# **THE INDEX THEOREM OF GEODESICS ON A RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD WITH BOUNDARY**

### BY TAKUICHI HASEGAWA

Let *M* be a Riemannian manifold with boundary (condimension one submanifold), p, q two points in the interior, and  $\Omega_1$  the set of piecewise  $C^{\infty}$  curves from p to q which at some points of them lie on the boundary. Let  $\gamma$  be an element of  $\Omega_1$ . By a variation of  $\gamma$  in  $\Omega_1$ , we mean such a broken  $C^{\infty}$  rectangle in M that  $\gamma$  is its base curve and its each longitudinal curve is an element of  $\varOmega_{\text{{\tiny 1}}}$ . (See [1] for the definition of "rectangle"). Then the tangent space  $T_\gamma \varOmega_1$  to  $\varOmega_1$  at  $\gamma$ may be considered as the set of continuously piecewise  $C^{\infty}$  vector fields along  $\gamma$ which do not point to the outward at their boundary points and having at least one vector tangent to the boundary.

We consider the length functional *L* over *Ω .* From the first variation for mula, the critical paths of *L,* when they are parametrized proportionally to arc length, are just geodesies of *M* (there are no breaks) if they have more than one boundary point, or are geodesies which are reflected at a point of the boundary if they have only one boundary point.

We show here what is called the Index Theorem still holds in these circu mstances.

Now let  $\gamma$  be a critical point of *L* in  $\Omega_1$ , which is parametrized by arc length and reflected strictly at  $\gamma(a) \in \partial M$ .  $\gamma: [0, b] \to M$ . Let  $\tilde{\gamma}$  be any variation of  $\gamma$ in  $\Omega_1$ , and *W* its variation vector field. Then  $W(a)$  is tangent to the boundary, the second variation  $L^{\prime\prime}(0)$  of *L* in the direction *W* becomes

$$
L_{W}^{\prime\prime}(0)=\langle S_{T(a-) - T(a+)W(a), W(a)}\rangle + \int_{0}^{b} \{\langle R(W, T)W, T\rangle + \langle W^{\perp\prime}, W^{\perp\prime}\rangle\} dt
$$

here  $T(t)=\bar{r}(t)$ ,  $W^{\perp}=W-\langle W, T\rangle T$ . S is the second fundamental form of  $\partial M$ with respect to  $T(a-) - T(a+)$ , which is normal to the boundary from the reflection tion condition. Therefore the index form  $I$  at  $\gamma$  is

$$
I(V, W) = \langle S_{T(a-) - T(a+)} V(a), W(a) \rangle + \int_0^b \{ \langle R(T, V)T, W \rangle + \langle V^{\perp'}, W^{\perp'} \rangle \} dt
$$
  
for  $V, W \in T_T Q_1$ 

If  $0 \lt t_1 \lt \cdots \lt t_k \lt a \lt t_{k+1} \lt \cdots \lt t_l \lt b$  is a partition of the interval [0, *b*] so that *V* 

Received April 27, 1977

is  $C^{\infty}$  on each subinterval, then

$$
I(V, W) = \int_0^b \langle R(T, V^{\perp})T - V^{\perp \prime \prime}, W \rangle dt + \sum_{i=1}^l \langle V'(t_i^{-}) - V'(t_i^{+}), W^{\perp}(t_i) \rangle
$$
  
 
$$
+ \langle V^{\perp \prime}(a-) - V^{\perp \prime}(a+) + S_{T(a-) - T(a+)} V(a), W(a) \rangle
$$
  
for V,  $W \in T_T Q_1$ 

Thus the null space of / consists of such vector fields *V* that

*V*; a Jacobi field on  $[0, a]$ ,  $[a, b]$  and

$$
V^{\perp}(a-) - V^{\perp}(a+) + S_{T(a-) - T(a+)}
$$
  $V(a)$ ; normal to  $\partial M$ 

We shall say in general a field  $V{\in}T_r\!\varOmega_1$  along  $\gamma$  an *admissible Jacobi field* if V is a usual Jacobi field on each interval  $[0, a]$ ,  $[a, b]$ , and at  $\gamma(a)$ ,  $V'(a-)$  $-V'(a+) + S_{T(a-) - T(a+)} V(a)$  is normal to the boundary. Then as in the ordinary case a field is an admissible Jacobi field if and only if it is generated by one parameter family of geodesies which are reflected on the boundary. Note that in such a field *V*,  $V'(a+)$  is determined by the values  $V(a)$ ,  $V'(a-)$  i.e.  $V|_{[a,b]}$ is determined by  $V|_{[0,a]}$ . ("reflection of Jacobi fields").

Next we say  $\gamma(t)$  is conjugate to  $\gamma(0)$  along  $\gamma|_{[0,t]}$  if there exists a nonzero admissible Jacobi field along  $\gamma \mid_{[0,t]}$  which vanishes both at  $\gamma(0)$  and  $\gamma(t)$ . Its multiplicity is defined by the dimension of the space of such Jacobi fields. If  $\gamma(t)$  is not conjugate to  $\gamma(0)$ ,  $\gamma|_{[0,t]}$  is said to be *nondegenerate*.

Now let  $\widetilde{T}$  be  $\{V^{\perp} | V{\in}T_r\Omega_{1}\},$  and  $\widetilde{I}$  be a symmetric bilinear form on  $\widetilde{T}$ defined by  $\widetilde{I}(V^\perp, W^\perp) = I(V, W)$  for  $V, W\in T_r\varOmega_1$ . Then the index of *I* is equal to the index of  $\tilde{I}$ . We shall say elements of the null space of  $\tilde{I}$  admissible *normal Jacobi fields* along *γ.* Owing to the fact that *y* is reflected strictly at its boundary point, with each admissible normal Jacobi field is associated such a unique admissible Jacobi field that its normal part is equal to the given one.

LEMMA *SO long as ε>0, δ>0 are sufficiently small, there exist neighborhoods*  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  respectively of  $\gamma(a-\varepsilon)$  and  $\gamma(a+\delta)$  such that

(1)  $\gamma$ |[a - ε, a + δ] is a nondegenerate, minimizing critical path in  $\Omega_1(\gamma(a - \varepsilon))$ ,  $\gamma(a+\delta)$ 

(2) for each  $u_i{\in}U_i$ ( $i{=}1,$  2) there exists a critical and minimizing path  $c(u_1,\, u_2)$ *in*  $\Omega_1(u_1, u_2)$ *, which is unique in the neighborhood of*  $\gamma$  [ $[a-\varepsilon, a+\delta]$  and depends *smoothly on u<sub>1</sub> and u<sub>2</sub>*.

From this follows immediately

COROLLARY *For any two vectors*  $v \in \perp T(a-\varepsilon)$ ,  $w \in \perp T(a+\delta)$ , there exists a *unique aamissible normal Jacobi field Y along*  $\gamma$ [[a-ε, a+δ] having the given *values v, w at*  $\gamma(a-\varepsilon)$  *and*  $\gamma(a+\delta)$ *. Y depends smoothly upon*  $\varepsilon$ *,*  $\delta$ *, v and w. Moreover for any normal field V along*  $\mathcal{C}[\mathbb{Z} - \varepsilon, a+\delta]$  *having the same values*  $V(a-\varepsilon)=v$ ,  $V(a+\delta)=w$ ,

# $\widetilde{I}_{a-\epsilon}^{\tilde{a}+\delta}(V) \geq \widetilde{I}_{a-\epsilon}^{\tilde{a}+\delta}(Y)$

*where equality occurs if and only if*  $V=Y$ *, and*  $\tilde{I}_{a-e}^{a+\delta}(V)$  is defined by

$$
\widetilde{I}_{a-\epsilon}^{a+\delta}(V):=\langle S_{T(a)-T(a+)}\widetilde{V}(a), \widetilde{V}(a)\rangle+\int_{a-\epsilon}^{a+\delta}\langle\langle R(T,V)T, V\rangle+\langle V', V'\rangle\rangle dt
$$

*V(a) is such a unique vector tangent ίo the boundary at γ(a) that its orthogonal projection to*  $\perp T(a-)$  *is equal to*  $V(a-)$ .  $\perp T(a-)$  *is the orthogonal conplement of*  $T(a-)$ 

*Proof of Lemma* We take a small neighborhood centered at  $\gamma(a)$ . In it exist such a neighborhood  $V_1$  of  $\gamma(a-\varepsilon)$  in Int(M), a neighborhood  $V_2$  of  $\gamma(a+\delta)$ in Int  $(M)$ , and a neighborhood *W* of  $\gamma(a)$  in  $\partial M$  that three have mutually no intersection, and any two points of  $V_1$  and  $W$  are joined by minimizing geodesics which depend smoothly on the end points. The same property is assumed for *V*<sub>2</sub> and *W*. Let *K* be a function on  $W \times V_1 \times V_2$  defined by  $K(w, v_1, v_2) = d(v_1, w)$  $+d(w, v_2)$ ,  $w \in W$ ,  $v_i \in V_i(v=1, 2)$ , here *d* is the distance defined in the usual way by the Riemannian metric of M. K is a smooth function. Let  $D_1K$  be the gradient of *K* with respect to the first variable. Then, from the assumption,  $D_1K(0, \gamma(a-\varepsilon), \gamma(a+\delta))=0$ , hence  $D_1(K^2)(0, \gamma(a-\varepsilon), \gamma(a+\delta))=0$ . As the hessian of  $K^2$  at (0; 0, 0) with respect to the first variable,  $D_1^2(K^2)(0; 0, 0)$ , is positive definite, so is  $D_1^2(K^2)(0; \gamma(a-\varepsilon), \gamma(a+\delta))$  for small  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ . Accordingly,  $D_1^2(K)$ (0;  $\gamma(a-\varepsilon)$ ,  $\gamma(a+\delta)$ ), the hessian of *K* at (0;  $\gamma(a-\varepsilon)$ ,  $\gamma(a+\delta)$ ), is also positive definite. Then, from the inverse function theorem, there exist neighborhoods  $U_1[U_2]$  of  $\gamma(a-\varepsilon)$  [ $\gamma(a+\delta)$ ],  $U_i\subset V_i$ , and the unique smooth function *F* satisfying

$$
F: U_1 \times U_2 \longrightarrow W, \qquad F(\gamma(a-\varepsilon), \gamma(a+\delta))=0
$$
  

$$
D_1K(F(u_1, u_2); u_1, u_2)=0, \qquad u_i \in U_i(i=1, 2)
$$

 $D_1^2 K(F(u_1, u_2); u_1, u_2)$ : positive definite matrix  $(u_i \in U_i)$ 

Now it suffices to define  $c(u_1, u_2)(u_i \in U_i)$  as the minimizing geodesic from  $u_1$  to *F*( $u_1$ ,  $u_2$ ) plus the minimizing geodesic from  $F(u_1, u_2)$  to  $u_2$ *.* Q. E. D.

THEOREM Let  $\gamma$  be a critical path of L in  $\Omega_1(p, q)$ , which is parametrized by *arc length and reflected strictly at*  $\gamma(a) \in \partial M$ *.*  $\gamma: [0, b] \to M$ *,*  $0 < a < b$ *. Let I be* the index form on  $T_{\gamma}\mathcal{Q}_1$  derived from the second variation of  $L.$  Then the index *of I is equal to the number of conjugate points*  $\gamma(t)$  *to*  $\gamma(0)$  *along*  $\gamma(0 \lt t \lt b)$ *, counted with their multiplicities.*

The index, geometrically, is the number of independent directions towards which the geodesic  $\gamma$  becomes shorter curves through the path space  $\Omega_1$ .

*Proof of Theorem* Let  $\gamma_{\tau}$  be the restriction of  $\gamma$  to [0,  $\tau$ ],  $0 \leq \tau \leq b$ , and  $i(\tau)$ the index of  $\gamma$ <sup>-</sup>. Let  $0=t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k = \tau$  be such a partition of [0,  $\tau$ ] that each segment  $\gamma|_{\lceil t_i, t_{i+1} \rceil}$  is contained in a convex normal neighborhood. In case of  $a \lt \tau$ ,

#### 288 TAKUICHI HASEGAWA

we always take some  $t_j$  and  $t_{j+1}$  so that  $t_j < a < t_{j+1}$  and  $\gamma|_{[t_j,t_{j+1}]}$  is a minimizing path in  $\Omega_1(\gamma(t_j), \gamma(t_{j+1})$  as in Lemma.

We set  $\tilde{T}_{\tau} = \{V \in \tilde{T}_{\tau} | V=0 \text{ on } [\tau, b]\}, I_{\tau}$  be the restriction of *I* to  $\tilde{T}_{\tau}$ . Then the index of  $\tilde{I}_\tau$  on  $\tilde{T}_\tau$  is just  $i(\tau)$ , its nullity  $\nu(\tau)$  is the congugacy multiplicity of  $\gamma(\tau)$  to  $\gamma(0)$  along  $\gamma$ . We define

 $T_{\tau}(t_0, \dots, t_k) := \{V \in T_{\tau} | V$  is an admissible normal

Jacobi field which breaks only at *t\s)*

 $T_{\tau} := \{ V \in \hat{T}_{\tau} | V(t_i)=0 \ (i=1, \cdots, k) \}$ 

Then the following facts can be easily verified with the aid of Lemma and its Corollary (see § 15 of [2]). Therefore the proof of Theorem is completed

(1)  $T_{\tau} \approx T_{\tau}(t_0, \cdots, t_k) \oplus T'_{\tau}$ , orthogonal direct sum with respect to  $I_{\tau}$ .  $I_{\tau}$  is positive definite on  $T_t$ . Consequently  $i(\tau)$  and  $\nu(\tau)$  are respectively equal to the index and nullity of  $I_{\tau}|_{\widetilde{T}_{\tau}(t_0,\cdots,t_k)}$ 

(2) *i* is a monotone increasing function of  $\tau \in [0, b]$ , and  $i(0)=0$ ,  $i(\tau - \varepsilon)=i(\tau)$ for each  $\tau$  and small  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

(3)  $i(\tau + \varepsilon) = i(\tau) + \nu(\tau)$  for each  $\tau$  and small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Q. E. D.

In the same way we can prove the following general theorem.

Let  $\gamma : [0, b] \to M$  be a geodesic from p to q, which is parametrized by arc length and reflected strictly at  $\gamma(t_i)$ 's  $\in \partial M(i=1, \cdots, k)$ . Let  $\Omega_k$  be the set of piecewise  $C^{\infty}$  curves from p to q which have at least k boundary points. Then the tangent space  $T_{\gamma}Q_{\kappa}$  to  $\varOmega_{\kappa}$  at  $\gamma$  may be considered as the set of continuously piecewise  $C^{\infty}$  vector fields along  $\gamma$  which are tangent to the boundary at  $\gamma(t_1)$ 's. is a critical point of L in  $\Omega_k$  and the index form I at  $\gamma$  over  $T_{\gamma} \Omega_k$  becomes

$$
I(V, W) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \langle S_{T(t_i^-) - T(t_i^+)} V(t_i), W(t_i) \rangle + \int_0^b \{ \langle R(T, V)T, W \rangle + \langle V^{\perp \prime}, W^{\perp \prime} \rangle \} dt
$$

for *V*,  $W \! \in \! T_{\gamma} Q_{\textit{k}}$ . Admissible Jacobi fields, conjugate points and so on are defined in the analogous way. Then

THEOREM In the situation described above, the index of I over  $T_{\gamma}Q_{k}$  is equal *to the number of conjugate points γ(t) to* f(0) *along γ(Q<t<b), counted with their multiplicities.*

Finally the author expresses his hearty thanks to Professor T. Otsuki.

#### **REFERENCES**

[1] R. BISHOP AND R. CRITTENDEN, Geometry of Manifolds, Academic Press, 1964. [2] J. MILOR, Morse Theory, Princeton University Press, 1963.

TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY