone over smooth projective variety of codimension d . Then K is $n - 2d$ connected.*

Thus by Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.1 we have Sullivan's conjecture in our case as follows

THEOREM 3.3. *The link $K \subset S^{2n+1}$ of the cone over smooth projective variety cannot represent an element involving higher order Whitehead product in $\pi_{2n+1}(MU(d)) \otimes Q$.*

§ 4. Thom's condition.

In this section we shall describe elements in $\pi_{2n+1}(MU(d)) \otimes Q$ represented by links.

Let $j: W \rightarrow CP^n$ be the inclusion of a smooth projective variety W in CP^n and $c_i(\mu) \in H^{2i}(W, Z)$ be the i th chern class of the normal bundle μ . We denote by $\deg c_I(\mu)$ be the integer obtained as the Gysin-image $j^!(c_I(\mu)) \in H^{2|I|+2d}(CP^n, Z)$, which is identified with Z , where $|I| = \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} k i_k$ with $I = (i_1 \cdots i_{d-1})$. Then we have

THEOREM 4.1. *The link of the affine cone over W represents in $\pi_{2n+1}(MU(d)) \otimes Q$ some multiple of*

$[c_d c_{n-2d+1/2}, c_d c_{n-2d+1/2}]$, if n is odd and

$$\{\deg(C_{n-2d+1/2}(\mu))\}^2 \neq \deg(C_{n-2d+1}(\mu)) \cdot \deg W$$

0, otherwise.

Proof. If n is even it is immediate from Proposition 3.1. So let n be odd. The Thom map of the link is the composition of the Hopf map $\pi: S^{2n+1} \rightarrow CP^n$ and the Thom map $\varphi: CP^n \rightarrow MU(d)$ of W . We translate these maps to D. G. A. maps between minimal models, and denote them by adding " \wedge ". We recall the minimal model of S^{2n+1} and CP^n .

$$m^*(S^{2n+1}) \cong \Lambda(\alpha) \quad \deg \alpha = 2n + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad d\alpha = 0$$

$$m^*(CP^n) \cong \Lambda(\beta, \gamma) \quad \deg \beta = 2 \quad \deg \gamma = 2n + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad d\beta = 0 \quad d\gamma = \beta^{n+1}$$

and $\hat{\pi}(\gamma) = \alpha$. Then by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^{n+1}(CP^n, Z) & \longleftarrow & H^{n+1}(MU(d), Z) \\
 j! \uparrow & \varphi^* & \uparrow \text{Thom iso.} \\
 H^{n-2d+1}(W, Z) & \longleftarrow & H^{n-2d+1}(BU(d), Z) \\
 & \mu &
 \end{array}$$

where horizontal maps are induced by the classifying map of μ . We have

$$\varphi^*(c_d c_{n-2d+1/2}) = j!(c_{n-2d+1/2}(\mu))$$

and moreover

$$\hat{\varphi}(c_d c_{n-2d+1/2}) = \text{deg}(c_{n-2d+1/2}(\mu)) \cdot \beta^{n+1/2}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\hat{\pi} \circ \hat{\varphi}(\theta_{n-2d+1/2, n-2d+1/2}) = \{(\text{deg } C_{n-2d+1/2}(\mu))^2 - (\text{deg } (C_{n-2d+1/2}^2(\mu)) \cdot (\text{deg } W))\} \cdot \gamma.$$

For other generator x , since φ factors as shown (*) in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we have $\hat{\pi} \circ \hat{\varphi}(x) = 0$. Thus the proof is completed.

Thom obtained the number $(\text{deg } C_{n-2d+1/2}(\mu))^2 - (\text{deg } C_{n-2d+1/2}^2(\mu)) \cdot (\text{deg } W)$ as a necessary condition for topological smoothing of the cone singularity long ago (refer to Theorem 3.1 in [1]). Theorem 4.1 shows that this number is the only rational obstruction to topological smoothings.

We list some examples.

EXAMPLE 1. Let V be the cone over Segre embedding:

$$CP^1 \times CP^2 \subset CP^5$$

Then its link represents some multiple of $[c_2 c_1, c_2 c_1]$

EXAMPLE 2. Let V be the cone over Grass $(1,4)$ the Grassman variety of projective line in CP^4 which is embedded in CP^9 . Then its link represent some multiple of $[c_3 c_2, c_3 c_2]$.

These are easily obtained from the computation in [1] and Theorem 4.1. I do not know whether there is a variety which represents $[c_d c_i, c_d c_i]$ for i with $3 \leq i \leq d-1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. HARTSHORN: Topological conditions for smoothing algebraic singularities, *Topology* 13 (1974), 241-253.
- [2] M.E. LARSEN: On the topology of complex projective manifolds, *Inv. Math.* 19 (1973), 251-260.
- [3] D. SULLIVAN: Infinitesimal computation in topology, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* 47 (1978), 269-331.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
 OH-OKAYAMA MEGURO-KU TOKYO JAPAN.

Current address:
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 UNIVERSITY OF THE RYUKYU
 TONOKURA-CHO, OKINAWA, JAPAN