

## THE NUMBER OF FUNCTIONS DEFINING INTERPOLATING VARIETIES

SHIGEKI OH'UCHI

### Abstract

In this paper, we prove that if a disjoint union of a countable number of complex affine subspaces is interpolating for the Hörmander algebra, then it can be written as the common zero set of  $\alpha + 1$  functions in the Hörmander algebra, where  $\alpha$  is the maximum number of codimensions of the complex affine subspaces. Finally, we prove with an example in one complex variable that the number  $\alpha + 1$  is lowest.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $X_v$  ( $v \in N$ , the set of positive integers) be  $k_v$ -codimensional complex affine subspaces of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  ( $1 \leq k_v \leq n$ ), and put  $\alpha = \max_{v \in N} k_v$ . Assume that  $X_v \cap X_{v'} = \emptyset$  for  $v \neq v'$ . Let  $N_v$  be the orthogonal linear subspaces of  $X_v$ , where we use the canonical inner product  $\langle z, w \rangle = \sum_{l=1}^n z_l \bar{w}_l$  on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . Set  $S_v = N_v \cap S^{2n-1}$ , where  $S^{2n-1} = \{u \in \mathbf{C}^n : |u| = 1\}$ . Then Oh'uchi [O] proved the following result:

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $X = \bigcup_{v \in N} X_v$  be an analytic subset of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  consisting of disjoint complex affine subspaces  $X_v$ . Let  $p$  be a weight function on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . Then  $X$  is interpolating for  $A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$  if and only if there exist  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$  ( $m \geq \alpha$ ) and constants  $\varepsilon, C > 0$  such that*

$$(1.1) \quad X \subset Z(f_1, \dots, f_m) := \{z \in \mathbf{C}^n : f_1(z) = \dots = f_m(z) = 0\}$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m |D_u f_j(\zeta)| \geq \varepsilon \exp(-Cp(\zeta))$$

for all  $u \in S_v$ ,  $\zeta \in X_v$  and  $v \in N$ .

Here the directional derivative  $D_u f$  with a vector  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in S^{2n-1}$  is defined by

---

This research is supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.  
Received March 15, 2000.

$$D_u f = \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_l} \cdot u_l.$$

For the terminologies, see §2. It extends the result of Berenstein and Li [BL1, Theorem 2.5], which deals with the case of  $k_v = n$  for all  $v \in N$ .

Here we would like to discuss how many functions in  $A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$  we need to have an equality in (1.1). The main result of this paper is as follows:

**MAIN THEOREM.** *Let  $X = \bigcup_{v \in N} X_v$  be an analytic subset of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  consisting of disjoint complex affine subspaces  $X_v$ . Let  $p$  be a weight function on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . Then  $X$  is interpolating for  $A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$  if and only if there exist  $f_1, \dots, f_{\alpha+1} \in A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$  and constants  $\varepsilon, C > 0$  such that*

$$(1.3) \quad X = Z(f_1, \dots, f_{\alpha+1})$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha+1} |D_u f_j(\zeta)| \geq \varepsilon \exp(-Cp(\zeta))$$

for all  $u \in S_v$ ,  $\zeta \in X_v$  and  $v \in N$ .

In §4, we prove that the number  $\alpha + 1$  is lowest by an example in one complex variable.

## 2. Preliminaries

We fix the notation. A plurisubharmonic function  $p : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a *weight function* if it satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad \log(1 + |z|^2) = O(p(z))$$

and there exist constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that for all  $z, z'$  with  $|z - z'| \leq 1$

$$(2.2) \quad p(z') \leq C_1 p(z) + C_2.$$

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{C}^n)$  be the ring of all entire functions on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  and let  $p$  be a weight function on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . Set

$$A_p(\mathbf{C}^n) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{C}^n) : \text{There exist constants } A, B > 0 \text{ such that } |f(z)| \leq A \exp(Bp(z)) \text{ for all } z \in \mathbf{C}^n\}.$$

Then  $A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$  is a subring of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ .  $A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$  is often called *the Hörmander algebra*. The following lemma is easily deduced from (2.1) and (2.2):

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $p$  be a weight function on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . Then the followings hold:*

- (1)  $\mathbf{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n] \subset A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$ .

- (2) If  $f \in A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$ , then  $\partial f / \partial z_j \in A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .  
 (3)  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{C}^n)$  belongs to  $A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$  if and only if there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{C}^n} |f|^2 \exp(-Kp) d\lambda < \infty,$$

where  $d\lambda$  denotes the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ .

For the proof, see e.g. [H].

*Example 2.3.* (1) If  $p(z) = \log(1 + |z|^2)$ , then  $A_p(\mathbf{C}^n) = \mathbf{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ .

(2) If  $p(z) = |z|^a$  ( $a > 0$ ), then  $A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$  is a space of entire functions which are of order  $a$  and of finite type, or which are of order  $< a$ .

(3) If  $p(z) = |\operatorname{Im} z| + \log(1 + |z|^2)$ , then  $A_p(\mathbf{C}^n) = \hat{\mathcal{E}}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , that is, the space of Fourier transforms of distributions with compact support on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  (see e.g. [E]).

(4) When  $p(z) = \exp|z|^a$  ( $a > 0$ ),  $p$  is a weight function if and only if  $a \leq 1$ . In the rest of this paper,  $p$  will always represent a weight function.

**DEFINITION 2.4.** Let  $X$  be an analytic subset of  $\mathbf{C}^n$ , and let  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  be the space of analytic functions on  $X$ . Then we define

$$A_p(X) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(X) : \text{There exist constants } A, B > 0 \text{ such that } |f(z)| \leq A \exp(Bp(z)) \text{ for all } z \in X\}.$$

**DEFINITION 2.5.** An analytic subset  $X$  in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  is said to be *interpolating* for  $A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$  if the restriction map  $R_X : A_p(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow A_p(X)$  defined by  $R_X(f) = f|_X$  is surjective.

The semilocal interpolating theorem by [BT] is useful to show an analytic subset to be interpolating. Let  $X$  be given by

$$X = Z(f_1, \dots, f_N) = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^n : f_1(z) = \dots = f_N(z) = 0\}$$

with  $f_1, \dots, f_N \in A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$ . Then for  $\varepsilon, C > 0$ , we define

$$S_p(f; \varepsilon, C) = \left\{ z \in \mathbf{C}^n : |f(z)| = \left( \sum_{j=1}^N |f_j(z)|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \varepsilon \exp(-Cp(z)) \right\},$$

which is an open neighborhood of  $X$ . We recall the semilocal interpolation theorem of [BT].

**SEMILOCAL INTERPOLATION THEOREM.** Let  $h$  be a holomorphic function in  $S_p(f; \varepsilon, C)$  such that

$$|h(z)| \leq A_1 \exp(B_1 p(z))$$

for all  $z \in S_p(f; \varepsilon, C)$ , where  $\varepsilon, C > 0$ . Then there exist an entire function

$H \in A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$ , constants  $\varepsilon_0, C_0, A, B > 0$  and holomorphic functions  $g_1, \dots, g_N$  in  $S_p(f; \varepsilon_0, C_0)$  such that

$$H(z) - h(z) = \sum_{j=1}^N g_j(z) f_j(z)$$

and

$$|g_j(z)| \leq A \exp(Bp(z))$$

for all  $z \in S_p(f; \varepsilon_0, C_0)$  and  $j = 1, \dots, N$ . In particular,  $H = h$  on the variety  $X = Z(f_1, \dots, f_N)$ .

### 3. The proof of the main theorem

The sufficiency is included in Theorem A. Then we show the necessity.

Let  $X = \bigcup_{v \in N} X_v$  be an analytic subset of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  consisting of disjoint complex affine subspaces  $X_v$  of codimension  $k_v$ . Put  $\alpha = \sup_{v \in N} k_v$ . Then we define  $f_1, \dots, f_\alpha \in A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$  by the following lemma, which follows from the proof of the necessity part of the main theorem in [O, pp. 377–384].

LEMMA 3.1. *If  $X$  is interpolating for  $A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$ , then there exist  $\alpha$  entire functions  $f_1, \dots, f_\alpha \in A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$  and constants  $\varepsilon, C > 0$  such that*

$$(3.1) \quad X \subset Z(f_1, \dots, f_\alpha)$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} |D_u f_j(\zeta)| \geq \varepsilon \exp(-Cp(\zeta))$$

for all  $u \in S_v$ ,  $\zeta \in X_v$  and  $v \in N$ .

Next we shall give  $f_{\alpha+1}$ . To do it, we need the following lemma:

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $f_1, \dots, f_\alpha \in A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$  be in Lemma 3.1. Let  $\{X_v\} \cup \{Y_\mu\}$  be the set of all connected components of  $Z(f_1, \dots, f_\alpha)$ . Then there exist constants  $\varepsilon_0, C_0 > 0$  such that*

$$\sharp\{v \in N : X_v \cap W \neq \emptyset\} \leq 1$$

for every connected component  $W$  of  $S_p(f; \varepsilon_0, C_0)$ . Moreover, letting  $W_v$  be the connected component of  $S_p(f; \varepsilon_0, C_0)$  including  $X_v$ , we have  $W_v \cap Y_\mu = \emptyset$  for every  $\mu$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $v \in N$  and  $\zeta \in X_v$ . For  $j \in \{1, \dots, \alpha\}$  and  $u \in S_v$ , consider the entire function

$$f_{j,u,\zeta}(w) = f_j(wu + \zeta), \quad w \in \mathbf{C}.$$

Setting

$$f'_{j,u,\zeta}(0) = \frac{d}{dw} \Big|_{w=0} f_{j,u,\zeta},$$

we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} |f'_{j,u,\zeta}(0)| \geq \varepsilon \exp(-Cp(\zeta))$$

by (3.2). Hence, for all  $u \in S_v$  there exists  $j_u \in \{1, \dots, \alpha\}$  such that

$$(3.3) \quad |f'_{j_u,u,\zeta}(0)| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha} \exp(-Cp(\zeta)).$$

Put

$$V_{u,\zeta} = \{w \in \mathbf{C} : f_{j_u,u,\zeta}(w) = 0\}$$

and

$$d_{u,\zeta} = \begin{cases} \min\{1, \text{dist}(0, V_{u,\zeta} \setminus \{0\})\}, & \text{if } V_{u,\zeta} \setminus \{0\} \neq \emptyset, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $f_{j_u} \in A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$ , we have

$$|f_{j_u}(zu + \zeta)| \leq A_1 \exp(B_1 p(zu + \zeta))$$

for some constants  $A_1, B_1 > 0$  independent of  $\zeta, u$  and  $v$ . Thus (2.2) implies that for  $|w| \leq 1$

$$(3.4) \quad |f_{j_u,u,\zeta}(w)| \leq A_2 \exp(B_2 p(\zeta)),$$

where  $A_2 = A_1 \exp(B_1 C_2)$  and  $B_2 = B_1 C_1$ . Set

$$g_{u,\zeta}(w) = \frac{f_{j_u,u,\zeta}(w)}{w}.$$

Since  $f_{j_u,u,\zeta}$  has a zero at  $w = 0$  of order one,  $g_{u,\zeta}$  is an entire function on  $\mathbf{C}$  and

$$(3.5) \quad g_{u,\zeta}(0) = f'_{j_u,u,\zeta}(0) \neq 0.$$

By (3.4), on  $|w| = 1$  we have

$$|g_{u,\zeta}(w)| = \frac{|f_{j_u,u,\zeta}(w)|}{|w|} = |f_{j_u,u,\zeta}(w)| \leq A_2 \exp(B_2 p(\zeta)).$$

It follows from the Maximum Modulus Theorem that for  $|w| \leq 1$

$$(3.6) \quad |g_{u,\zeta}(w)| \leq A_2 \exp(B_2 p(\zeta)).$$

Then the entire function

$$G_{u,\zeta}(w) = \frac{g_{u,\zeta}(w) - g_{u,\zeta}(0)}{3A_2 \exp(B_2 p(\zeta))}$$

satisfies that  $G_{u,\zeta}(0) = 0$  and  $|G_{u,\zeta}(w)| < 1$  for  $|w| \leq 1$ . The Schwarz Lemma implies that  $|G_{u,\zeta}(w)| \leq |w|$  for  $|w| \leq 1$ . In particular, for  $w_0 \in V_{u,\zeta} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $|w_0| \leq 1$ , we obtain from (3.3) and (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} |w_0| \geq |G_{u,\zeta}(w_0)| &= \left| \frac{g_{u,\zeta}(0)}{3A_2 \exp(B_2 p(\zeta))} \right| = \left| \frac{f'_{j_u, u, \zeta}(0)}{3A_2 \exp(B_2 p(\zeta))} \right| \\ &\geq \varepsilon_3 \exp(-C_3 p(\zeta)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon/3A_2\alpha$  and  $C_3 = C + B_2$ . Hence,

$$(3.7) \quad d_{u,\zeta} \geq \varepsilon_3 \exp(-C_3 p(\zeta)).$$

Now we need the following Borel-Carathéodory inequality, (cf., e.g., [BG]).

**Borel-Carathéodory inequality.** *Let  $h$  be a function which is holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $|w| \leq R$  and has no zero in  $|w| < R$ . If  $h(0) = 1$  and  $0 \leq |w| \leq r < R$ , then the following estimate follows:*

$$\log|h(w)| \geq -\frac{2r}{R-r} \log \max_{|\omega|=R} |h(\omega)|.$$

Since  $g_{u,\zeta}(0) \neq 0$ , we apply this inequality to  $h(w) = g_{u,\zeta}(w)/g_{u,\zeta}(0)$ ,  $R = d_{u,\zeta}$  and  $r = d_{u,\zeta}/2$ , to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left| \frac{g_{u,\zeta}(w)}{g_{u,\zeta}(0)} \right| &\geq -\frac{2 \cdot (d_{u,\zeta}/2)}{d_{u,\zeta} - d_{u,\zeta}/2} \log \max_{|\omega|=d_{u,\zeta}} \left| \frac{g_{u,\zeta}(\omega)}{g_{u,\zeta}(0)} \right| \\ &= -2 \log \max_{|\omega|=d_{u,\zeta}} \left| \frac{g_{u,\zeta}(\omega)}{g_{u,\zeta}(0)} \right| \end{aligned}$$

for  $|w| \leq d_{u,\zeta}/2$ . Then it follows from (3.3), (3.5) and (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.8) \quad |g_{u,\zeta}(w)| &\geq |g_{u,\zeta}(0)| \left( \max_{|\omega|=d_{u,\zeta}} \left| \frac{g_{u,\zeta}(\omega)}{g_{u,\zeta}(0)} \right| \right)^{-2} \\ &= |g_{u,\zeta}(0)|^3 \left( \max_{|\omega|=d_{u,\zeta}} |g_{u,\zeta}(\omega)| \right)^{-2} \\ &\geq \varepsilon_4 \exp(-C_4 p(\zeta)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon_4 = \varepsilon^3/\alpha^3 A_2^2$  and  $C_4 = 3C + 2B_2$ . Let

$$\hat{d}_\zeta = \varepsilon_3 \exp(-C_3 p(\zeta)),$$

where  $\varepsilon_3$  and  $C_3$  are the same as in (3.7). Since  $\hat{d}_\zeta \leq d_{u,\zeta}$  by (3.7), it follows from (3.8) that for  $|w| = \hat{d}_\zeta/2$

$$|f_{j_u, u, \zeta}(w)| = |wg_{u, \zeta}(w)| \geq \varepsilon_5 \exp(-C_5 p(\zeta)),$$

where  $\varepsilon_5 = \varepsilon_3 \varepsilon_4 / 2$  and  $C_5 = C_3 + C_4$ . Thus we have proved that for every  $u \in S_v$ , there exists  $j_u \in \{1, \dots, \alpha\}$  such that

$$|f_{j_u}(wu + \zeta)| \geq \varepsilon_5 \exp(-C_5 p(\zeta))$$

for  $|w| = \hat{d}_\zeta / 2$ . Hence we have

$$(3.9) \quad |f(wu + \zeta)| = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} |f_j(wu + \zeta)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ \geq |f_{j_u}(wu + \zeta)| \geq \varepsilon_5 \exp(-C_5 p(\zeta)).$$

Note that the constants  $\varepsilon_5$  and  $C_5$  are independent of  $u, \zeta$  and  $v$ .

For arbitrary  $v \in N$  and  $\zeta \in X_v$ , we consider a neighborhood

$$U_{v, \zeta} = \left\{ z = wu + \zeta \in \{\zeta\} + N_v : |w| \leq \frac{\hat{d}_\zeta}{2}, u \in S_v \right\}$$

of  $\zeta$  in  $\{\zeta\} + N_v$ . For all  $z \in \partial U_{v, \zeta}$ , there exists  $u_{z-\zeta} \in S_v$  such that

$$z = \frac{\hat{d}_\zeta}{2} u_{z-\zeta} + \zeta.$$

Then it follows from (3.9) that

$$(3.10) \quad |f(z)| = \left| f\left(\frac{\hat{d}_\zeta}{2} u_{z-\zeta} + \zeta\right) \right| \geq \varepsilon_5 \exp(-C_5 p(\zeta)).$$

Let  $\hat{V}_v$  be the component of  $S_p(f; \varepsilon_5, C_5)$  containing  $X_v$ . Then it is clear that

$$\hat{V}_v \subset \bigcup_{\zeta \in X_v} U_{v, \zeta}$$

by (3.10). Now we claim that for  $\zeta' \in X_{v'}$  and  $v' \neq v$ ,

$$\zeta' \notin \bigcup_{\zeta \in X_v} U_{v, \zeta}.$$

In fact, by  $\zeta$  we denote the orthogonal projection of  $\zeta'$  to  $X_v$ , so that  $\zeta' \in \{\zeta\} + N_v$ . Then there exists  $u_{\zeta'-\zeta} \in S_v$  such that

$$\zeta' = |\zeta' - \zeta| u_{\zeta'-\zeta} + \zeta.$$

(3.7) implies that

$$|\zeta' - \zeta| \geq d_{u_{\zeta'-\zeta}} \geq \varepsilon_3 \exp(-C_3 p(\zeta)) = \hat{d}_\zeta.$$

Hence  $\zeta' \notin U_{v, \zeta}$ . For  $\tilde{\zeta} \in X_v \setminus \{\zeta\}$ , it is clear that  $\zeta' \notin U_{v, \tilde{\zeta}}$ . This proves the lemma for  $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_5$  and  $C_0 = C_5$ .  $\square$

*Proof of the main theorem.* Define a holomorphic function  $h$  in  $S_p(f; \varepsilon_0, C_0)$  by

$$h(z) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } z \in W_v, \\ 1, & \text{if } z \in S_p(f; \varepsilon_0, C_0) \setminus \bigcup_{v \in N} W_v. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$|h(z)| \leq 1 \cdot \exp(1 \cdot p(z))$$

for every  $z \in S_p(f; \varepsilon_0, C_0)$ . Hence it follows from the semilocal interpolation theorem that there exists an entire function  $H \in A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$  such that  $H|_{Z(f_1, \dots, f_\alpha)} \equiv h|_{Z(f_1, \dots, f_\alpha)}$ , that is,

$$H(z) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } z \in X_v, \\ 1, & \text{if } z \in Z(f_1, \dots, f_\alpha) \setminus \bigcup_{v \in N} X_v. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $\alpha + 1$  functions  $f_1, \dots, f_\alpha, H \in A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$  satisfy (1.3) and (1.4).  $\square$

#### 4. The sharpness of $\alpha + 1$

Finally, we remark that the number ' $\alpha + 1$ ' in the main theorem is lowest. We prove this remark by giving an example of an interpolating variety for  $A_p(\mathbf{C})$  which can not write as the zero set of a function in  $A_p(\mathbf{C})$ , where  $p(z) = |z|$ . Let  $X = \{\zeta_v\}_{v \in N}$  be a discrete variety in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Then Nevanlinna's counting function is defined as follows:  $n(r, X) = \#\{v \in N : |\zeta_v| \leq r\}$  and

$$N(r, X) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t, X) - n(0, X)}{t} dt + n(0, X) \log r.$$

For  $k \in N$  and  $r > 0$ , we define

$$B(r; k : X) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{0 < |\zeta_v| \leq r} \left( \frac{1}{\zeta_v} \right)^k$$

and for  $r_1, r_2 > 0$

$$B(r_1, r_2; k : X) = B(r_1; k : X) - B(r_2; k : X).$$

Then the following proposition which gives the relationship between an entire function in  $A_p(\mathbf{C})$  and its zero set is deduced by the Fourier series method by Rubel and Taylor [RT]:

**PROPOSITION 4.1** (cf. [RT, Theorem 5.2]). *Let  $p$  be a radical weight of finite order on  $\mathbf{C}$ , that is, it satisfies  $p(z) = p(|z|)$  and*

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log p(r)}{\log r} < \infty.$$



Then there exists  $f \in A_p(\mathbf{C})$  such that  $X = Z(f)$  if and only if

(1)  $X$  has finite  $p$ -density, that is, we have a constant  $A > 0$  satisfying

$$N(r, X) \leq Ap(r)$$

for every  $r > 0$ .

(2)  $X$  is  $p$ -balanced, that is, there exists a constant  $A > 0$  such that

$$|B(r_1, r_2; k : X)| \leq \frac{Ap(r_1)}{r_1^k} + \frac{Ap(r_2)}{r_2^k}$$

for all  $r_1, r_2 > 0$  and  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ .

*Example 4.2.* Put  $X = \{v\}_{v \in \mathbf{N}} \subset \mathbf{C}$ . Applying Theorem A (or [BL2, Corollary 3.5]) to  $f(z) = \sin \pi z \in A_{|\cdot|}(\mathbf{C})$ , we know that  $X$  is interpolating for  $A_{|\cdot|}(\mathbf{C})$ . Hence it follows from the main theorem that  $X$  can be written as the common zero set of two entire functions in  $A_{|\cdot|}(\mathbf{C})$ . We shall prove that  $X$  can be written as the zero set of no entire function in  $A_{|\cdot|}(\mathbf{C})$ .

Put  $k = 1$  and  $r_2 = 1/2$ . Then calculating  $|B(r, 1/2; 1 : X)|$ , we have

$$\left| B\left(r, \frac{1}{2}; 1 : X\right) \right| = \sum_{v=1}^{[r]} \frac{1}{v},$$

where  $[r]$  is the greatest integer not greater than  $r$ . Hence there does not exist a constant  $A > 0$  such that

$$\left| B\left(r, \frac{1}{2}; 1 : X\right) \right| \leq \frac{A|r|}{r} + \frac{A/2}{1/2} = 2A$$

for any  $r > 0$ . Thus  $X$  does not satisfy the condition (2) in Proposition 4.1, so that  $X$  can be written as a zero set of no entire function in  $A_{|\cdot|}(\mathbf{C})$ .

Finally, the main theorem and this example lead to the following conjecture:

**CONJECTURE.** *Let  $X$  be an interpolating variety for  $A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$  and let  $\alpha$  be the maximum number of codimensions of all irreducible components of  $X$ . Then  $X$  can be written as the common zero set of  $\alpha + 1$  entire functions in  $A_p(\mathbf{C}^n)$ .*

## REFERENCES

- [BG] C. A. BERENSTEIN AND R. GAY, Complex Variables: An Introduction, Graduate Text in Math. 125, Springer, New York, 1991.
- [BL1] C. A. BERENSTEIN AND B. Q. LI, Interpolating varieties for weighted spaces of entire functions in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ , Publications Mathématiques, **38** (1994), 157–173.
- [BL2] ———, Interpolating varieties for spaces of meromorphic functions, J. Geom. Anal., **5** (1995), 1–48.
- [BT] C. A. BERENSTEIN AND B. A. TAYLOR, Interpolation problem in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  with applications to harmonic analysis, J. Analyse Math., **38** (1981), 188–254.

- [E] L. EHRENPREIS, Fourier Analysis in Several Complex Variables, Pure and Applied Mathematics 17, Wiley, New York, 1970.
- [G] R. GUNNING, Introduction to Holomorphic Functions of Several Variables Volume 1, Wadsworth, California, 1990.
- [H] L. HÖRMANDER, Generators for some rings of analytic functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **73** (1967), 943–949.
- [O] S. OHUCHI, Disjoint unions of complex affine subspaces interpolating for  $A_p$ , Forum Math., **11** (1999), 369–384.
- [RT] L. A. RUBEL AND B. A. TAYLOR, A Fourier series method for meromorphic and entire functions, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **96** (1968), 53–96.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
2-12-1 OH-OKAYAMA, MEGURO-KU  
TOKYO, 152-8551, JAPAN  
E-mail: ouchi@math.titech.ac.jp