# On the uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations II 

By<br>Shinzo Watanabe and Toshio Yamada

(Received, May 11, 1971)

The present paper is a continuation of [1] in which we have discussed the uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations. The condition of the pathwise uniqueness of solutions obtained in [1] is essentially in one-dimensional case and we shall investigate here the general multi-dimensional case.

Let $\sigma(t, x)=\left(\sigma_{j}^{i}(t, x)\right), i=1, \cdots, n, j=1, \ldots, r$, and $b(t, x)=\left(b^{i}(t, x)\right)$, $i=1, \ldots, n$, be defined on $[0, \infty) \times R^{n}$, bounded and Borel measurable in ( $t, x$ ) such that $\sigma(t, x)$ is an $n \times r$-matrix and $b(t, x)$ is an $n \times 1$. matrix. We consider the following Itô's stochastic differential equation;

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x_{t}=\sigma\left(t, x_{t}\right) d B_{t}+b\left(t, x_{t}\right) d t, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, in component wise,

$$
d x_{t}^{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{r} \sigma_{j}^{i}\left(t, x_{t}\right) d B_{t}^{j}+b^{i}\left(t, x_{t}\right) d t \quad i=1, \ldots, n .
$$

A precise formulation is as follows; by a probability space ( $\Omega, \mathscr{F}$, $P$ ) with an increasing family of Borel fields $\mathscr{F}_{t}$, which is denoted as ( $\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P ; \mathscr{F}_{t}$ ), we mean a standard probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P)$ with a system $\left\{\mathscr{F}_{t}\right\}_{t \in[0, \infty)}$ of sub Borel-fields of $\mathscr{F}$ such that $\mathscr{F}_{t} \subset \mathscr{F}_{s}$ if $t<s$.

Definition 1. By a solution of the equation (1), we mean a
family of stochastic processes $\mathfrak{X}=\left\{x_{t}=\left(x_{t}^{1}, \ldots, x_{t}^{n}\right), B_{t}=\left(B_{t}^{1}, \ldots, B_{t}^{r}\right)\right\}$ defined on a probability space with an increasing family of Borel fields ( $\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P ; \mathscr{F}_{t}$ ) such that
(i) with probability one, $x_{t}$ and $B_{t}$ are continuous in $t$ and $B_{0}=0$,
(ii) they are adapted to $\mathscr{F}_{t}$, i.e., for each $t, x_{t}$ and $B_{t}$ are $\mathscr{F}_{t^{-}}$ measurable,
(iii) $B_{t}$ is a system of $\mathscr{F}_{t}$-martingales such that $\left\langle B_{t}^{i}, B_{t}^{j}\right\rangle=\delta_{i j} \cdot t$ $i, j=1, \cdots, r$,
(iv) $\mathfrak{X}=\left\{x_{t}, B_{t}\right\}$ satisfies, with probability one,

$$
x_{t}-x_{0}=\int_{0}^{t} \sigma\left(s, x_{s}\right) d B_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} b\left(s, x_{s}\right) d s
$$

or, in component wise,

$$
x_{t}^{i}-x_{0}^{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{r} \int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{j}^{i}\left(s, x_{s}\right) d B_{s}^{j}+\int_{0}^{t} b^{i}\left(s, x_{s}\right) d s, \quad i=1, \ldots, n,
$$

where the integral by $d B_{s}$ is understood in the sense of the stochastic integral.

Definition 2. (Pathwise uniqueness)
We shall say that the pathwise uniqueness holds for (1) if, for any two solutions $\mathfrak{X}=\left(x_{t}, B_{t}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}=\left(x_{t}^{\prime}, B_{t}^{\prime}\right)$ defied on a same probability space $\left(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P ; \mathscr{F}_{t}\right), x_{0}=x_{0}^{\prime}$ and $B_{t} \equiv B_{t}^{\prime}$ imply $x_{t} \equiv x_{t}^{\prime}$.

We refer to $[1]$ for some implications of the pathwise uniqueness. Now we shall obtain the condition of the pathwise uniqueness in the terms of the modulus of continuity of the coefficients.

First, we shall treat the case of equations without drift term, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x_{t}=\sigma\left(t, x_{t}\right) d B_{t} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, $\rho$ is a function defined on some interval $[0, a)$ ( $a>0$ ) which is continuous, increasing and $\rho(0)=0$.

On the uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations II 555
Theorem 1. Let $\rho$ satisfy

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0+} \rho^{-2}(\xi) \xi d \xi=+\infty  \tag{3}\\
\rho^{2}(\xi) \cdot \xi^{-1} \quad \text { is concave. }
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, for every $n \times r$-matrix $\sigma(t, x)\left((t, x) \in[0, \infty) \times R^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\sigma(t, x)-\sigma(t, y)\| \leq \rho(|x-y|), \quad x, y \in R^{n},|x-y|<a, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the pathwise uniqueness of solutions of (2) holds.

Remark 1. For examples,

$$
\rho(\xi)=\xi, \rho(\xi)=\xi\left(\log \frac{1}{\xi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \rho(\xi)=\xi\left(\log \frac{1}{\xi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\log ^{(2)} \frac{1}{\xi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ldots \text { etc. },
$$

satisfy (3) and (4).

Proof. By extending $\rho$ suitably, we may assume that $\rho$ is defined everywhere on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\rho^{2}(\xi) \cdot \xi^{-1}$ is concave there and (5) holds for every $x, y \in R^{n}$.

Let $1=a_{0}>a_{1}>a_{2}>\cdots>a_{m} \rightarrow 0$ be defined by

$$
\int_{a_{m}}^{a_{n-1}} \rho^{-2}(\xi) \cdot \xi d \xi=2 \quad m=1,2, \ldots
$$

Then, there exists a twice continuously differentiable function $\psi_{m}(\xi)$ on $[0, \infty)$ such that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{m}^{\prime}(\xi)= \begin{cases}0 & 0 \leq \xi \leq a_{m} \\
\text { between 0 and } 1, & a_{m}<\xi<a_{m-1} \\
1 & \xi \geq a_{m-1}\end{cases} \\
& \psi_{m}^{\prime \prime}(\xi)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \leq \xi \leq a_{m} \\
\text { between 0 and } \rho^{-2}(\xi) \cdot \xi & a_{m}<\xi<a_{m-1} \\
0 . & \xi>a_{m-1}
\end{array} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $f_{m}(x)=\psi_{m}(|x|)$ for $x \in R^{n}$. Then $f_{m}(x)$ is twice continuously
differentiable and $f_{m}(x) \uparrow|x|$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.
Now, let $\mathfrak{X}=\left(x_{t}, B_{t}\right), \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}=\left(x_{t}^{\prime}, B_{t}^{\prime}\right)$ be two solutions of (2) on the same probability space $\left(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P ; \mathscr{F}_{t}\right)$ such that $x_{0}=x_{0}^{\prime}$ and $B_{t} \equiv B_{t}^{\prime}$.

Then, by Ito's formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{m}\left(x_{t}-x_{t}^{\prime}\right)=a \text { martingale } \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0 i, j}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(f_{m}\right)_{x_{i} x_{j}}\left(x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right)\left\{\sum _ { k = 1 } ^ { r } \left(\sigma_{k}^{i}\left(s, x_{s}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad-\sigma_{k}^{i}\left(s, x_{s}^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(\sigma_{k}^{j}\left(s, x_{s}\right)-\sigma_{k}^{j}\left(s, x_{s}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\left(f_{m}\right)_{x_{i} x_{j}}(x)=\psi_{m}^{\prime}(|x|) \frac{|x|^{2} \delta_{i j}-x_{i} x_{j}}{|x|^{3}}+\psi_{m}^{\prime \prime}(|x|) \frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{|x|^{2}}
$$

and since $\psi_{m}^{\prime}$ is uniformly bounded,

$$
\left|\left(f_{m}\right)_{x_{i} x_{j}}(x)\right| \leq K_{1} \frac{1}{|x|} \cdot I_{\{x \neq 0\}}+K_{2} \psi_{m}^{\prime \prime}(|x|)
$$

where, $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are some positive constants.
Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[f_{m}\left(x_{t}-x_{t}^{\prime}\right)\right] \leq K_{3} \cdot \int_{0}^{t} E\left[I_{\left\{x_{s} \neq x_{s}^{\prime}\right\}}\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{-1}\right. \\
& \quad \times \rho^{2}\left(\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{-1} \cdot \rho^{2}\left(\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|\right)\right] d s \\
& \quad+K_{4} \int_{0}^{t} E\left[\psi_{m}^{\prime \prime}\left(\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|\right) \cdot \rho^{2}\left(\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|\right)\right] d s \equiv I_{1}+I_{2}, \quad \text { say. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\psi_{m}^{\prime \prime}(\xi) \leq \rho^{-2}(\xi) \cdot \xi$, we have for $I_{2}$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
0 \leq I_{2} \leq K_{4} \int_{0}^{t} E\left[\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right| \cdot I_{\left\{a_{m} \leq\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right| \leq a_{m-1}\right\}}\right] d s \leq K_{4} \cdot a_{m-1} \cdot t \rightarrow 0 \\
\text { as } m \rightarrow \infty
\end{array}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
E\left\{\left|x_{t}-x_{t}^{\prime}\right|\right\} \leq K_{3} \int_{0}^{t} E\left\{\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{-1} \cdot \rho^{2}\left(\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|\right)\right\} d s
$$
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Let $G(\xi)=\rho^{2}(\xi) \cdot \xi^{-1}$. Since $G$ is concave by assumption, we have, by Jensen's inequality, $E\left\{\left|x_{t}-x_{t}^{\prime}\right|\right\} \leq K_{3} \int_{0}^{t} G\left(E\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|\right) d s$.

Now, $\int_{0+} G^{-1}(\xi) d \xi=\infty \quad$ implies $\quad E\left|x_{t}-x_{t}^{\prime}\right| \equiv 0 . \quad$ Q.E.D.
Remark 2. The condition (3) in this theorem is, for $n \geq 3$, nearly best possible in the sense that, if $\int_{0+} \rho^{-2}(\xi) \xi d \xi<\infty$ and if $\rho$ is subadditive, i.e., $\rho\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right) \leq \rho\left(\xi_{1}\right)+\rho\left(\xi_{2}\right) \vee \xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in[0, \infty)$, then, there exists $\sigma$ satisfying (5) for which the pathwise uniqueness does not hold.

Indeed, let $\sigma_{j}^{i}(t, x)=\delta_{i j} \rho(|x|), i, j=1, \cdots, n, x \in R^{n},(n \geq 3)$.
Then,

$$
\left|\sigma_{j}^{i}(t, x)-\sigma_{j}^{i}(t, y)\right| \leq|\rho(|x|)-\rho(|y|)| \leq \rho(|x-y|) .
$$

Consider the equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d x_{t}=\sigma\left(x_{t}\right) d B_{t}  \tag{6}\\
x_{0}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let an $n$-dimensional Brownian motion $\left\{\bar{B}_{t}, \bar{F}_{t}\right\}$ be given on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P)$ such that $\bar{B}_{0}=0$. Let $A_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \rho^{-2}\left(\left|\bar{B}_{s}\right|\right) d s$. $A_{t}$ defines a continuous additive functional of $\bar{B}_{t}$ since,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[A_{t}\right] & =\omega_{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho^{-2}(\xi) \cdot\left[\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{(2 \pi s)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{\xi^{2}}{2 s}} d s\right] \xi^{n-1} d \xi \\
& \leq K^{\prime} \int_{0+} \rho^{-2}(\xi) \cdot \xi d \xi<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, $\left(\bar{B}_{A \bar{t}^{-1}}, \bar{F}_{A \bar{u}^{1}}\right)$ is a system of local martingales ${ }^{(*)}$ such that

$$
<\bar{B}_{A \bar{t}^{1}}^{i}, \bar{B}_{A \bar{t}^{-1}}^{j}>=\delta_{i j} \cdot A_{t}^{-1}=\delta_{i j} \int_{0}^{t} \rho^{2}\left(\bar{B}_{A s^{-1}}\right) d s=\int_{0}^{t}\left(\sigma^{t} \sigma\right)_{i j}\left(\bar{B}_{A_{s}^{-1}}\right) d s
$$

and hence,
$(*) A_{\iota}^{-1}$ is the inverse function of $t \longrightarrow \cdot \mathrm{~A}_{t}$.

$$
\left(B_{t} \equiv \int_{0}^{t} \sigma^{-1}\left(\bar{B}_{A_{s}^{-1}}\right) d \bar{B}_{A_{s}^{-1}}, \mathscr{F}_{t} \equiv \overline{\mathscr{F}}_{A_{\iota}^{-1}}\right)
$$

is an $n$-dimensional Brownian motion.
Thus $\left(x_{t} \equiv \bar{B}_{A_{i}}{ }^{-1}, B_{t}\right)$ is a solution of (6) on ( $\left.\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P ; \mathscr{F}_{t}\right)$. But $\left(x_{t} \equiv 0, B_{t}\right)$ is also a solution and thus, the pathwise uniqueness does not hold.

The theorem can be improved for some class of $\sigma(t, x)$ when $n=1$ or 2 . The following theorem was essentially proved in [1].

Theorem 2. Let $\rho$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0+} \rho^{-2}(\xi) d \xi=+\infty . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for every $1 \times r$-matrix $\sigma(t, x),(t, x) \in[0, \infty) \times R^{1}$, such that

$$
\|\sigma(t, x)-\sigma(t, y)\| \leq \rho(|x-y|) \quad{ }^{\vee} x, y \in R^{1},
$$

the pathwise uniqueness of solutions of (2) holds.

Remark 3. Just as Remark 2, we see that the condition (7) is best possible.

We do not know whether Theorem 1 can be improved for the class of all $2 \times r$-matrices. But, for a certain class of $2 \times r$-matrices, it can really be improved as follows;

Theorem 3. Let $\rho$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0+} \rho^{-2}(\xi) \xi \log \frac{1}{\xi} d \xi=\infty, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(9) $\quad G(\eta)=\eta^{3} e^{\frac{2}{\eta}} \rho^{2}\left(e^{-\frac{1}{\eta}}\right) \quad$ is concave on some interval $\left[0, a^{\prime}\right)$.

Then, for every $2 \times 2$-matrix $\sigma(t, x),(t, x) \in[0, \infty) \times R^{2}$, of the form
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{j}^{i}(t, x)=\delta_{i j} a(t, x), \quad i, j=1,2 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\|\sigma(t, x)-\sigma(t, y)\| \leq \rho(|x-y|)
$$

the pathwise uniqueness of solutions of (2) holds.

Remark 4. For examples, $\rho(\xi)=\xi \cdot\left(\log \frac{1}{\xi}\right), \rho(\xi)=\xi \cdot\left(\log \frac{1}{\xi}\right)$ $\times\left[\log ^{(2)} \frac{1}{\xi}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$,

$$
\rho(\xi)=\xi \cdot\left(\log \frac{1}{\xi}\right) \cdot\left[\log ^{(2)} \frac{1}{\xi}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot\left[\log ^{(3)} \frac{1}{\xi}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ldots \text { etc. }
$$

satisfy (8) and (9).

Proof. We may assume that $\rho$ is defined on $[0, \infty)$ such that $G$ is concave on $[0, \infty)$.

First, we note $\int_{0+} G^{-1}(\eta) d \eta=\int_{0+} \rho^{-2}\left(e^{-\frac{1}{\eta}}\right) e^{-\frac{2}{\eta}} \eta^{-3} d \eta=\int_{0+} \rho^{-2}(\xi) \cdot \xi$ $\times \log \frac{1}{\xi} d \xi=\infty$.

Let $1=a_{0}>a_{1}>a_{2}>\cdots>a_{m} \cdots \rightarrow 0$ be defined by $\int_{a_{m}}^{a_{m-1}} G^{-1}(\eta) d \eta=2$. Then, there exists a twice continuously differentiable function $\psi_{m}(\xi)$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$ such that

$$
\psi_{m}^{\prime}(\xi)= \begin{cases}0 & \xi \leq a_{m} \\ \text { between 0 and 1 } & a_{m}<\xi<a_{m-1} \\ 1 & \xi \geq a_{m-1}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\psi_{m}^{\prime \prime}(\xi)= \begin{cases}0 & \xi \leq a_{m} \\ \text { between } 0 \text { and } G^{-1}(\xi) & a_{m}<\xi<a_{m-1} \\ 0 & \xi \geq a_{m-1} .\end{cases}
$$

Let $f_{m}(x)=\psi_{m}\left(\left[\log \frac{1}{|x|}\right]^{-1}\right)$ for $x \in R^{2}$. Then $f_{m}(x)$ is twice con-
tinuously differentiable and $f_{m}(x) \uparrow\left[\log ^{+} \frac{1}{|x|}\right]^{-1(*)}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.
Now let $\mathfrak{X}=\left(x, B_{t}\right), \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}=\left(x_{t}^{\prime}, B_{t}^{\prime}\right)$ be two solutions of (2) on the same probability space $\left(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P ; \mathscr{F}_{t}\right)$ such that $x_{0}=x_{0}^{\prime}$ and $B_{t} \equiv B_{t}^{\prime}$. Then, by Itô's formula,

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{m}\left(x_{t}-x_{t}^{\prime}\right)=\text { a martingale }+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left[\left(f_{m}\right)_{x_{1} x_{1}}\left(x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
\left.\quad+\left(f_{m}\right)_{x_{2} x_{2}}\left(x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right)\right]\left[a\left(s, x_{s}\right)-a\left(s, x_{s}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2} d s .
\end{gathered}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(f_{m}\right)_{x_{1} x_{1}}(x)+\left(f_{m}\right)_{x_{2} x_{2}}(x) \\
& \quad=\psi_{m}^{\prime}\left(\left[\log ^{+} \frac{1}{|x|}\right]^{-1}\right) \frac{1}{\left(\log ^{+} \frac{1}{|x|}\right)^{3}} \frac{1}{|x|^{2}} \\
& \quad+\psi_{m}^{\prime \prime}\left(\left[\log ^{+} \frac{1}{|x|}\right]^{-1}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\left[\log ^{+} \frac{1}{|x|}\right]^{4}} \cdot \frac{1}{|x|^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\psi_{m}^{\prime}$ is uniformly bounded,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[ & \left.f_{m}\left(x_{t}-x_{t}^{\prime}\right)\right] \\
\leq & K \int_{0}^{t} E\left[I_{\left\{x_{s} \neq x_{s}^{\prime}\right\}}\left(\log ^{+} \frac{1}{\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|}\right)^{-3} \cdot\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{-2} \cdot \rho^{2}\left(\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|\right)\right] d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} E\left[\psi_{m}^{\prime \prime}\left(\left[\log ^{+} \frac{1}{\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|}\right]^{-1}\right) \cdot\left(\log ^{+} \frac{1}{\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|}\right)^{-4}\right. \\
& \left.\times\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{-2} \cdot \rho^{2}\left(\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|\right)\right] d s \\
\equiv & I_{1}+I_{2}, \quad \text { say. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that $\psi_{m}^{\prime \prime}(\xi) \leq G^{-1}(\xi)$, we have,

$$
0 \leq I_{2} \leq \int_{0}^{t} E\left[\left(\log ^{+} \frac{1}{\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|}\right)^{3}\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \rho^{-2}\left(\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|\right)\right.
$$

(*) $\log ^{+} x=(\log x) \vee 0, x>0$.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times\left(\log ^{+} \frac{1}{\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|}\right)^{-4}\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{-2} \rho^{2}\left(\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|\right) \\
& \left.\times I_{\left\{a_{m} \leq\left[\log ^{+} \frac{1}{\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|}\right]^{-1} \leq a_{m-1}\right\}}\right] d s \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{t} E\left[I_{\left\{a_{m} \leq\left[\log ^{+} \frac{1}{\mid x_{s}-x_{1}^{\prime}}\right]^{-1} \leq a_{m-1}\right\}}\left[\log ^{+} \frac{1}{\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|}\right]^{-1}\right] d s \\
\leq & t \cdot a_{m-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[\left[\log ^{+} \frac{1}{\left|x_{t}-x_{t}^{\prime}\right|}\right]^{-1}\right] \leq K \cdot \int_{0}^{t} E\left\{G\left(\left[\log ^{+} \frac{1}{\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|}\right]^{-1}\right)\right\} d s \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting $G(\xi)$ is concave, we have, by Jensen's inequality,
(*) $\leq K \int_{0}^{t} G\left(E\left(\left[\log ^{+} \frac{1}{\left|x_{s}-x_{s}^{\prime}\right|}\right]^{-1}\right)\right) d s$.
Since $\int_{0+} \frac{d \xi}{G(\xi)}=\infty$, we have $E\left[\left(\log ^{+} \frac{1}{\left|x_{t}-x_{t}^{\prime}\right|}\right)^{-1}\right]=0$.
Thus $x_{t} \equiv x_{t^{\prime}}$.
Q.E.D.

Remark 5. The condition (8) of the theorem is nearly best possible, in the sense that, if $\rho$ is subadditive and $\int_{0+} \rho^{-2}(\xi) \xi \log \frac{1}{\xi} d \xi<\infty$, then there exists a $2 \times 2$-matrix $\sigma(t, x)$ of the form (10) such that

$$
\|\sigma(t, x)-\sigma(t, y)\| \leq \rho(|x-y|)
$$

for which the pathwise uniqueness does not hold. This can be shown in the same way as Remark 2.

In the general case of equations with drift terms, i.e.
(1) $d x_{t}=\sigma\left(t, x_{t}\right) d B_{t}+b\left(t, x_{t}\right) d t$, corresponding to Theorems 1 and 2, we have the following two theorems.

Theorem 4. Let $\rho$ and $\bar{\rho}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0+}\left[\rho^{2}(\xi) \cdot \xi^{-1}+\bar{\rho}(\xi)\right]^{-1} d \xi=\infty \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{2}(\xi) \xi^{-1}+\bar{\rho}(\xi) \quad \text { is concave. } \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for every $n \times r$-matrix $\sigma(t, x)$ and $n \times 1$-matrix $b(t, x)$, $(t, x) \in[0, \infty) \times R^{n}$ such that

$$
\|\sigma(t, x)-\sigma(t, y)\| \leq \rho(|x-y|)
$$

and

$$
|b(t, x)-b(t, y)| \leq \rho(|x-y|)
$$

the pathwise uniqueness of solutions of (1) holds.

Theorem 5. Let $\rho$ and $\bar{\rho}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0+} \rho^{-2}(\xi) d \xi=\infty,  \tag{13}\\
& \int_{0+} \rho^{-1}(\xi) d \xi=\infty,  \tag{14}\\
& \rho \quad \text { is concave. } \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, for every $1 \times r$-matrix $\sigma(t, x)$ and function $b(t, x)$, $(t, x) \in[0, \infty) \times R^{1}$, such that

$$
\|\sigma(t, x)-\sigma(t, y)\| \leq \rho(|x-y|)
$$

and

$$
|b(t, x)-b(t, y)| \leq \rho(|x-y|),
$$

the pathwise uniqueness of solutions of (1) holds.
These theorems can be proved in a similar way as above.
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