CHARACTERS OF AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS ASSOCIATED WITH KÄHLER CLASSES AND FUNCTIONALS WITH COCYCLE CONDITIONS

Akito Futaki and Yasuhiro Nakagawa

1. Introduction

Let M be a connected compact Kähler manifold. An obvious necessary condition for M to admit a Kähler-Einstein metric is that the first Chern class $c_1(M)$ is either negative, zero or positive, where a real 2-dimensional de Rham cohomology class is said to be negative (resp. positive) if it is represented by a negative (resp. positive) definite (1, 1)-form. Conversely, if $c_1(M)$ is negative or zero then M admits a Kähler-Einstein metric by the solution to the Calabi conjectures (Aubin [1], Yau [21]).

In the remaining case where $c_1(M)$ is positive, in which case M is often called a Fano manifold, there are further necessary conditions. First of all the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}(M)$ of all holomorphic vector fields on a Kähler-Einstein Fano manifold M is reductive (Matsushima [14]). Secondly a Lie algebra character $f: \mathfrak{h}(M) \to C$ introduced in [10] must vanish on a Kähler-Einstein Fano manifold. It was also proven by Bando-Mabuchi [5] that if M admits a Kähler-Einstein metric then certain functional, called K-energy, of M is bounded from below. This analytic necessary condition played a theoretically important role in the later studies. In fact Ding and Tian [9] extended the results of [10] and [5] to obtain a necessary condition applicable to manifolds which do not carry any non-zero holomorphic vector fields. Tian [20] further extended these ideas to define certain notions of stability, called K-stability and CM-stability, and presented an example of a Fano manifold with no non-zero holomorphic vector fields and no Kähler-Einstein metrics. On the other hand there are known sufficient conditions for the existence of positive Kähler-Einstein metrics by Aubin [2], Ding [8], Siu [17], Tian [18] and Nadel [15].

Now one would hope to have a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of positive Kähler-Einstein metrics. To state such a condition, Tian [20] introduced a notion of properness for the K-energy and a functional introduced by Ding [8]. Combining [20] and [4] one can show, at least when $\mathfrak{h}(M) = 0$, that a Fano manifold admits a Kähler-Einstein metric if and only if

Received November 24, 1999; revised July 18, 2000.

Ding's functional (or K-energy) is proper, and thus the properness of Ding's functional and the properness of K-energy are equivalent. It can be checked directly that if the sufficient condition obtained in, for example, [18] is satisfied then the both functionals are proper, and that if either of the functionals is proper then the necessary conditions obtained in [10], [9], [20] are satisfied.

The purpose of this paper is to show that there is a family of functionals satisfying cocyle conditions and that both of the K-energy and Ding's functional can be derived from the family. It is constructed as follows. Given a Kähler manifold M with a Kähler class Ω , there exists a Lie algebra character $f_{\Omega}:\mathfrak{h}(M)\to C$ with the property that if M admits a Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature in Ω then $f_{\Omega} = 0$ (cf. [11], [7], [3]). Of course when M is a Fano manifold and $\Omega = c_1(M)$, then f_{Ω} coincides with the above f. We try to lift f_{Ω} to a character of the group of automorphisms which preserve Ω . This can be performed successfully when Ω is a Hodge class and the group action lifts to a holomorphic line bundle L whose Chern class coincides with Ω , see Nakagawa [16]. We can give an explicit formula of the character in terms of the Chern-Simons invariants of certain virtual bundles. A merit of this formula is that it is written using Hermitian metrics of L and the anti-canonical bundle K_M^{-1} and that we may choose these two metrics independently. Note that if in certain situations the character can be written in terms of a Kähler form ω and the pull-back form $\omega' = \sigma^* \omega$ by an automorphism σ , then we obtain a functional written in terms of ω and ω' satisfying cocycle conditions.

Now return to the situation where $\Omega = c_1(M)$ and $L = K_M^{-1}$. In section 4 we will see that if we choose a Kähler form $\omega \in \Omega$ and then choose a fiber metric of *L* so that its Chern form is equal to ω , then the formula of the group character yields the K-energy. On the other hand if we choose metrics for *L* and K_M^{-1} equal, the formula yields Ding's functional.

2. Review of characteristic classes of foliations

A transeversely holomorphic foliation \mathscr{F} of complex codimension m on a smooth manifold W of real dimension 2m + n is given by a system of local charts $\{z^1, \ldots, z^m, x^1, \ldots, x^n\}$ where $\{x^1, \ldots, x^n\}$ is real coordinates along the leaves and $\{z^1, \ldots, z^m\}$ are complex coordinates in the normal directions, such that for any neighboring local charts $\{w^1, \ldots, w^m, y^1, \ldots, y^n\}$, the w^i 's are holomorphic functions of z^i 's. Then there is a subbundle $T^*_{1,0}$ of $TW^* \otimes C$ spanned by $\{dz^1, \ldots, dz^m\}$ in local charts. Note that the definition of $T^*_{1,0}$ is independent of the choice of local charts. A section of $T^*_{1,0}$ will be called a differential form of type (1,0). Let S be the subbundle of $TW \otimes C$ annihilated by $T^*_{1,0}$. The quotient bundle $v(\mathscr{F}) = (TW \otimes C)/S$ is called the normal bundle of \mathscr{F} .

Let $E \to W$ be a complex vector bundle of rank *r* over *W*. A *basic connection* of *E* is a linear connection whose connection form is of type (1,0). Not every vector bundle admits a basic connection. But, for example, an argument using partition of unity shows that the normal bundle $v(\mathcal{F})$ carries basic

connections ([6]). It is obvious from the dimension reasons that, for a multiindex α with $|\alpha| > m$, the Chern form $c^{\alpha}(E, \nabla)$ vanishes identically if ∇ is a basic connection. Thus we can define characteristic classes of foliations, which we review next.

The differential graded algebra WU_m is defined as

$$WU_m = \bigwedge (u_1, \ldots, u_m) \otimes \{ C[c_1, \ldots, c_m] / \deg > 2m \} \otimes \{ C[\bar{c}_1, \ldots, \bar{c}_m] / \deg > 2m \}$$

where $\bigwedge (u_1, \ldots, u_m)$ is the exterior algebra in u_1, \ldots, u_m with deg $u_i = i$, $C[c_1, \ldots, c_m]$ is a polynomial algebra in c_1, \ldots, c_m with deg $c_i = 2i$ and similarly for $C[\bar{c}_1, \ldots, \bar{c}_m]$, and where the differential d is defined by $du_i = c_i - \bar{c}_i$ and $dc_i = d\bar{c}_i = 0$.

Suppose that *E* carries basic connections. Let $\Omega^* W$ be the de Rham complex of *W*. We define a differential graded algebra map $\lambda_W : WU_m \to \Omega^* W$ as follows.

Choose an Hermitian metric of E and take an arbitrary metric connection ∇^0 , and a basic connection ∇^1 of E. Let $p: W \times I \to W$ be the projection, where I denotes the unit interval. Then $\nabla^{0,1} = s\nabla^1 + (1-s)\nabla^0$ is a connection of p^*E . Denote by $c_i(\nabla^0), c_i(\nabla^1)$ and $c_i(\nabla^{0,1})$ respectively the *i*-th Chern forms with respect to ∇^0, ∇^1 and $\nabla^{0,1}$. Set $h_i = p_*c_i(\nabla^{0,1})$. Then we have

$$dh_i = c_i(\nabla^1) - c_i(\nabla^0),$$

and

$$dh_i - d\overline{h}_i = c_i(\nabla^1) - \overline{c_i(\nabla^1)}$$

since ∇^0 is a metric connection and its Chern forms are real forms. From this it follows that the map λ_W defined by

$$\lambda_W(u_i) = h_i - \overline{h}_i, \quad \lambda_W(c_i) = c_i(\nabla^1), \quad \lambda_W(\overline{c}_i) = c_i(\nabla^1)$$

is a DGA-map. It is well-known that the induced homomorphism λ_W^* : $H^*(WU_m) \to H^*_{DR}(W; \mathbb{C})$ is independent of the choice of the Hermitian connection ∇^0 and the basic connection ∇^1 . We note that $\sum_{k=0}^m c_1^k u_1 \bar{c}_1^{m-k}$ is closed in WU_m , and thus we have

$$\lambda_W^*\left(\sum_{k=0}^m c_1^k u_1 \overline{c}_1^{m-k}\right) \in H^{2m+1}(W; \boldsymbol{C}).$$

3. The case of suspension foliations

Let M be a compact Kähler manifold and σ an automophism of M. Suppose that σ generates an infinite cyclic group $G \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Let $E \to M$ be a holomorphic vector bundle. We assume that the action of G lifts to E. We set $E_{\sigma} := (\mathbb{R} \times E)/G$ and $M_{\sigma} := (\mathbb{R} \times M)/G$, where G acts on $\mathbb{R} \times E$ by

$$\sigma^n(v,t) = (t-n,\sigma^n(v))$$

and on $\mathbf{R} \times M$ similarly. There is a natural transversely holomorphic foliation on $\mathbf{R} \times M$ with leaf dimension 1, and it descends to M_{σ} .

LEMMA 3.1. The complex vector bundle $E_{\sigma} \rightarrow M_{\sigma}$ carries basic connections.

Proof. E_{σ} is obtained as follows. Consider $I \times E$ and identify $\{1\} \times E$ and $\{0\} \times E$ by the relation $(1, v) \sim (o, \sigma(v))$. M_{σ} is also obtained similarly. The leaves of the foliation are of the form $\{(t, p) | t \in I\}$. Remark that the vector bundle E_{σ} is flat along the leaf direction, i.e. the transition functions do not involve the leaf coordinate.

Let $\phi(t)$ be a smooth function on I such that $\phi(t) \equiv 0$ near t = 0 and $\phi(t) \equiv 1$ near t = 1. Choose any Hermitian metric h of the line bundle $E \to M$. We define an Hermitian metric of $E_{\sigma} \to M_{\sigma}$ by

$$h_t = (1 - \phi(t))h + \phi(t)\sigma^*h.$$

Then by the above remark $\tilde{h}_t^{-1}\partial \tilde{h}_t$ defines a basic connection, where ∂ denotes the (1,0)-part of the exterior differentiation, namely

$$\partial = \sum_{i=1}^m dz^i \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}$$

it terms of normal holomorphic coordinates z^1, \ldots, z^m .

So we can define $\hat{f}_E: G \to \mathbf{R}$ by

(1)
$$\hat{f}_E(\sigma) = i\lambda_W^* \left(\sum_{k=0}^m c_1^k u_1 \bar{c}_1^{m-k}\right) [W].$$

Recall that, given an Hermitian metric h on a holomorphic vector bundle E, the Ricci form ρ_h is given by

$$\rho_h := \frac{i}{2\pi} \,\overline{\partial}\partial \log \det h.$$

It represents the first Chern class $c_1(E)$, and its coefficients

$$R_{i\bar{j}} := -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^i \partial \bar{z}^j} \log \det h$$

are called the Ricci curvature of h. When an automorphism σ of M lifts to an action on E, we have

$$\sigma^* \rho_h = \rho_{\sigma^* h}.$$

THEOREM 3.2. Let h be an Hermitian metric of the holomorphic vector bundle $E \to M$. Then $\hat{f}_E(\sigma)$ can be given by

(2)
$$\hat{f}_E(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M \log \frac{\det \sigma^* h}{\det h} \sum_{k=0}^m \sigma^* \rho_h^k \wedge \rho_h^{m-k}$$

Moreover $\hat{f}_E: G \to \mathbf{R}$ is a group character.

Remark 3.3. From the independence of the choice of Hermitian connections ∇^0 and basic connections ∇^1 , the above expression of f_E is also independent of the choice of Hermitian metrics h.

Proof. Let \tilde{h}_t be as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, and put

$$h_t = \left(\frac{(\det h)^{1-\phi(t)}(\det \sigma^* h)^{\phi(t)}}{\det \tilde{h}_t}\right)^{1/r} \tilde{h}_t,$$

where r is the rank of E. Then the Ricci form ρ_{h_l} is written as

(3)
$$\rho_{h_t} = (1 - \phi(t))\rho_h + \phi(t)\rho_{\sigma^*h}.$$

It is obvious that

 $\theta^1 = h_t^{-1} \partial h_t$

also defines a basic connection, and it is easy to check that

$$\theta^0 = h_t^{-1} \partial h_t + \frac{1}{2} h_t^{-1} \partial_t h_t$$

defines an Hermitian connection, where

$$\partial_t = dt \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

From the definition of u_1 we easily get

$$\lambda_W^* u_1 = \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial_t \log \det h_t.$$

We also have

$$\lambda_W^* c_1 = \frac{i}{2\pi} (\partial_t + \overline{\partial}) \partial \log \det h_t.$$

It follows that, for all k, we have

(4)
$$\lambda_W^*(c_1^k u_1 \bar{c}_1^{m-k}) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial_t \log \det h_t \wedge \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} \bar{\partial} \partial \log \det h_t\right)^m.$$

From (3) and (4) we have

$$\begin{split} i\lambda_W^* c_1^k u_1 \bar{c}_1^{m-k} [W] &= (m+1) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{M \times I} \phi'(t) \log \frac{\det \sigma^* h}{\det h} \, dt \wedge (\rho_h + \phi(t)(\rho_{\sigma^* h} - \rho_h))^m \\ &= (m+1) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M \log \frac{\det \sigma^* h}{\det h} \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} \frac{1}{k+1} (\rho_h)^{m-k} \wedge (\rho_{\sigma^* h} - \rho_h)^k \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M \log \frac{\det \sigma^* h}{\det h} \sum_{k=0}^m \rho_h^k \wedge \rho_{\sigma^* h}^{m-k}. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of the first half.

From (2) and the fact that the right hand side of (2) is independent of the choice of the metric h it is easy to check

$$\hat{f}_E(\sigma\tau) = \hat{f}_E(\sigma) + \hat{f}_E(\tau)$$

This completes the proof of the second half. An alternate proof can also be given as follows. There is a fibration $p: W = M_G = EG \times_G M \rightarrow BG = S^1$. The integration over the fibers gives

$$p_*(i\lambda_W^*c_1^k u_1\bar{c}_1^{m-k}) \in H^1(BG; \mathbf{R}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(G, \mathbf{R})$$

The interpretation of the last isomorphism shows that \hat{f}_E is a homomorphism.

4. A Lie algebra character and its lifting to a group character

In this section we first review the Lie algebra character obtained as an obstruction to the existence of Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature ([11], [7], [3]), and give an explicit formula of its lifting to a group character.

Let Ω be a fixed Kähler class on an *m*-dimensional connected compact Kähler manifold *M*, and $\omega = i/(2\pi) \sum g_{i\bar{j}} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j$ a Kähler form which represents Ω . The Ricci form of ω will be denoted by

$$\rho_{\omega} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum R_{i\bar{j}} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j = \frac{i}{2\pi} \bar{\partial} \partial \log \det g.$$

We put

$$V := \Omega^m[M],$$

 $\mu = rac{1}{V} \int_M rac{s_\omega}{m} \omega^m,$

where $s_{\omega} = g^{i\bar{j}} R_{i\bar{j}}$ denotes the scalar curvature of ω . Then there is a smooth function F_{ω} uniquely determined up to constant such that

$$s_{\omega} - \mu m = \Delta F_{\omega}.$$

Define $f : \mathfrak{h}(M) \to C$ by

$$f(X) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M X F_\omega \omega^m.$$

It is known that f is independent of the choice of $\omega \in \Omega$. This implies that f is invariant under automorphisms of M and is a Lie algebra character. Obviously if M admits a Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature then we have $F_{\omega} = 0$ and f vanishes.

Let g be a Hodge metric, Ω its Kähler class, and L a holomorphic line bundle with $c_1(L) = \Omega$. We assume that the action of an automorphism σ lifts to L. Consider the character defined by

$$\hat{f} = \frac{1}{2^{m+1}(m+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j} \binom{m}{j} \hat{f}_{K_{M}^{-1} \otimes L^{m-2j}} - \frac{1}{2^{m+1}(m+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j} \binom{m}{j} \hat{f}_{K_{M} \otimes L^{m-2j}} - \frac{\mu m}{2^{m+1}(m+1)!(m+1)} \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} (-1)^{j} \binom{m+1}{j} \hat{f}_{L^{m+1-2j}}.$$

The following theorem has been proved by the second author [16].

THEOREM 4.1. Let $X \in \mathfrak{h}(M)$. Then we have

$$\Re f(X) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \hat{f}(\exp(t\Re X)).$$

where $\Re f(X)$ denotes the real part of f(X).

The proof of this theorem will follow from later computations. Combining Remark 3.3 and Theorem 4.1 we obtain the following.

COROLLARY 4.2. The Lie algebra character $\Re f$ can be lifted to a group character \hat{f} which can be written explicitly using Hermitian metrics of L and K_M . Moreover \hat{f} is independent of the choice of these metrics in each of the terms of $\hat{f}_{K_{u}^{-1} \otimes L^{m-2j}}, \hat{f}_{K_M \otimes L^{m-2j}}$ and $\hat{f}_{L^{m+1-2j}}$.

In the rest of this paper we show that a suitable choice of Hermitian metrics of L and K_M^{-1} yields the K-energy, and when $L = K_M^{-1}$ a different choice yields Ding's functional.

Let ω be a Hodge metric of M and h an Hermitian metric of L. As before ρ_{ω} and ρ_h respectively denotes the Ricci forms of ω and h. By the assumption we have $[\rho_h] = c_1(L) = [\omega]$. From Theorem 3.2 we have

AKITO FUTAKI AND YASUHIRO NAKAGAWA

$$(5) \quad 2\pi \hat{f}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2^{m+1}(m+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j} {m \choose j} \int_{M} \left(\log \frac{\sigma^{*} \omega^{m}}{\omega^{m}} + (m-2j) \log \frac{\sigma^{*} h}{h} \right)$$
$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \sigma^{*} (\rho_{\omega} + (m-2j)\rho_{h})^{k} \wedge (\rho_{\omega} + (m-2j)\rho_{h})^{m-k}$$
$$- \frac{1}{2^{m+1}(m+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j} {m \choose j} \int_{M} \left(-\log \frac{\sigma^{*} \omega^{m}}{\omega^{m}} + (m-2j) \log \frac{\sigma^{*} h}{h} \right)$$
$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \sigma^{*} (-\rho_{\omega} + (m-2j)\rho_{h})^{k} \wedge (-\rho_{\omega} + (m-2j)\rho_{h})^{m-k}$$
$$- \frac{\mu m}{2^{m+1}(m+1)!(m+1)} \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} (-1)^{j} {m+1 \choose j} (m+1-2j)^{m+1}$$
$$\times \int_{M} \log \frac{\sigma^{*} h}{h} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sigma^{*} \rho_{h}^{k} \wedge \rho_{h}^{m-k}.$$

Using

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} (-1)^j \binom{\ell}{j} (\ell - 2j)^k = 0 \quad \text{for } k \neq \ell,$$
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} (-1)^j \binom{\ell}{j} (\ell - 2j)^\ell = 2^\ell \ell!$$

c.f. [19], and putting

$$\gamma = \frac{\sigma^* \omega^m}{\omega^m}, \quad \tilde{\gamma} = \frac{\sigma^* h}{h},$$

we obtain

(6)
$$2\pi (m+1)\hat{f}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} \log \gamma \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sigma^{*} \rho_{h}^{k} \wedge \rho_{h}^{m-k} + \log \tilde{\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^{m} k \sigma^{*} \rho_{\omega} \wedge \sigma^{*} \rho_{h}^{k-1} \wedge \rho_{h}^{m-k} + \log \tilde{\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sigma^{*} \rho_{h}^{k} \wedge (m-k) \rho_{\omega} \wedge \rho_{h}^{m-k-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} (-\log \gamma) \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sigma^{*} \rho_{h}^{k} \wedge \rho_{h}^{m-k}$$

8

$$+ \log \tilde{\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^{m} k(-\sigma^{*}\rho_{\omega}) \wedge \sigma^{*}\rho_{h}^{k-1} \wedge \rho_{h}^{m-k} + \log \tilde{\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sigma^{*}\rho_{h}^{k} \wedge (m-k)(-\rho_{\omega}) \wedge \rho_{h}^{m-k-1} - \mu m \int_{M} \log \tilde{\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sigma^{*}\rho_{h}^{k} \wedge \rho_{h}^{m-k} = \int_{M} \log \gamma \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sigma^{*}\rho_{h}^{k} \wedge \rho_{h}^{m-k} + \log \tilde{\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^{m} k\sigma^{*}\rho_{\omega} \wedge \sigma^{*}\rho_{h}^{k-1} \wedge \rho_{h}^{m-k} + \log \tilde{\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sigma^{*}\rho_{h}^{k} \wedge (m-k)\rho_{\omega} \wedge \rho_{h}^{m-k-1} - \mu m \int_{M} \log \tilde{\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sigma^{*}\rho_{h}^{k} \wedge \rho_{h}^{m-k}.$$

Suppose now that $\rho_h = \omega$. We put

$$\varphi := -\log \tilde{\gamma} = -\log \frac{\sigma^* h}{h}$$

Then we have

$$\frac{i}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi = -\frac{i}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\log \frac{\sigma^*h}{h} = \sigma^*\rho_h - \rho_h = \sigma^*\omega - \omega.$$

So if we put $\omega_{\varphi} := \sigma^* \omega$, then

$$\omega_{\varphi} = \omega + \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi \quad and \quad \sigma^* \rho_{\omega} = \rho_{\omega_{\varphi}}.$$

Define a functional $\mathscr{M}(\omega,\omega_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}})$ by

$$\mathcal{M}(\omega, \omega_{\varphi}) = \frac{2\pi}{V} \hat{f}(\sigma).$$

Theorem 4.3. $\mathcal{M}(\omega, \omega_{\varphi})$ coincides with the K-energy.

Proof. From (6) we have

$$(m+1)V\mathcal{M}(\omega,\omega_{\varphi}) = \int_{M} \log \frac{\omega_{\varphi}^{m}}{\omega^{m}} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \omega_{\varphi}^{k} \wedge \omega^{m-k} - \int_{M} \varphi \sum_{k=0}^{m} k \rho_{\omega_{\varphi}} \wedge \omega_{\varphi}^{k-1} \wedge \omega^{m-k} - \int_{M} \varphi \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \omega_{\varphi}^{k-1} \wedge (m+1-k)\rho_{\omega} \wedge \omega^{m-k}$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \mu m \int_{M} \varphi \sum_{k=0}^{m} \omega_{\varphi}^{k} \wedge \omega^{m-k} \\ &= \int_{M} \log \frac{\omega_{\varphi}^{m}}{\omega^{m}} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \omega_{\varphi}^{k} \wedge \omega^{m-k} \\ &- (m+1) \int_{M} \varphi \sum_{k=1}^{m} \omega_{\varphi}^{k-1} \wedge \rho_{\omega} \wedge \omega^{m-k} \\ &- \int_{M} \varphi \sum_{k=0}^{m} k(\rho_{\omega_{\varphi}} - \rho_{\omega}) \wedge \omega_{\varphi}^{k-1} \wedge \omega^{m-k} \\ &+ \mu m \int_{M} \varphi \sum_{k=0}^{m} \omega_{\varphi}^{k} \wedge \omega^{m-k}. \end{split}$$

The third term of the right hand side is equal to

$$\int_{M} \log \frac{\omega_{\varphi}^{m}}{\omega^{m}} \sum_{k=0}^{m} k(\omega_{\varphi} - \omega) \wedge \omega_{\varphi}^{k-1} \wedge \omega^{m-k}$$

$$= \int_{M} \log \frac{\omega_{\varphi}^{m}}{\omega^{m}} (\omega_{\varphi} \wedge \omega^{m-1} - \omega^{m} + 2(\omega_{\varphi}^{2} \wedge \omega^{m-2} - \omega_{\varphi} \wedge \omega^{m-1})$$

$$+ \dots + m(\omega_{\varphi}^{m} - \omega_{\varphi}^{m-1} \wedge \omega))$$

$$= -\int_{M} \log \frac{\omega_{\varphi}^{m}}{\omega^{m}} (\omega^{m} + \omega_{\varphi} \wedge \omega^{m-1} + \dots + \omega_{\varphi}^{m}) + (m+1) \int_{M} \log \frac{\omega_{\varphi}^{m}}{\omega^{m}} \omega_{\varphi}^{m}.$$

Thus we obtain

(7)
$$V\mathcal{M}(\omega, \omega_{\varphi}) = -\int_{M} \varphi \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \omega_{\varphi}^{k} \wedge \rho_{\omega} \wedge \omega^{m-k-1} + \int_{M} \log \frac{\omega_{\varphi}^{m}}{\omega^{m}} \omega_{\varphi}^{m} + \frac{\mu m}{m+1} \int_{M} \varphi \sum_{k=0}^{m} \omega_{\varphi}^{k} \wedge \omega^{m-k}.$$

This last expression is equal to the K-energy (c.f. [4], §5).

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $X \in \mathfrak{h}(M)$, and define φ_t by

$$(\exp(t\Re X))^*\omega - \omega = \frac{i}{2\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}\varphi,$$

where $\Re X$ denotes the real part of X. Then

$$2\pi \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \hat{f}(\exp(t\Re X)) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} V\mathcal{M}(\omega, \omega_{\varphi})$$
$$= -\int_{M} \dot{\varphi}|_{t=0} (s_{\omega} - \mu m) \omega^{m}$$
$$= -\int_{M} \Delta(\dot{\varphi}|_{t=0}) F_{\omega} \omega^{m}.$$

Since X is holomorphic, $\overline{\partial}i(X)\omega = 0$. Hence the harmonic integration theory shows

$$i(X)\omega = i\overline{\partial}u + i\alpha$$

where $\alpha = \alpha_{\overline{i}} d\overline{z}^{j}$ is a harmonic (0,1)-form. Therefore we have

$$L_{1/2(X+\overline{X})}\omega = i\partial\overline{\partial}(\Re u).$$

It follows that

$$\dot{\phi}|_{t=0} = \Re u$$

modulo constant. Using $\overline{\partial}^* \alpha = 0$, we have

$$-\int_{M} \Delta(\dot{\varphi}|_{t=0}) F_{\omega} \omega^{m} = -\int_{M} \Delta(\Re(u)) F_{\omega} \omega^{m}$$
$$= -\int_{M} \Re(\operatorname{div}(X)) F_{\omega} \omega^{m}$$
$$= 2\pi \Re(f(X)).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Suppose that $c_1(M) > 0$ and that $\rho_{\omega} = \omega + (i/2\pi)\partial\overline{\partial}F_{\omega}$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{M}(\omega, \omega_{\varphi}) &= -\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} \varphi \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \omega_{\varphi}^{k} \wedge \left(\omega + \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} F_{\omega} \right) \wedge \omega^{m-k-1} + \frac{1}{V} \int_{M} \log \frac{\omega_{\varphi}^{m}}{\omega^{m}} \omega_{\varphi}^{m} \\ &+ \frac{m}{(m+1)V} \int_{M} \varphi \sum_{k=0}^{m} \omega_{\varphi}^{k} \wedge \omega^{m-k} \\ &= -\frac{1}{(m+1)V} \int_{M} \varphi \sum_{k=0}^{m} \omega_{\varphi}^{k} \wedge \omega^{m-k} + \frac{1}{V} \int_{M} \log \frac{\omega_{\varphi}^{m}}{\omega^{m}} \omega_{\varphi}^{m} \\ &- \frac{1}{V} \int_{M} F_{\omega}(\omega_{\varphi}^{m} - \omega^{m}) + \frac{1}{V} \int_{M} \varphi \omega_{\varphi}^{m}. \end{split}$$

This last expression is the K-energy for Fano manifolds used in [20].

Suppose again that $c_1(M) > 0$ and that $L = K_M^{-1}$. We choose $h = \omega^m$. Then from (6) we have

$$2\pi \hat{f}(\sigma) = \int_{M} \log \frac{\omega_{\varphi}^{m}}{\omega^{m}} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \rho_{\omega_{\varphi}}^{k} \wedge \rho_{\omega}^{m-k},$$

where we have put $\omega_{\varphi} := \sigma^* \omega$. We assume $\eta := \rho_{\omega} > 0$, $\eta' := \rho_{\omega_{\varphi}} > 0$, and put $\eta' = \eta + (i/2\pi)\partial\bar{\partial}\psi$. Then of course $\psi = -\log \omega_{\varphi}^m / \omega^m$, and thus

(8)
$$2\pi \hat{f}(\sigma) = -\int_{M} \psi \sum_{k=0}^{m} \eta'^{k} \wedge \eta^{m-k}$$

Here ψ is normalized by

$$\int_M e^{-\psi} \omega^m = V.$$

We wish to rewrite the right hand side of (8) in the form invariant under the change of ψ into $\psi + constant$. If we define F_{η} by

$$\rho_{\eta} = \eta + \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} F_{\eta}, \quad \int_{M} e^{F_{\eta}} \eta^{m} = V,$$

then

$$F_{\eta} = \log \frac{\omega^m}{\eta^m}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{V}\int_M e^{-\psi+F_\eta}\eta^m = 1.$$

Hence

$$\frac{2\pi}{V}\hat{f}(\sigma) = -\frac{1}{(m+1)V}\int_{M}\psi\sum_{k=0}^{m}\eta'^{k}\wedge\eta^{m-k} - \log\left(\frac{1}{V}\int_{M}e^{-\psi+F_{\eta}}\eta^{m}\right).$$

We define

$$\mathscr{F}(\omega,\omega_{\varphi}) = -\frac{1}{(m+1)V} \int_{M} \varphi \sum_{k=0}^{m} \omega_{\varphi}^{k} \wedge \omega^{m-k} - \log\left(\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} e^{-\varphi + F_{\omega}} \omega^{m}\right),$$

where F_{ω} is normalized by $1/V \int_{M} e^{F_{\omega}} \omega^{m} = 1$.

THEOREM 4.4. $\mathscr{F}(\omega, \omega_{\varphi})$ coincides with Ding's functional.

Proof. Ding's functional ([8]), which we denote by $\mathscr{D}(\omega, \omega_{\varphi})$, is defined by

$$\mathscr{D}(\omega, \omega_{\varphi}) = J_{\omega}(\varphi) - \frac{1}{V} \int_{M} \varphi \omega^{m} - \log\left(\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} e^{F_{\omega} - \varphi} \omega^{m}\right),$$

where $J_{\omega}(\varphi)$ is defined by

$$I_{\omega}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{V} \int_{M} \varphi(\omega^{m} - \omega_{\varphi}^{m}),$$
$$J_{\omega}(\varphi) = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{I_{\omega}(s\varphi)}{s} ds.$$

But one computes

$$J_{\omega}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{V} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{M} \varphi(\omega^{m} - \omega_{s\varphi}^{m}) ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{V} \int_{M} \varphi\omega^{m} - \frac{1}{V} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{M} \varphi\left(\omega + \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial\bar{\partial}s\varphi\right)^{m} ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{V} \int_{M} \varphi\omega^{m} - \frac{1}{(m+1)V} \int_{M} \varphi\sum_{k=0}^{m} \omega_{\varphi}^{m-k} \wedge \omega^{k}$$

This completes the proof.

References

- T. AUBIN, Equations du type de Monge-Ampère sur les variétés kählériennes compactes, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 283 (1976), 119–121.
- [2] T. AUBIN, Réduction du cas positif de l'équations de Monge-Ampère sur les variétés kählériennes compactes à la demonstration d'une inégalité, J. Funct. Anal., 57 (1984), 143–153.
- [3] S. BANDO, An obstruction for Chern class forms to be harmonic, preprint, 1983.
- [4] S. BANDO, The existence problem of Einstein-Kähler metrics: The case of positive scalar curvature, Sugaku, 50 (1998), 358–367, in Japanese; English translation, to appear from AMS.
- [5] S. BANDO AND T. MABUCHI, Uniqueness of Einstein Kähler metrics modulo connected group actions, Algebraic Geometry, Sendai 1985, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 10, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1987, 11–40.
- [6] R. BOTT, Lectures on characteristic classes and foliations, Lectures on algebraic and differential topology, Lecture Notes in Math. 279, Springer, 1972, 1–94.
- [7] E. CALABI, Extremal K\u00e4hler metrics II, Differential Geometry and Complex Analysis, (I. Chavel and H. M. Farkas eds.), Springer, 1985, 95–114.
- [8] W. DING, Remarks on the existence problem of positive Kähler-Einstein metrics, Math. Ann., 282 (1988), 463–471.
- [9] W. DING AND G. TIAN, Käler-Einstein metrics and the generalized Futaki invariant, Invent. Math., 110 (1992), 315–335.
- [10] A. FUTAKI, An obstruction to the existence of Einstein Kähler metrics, Invent. Math., 73 (1983), 437–443.
- [11] A. FUTAKI, On compact Kähler manifold of constant scalar curvature, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A, 59 (1983), 401–402.
- [12] A. FUTAKI, Kähler-Einstein metrics and integral invariants, Lecture Notes in Math. 1314, Springer, 1988.
- [13] T. MABUCHI, K-energy maps integrating Futaki invariants, Tohoku Math. J., 38 (1987), 245– 257.

- [14] Y. MATSUSHIMA, Sur la structure du groupe d'homéomorphismes d'une certaine variété kaehlérienne, Nagoya Math. J., 11 (1957), 145–150.
- [15] A. NADEL, Multiplier ideal sheaves and existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics of positive scalar curvature, Ann. of Math., 132 (1990), 549–596.
- [16] Y. NAKAGAWA, The Bando-Calabi-Futaki character and its lifting to a group character, preprint.
- [17] Y.-T. SIU, The existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on manifolds with positive anticanonical line bundle and a suitable finite symmetry group, Ann. of Math., 127 (1988), 585–627.
- [18] G. TIAN, Kähler-Einstein metrics on certain Kähler manifolds with $C_1(M) > 0$, Invent. Math., **89** (1987), 225–246.
- [19] G. TIAN, Kähler-Einstein metrics on algebraic manifolds, Proc. C.I.M.E. conference on Transcendetal methods in algebraic geometry, Lecture Notes in Math. 1646, Springer, 1996, 143–185.
- [20] G. TIAN, Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature, Invent. Math., 130 (1997), 1– 37.
- [21] S.-T. YAU, On Calabi's conjecture and some new results in algebraic geometry, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 74 (1977), 1798–1799.

Department of Mathematics Tokyo Institute of Technology Meguro, Tokyo, 152-8551, Japan

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE FACUTY OF SCIENCE TOHOKU UNIVERSITY SENDAI, 980-8578, JAPAN