ON A SINGULAR PERTURBATION PROBLEM FOR LINEAR SYSTEMS OF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS, I

By Yoshikazu Hirasawa

1. In a paper [1], we had to treat the following singular perturbation problem.

Let us consider two linear systems of ordinary differential equations containing a small positive parameter ε :

(1)
$$\varepsilon \frac{d\boldsymbol{u}}{dt} = (A_0 - \varepsilon A_1)\boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{\delta}_1(\varepsilon) ,$$

(2)
$$\varepsilon \frac{d\boldsymbol{u}}{dt} = (A_0 + \varepsilon A_1)\boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{\delta}_2(\varepsilon) ,$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & -\beta & \gamma \\ -\alpha & -\gamma & \beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \alpha & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and α , β , γ are positive constants such that $\gamma < \beta$. Further,

and $\delta_j(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ (j=1, 2, 3) for $\varepsilon \rightarrow +0$.

Given an interval $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$ and a point t_0 such that $t_1 \leq t_0 \leq t_2$, we need a set of continuous functions $u_1(t; \varepsilon)$, $u_2(t; \varepsilon)$, $u_3(t; \varepsilon)$ with the following properties.

(I) The conditions

$$u_1(t_0;\varepsilon) = P(\varepsilon), \quad u_2(t_1;\varepsilon) = Q(\varepsilon), \quad u_3(t_2;\varepsilon) = R(\varepsilon)$$

are fulfilled, where $P(\varepsilon)$, $Q(\varepsilon)$ and $R(\varepsilon)$ are suitable positive quantities tending to zero with ε , such that $P_0(\varepsilon) \leq P(\varepsilon)$, $Q_0(\varepsilon) \leq Q(\varepsilon)$, $R_0(\varepsilon) \leq R(\varepsilon)$ for given positive quantities $P_0(\varepsilon)$, $Q_0(\varepsilon)$, $R_0(\varepsilon)$ tending to zero with ε .

(II) $u_1(t; \varepsilon)$, $u_2(t; \varepsilon)$, $u_3(t; \varepsilon)$ are positive on $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$.

Received August 29, 1976

YOSHIKAZU HIRASAWA

(III) $u=u(t;\varepsilon)$ satisfies the system (1) for $t_1 \leq t \leq t_0$ and satisfies the system (2) for $t_0 \leq t \leq t_2$.

In the paper [1], we gave a solution of the above problem, but the proof was not complete.

In this paper, we will give a new proof of the existence of such a solution rather in a special case. That is, we take the case $t_0=t_1$ and hence we omit the system (1).

2. Put

(3)
$$A = \frac{A_0}{\varepsilon} + A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \alpha & \alpha \\ \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon} & -\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} & \frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon} \\ -\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon} & -\frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon} & \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix},$$

then the characteristic equation of A is

(4)
$$\varepsilon^2 \lambda^3 - \varepsilon^2 \alpha \lambda^2 - (\beta^2 - \gamma^2) \lambda + \alpha \beta^2 - \alpha \gamma^2 + 2\alpha^2 \beta + 2\alpha^2 \gamma = 0.$$

Since the roots ρ_1 , ρ_2 , ρ_3 of this equation can be regarded as algebraic functions of ε , we put

$$\lambda = a_0 + a_1 \varepsilon + \cdots$$
,

or

$$\lambda = \frac{b_{-1}}{\varepsilon} + b_0 + b_1 \varepsilon + \cdots,$$

to the end of finding these roots.

Substituting these series into (4) and determining the coefficients a_0, a_1, \cdots , or b_{-1}, b_0, \cdots , as the characteristic roots ρ_1, ρ_2, ρ_3 of A, we get

$$\begin{split} \rho_1 &= \frac{2\alpha^2 + \alpha(\beta - \gamma)}{\beta - \gamma} + O(\varepsilon) ,\\ \rho_2 &= -\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon} + O(1) , \qquad \rho_3 &= \frac{\mu}{\varepsilon} + O(1) , \end{split}$$

where $\mu = \sqrt{\beta^2 - \gamma^2}$.

Furthermore the canonical form \hat{A} of A is

(5)
$$\hat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1 & 0 \\ \rho_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the transformation matrix $S(\varepsilon)$ that transforms A into \hat{A} is

86

(6)
$$S(\varepsilon) = (s_{ij}(\varepsilon))$$

That is, $S(\varepsilon)^{-1}AS(\varepsilon) = \hat{A}$.

By the transformation of unknowns $u = S(\varepsilon)v$, the system (2) is changed into

(7)
$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{v}}{dt} = \hat{A}\boldsymbol{v} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\hat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}_{2}(\varepsilon), \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}_{2}(\varepsilon) = S(\varepsilon)^{-1}\boldsymbol{\delta}_{2}(\varepsilon).$$

Now, let

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}(\varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\omega}_1(\varepsilon) \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}_2(\varepsilon) \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}_3(\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix}$$

be a solution of a linear equation

$$(A_0 + \varepsilon A_1) \boldsymbol{\omega} + \boldsymbol{\delta}_2(\varepsilon) = \boldsymbol{0}.$$

Then, clearly $\omega_j(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ (j=1, 2, 3) for $\varepsilon \rightarrow +0$.

We will seek for a desired solution in the following form:

$$\boldsymbol{u}(t\,;\,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \begin{pmatrix} u_1(t\,;\,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ u_2(t\,;\,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ u_3(t\,;\,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \end{pmatrix} = S(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \begin{pmatrix} C_1(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})e^{\rho_1(t-t_1)} \\ C_2(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})e^{\rho_2(t-t_1)} \\ C_3(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})e^{\rho_3(t-t_2)} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\omega}_1(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}_2(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}_3(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

3. It is sufficient to determine the positive quantities $P(\varepsilon)$, $Q(\varepsilon)$, $R(\varepsilon)$ and the coefficients $C_j(\varepsilon)(j=1, 2, 3)$ so that

(8)
$$\begin{cases} C_{1}(\varepsilon)s_{11}(\varepsilon)+C_{2}(\varepsilon)s_{12}(\varepsilon)+C_{3}(\varepsilon)s_{13}(\varepsilon)e^{\rho_{3}(t_{1}-t_{2})}=\hat{P}(\varepsilon)(=P(\varepsilon)-\omega_{1}(\varepsilon)),\\ C_{1}(\varepsilon)s_{21}(\varepsilon)+C_{2}(\varepsilon)s_{22}(\varepsilon)+C_{3}(\varepsilon)s_{23}(\varepsilon)e^{\rho_{3}(t_{1}-t_{2})}=\hat{Q}(\varepsilon)(=Q(\varepsilon)-\omega_{2}(\varepsilon)),\\ C_{1}(\varepsilon)s_{31}(\varepsilon)e^{\rho_{1}(t_{2}-t_{1})}+C_{2}(\varepsilon)s_{32}(\varepsilon)e^{\rho_{2}(t_{2}-t_{1})}+C_{3}(\varepsilon)s_{33}(\varepsilon)=\hat{R}(\varepsilon)(=R(\varepsilon)-\omega_{3}(\varepsilon)), \end{cases}$$

and $u_1(t; \varepsilon)$, $u_2(t; \varepsilon)$, $u_3(t; \varepsilon)$ are positive on the interval $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$. By virtue of $\rho_2 < 0$, $\rho_3 > 0$, we see easily

$$\mathcal{\Delta}(\varepsilon) = \begin{vmatrix} s_{11}(\varepsilon) & s_{12}(\varepsilon) & s_{13}(\varepsilon)e^{\rho_3(t_1 - t_2)} \\ s_{21}(\varepsilon) & s_{22}(\varepsilon) & s_{23}(\varepsilon)e^{\rho_3(t_1 - t_2)} \\ s_{31}(\varepsilon)e^{\rho_1(t_2 - t_1)} & s_{32}(\varepsilon)e^{\rho_2(t_2 - t_1)} & s_{33}(\varepsilon) \\ = (\beta - \gamma)\gamma(\beta + \sqrt{\beta^2 - \gamma^2}) + O(\varepsilon) , \end{cases}$$

YOSHIKAZU HIRASAWA

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{1}(\varepsilon) &= \begin{vmatrix} \hat{P}(\varepsilon) & s_{12}(\varepsilon) & s_{13}(\varepsilon)e^{\rho_{3}(t_{1}-t_{2})} \\ \hat{Q}(\varepsilon) & s_{22}(\varepsilon) & s_{23}(\varepsilon)e^{\rho_{3}(t_{1}-t_{2})} \\ \hat{R}(\varepsilon) & s_{32}(\varepsilon)e^{\rho_{2}(t_{2}-t_{1})} & s_{33}(\varepsilon) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= &\gamma(\beta + \sqrt{\beta^{2} - \gamma^{2}})\hat{P}(\varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon) , \\ \mathcal{A}_{2}(\varepsilon) &= \begin{vmatrix} s_{11}(\varepsilon) & \hat{P}(\varepsilon) & s_{13}(\varepsilon)e^{\rho_{3}(t_{1}-t_{2})} \\ s_{21}(\varepsilon) & \hat{Q}(\varepsilon) & s_{23}(\varepsilon)e^{\rho_{3}(t_{1}-t_{2})} \\ s_{31}(\varepsilon)e^{\rho_{1}(t_{2}-t_{1})} & \hat{R}(\varepsilon) & s_{33}(\varepsilon) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= &(\beta + \sqrt{\beta^{2} - \gamma^{2}})\{-\alpha\hat{P}(\varepsilon) + (\beta - \gamma)\hat{Q}(\varepsilon)\} + O(\varepsilon) , \\ \mathcal{A}_{3}(\varepsilon) &= \begin{vmatrix} s_{11}(\varepsilon) & s_{12}(\varepsilon) & \hat{P}(\varepsilon) \\ s_{21}(\varepsilon) & s_{22}(\varepsilon) & \hat{Q}(\varepsilon) \\ s_{31}(\varepsilon)e^{\rho_{1}(t_{2}-t_{1})} & s_{32}(\varepsilon)e^{\rho_{2}(t_{2}-t_{1})} & \hat{R}(\varepsilon) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= &\gamma\{-\alpha e^{\rho_{1}(t_{2}-t_{1})}\hat{P}(\varepsilon) + (\beta - \gamma)\hat{R}(\varepsilon)\} + O(\varepsilon) . \end{split}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{split} C_{1}(\varepsilon) &= \frac{1}{\beta - \gamma} \hat{P}(\varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon) , \\ C_{2}(\varepsilon) &= \frac{1}{\gamma(\beta - \gamma)} \left\{ -\alpha \hat{P}(\varepsilon) + (\beta - \gamma) \hat{Q}(\varepsilon) \right\} + O(\varepsilon) , \\ C_{3}(\varepsilon) &= \frac{1}{(\beta - \gamma)(\beta + \sqrt{\beta^{2} - \gamma^{2}})} \left\{ -\alpha e^{\rho_{1}(t_{2} - t_{1})} \hat{P}(\varepsilon) + (\beta - \gamma) \hat{R}(\varepsilon) \right\} + O(\varepsilon) . \end{split}$$

This shows that we can take

$$\begin{split} P(\varepsilon) &= (\hat{P}(\varepsilon) + \omega_1(\varepsilon)) ,\\ Q(\varepsilon) &= (\hat{Q}(\varepsilon) + \omega_2(\varepsilon)) ,\\ R(\varepsilon) &= (\hat{R}(\varepsilon) + \omega_3(\varepsilon)) , \end{split}$$

such that $C_j(\varepsilon) > 0$ (j=1, 2, 3), and $u_j(t; \varepsilon) > 0$ (j=1, 2, 3) on the interval $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$.

Reference

[1] Y. HIRASAWA, On singular perturbation problems of non-linear systems of differential equations, III, Comment. Math. Univ. Sancti. Pauli, 4 (1955), 93-104.

> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY