# On sojourn times, excursions and spectral measures connected with quasidiffusions

By

Uwe KÜCHLER

## 1. Introduction.

Let  $X=(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$  be a quasidiffusion on the real line with natural scale and speed measure *m*, i.e. a strong Markov process the infinitesimal generator of which is a restriction of the generalized second order differential operator  $D_m D_x$ .

Examples are diffusions and birth-and death-processes. We shall consider excursions of X from 0 over some level a>0 and study the sojourn time T which X spends over  $a'(a' \in [0, a])$  during such an excursion.

If a'=a, then T has a mixed exponential distribution. The mixing measure can be identified with the normalized spectral-measure of a so-called dual string (see Proposition 3.2).

We consider the process  $(P_t(a, a'))_{t\geq 0}$  where  $P_t(a, a')$  is equal to the time which X spends over a' during excursions of X from 0 to a occuring before the local time  $l(\cdot, 0)$  of X at zero equals t. If a'>0 it turns out to be a randomly stopped compounded Poisson process (Theorem 4.4).

If a'=0 or a'=a its Laplace transform can be calculated by the spectral measures of some corresponding dual strings (see point 4.2 below). In parituclar, if  $\nu$  denotes the Ito excursion law of X at zero, V the "peak" of an excursion, we obtain the Laplace transform of the measure  $\nu(T \in dt, V \ge a)$  (Corollary 4.6). Finally a connection between the trajectories of X and some spectral measures via the local time of X is derived (Proposition 4.10). This extends a result of Ito, McKean [5] for diffusions.

## 2. Quasidiffusions, strings and spectral measures.

In this chapter we summarize some definitions and facts on quasidiffusions and related topics which are necessary in the sequel. Proofs are omitted, details can be found e.g. in [2, 3, 5, 6, 9-13] or can be easily derived from those.

We denote by R the real line, by  $\mathfrak{B}$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra of its Borelian subsets and by K the set of complex numbers. Let  $b\mathfrak{B}$  be the set of all bounded measurable real functions on R.

Communicated by S. Watanabe, March 1, 1985

### 2.1. Quasidiffusions.

Let m be an extended real-valued right-continuous nondecressing function on R and put

$$l_{0} := \inf \{x \in R \mid m(x) > -\infty\}, \quad l_{1} := \sup \{x \in R \mid m(x) < \infty\},$$
  

$$r_{0} := \inf \{x > l_{0} \mid m(x) > m(l_{0})\}, \quad r_{1} := \sup \{x < l_{1} \mid m(x) < m((l_{1} - 0))\},$$
  

$$m_{0} := m(\{0\}).$$

Assume

$$m(0-0) = 0$$
,  $m(x) \equiv 0$ ,  $l_0 < 0 < r_1$ 

and define

$$I: = (l_0, l_1), E: = [r_0, r_1] \cap I.$$

Denote by  $E_m$  the points of E in which m increases. The function m and the measure generated by m on I are identified.

Let  $W = (W_t, \mathcal{G}_t^W, (P_x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}})$  be a standard Wiener process and  $l^W(t, x)(t \ge 0, x \in \mathbb{R})$  its (continuous in (t, x)) local time with

$$\int_{R} l(t, x) f(x) dx = \int_{0}^{t} f(W_{s}) ds \qquad (t \ge 0, \ f \in b^{\mathfrak{B}}).$$

Put

$$S_t := \int_R l^w(t, x) m(dx) \qquad (t \ge 0)$$
$$T_t := \inf \{ u \ge 0 | S_u > t \} \qquad (t \ge 0)$$

with the definition  $\inf \phi := \infty$ .

Then  $(T_t)_{t \leq 0}$  is a right-continuous strictly increasing process and  $T_t$  is an  $\mathscr{F}^{W}$ -stopping time  $(\mathscr{F}^{W} := (\mathscr{F}^{W}_t)_{t \geq 0})$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_t &:= \mathcal{F}_{T_t}^W \quad (t \ge 0) ,\\ \boldsymbol{\zeta} &:= \inf \left\{ t > 0 \mid W_{T_t} \in (l_0, \ l_1) \right\} \\ X_t &:= W_{T_t} \quad (0 \le t < \boldsymbol{\zeta}) . \end{aligned}$$

,

Then  $X = (X_t, \zeta, \mathcal{F}_t, (P_x)_{x \in E_m})$  is a right-continuous strong Markov process with state space  $E_m$  killed at the time  $\zeta$ . We call X the *quasidiffusion with speed measure* m (other notations are: generalized diffusion process [10], gap diffusion [9]). X is skip free in the sense that

$$(X_t \wedge X_{t-}, X_t \vee X_{t-}) \cap E_m = \emptyset \qquad (0 < t < \zeta).$$

In particular, starting at  $x < y \in E_m$ , X enters  $[y, l_1]$  through y: we have with  $\sigma_y := \inf \{t > 0 | X_t \ge y\}$  the equality

$$X_{\sigma_y} = y$$
 on  $\{\sigma_y < \infty\} \mod P_x$ .

The analogous property holds if x > y.

If *m* is strictly increasing, it follows that  $E_m = E$  and *X* is continuous, i.e. *X* is a diffusion in the sense of [5]. If *m* is piecewise constant and  $E_m$  has no point of accumulation in  $(r_0, r_1)$ , then *X* is a birth- and death-process. By

 $l(t, x) := l^{W}(T_t, x) \qquad (0 \le t < \zeta) \text{ and}$  $l(\zeta, x) := l(\zeta - 0, x) \qquad (x \in E_m)$ 

the local time l of X is defined. It is continuous in both variables and for every bounded measurable real function f on  $E_m$  we have

$$\int_{E_m} f(x)l(t, x)m(dx) = \int_0^t f(X_s)ds \qquad (0 \le t < \zeta),$$
(1)

in particular

$$m_0 \cdot l(t, 0) = \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{(0)}(X_s) ds \qquad (0 \le t < \zeta) . \tag{2}$$

Put

$$\tau_{y}^{W}:=\inf \{t>0 | W_{t}=y\}, \quad \tau_{y}:=\inf \{t>0 | X_{t}=y\} \qquad (y \in E_{m}).$$

Then it holds

$$T_{\tau_y} = \tau_y^{W} \ (y \in E_m), \quad T_{\zeta} = \tau_{I_0}^{W} \wedge \tau_{I_1}^{W} = : \zeta^{W}.$$

Using (1) with  $f \equiv 1$  we obtain

$$\tau_{y} = \int_{E_{m}} l(\tau_{y}, x) m(dx) = \int_{E_{m}} l^{W}(\tau_{y}^{W}, x) m(dx) \qquad (y \in E_{m}).$$
(3)

If  $G \in \mathfrak{B}$ , we define a measure  $m^G$  on I by  $dm^G := \mathbf{1}_G dm$  and mark all quantities connected with  $m^G$  by G, e.g.  $X^G$ ,  $\tau_y^G$ . From (1) and (3) we get

$$\tau_y^G = \int_0^{\tau_y} \mathbf{1}_G(X_s) ds \qquad (y \in E_m \cap G) \,. \tag{4}$$

With *m* there is connected a generalized second order differential operator  $D_m D_x$  defined by<sup>1)</sup>

$$D_m D_x f = g : \Leftrightarrow f(x) = f(0) + x D^- f(0) + \int_0^x (x - s)g(s)m(ds), \qquad (x \in I)$$

on an appropriately chosen domain of continuous functions on I which are linear on the components of the open set  $I \setminus E_m$ . The restriction of  $D_m D_x$  to the Banach space  $C_m$  of all such functions that are bounded and satisfy

1)  $D^{-}(D^{+})$  denotes the left (right) hand side derivation operator.

$$\lim_{x \to l_i} f(x) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |l_i| < \infty \ (i = 0, 1)$$

is the infinitesimal generator of X.

Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be the (uniquely determined) solution of

$$\varphi(x, \lambda) = 1 - \lambda \int_0^x (x - s)\varphi(s, \lambda)m(ds)$$
 and  
 $\psi(x, \lambda) = x - \lambda \int_0^x (x - s)\psi(s, \lambda)m(ds), \quad (\lambda \in K, x \in I)$ 

respectively.

It holds the Lagrange identity, i.e. for every  $\lambda \in K$  we have

$$\varphi(x,\,\lambda)D^{-}\psi(x,\,\lambda)-\psi(x,\,\lambda)D^{-}\varphi(x,\,\lambda)\equiv 1\qquad (x\in E_{m}).$$

Suppose  $z \in E_m \cup (l_0, l_1), \lambda \in K_0 := K \setminus [0, \infty)$  and put

$$\chi_{+}^{z}(x, \lambda) := \varphi(x, \lambda) \int_{x}^{z} \varphi^{-2}(s, \lambda) ds \qquad (z \ge 0, \ l_{0} \le x \le z)$$
  
$$\chi_{-}^{z}(x, \lambda) := \varphi(x, \lambda) \int_{z}^{x} \varphi^{-2}(s, \lambda) ds \qquad (z \le 0, \ z \le x \le l_{1}).$$

If  $z=l_0$  or  $=l_1$  we omit the mark  $z: \chi_{-}^{l_0}=\chi_{-}, \chi_{+}^{l_1}=\chi_{+}$ . Let  $z_0, z_1$  be given with  $z_0 \leq 0, z_1 > 0, z_1 \in E_m \cup (l_0, l_1)$  (i=0, 1). Then, for  $x \in E_m \cap (z_0, z_1)$   $\sigma:=\zeta \wedge \inf \{t>0 \mid X_t \in (z_0, z_1)\}, \lambda < 0$ , we have for any  $f \in b\mathfrak{B}$ 

$$E_{x}\int_{0}^{\sigma}e^{\lambda t}f(X_{t})dt=\int_{z_{0}}^{z_{1}}\chi_{-}^{z_{0}}(x\wedge y,\lambda)\chi_{+}^{z_{1}}(x\vee y,\lambda)f(y)m(dy)$$

From this formula, the strong Markov property, and the skip freeness of X it follows under the same assumptions on  $z_0$ ,  $z_1$ , x and  $\lambda$  by a known method of [5]:

$$E_{\mathbf{x}}[\exp(\lambda\tau_{y})\cdot\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{z_{1}}>\tau_{y}\}}] = \frac{\chi_{\pm}^{z_{1}}(x,\lambda)}{\chi_{\pm}^{z_{1}}(y,\lambda)} \quad (y \in E_{m}, y \leq x \leq z_{1}), \qquad (6)$$

$$E_{\mathbf{x}}[\exp(\lambda\tau_{\mathbf{y}})\cdot\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{z_{0}}>\tau_{\mathbf{y}}\}}] = \frac{\chi_{-0}^{z_{0}}(\mathbf{x},\lambda)}{\chi_{-0}^{z_{0}}(\mathbf{y},\lambda)} \quad (\mathbf{y}\in E_{m}, z_{0}\leq \mathbf{x}\leq \mathbf{y}).$$
(7)

#### 2.2. Strings and dual strings.

A measure *m* as considered above is called a *string* if  $l_0 = -\infty$  and  $r_0 \ge 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be the set of all strings and assume  $m \in \mathfrak{M}$ . The function *h* defined by

$$h(\lambda):=\int_0^{l_1}\varphi^{-2}(x,\,\lambda)dx\qquad (\lambda\in K_0)$$

is called the characteristic function of m ([10]). We have

$$h(\lambda) = \chi_+(0, \lambda) = \lim_{x \neq i_1} \frac{\psi(x, \lambda)}{\varphi(x, \lambda)}$$
 and

$$\chi_{+}(x, \lambda) = h(\lambda)\varphi(x, \lambda) - \psi(x, \lambda) \qquad (\lambda \in K_{0})$$
(8)

If  $m, m' \in \mathfrak{M}, a, b, m'_0 \ge 0, c > 0$  and

$$m'(x) = m'_0 + \frac{b}{c}m\left(\frac{x-a}{c}\right), \quad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

then the characteristic functions  $h_m$  and  $h_{m'}$  of m and m', respectively, are related by

$$\frac{1}{h_{m'}(\lambda)} = -\lambda m'_0 + \frac{1}{a + ch_m(b\lambda)} \qquad (\lambda \in K_0) \,. \tag{9}$$

For every  $m \in \mathfrak{M}$  there exists a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\sigma$  on  $[0, \infty)$ , called the *spectral* measure of m, with

$$\int_{[0,\infty)} \frac{\sigma(du)}{1+u} < \infty$$

such that

$$h(\lambda) = r_0 + \int_{[0,\infty)} \frac{\sigma(du)}{u-\lambda} \qquad (\lambda \in K_0), \qquad (10)$$

$$\sigma([0, u)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{c \downarrow 0} \int_{[0, u]} \operatorname{Im} h(b + ic) db \qquad (u \ge 0) .$$
(11)

Moreover, we have

$$\sigma([0, \infty)) = \frac{1}{m_0} \quad \text{and} \tag{12}$$

$$\sigma(\{0\}) = \frac{1}{m(r_1)}$$
 if  $l_1 = \infty$ , =0 if  $l < \infty$ . (13).

If m is a measure as in 2.1. above and  $x \in E_m$ , then two strings  $m_x^+$  are given by

$$m_x^{-}([0, y)) := m((x-y, x]),$$
  
$$m_x^{+}([0, y)) := m([x, x+y)) \qquad (y>0).$$

Note that  $m_x^-(\{0\})=0$ ,  $m_x^+(\{0\})=m(\{x\})$ .

For the characteristic functions  $h_x^+$  of  $m_x^-$ , respectively, we obtain after some calculations

$$h_x^+(\lambda) = \frac{\chi_-(x,\,\lambda)}{D^-\chi_-(x,\,\lambda)}, \quad h_x^+(\lambda) = -\frac{\chi_+(x,\,\lambda)}{D^-\chi_+(x,\,\lambda)} \qquad (\lambda \in K_0) , \qquad (14)$$

If  $G = [a, \infty)$  (a>0), (9) and (14) imply

$$h_0^{G,+}(\lambda) = h_a^+(\lambda) + a = \frac{aD^-\chi_+(a,\lambda) - \chi_+(a,\lambda)}{D^-\chi_+(a,\lambda)} \qquad (\lambda \in K_0).$$
(15)

Let  $m \in \mathfrak{M}$  and put

$$m_d(x): = \inf \{y > 0 \mid m(y) > x\} \ (x \ge 0), = 0 \ (x > 0).$$

Then it holds that  $m_d \in \mathfrak{M}$  and  $(m_d)_d = m$ . The string  $m_d$  is called the *dual string to* m. All quantities connected with  $m_d$  will be marked by d, e.g.  $\psi_d$ ,  $\varphi_d$ ,  $\sigma_d$ . With the notation

$$x_{+} := \inf \{ y > x \mid y \in E_{m} \cup \{l_{1}\} \}, \quad x_{-} = \sup \{ y < x \mid y \in E_{m} \cup \{l_{0}\} \} \qquad (x \in E_{m})$$

we get for  $x \in I$ ,  $\lambda \in K$ 

$$\begin{aligned} -\lambda\psi(x,\,\lambda) &= D^+\varphi_d(z,\,\lambda)|_{z=m(x)} + \lambda(x_+ - x)\varphi_d(m(x),\,\lambda) \\ \varphi(x,\,\lambda) &= D^+\psi_d(z,\,\lambda)|_{z=m(x)} + \lambda(x_+ - x)\psi_d(m(x),\,\lambda) \,. \end{aligned}$$

These equations provide

$$h_d(\lambda) = -(\lambda h(\lambda))^{-1} \qquad (\lambda \in K_0).$$
(16)

We conclude this point with several formulas concerning the dual strings  $m_d$ . From (10) and (13) it follows

$$h_d(\lambda) = m_0 - (\lambda l_1)^{-1} + \int_{(0,\infty)} \frac{\sigma_d(du)}{u - \lambda} \qquad (\lambda \in K_0) .$$
<sup>(17)</sup>

Thus we have by (14)

$$l_{1}^{-1} - h^{-1}(\lambda) = m_{0} + \int_{(0,\infty)} \left(\frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{u-\lambda}\right) u\sigma_{d}(du) =$$
  
=  $m_{0} + \int_{(0,\infty)} (1 - e^{\lambda u}) \vartheta_{d}(u) du \qquad (\lambda \in K_{0})$  (18)

with

$$\vartheta_d(u):=\int_{(0,\infty)}ve^{-uv}\sigma_d(dv). \quad (u>0).$$

Note that

$$\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \vartheta_d(u) du = \int_{(0,\infty)} e^{-\epsilon_v} \sigma_d(dv) \xrightarrow{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \sigma_d((0,\infty)) = r_0^{-1} - l_1^{-1}$$
(19)  
$$(r_0^{-1} := \infty \text{ if } r_0 = 0).$$

Furthermore, (14)–(16) imply for  $G:=[a, \infty)$ 

$$\frac{D^{-}\chi_{+}(a,\lambda)}{\chi_{+}(a,\lambda)-aD^{-}\chi_{+}(a,\lambda)} = \lambda h_{d}^{G}(\lambda) \qquad (\lambda \in K_{0}, a > 0)$$
(20)

and using (18) we get for a > 0

$$\frac{\chi_{+}(a, \lambda)}{\chi_{+}(a, \lambda) - aD^{-}\chi_{+}(a, \lambda)} = 1 + a\lambda h_{d}^{G}(\lambda) = a \int_{(0,\infty)} \frac{u}{u-\lambda} \sigma_{d}^{G}(du) \qquad (\lambda \in K_{0})$$
(21)

 $(\sigma_d^G$  denotes the spectral measure of the string  $(m^G)_d$  which is dual to  $m^G$ ).

#### 2.3. Examples.

1. If  $m(x) = x^{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)}(x) (r > 0 \text{ fixed}, x \in \mathbb{R})$ , then 'X is a diffusion on  $[0, \infty)$  instantaneously reflected at zero. We have

$$h(\lambda) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\tau+1}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{r}{\tau+1}\right)} \cdot (r+1)^{(1-\gamma)/(1+\gamma)} \cdot (-\lambda r)^{-1/(\gamma+1)}$$

and

$$\sigma(du) = \left[ \Gamma\left(\frac{r}{r+1}\right) \right]^{-2} (r+1)^{(1-\gamma)/(1+\gamma)} (ur)^{-1/(1+\gamma)} du \qquad (u \ge 0) \, .$$

The dual quantities  $m_d$ ,  $h_d$ ,  $\sigma_d$  are obtained by substituting  $r^{-1}$  for r.

2. Put  $m(dx) := (\mathbf{1}_{[0,v]}(x) + c^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{(v,\infty)}(x)) dx$  (c, v > 0 fixed,  $x \in R$ ) Then it holds

$$h(\lambda) = (-\lambda)^{-1/2} \cdot \frac{c + \tanh v \sqrt{-\lambda}}{1 + c \tanh v \sqrt{-\lambda}} \quad (\lambda \in K_0)$$

and

$$\sigma(du) = \frac{2c \cdot du}{\pi u^{1/2} (1 + c^2 + (1 - c^2) \cos 2v \sqrt{u})} \qquad (u > 0)$$

Replacing c by  $c^{-1}$  we obtain  $m_d$ ,  $h_d$ ,  $\sigma_d$ .

Define  $p(x) := x \mathbf{1}_{[0,v]}(x) + (v + c(x-v)) \mathbf{1}_{[v,\infty)}(x) \quad (x \ge 0)$ ,

then  $(p^{-1}(X_t))_{t\geq 0}$  behaves like a skew Brownian motion process reflected at zero with diffusion coefficient 2 and "skew point" v.

3. Assume *m* is a string consisting of a weightless thread carrying beads with mass  $m_i > 0$  at  $p_i$   $(i = 1, \dots, N; 0 < p_1 < \dots < p_N)$ . Put  $d_0:=p_1, d_i:=p_{i+1}-p_i$   $(i=1, \dots, N-1)$  and  $l:=\infty$ . It holds

$$h_m(\lambda) = \Delta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\sigma_k}{u_k - \lambda} \qquad (\lambda \in K_0)$$

where  $u_k$   $(k = 1, \dots, N)$  are the eigenvalues of the matrix -A which is given by  $A = (a_{ij}), i, j = 1, \dots, N, a_{11} = -\lambda_1, a_{ii} = -(\lambda_i + \mu_i)$   $(i = 2, \dots, N-1), a_{NN} = -\mu_N, a_{ii-1} = \mu_i$   $(i=2, \dots, N), a_{ii+1} = \lambda_i$   $(i=1, \dots, N-1), a_{ij} = 0$  otherwise,  $\lambda_i := (m_i \cdot d_i)^{-1}$   $(i=1, \dots, N-1)$   $\mu_i = (m_i d_{i-1})^{-1}$   $(i=1, \dots, N)$ . Furthermore

$$\sigma_k:=(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}Q_i^2(u_k)m_{i+1})^{-1},$$

and  $(Q_0(u_k), \dots, Q_{N-1}(u_k))^T$  is the eigenvector of -A belonging to  $u_k$  normalized by  $Q_0(u_k) \equiv 1, (k=1, \dots, N)$ .

The quasidiffusion X with speed measure m is the birth- and death-process on  $p_1, \dots, p_N$  with A as its matrix of intensities. The dual string  $m_d$  has the masses  $m_{d,i}=\Delta_i$   $(i=0, \dots, N-1)$  at the points

$$p_{d,0} = 0$$
,  $p_{d,k} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i$   $(k = 1, \dots, N-1)$ 

and it holds  $(l_1)_d = \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i$ . We have

$$h_{d}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\sigma_{d,k}}{u_{d,k} - \lambda} \text{ where } u_{d,k} \text{ are the eigenvalues of } -A_{d} \text{ with}$$

$$A_{d} = ((a_{d})_{ij}) \ (i, j = 1, \dots, N) , \quad (a_{d})_{ij} = a_{ji} \ (i \neq j) ,$$

$$a_{11} = -\mu_{1} , \quad a_{ii} = -(\lambda_{i-1} + \mu_{i}) \ (i = 2, \dots, N) ,$$

$$\sigma_{d,k} := (\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (Q_{d,1}^{2}(u_{d,k}) \Delta_{i})^{-1}$$
(22)

and  $(Q_{d,0}(u_{d,k}), \dots, Q_{d,N-1}(u_{d,k}))^T$  is the eigenvector of  $-A_d$  corresponding to  $u_{d,k}$ ,  $Q_{d,0}(u_{d,k}) \equiv 1(k=1, \dots, N)$ .

The quasidiffusion with speed measure  $m_d$  is the birth- and death-process on

$$\{0, m_1, m_1+m_2, \cdots, \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} m_k\}$$
 with  $A_d$  as its matrix of intensities.

## 3. A sojourn times over positive levels.

Assume X is a quasidiffusion with speed measure m and suppose  $r_0 \leq 0$  and  $0 \in E_m$ . Moreover, choose x, a,  $a' \in E_m$  with  $0 < x \leq a' \leq a \leq r_1$  and put  $G := [a', l_1)$ . Then, from (6), (7) and the strong Markov property of X it follows for  $\lambda < 0$ :

$$E_{x} \exp (\lambda \tau_{0}^{G}) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{a} < \tau_{0} < \zeta\}} =$$

$$= (E_{x} \exp (\lambda \tau_{a}^{G}) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{a} < \tau_{0}\}}) (E_{a} \exp (\lambda \tau_{d}^{G})) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{0} < \tau_{I_{1}}\}})$$

$$= \frac{\chi_{-}^{G,0}(x, \lambda)}{\chi_{-}^{G,0}(a, \lambda)} \cdot \frac{\chi_{+}^{G}(a, \lambda)}{\chi_{+}^{G}(0, \lambda)} = : H(a, x, a', \lambda)$$
(23)

(Here  $\tau^{G}_{\cdot}$  is understood as the last integral in (4).)

Using  $D_m D_x f + \lambda f = 0$  on G for  $f = \varphi^G$  and  $f = \psi^G$  it follows that  $\varphi^G$ ,  $\psi^G$  are linear combinations of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  on G. Now, from  $\varphi^G(y, \lambda) = 1$ ,  $\psi^G(y, \lambda) = y$  on [0, a'] we obtain

$$\chi^{G}_{+}(a, \lambda) = -\frac{\chi_{+}(a, \lambda)}{D^{-}\chi_{+}(a, \lambda)},$$
$$\chi^{G}_{+}(0, \lambda) = a' - \frac{\chi_{+}(a', \lambda)}{D^{-}\chi_{+}(a', \lambda)}$$

$$\begin{split} \chi^{G,0}_{-}(a',\,\lambda) &= D^{-}\chi^{G,0}_{-}(a',\,\lambda)[-\varphi(a,\,\lambda)\psi(a',\,\lambda)+\varphi(a',\,\lambda)\psi(a,\,\lambda)-\\ &-a'(D^{-}\varphi(a',\,\lambda)\psi(a,\,\lambda)-D^{-}\psi(a',\,\lambda)\varphi(a,\,\lambda))]\\ &\chi^{G,0}_{-}(x,\,\lambda) &= x \cdot D^{-}\chi^{G,0}_{-}(a',\,\lambda) \qquad (\lambda \in K_{0}) \,. \end{split}$$

Observe that

$$h_0^{a,+}(\lambda) := rac{\psi(a,\lambda)}{\varphi(a,\lambda)} \qquad (\lambda \in K_0)$$

is the characteristic function of the string

$$\mathbf{1}_{[0,a]}(x)m(dx) + \infty \cdot \delta_a(dx)$$

 $(\delta_a$  denotes the measure with unit mass concentrated at a) and that it holds

$$\chi^{a}_{+}(a', \lambda) = \varphi(a', \lambda) \int_{a'}^{a} \varphi^{-2}(s, \lambda) ds =$$
  
=  $\varphi(a', \lambda) \left( \frac{\psi(a, \lambda)}{\varphi(a, \lambda)} - \frac{\psi(a', \lambda)}{\varphi(a', \lambda)} \right) = h_{0}^{a,+}(\lambda)\varphi(a', \lambda) - \psi(a', \lambda)$ 

In the following we write h and  $h^a$  instead of  $h_0^+$  and  $h_0^{a,+}$ , respectively. Then we obtain for  $\lambda < 0$ 

$$H(x, a, a' \lambda) = \frac{x(h(\lambda) - h^a(\lambda))}{[x_+(a', \lambda) - a'D^-x_+(a', \lambda))][x_+^a(a', \lambda) - a'D^-x_+^a(a', \lambda)]}$$

If  $\lambda \uparrow 0$  it follows from (23)

$$P_{\mathbf{z}}(\tau_a < \tau_0 < \zeta) = \frac{x}{a} \cdot \frac{l_1 - a}{l_1} \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{l_1 - a}{l_1} = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad l_1 = \infty.$$

Thus we have proved

**Proposition 3.1.** If  $0 < x \leq a' \leq a \leq r_1$  (x, a,  $a' \in E_m$ ), then for  $\lambda < 0$ 

$$\phi(a, a', \lambda) := E_{x}[\exp\left(\lambda \int_{0}^{\tau_{0}} \mathbf{1}_{[a', l_{1}]}(X_{s})ds\right) | \tau_{a} < \tau_{0} < \zeta] =$$

$$= \mu^{-1} \cdot \frac{h(\lambda) - h^{a}(\lambda)}{(x_{+}(a', \lambda) - a'D^{-}x_{+}(a', \lambda))(x_{+}^{a}(a', \lambda) - a'D^{-}x_{+}^{a}(a', \lambda))}$$

$$= \mu^{-1} \cdot \frac{h(\lambda) - h^{a}(\lambda)}{h^{C}(\lambda) h^{G,a}(\lambda)} \cdot D^{-}x_{+}(a', \lambda)D^{-}x_{+}^{a}(a', \lambda) \qquad (24)$$

with the notation  $\mu := a^{-1} - l_1^{-1}$ . (Recall that  $h^a$ ,  $h^G$ , and  $h^{G,a}$  are the characteristic functions of

$$\mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)}dm, \mathbf{1}_{[0,a]}dm + \infty \delta_a; \mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)}dm^G$$
 and  $\mathbf{1}_{[0,a]}dm^G + \infty \delta_a$ 

respectively.)

Let  $a' \uparrow a$   $(a' \in E_m)$ . Then, by using

$$h(\lambda) - h^{a}(\lambda) = \frac{\chi_{+}(a, \lambda)}{\varphi(a, \lambda)}, \quad \chi_{+}^{a}(a', \lambda) \to 0.$$
$$D^{-}\chi_{+}^{a}(a', \lambda) \to -\varphi^{-1}(a, \lambda) \quad (a' \uparrow a) \text{ and } (21)$$

we obtain

$$\phi(a, \lambda) := \lim_{a' \neq a} \phi(a, a', \lambda) = (a\mu)^{-1} \cdot \frac{\chi_+(a, \lambda)}{\chi_+(a, \lambda) - aD^-\chi_+(a, \lambda)} =$$
$$= \mu^{-1} \cdot \int_{(0,\infty)} \frac{u}{u - \lambda} \sigma_d^G(du) = \mu^{-1} \cdot \int_0^\infty e^{u\lambda} \vartheta_d^G(u) du$$
(25)

with

$$G = [a, \infty), \quad \vartheta^G_d(u) := \int_{(\mathbf{0}, \infty)} v e^{-uv} \sigma^G_d(dv)$$

Because of

$$\sigma_d^G((0, \infty)) = \int_0^\infty \vartheta_d^G(u) du = a^{-1} - l_1^{-1} = \mu < \infty \qquad (\text{see (19)})$$

we can introduce a probability  $\sigma$  on  $(0, \infty)$  by

$$\sigma(A):=\mu^{-1}\sigma_d^G(A). \qquad (A\in\mathfrak{B}\cap(0,\infty)).$$

Obviously,  $\phi(a, \cdot)$  is the Laplace transform of a mixed exponential distribution with mixing measure  $\sigma$ . Thus we have shown the following

**Proposition 3.2.** If  $0 < x \le a \le r_1(x, a \in E_m)$ , we have

$$P_{\mathbf{x}}\left(\int_{0}^{\tau_{0}} \mathbf{1}_{[a,l_{1})}(X_{s})ds \in dt \mid \tau_{a} < \tau_{0} < \zeta\right) =$$
$$= dt \int_{(0,\infty)} u e^{-ut} \sigma(du) = \mu^{-1} \vartheta_{d}^{G}(t) dt.$$

Let  $0 < a < l_1 \le \infty$  be fixed,  $G = [a, l_1)$  and denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_a$  the set of all strings *m* from  $\mathfrak{M}$  with  $a \in E_m^{\mathfrak{G}}$ . Then the mapping  $m^{\mathfrak{G}} \to \sigma$  defined by Proposition 3.2. is a one-onto-one correspondence between the set of all strings of the form

$$dm^{G}(x) = \mathbf{1}_{G}(x)dm(x) + \infty \delta_{I_{1}}(x)dx \qquad (m \in \mathfrak{M}_{a})$$

and the set of probabilities  $\sigma$  on  $(0, \infty)$ .

This is a consequence of M.G. Krein's inverse spectral theorem. (Indeed, given a probability  $\sigma$  on  $(0, \infty)$  define  $\sigma_1 := \mu \sigma$  with  $\mu = a^{-1} - l_1^{-1}$ , supplement it by mass  $l_1^{-1}$  at zero and choose, by Krein's theorem (see e.g. [2, 6, 10]) the uniquely determined string *n* having  $\sigma_1$  as its spectral measure. (12) and (13) imply  $n(\{0\}) = a, n([0, \infty)) = l_1$ . Take the dual string  $n_d$ , which turns out to be of the form  $m^G$  with the desired properties.)

#### Examples:

1. Consider the standard Wiener process W, it is a quasidiffusion on R with speed measure  $m(x)=2x(x \in R)$ , and choose a>0. Then, for the characteristic function  $h_{0,d}^{G,+}$  of the dual string of  $\mathbf{1}_G(x)dm(x)$  ( $G=[a,\infty)$ ) we get

$$h_{0,d}^{G,+}(\lambda) = -\frac{2a\lambda + \sqrt{-2\lambda}}{\lambda + 2a^2 \lambda^2} \qquad (\lambda \in K_0)$$

and for the normalized measure  $\sigma$  we obtain

$$\sigma(du) = \frac{2a}{\pi\sqrt{2u}} \frac{1}{1+2ua^2} du \qquad (u>0).$$

Then it follows

$$P_{x}\left(\int_{0}^{\tau_{0}}\mathbf{1}_{[a,\infty)}\left(W_{s}\right)ds > t \mid \tau_{a} < \tau_{0}\right) = \frac{2a}{\pi}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{e^{-\frac{v^{2}}{2}t}}{1+v^{2}a^{2}}\,dv \qquad (x \in (0,a])\,.$$

2. Let X be a birth- and death-process with finite state space  $\{0, 1, \dots, N\}$  and intensities

$$\lambda_i > 0$$
  $(i=0, \dots, N-1)$   $\mu_i > 0$   $(i=1, \dots, N)$ 

Then, starting at 1 the hitting time  $\tau_0 = \inf \{t > 0/X_t = 0\}$  has a mixed exponential distribution

$$P_{1}(\tau_{0} \in dt/X_{0} = 1) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} W_{k} \exp(-W_{k} t) \sigma_{k} dt ,$$

where  $W_k(k=1, \dots, N)$  are the eigenvalues of the matrix  $-A_d$  (see above) and

$$\sigma_k := \sigma_{d,k} \cdot (\sum_{1}^{N} \sigma_{d,j})^{-1} \qquad (k = 1, \cdots, N)$$

with  $\sigma_{d,k}$  given by (22).

#### 4. Excursions, local time and spectral measure.

## 4.1. Excursions of the Wiener process.

Assume  $W = (W_t, \mathcal{F}_t^w, P_x)$  is a standard Wiener process. In this point we consider W under the measure  $P_0$  only, i.e. W starts at zero. The open set  $[0, \infty) \setminus \{t \ge 0/W_t = 0\}$  is the union of its components  $(a_n^w, b_n^w)$   $(n \ge 1)$ . For every  $n \ge 1$  the process  $U_n^w$  given by

$$U_{n}^{W}(t) = W_{t+a_{n}} \quad (0 \le t \le b_{n}^{W} - a_{n}^{W}), \qquad = 0 \quad (t \ge b_{n}^{W} - a_{n}^{W})$$

is called an *excursion of W*. We introduce the length  $T_n^W$  and the peak  $V_n^W$  of the excursion  $U_n^W$  by defining

$$T_n^w := b_n^w - a_n^w, \ V_n^w := \max_{t \ge 0} \ U_n^w(t) \ \text{sgn} \ U_n^w \left(\frac{b_n^w + a_n^w}{2}\right).$$

If *n* is not specified we write  $U^w$ ,  $V^w$ ,  $T^w$  only. K. Ito proved ([4], see also [14]) that the points  $(l^w(a_n^w, 0), U_n^w)$  are the atoms of a Poisson measure Q on  $((0, \infty) \times U, (\mathfrak{B}(0, \infty)) \otimes \mathcal{U})$  where  $(U, \mathcal{U})$  denotes the space of all excursions. Moreover, he showed that the  $\sigma$ -finite measure *n* given by  $n(\Lambda) := EQ(\Lambda)$  satisfies

$$n(dt, df) = dt \nu^{W}(df)$$

where  $\nu^{W}$  is a measure on  $(U, \mathcal{V})$ , called the Ito excursion law of W at zero. It holds

$$\nu^{W}(V^{W} \in dx) = x^{-2} dx \qquad (x \in R \setminus \{0\}).$$

$$(26)$$

Before using these properties we shall introduce some notations. If E is a Borel subset of  $R \setminus (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  define  $N_t^W(E)$  to be the number of all excursions  $U_n^W$  with  $V_n^W \in E$  and  $l^W(a_n, 0) \leq t$  ( $t \geq 0$ ). Thus  $N_t(E)$  counts the excursions of W from zero with peak in E which are finished before

$$L^{W}(t, 0): = \inf \{s > 0 \mid l^{W}(s, 0) > t\} \qquad (t \ge 0)$$

or, equivalently, up to the moment, when the local time  $l^{W}(\cdot, 0)$  equals t. From the mentioned Ito's results it easily follows

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$  be disjoint Borel subsets of

 $\{x \in R \mid |x| > \varepsilon\}$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Then  $N^{W}(E_{k})$  are mutually independent Poisson processes with intensities

$$\nu^{W}(V^{W} \in E_{k}) \quad (k = 1, 2).$$

Denote by  $U_{k_j}^v(j \ge 1)$  the *j*-th excursion of W from 0 with peak in  $E_k$  and let s(dx) be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on R. Then for every  $A \in \mathfrak{B}$  the variables

$$y_{k_1}(A) := \int_A \left( l^w(b^w_{k_j}, x) - l^w(a^w_{k_j}, x) \right) s(dx) \qquad (k = 1, 2; j \ge 1)$$

are mutually independent, identically distributed and independent of  $N^{W}(E_{k})$  (k=1, 2).

Assume a,  $l_0$ ,  $l_1$  to be real numbers with  $l_0 < 0 < a < l_1$  and put  $E_1 = [a, l_1)$ ,  $E_2 = R \setminus (l_0, l_1)$ . Then the preceding lemma implies

**Corollary 4.2.** The number  $N_t^w(E_1)$  of excursions of W with peaks in  $[a, l_1)$  which occur before  $L^w(t, 0)$  form a Poisson process with intensity  $\mu = a^{-1} - l_1^{-1}$  which is independent of

$$l^{W}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}^{W}, 0) = \inf \{t > 0 \mid N_{t}^{W}(E_{2}) \geq 1\}$$

and this variable is exponentially distributed with the parameter  $\kappa := l_1^{-1} - l_0^{-1}$ .

### 4.2. Excursions of quasidiffusions.

Introducing the excursions of a quasidiffusion X from 0 we have to take into consideration the role of the gaps of  $E_m$  and the killing time  $\zeta$ . Let X be a quasidiffusion with speed measure m as constructed in 2.1. above, we also assume here that X starts at zero. Put

$$a_n := S_a w, \quad b_n := S_b w \quad (n \in N)$$

It may happen that  $a_n = b_n$  (this means the excursion  $U_n^W$  does not meet  $E_m \setminus \{0\}$ ) or that  $b_n = \infty$  (i.e. W hits  $l_0$  or  $l_1$  before  $b_n^W$ ). Therefore we define

$$\mathcal{I} := \{n \ge 1 \mid a_n < b_n < \zeta\} \text{ and put}$$
$$U_n(t) := X_{t+a_n} \quad (0 \le t < b_n - a_n), = 0 \quad (t \ge b_n - a_n) \quad (n \in \mathcal{I})$$

The processes  $U_n(n \in \mathcal{N})$  are called the excursions of X from 0. This notation is justified by the following properties:

a)  $U_n(t) \neq 0$  for  $t \in (a_n, b_n)$   $(n \in \mathcal{N})$ 

b) If  $\tau:=\sup \{t < \zeta \mid X_t=0\}$  and  $t \in [0, \tau)$  with  $X_t \neq 0$ , then  $t \in (a_n, b_n)$  for some  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ .

If  $V_n^W > 0$  then  $V_n = (V_n^W)_- = \sup \{y < V_n^W | y \in E_m\}$ . Now choose a > 0,  $a \in E_m$  and define  $N_t(a)$  to be the number of all excursions  $U_n(n \in \mathcal{N})$  such that  $V_n \in (a, l_1)$  and  $l(a_n, 0) \le t$ . Then (recall the definition of  $\mathcal{N}$ )  $N_t(a)$  counts the number of excursions of X from 0 over the level a which occur before  $L(t, 0) \land \zeta$  where  $L(\cdot, 0)$  denotes the inverse local time:

$$L(t, 0): = \inf \{s > 0 | l(s, 0) > t\} \qquad (t \ge 0).$$

By virtue of  $l(\zeta, 0) = l^{W}(\zeta^{W}, 0)$  we have

$$N_t(a) = N_{t \wedge l}^{W} w_{(\zeta} w_{,0}) ([a, l_1)) \qquad (t \ge 0) .$$

Thus from Corollary 4.2. and the second half of Lemma 4.1. with s(dx) = m(dx) we conclude

**Proposition 4.3.** For every  $a \in E_m$ , a > 0, the process N(a) forms a Poisson process with intensity  $\mu = a^{-1} - l_1^{-1}$  which is stopped at the random time  $l(\zeta, 0)$ . The variable  $l(\zeta, 0)$  is independent of N(a) and exponentially distributed with the parameter  $\kappa = l_1^{-1} - l_0^{-1}$ .

For every  $A \in \mathfrak{B}$  the variables

$$Y_n(A) := \int_A (l^w(b_n^w, x) - l^w(a_n^w, x)) m(dx) =$$
  
=  $\int_A (l(b_n, x) - l(a_n, x)) m(dx) = \int_{a_n}^{b_n} \mathbf{1}_A(X_s) ds \qquad (n \in \mathcal{N})$ 

are mutually independent, independent of N(a) and identically distributed. Choose an  $a' \in (0, a) \cap E_m$  and put

$$Y_n(a'):=Y_n([a', l_1)) \qquad (n \in \mathcal{N}).$$

The strong Markov property of X implies that the Laplace transform

$$E_0(\exp(\lambda Y_n(a')) | V_n \ge a)$$

of  $Y_n(a')$  under  $\{V_n \ge a\}$  equals  $E_{a'}(\exp(\lambda \int_0^{\tau_0} \mathbf{1}_{[a',l_1]}(X_s)ds) | \tau_a < \tau_0 < \zeta)$ , i.e. it is given by  $\mathcal{O}(a, a', \lambda)$  from formula (24)  $(n \in \mathcal{N}, \lambda < 0)$ . Put

$$P_t(a, a') := \sum Y_n(a') \qquad (t \ge 0)$$

where the sum is going over all  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $l(a_n, 0) \leq t$  and  $V_n \in [a, l_1)$ , and define

$$P(a, a'):=(P_t(a, a'))_{t\geq 0}.$$

The variable  $P_t(a, a')$  is equal to the time which X spends over a' during excursions of X from zero to a occuring before  $L(t, 0) \wedge \zeta$ , i.e. before the local time  $l(\cdot, 0)$  equals  $t \wedge l(\zeta, 0)$ .

From the Proposition 4.3. and the remarks after this proposition it follows now

**Theorem 4.4.** Assume 0 < a' < a with  $a, a' \in E_m$ . Then the process P(a, a') is an increasing compounded Poisson process with intensity  $\mu = a^{-1} - l_1^{-1}$  stopped at the random time  $l(\zeta, 0)$  which is independent of P(a, a') and exponentially distributed with the parameter  $\kappa = l_1^{-1} - l_0^{-1}$ . In particular

$$\Phi_t(a, a', \lambda) := E_0(\exp(\lambda P_t(a, a')) | l(\zeta, 0) > t) =$$
  
= exp (-\mu t(1-\mathcal{O}(a, a', \lambda))) (t \ge 0, \lambda < 0) (27)

with  $\Phi(a, a', \lambda)$  as given in (24).

**Proposition 4.5.** The process  $P(a, 0) := (P_t(a, 0))_{t \ge 0}$  is an increasing compounded Poisson process with intensity  $\mu$  stopped at  $l(\zeta, 0)$  which is independent of P(a, 0) and exponentially distributed with the parameter  $\kappa$ . It holds

$$E_{p}(\exp(\lambda P_{i}(a,0))|l(\zeta,0)>t) = \exp\left(-t\left[\left(\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{h^{a}(\lambda)}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{l_{1}}-\frac{1}{h(\lambda)}\right)\right]\right)$$
$$=\exp\left(-t\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}(1-e^{\lambda u})\left(\vartheta_{d}(u)-\vartheta_{a}^{(a)}(u)\right)du\right) \quad (t \ge 0, \, \lambda < 0), \quad (28)$$

where

$$\vartheta_d^{(a)}(u) = \int_{(0,\infty)} v e^{-vu} \sigma_d^{(a)}(dv), \qquad (u > 0)$$

and  $\sigma_d^{(a)}$  denotes the spectral measure of the string dual to

$$\mathbf{1}_{[0,a)}(x)m(dx)+\delta_a(dx)\cdot\infty$$
.

Note that the integral

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\vartheta_d(u) - \vartheta_d^{(a)}(u)\right) du = \lim_{\lambda \downarrow -\infty} \int_0^\infty \left(1 - e^{\lambda u}\right) \left(\vartheta_d(u) - \vartheta_d^{(a)}(u)\right) du$$

equals  $\mu$  (this also follows from

$$\frac{1}{h^{a}(\lambda)} - \frac{1}{h(\lambda)} = \frac{\varphi(a,\lambda)}{\psi(a,\lambda)} - \lim_{x \uparrow l_{1}} \frac{\varphi(x,\lambda)}{\psi(x,\lambda)} = \int_{a}^{l_{1}} \psi^{-2}(s\,\lambda) \, ds \to 0$$

for  $\lambda \downarrow -\infty$ ) but the integrals over  $\vartheta_d$  and over  $\vartheta_d^{(a)}$  must not be finite. (We supposed  $a' \downarrow 0, a' \in E_m$ , which demands  $0_+=0$ . However the results are valid for  $0_+>0$ , too. This becomes obvious by using  $\chi_+(0_+, \lambda) - 0_+ \cdot D^- \chi_+(0_+, \lambda) = h(\lambda)$ .) In particular, the preceding Proposition implies that the distribution of the length

$$T_n = y_n(0) = \int_{a_n}^{b_n} \mathbf{1}_{[0,l_1]}(x_s) \, ds$$

under  $\{V_n \ge a\}$  is given by

$$P_0(T_n \in du \mid V_n \ge a) = \mu^{-1}(\vartheta_d(u) - \vartheta_d^{(a)}(u)) \, du \qquad (u \ge 0)$$
<sup>(29)</sup>

With these remarks Proposition 4.5. provides a connection between Ito's excursion law of X and the spectral measures of two dual strings:

**Corollary 4.6.** Assume 0 is a recurrent state, i.e.  $l_1 = -l_0 = \infty$  and therefore  $\zeta = \infty$ . Denote by  $\nu$  the Ito excursion law of X at zero, by T the length and by V the peak of the excursion U of X from 0. Then it holds

$$\nu(V \ge a) = \nu^{W}(V^{W} \ge a) = a^{-1}$$

$$\nu(T \in du, V \ge a) = \nu(V \ge a) P_{0}(T \in du | V \ge a)$$

$$= (\vartheta_{d}(u) - \vartheta_{d}^{(a)}(u)) du$$

$$\nu(T \in du) = \vartheta_{d}(u) du \quad and$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} (1 - e^{\lambda t}) \nu(T \in dt, V \ge a) = \frac{1}{h(\lambda)} - \left(\frac{1}{h^{a}(\lambda)} - \frac{1}{a}\right).$$
(30)
(31)

In particular (30) implies now

$$\int_0^\infty e^{\lambda t} \nu(T \in dt, V \ge a) = \frac{1}{h^a(\lambda)} - \frac{1}{h(\lambda)} = \int_a^\infty \psi^{-2}(x, \lambda) \, dx \qquad (a \in E_m)$$

This formula extends a result for the standard Wiener process  $(m(x)=2x, x \in R)$  for which  $\psi(x, \lambda) = \frac{\sinh \sqrt{-2\lambda x}}{\sqrt{-2\lambda}}$  holds (see Williams [14], p. 99). In this case we get

$$\nu(T \in dt, V \ge a) = ((2\pi t^3)^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{a} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^2 \pi^2}{a^2} \exp\left(-\frac{k^2 \pi^2}{2a^2}\right) dt \quad (t > 0, a > 0).$$

Now let us consider the second special case:  $a' \uparrow a$  where a > 0,  $a \in E_m$  is fixed. From (25) and (27) it follows

$$\Phi_{t}(a, \lambda) := \lim_{a' \uparrow a} \Phi_{t}(a, a', \lambda) = \exp\left(-\mu t(1 - \Phi(a, \lambda))\right) =$$

$$= \exp\left(-\mu t(1 - \int_{(0,\infty)} \frac{u}{u - \lambda} \sigma(du))\right) =$$

$$= \exp\left(-t \int_{0}^{\infty} (1 - e^{u\lambda}) \vartheta_{d}^{G}(u) du\right) \qquad (\lambda < 0), \qquad (32)$$

where  $G = [a, l_1)$ ,  $\sigma = \mu^{-1} \sigma_d^G$ ,  $\vartheta_d^G(u) = \int_{(0,\infty)} v e^{-uv} \sigma_d^G(dv)$  with  $\int_0^\infty \vartheta_d^G(u) du = \mu$  and  $\sigma_d^G$  is the spectral measure of the string dual to  $m^G$ . Then we have shown

**Proposition 4.8.** The process  $P(a, a) = (P_t(a, a))_{t \ge 0}$  is an increasing compounded Poisson process with intensity  $\mu$  stopped at the random time  $l(\zeta, 0)$  which is independent of P(a, a) and exponentially distributed with the parameter  $\kappa$ . It holds

$$E_0\left(\exp\left(\lambda P_t(a,a)\right) \mid l(\zeta,0) > t\right) = \Phi_t(a,\lambda)$$

where  $\Phi_t(a, \lambda)$  is given by (32).

Note that

$$P_t(a, a) = \int_0^{l(t,0)\wedge\zeta} \mathbf{1}_{[a,l_1]}(X_s) ds \qquad (t \ge 0) \ .$$

(These properties also hold, if a is not an accumulation point of  $E_m$  from the left.)

In both particular cases  $a' \downarrow 0$  and  $a' \uparrow a$  we have explicit representations of the Lévy-measure of the increasing compounded Poisson process  $P=(P_t)_{t\geq 0}$  by spectral measures of some corresponding dual strings. Moreover, in these cases the distribution of  $P_t$  under  $\{l(\zeta, 0) > t\}$  belongs to the so-called *Bondesson-class* of distributions (see [1]). (It should be remarked, that every distribution of the *Bondesson-class* occur if *m* runs through all strings, this is also a consequence of M.G. Krein's theorem mentioned above.)

It remains an oepn problem to derive similar statements for the general case given in (27).

#### 4.3. Local times and spectral measures.

We consider (32) again and let  $a \downarrow 0$ . Then, from (25) we have

$$\mathcal{\Phi}_{t}(0, 0, \lambda) := E_{0} \left( \exp\left(\lambda \int_{0}^{L(t,0)} \mathbf{1}_{(0,\infty)} (X_{s}) \, ds \right) | l(\zeta, 0) > t \right) =$$

$$= \lim_{a \neq 0} \mathcal{\Phi}_{t}(a, a, \lambda) = \lim_{a \neq 0} \exp\left[t \left(I_{1}^{-1} + \frac{D^{-} \chi_{+}(a, \lambda)}{\chi_{+}(a, \lambda) - aD^{-} \chi_{+}(a, \lambda)}\right)\right] =$$

$$= \exp\left[t \left(I_{1}^{-1} + \frac{D^{+} \chi_{+}(0, \lambda)}{\chi_{+}(0, \lambda)}\right)\right] = \exp\left[t \left(\frac{1}{I_{1}} - \frac{1}{h(\lambda)} - \lambda m_{0}\right)\right] \qquad (\lambda < 0, t \ge 0)$$

(Note that  $\left(\frac{1}{h(\lambda)} + \lambda m_0\right)^{-1}$  is the characteristic function of the string  $\mathbf{1}_{(0,\infty)}(x)dm(x)$ , see (9).)

From (2) and l(L(t, 0), 0) = t on  $\{l(\zeta, 0) > t\}$  it follows

$$E_{0}(\exp(\lambda \int_{0}^{L(t,0)} \mathbf{1}_{\{0\}}(X_{s}) ds \,|\, l(\zeta,0) > t) = \exp(\lambda \, tm_{0}) \qquad (\lambda < 0, \, t \ge 0)$$

Define  $A_1:=(l_0, 0), A_2:=[0, l_1], P_t(A_i):=\int_0^{L(t,0)\wedge\zeta} \mathbf{1}_{A_i}(X_s)ds \ (t \ge 0, i=1, 2).$  Then we have shown

**Proposition 4.9.** The two processes  $P(A_i):=(P_i(A_i))_{i\geq 0}$  (i=1, 2) are independent increasing processes with independent stationary increments killed at the random time  $l(\zeta, 0)$  which is independent of  $P(A_i)$  (i=1, 2) and exponentially distributed with the parameter  $\kappa$ . Furthermore it holds

$$E_{0}(\exp\left(\lambda P_{t}(A_{i})\right) \mid l(\zeta, 0) > t) =$$

$$= \exp\left[-t\left(\frac{1}{l_{0}} + \frac{1}{h_{0}^{-}(\lambda)}\right)\right] \quad for \quad i = 1,$$

$$= \exp\left[-t\left(-\frac{1}{l_{1}} + \frac{1}{h_{0}^{+}(\lambda)}\right)\right] \quad for \quad i = 2 \quad (\lambda < 0)$$

Applying (18) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{l_0} + \frac{1}{h_0^-(\lambda)} = \int_{(0,\infty)} (1 - e^{\lambda u}) \vartheta_{0,d}^-(u) du$$
$$-\frac{1}{l_1} + \frac{1}{h_0^+(\lambda)} = \int_{(0,\infty)} (1 - e^{\lambda u}) \vartheta_{p,d}^+(u) du + \lambda m_0$$

with

$$\vartheta_{\overline{0},d}^{\pm}(u) = \int_{(0,\infty)} v e^{-uv} \sigma_{\overline{0},d}^{\pm}(dv) \qquad (u > 0)$$

where  $\sigma_{0,d}^+$  and  $\sigma_{0,d}^-$  denote the spectral measure of the string dual to  $\mathbf{1}_{(0,\infty)}m_0^+$  and  $m_0^-$  respectively. In particular we have

$$E_{0}(\exp(\lambda L(t, 0)) | l(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, 0) > t) =$$

$$= \exp[-t(\lambda m_{0} + \int_{(0,\infty)} (1 - e^{\lambda u})\vartheta_{0,d}(u)du] \qquad (\lambda < 0)$$
(33)

with  $\vartheta_{0,d} := \zeta_{0,d}^+ + \vartheta_{0,d}^-$ .

Proposition 4.9. was proved for  $r_0=0$  and  $l_1=\infty$  in [9], see also [5] for the case of diffusions. Define

$$G(\varepsilon):=\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty}\vartheta_{0,d}(u)du=\int_{(0,\infty)}e^{-v\varepsilon}\sigma_{0,d}(dv)$$

with  $\sigma_{0,d} := \sigma_{0,d}^+ + \sigma_{d,0}^-$ .

The following proposition generalizes a result for diffusions from [5], Chapter 6.3.

**Proposition 4.10.** Assume  $m_0=0, 0 \in E_m$  and  $l_0=\infty, l_1=-\infty$ . Then it holds

$$l(t, 0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\text{number of all excursions of } X \text{ from } 0 \text{ before } t \text{ with length} \ge \varepsilon}{G(\varepsilon)}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to those for the Wiener process ([5], Chapter 2.2). We sketch it only. Firstly observe that  $\lim_{e \neq 0} G(e) = \infty$ . This comes from the assumption  $m_0 = 0$ ,  $0 \in E_m$  which implies that 0 is a point of accumulation of  $E_m$ . Therefore at least one of the functions  $\vartheta_{0,d}^+$  is not integrable. Furthermore we have  $\zeta = \infty$  a.s. because of  $|l_i| = \infty$  (i = 0, 1). We know from Proposition 4.9. that  $(L(t, 0))_{t\geq 0}$  is an increasing process with independent stationary increments having the Lévy measure  $\vartheta_{0,d}(u)du$  (u>0). Thus by a strong law of large numbers it follows

 $\lim G_{(\bullet)}^{-1}$ . (Number of all jumps of  $L(\bullet, 0)$  with magnitude  $\geq \epsilon$  up to s) = s a.s.

Recall that the jumps of  $L(\cdot, 0)$  up to s correspond to the flat stretches of  $l(\cdot, 0)$  up to L(s, 0), i.e. to the excursions of X from 0 up to L(s, 0). Applying this, substituting s = l(t, 0) and noting that L(l(t, 0)) - t is finite by virtue of  $\lim_{u \to \infty} l(u, 0) = \infty$  we get the assertion.

It should be remarked that by applying Tauberian type theorems the limit behaviour of  $G(\varepsilon)$  for  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  can be obtained from the limits of

$$\int_0^\infty e^{\lambda^{\mathfrak{e}}} G(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{h_0^+(\lambda)} + \frac{1}{h_0^-(\lambda)} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda \downarrow \infty.$$

For instance, for the diffusion on  $[0, \infty)$  with speed measure  $m(x) = x^{\gamma}$   $(x \ge 0)$  (see example 1. in 2.3) we have

$$G(\varepsilon) \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{r+1}\right)} (r+1)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}} r^{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\gamma+1}} \qquad (\varepsilon \downarrow 0)$$

and for the example 2 from 2.3 we obtain

$$G(\varepsilon) \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1+c \tanh v}{c+\tanh v} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi \varepsilon}} \frac{1+c \tanh v}{c+\tanh v} \qquad (\varepsilon \downarrow 0) .$$

Sektion Mathematik Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin DDR-1086 Berlin, P.O.B. 1297

#### References

- [1] L. Bondesson, Classes of infinitely divisible distributions and densities, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete, 57 (1981), 39-71.
- [2] H. Dym and H. P. McKean, Gaussian processes, function-theory and the inverse spectral theorem, New York, Academic Press (1976).
- [3] J. Groh, Über eine Klasse eindimensionaler Markov-processe, Math. Nachr., 65 (1976), 125-136.
- [4] K. Ito, Poisson Point Processes attached to Markov Processes, Proc. 6th Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob., 3 (1971), 225-240.
- [5] K. Ito, and H. P. McKean, Diffusion Processes and their Sample Paths, 2nd Printing, Springer, Berlin (1974).
- [6] I.S. Kac, and M.G. Krein, On the spectral functions of the string, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., (2) 103 (1974), 19-102.
- [7] J. Kent, Eigenvalue expansion for diffusion hitting times, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb., 52 (1980), 309–319.
- [8] J. Kent, The spectral decomposition of a diffusion hitting time, Ann. Prob., 10, (1982) 207-219.
- [9] F. B. Knight, Characterization of the Lévy measures of inverse local times of gap diffusion, Progress in Prob. Statist. 1, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass. 1981.
- [10] S. Kotani and S. Watanabe, Krein's spectral theory of strings and generalized diffusion processes, Lecture Notes of Mathematics Vol. 923, (1981) 235-259.
- [11] U. Küchler, Some Asymptotic Properties of the Transition Densities of One-Dimensional Quasidiffusion, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto-University, 16 (1980), 245–268.
- [12] P. Mandl, Analytical treatment of one-dimensional Markov processes, Academic Prague and Springer Verlag, Berlin (1968).
- [13] C. Stone, Limit theorems for random walks, birth and death processes and diffusion processes, III. J. Math., 7 (1963), 638–660.
- [14] D. Williams, Diffusions, Markov Processes and Martingales Vol. 1, J. Wiley and Sons, London (1979).