# On integral manifolds of an integrable nonlinear connection from the standpoint of the theory of Finsler connections 

By<br>Makoto Matsumoto

There are recently several papers concerning Finsler spaces having the vanishing $(v) h$-torsion tensor $R^{1}$ ([1], [2]). It has been shown in a previous paper [4] that the tensor $R^{1}$ is regarded as the curvature tensor of the nonlinear connection $N$ and the vanishing of $R^{1}$ is an integrability condition of $N$ which is a distribution in the tangent bundle.

The purpose of the present paper is to consider an integral manifold of the integrable nonlinear connection $N$ from the standpoint of the theory of Finsler connections. We are mainly concerned with the Cartan connection $C \Gamma$ $=(F, N, C)$ of a Finsler space $(M, L)$. The tangent bundle $T(M)$ becomes a Riemannian space with the metric which is obtained by lifting the Finslerian fundamental tensor. Thus we shall develope a Riemannian geometrical subspace theory of integral manifolds.

The terminology and notation are referred to the author's book [3]; the quotation from it is indicated only by putting asterisk.

## § 1. Integral manifolds of a nonlinear connection

Let $F \Gamma=(F, N, C)$ be a Finsler connection (*§9) of an $n$-dimensional differentiable manifold $M$. The $N$ is a nonlinear connection (*Definition 8.2), that is, an $n$-dimensional distribution $y \in T(M) \mapsto N_{y} \subset T(M)_{y}$ in the tangent bundle $T(M)$ such that $T(M)_{y}=N_{y} \oplus T_{y}^{v}$. By lifting to the point $y$ a tangent vector $V$ $=\left(V^{i}\right)_{x}$ of $M$ gives rise to a tangent vector $\left({ }^{*}(9.1)\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(V)^{h}=V^{i}\left(\partial / \partial x^{i}-N_{i}^{j}(x, y) \partial / \partial y^{i}\right)_{y}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

contained in the subspace $N_{y}$.
This distribution $N$ is integrable (*Remark 10.2, [4]), when the differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial y^{i} / \partial x^{j}=-N_{j}^{i}(x, y) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

are completely integrable and we get an integral manifold $N^{n}: y^{i}=\phi^{i}(x)$ which is
tangent to $N_{y}$ at every point $y$ of $N^{n}$.
In general, for a function $Q(x, y)$ on a domain of $T(M)$, we have along $N^{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial Q(x, \phi(x)) / \partial x^{i}=\partial Q(x, y) / \partial x^{i}-\left\{\partial Q(x, y) / \partial y^{j}\right\} N_{i}^{j} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is usually indicated by the symbol $\delta Q / \delta x^{i}\left({ }^{*}(9.19)\right)$. Then, from (1.2) we get $\partial\left(\partial y^{i} / \partial x^{i}\right) / \partial x^{k}=-\delta N_{j}^{i} / \delta x^{k}$, so that the integrability condition of the equation (1.2) is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{j k}^{i}=\delta N_{j}^{i} / \delta x^{k}-\delta N_{k}^{i} / \delta x^{j}=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This tensor field $R_{j k}^{i}$ is called the (v)-torsion tensor of the Finsler connection $F \Gamma$ (*§ 10 , [4]).

In the following we shall denote by $V=\left(V^{i}, V^{(i)}\right)$ (we put $\left.(i)=n+i\right)$ a tangent vector $V^{i} \partial / \partial x^{i}+V^{(i)} \partial / \partial y^{i} \in T(M)_{y}$. Since ( $x^{i}$ ) may be regarded as a coordinate system of an integral manifold $N^{n}$, the $n$ vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{j}=\left(\partial x^{i} / \partial x^{j}, \partial y^{i} / \partial x^{j}\right)=\left(\delta_{j}^{i}, \quad-N_{j}^{i}\right), \quad j=1, \cdots, n, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

are independent vector fields tangent to the $n$-dimensional $N^{n}$. By (1.1) it is seen that these are horizontal lifts $\left(\partial / \partial x^{i}\right)^{h}$ of tangent vectors $\partial / \partial x^{i}$ of the base manifold $M$.

## § 2. Metric and normal of $N^{n}$

We are concerned with a Finsler space $F^{n}=(M, L)$ with a fundamental metric function $L(x, y)$, from which the fundamental tensor field $g=\left(g_{i j}(x, y)\right)$ is induced such that $g_{i j}=\dot{\partial}_{i} \dot{\partial}_{j} L^{2} / 2$. It is well-known that the tangent bundle $T(M)$ is regarded as a $2 n$-dimensional Riemannian space with a lifted Riemannian metric tensor $\bar{g}(* \S 21)$. Throughout the paper we shall restrict our consideration to the 0 -lift alone, called the Sasakian lift as is well-known. Thus ${ }^{*}\left(21.2^{\prime}\right)$ gives $\bar{g}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{g}_{i j}=g_{i j}+g_{r s} N_{i}^{r} N_{j}^{s}, \quad \bar{g}_{i(j)}=N_{i}^{r} g_{r j}, \quad \bar{g}_{(i)(j)}=g_{i j} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reciprocal $\bar{g}^{\alpha \beta}$ of $\bar{g}_{\alpha \beta}(\alpha, \beta=1, \cdots, 2 n)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{g}^{i j}=g^{i j}, \quad \bar{g}^{i(j)}=-g^{i r} N_{r}^{j}, \quad \bar{g}^{(i)(j)}=g^{i j}+g^{r s} N_{r}^{i} N_{s}^{j} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Every integral manifold $N^{n}$ is an $n$-dimensional subspace of the Riemannian space $T(M)$ and the Riemannian metric $a=\left(a_{i j}(x)\right)$ is induced in $N^{n}$. It follows from (1.5) and (2.1) that we get an interesting fact; the induced metric $a_{i j}=\bar{g}_{\alpha \beta} B_{i}^{\alpha} B_{j}^{\beta}$ is nothing less than

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j}(x)=g_{i j}(x, \phi(x)) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, the metric of $N^{n}$ is essentially the same with that of the base space $F^{n}$.
Remark. If we put $\delta y^{i}=d y^{i}+N_{j}^{i} d x^{j}(* \S 21)$, then the lifted metric is written as $d \bar{s}^{2}=g_{i j} d x^{i} d x^{j}+g_{i j} \delta y^{i} \delta y^{j}$. On account of (1.2) $\delta y^{i}$ vanishes along $N^{n}$ and we get (2.3) immediately.

A normal vector $N$ of $N^{n}$ is given by the equations $\bar{g}_{\alpha \beta} B_{i}^{\alpha} N^{\beta}=0$, which is written as $g_{i j} N^{j}=0$ from (1.5) and (2.1). Thus we have $N^{j}=0$. Therefore $n$ independent normal vectors are given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{(j)}=\left(0, B_{(j)}^{(i)}\right), \quad \operatorname{det}\left(B_{(j)}^{(i)}\right) \neq 0 . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

These are written as $B_{(j)}^{(i)} \partial / \partial y^{i}$, that is, the vertical lift of $n$ tangent vectors $B_{(j)}^{(i)} \partial / \partial x^{i}$ at the base point. For the later use we shall indicate the scalar products of normals $B_{(i)}$ and $B_{(j)}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{g}_{\alpha \beta} B_{(i)}^{\alpha} B_{(j)}^{\beta}=g_{h k} B_{(i)}^{(h)} B_{(j)}^{(k)}=n_{(i j)} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and call the characteristic numbers of the normal frame $B_{(j)}$.

## §3. Christoffel symbols

The strain tensor $S$ has been defined (*Definition 21.2) as the difference of the Riemannian connection $\Gamma(\bar{g})$ given by the lifted metric $\bar{g}$ from the linear connection of Finsler type $\bar{\Gamma}$ derived from the Cartan connection $C \Gamma$. That is, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{\alpha}  \tag{3.1}\\
\beta \gamma
\end{array}\right\}=\bar{\Gamma}_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha}-S_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha},
$$

where $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\bar{\alpha} \\ \beta \gamma\end{array}\right\}$ are the Christoffel symbols of $\Gamma(\bar{g}), \bar{\Gamma}_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha}$ the connection coefficients of $\bar{\Gamma}$ and $S_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha}$ the components of the strain tensor $S$. Coefficients $\bar{\Gamma}_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha}$ are given by *(20.5).

The strain tensor $S$ is given in *Remark 21.3 for the case of the 0 -lift and $C \Gamma$. We shall find the components $S_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha}$ of $S$ in the similar way to the case of the torsion tensor $\bar{T}$ as shown in ${ }^{*}$ p.133. For instance we have

$$
S_{j(k)}^{(i)}=z_{\alpha}^{(i)} S_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha}\left(\bar{z}^{-1}\right)_{j}^{\beta}\left(\bar{z}^{-1}\right)_{(k) .}^{\gamma} .
$$

From *(19.10) and *(19.10') we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{j(k)}^{(i)}= & \left(-z_{a}^{r} N_{r}^{i} S_{b(c)}^{a}+z_{a}^{i} S_{b(c)}^{(a)}\right)\left(z^{-1}\right)_{j}^{b}\left(z^{-1}\right)_{k}^{c} \\
& +\left(-z_{a}^{r} N_{r}^{i} S_{(b)(c)}^{a}+z_{a}^{i} S_{(b)(c)}^{(a)}\right)\left(z^{-1}\right)_{s}^{b} N_{j}^{s}\left(z^{-1}\right)_{k}^{c} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then *Remark 21.3 gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{j(k)}^{(i)} & =\left(-z_{a}^{r} N_{r}^{i} R_{c b}^{a} \cdot / 2+z_{a}^{i} P_{b c}^{a}\right)\left(z^{-1}\right)_{j}^{b}\left(z^{-1}\right)_{k}^{c}+z_{a}^{r} N_{r}^{i} P_{b c}^{a}\left(z^{-1}\right)_{s}^{b} N_{j}^{s}\left(z^{-1}\right)_{k}^{c} \\
& =-N_{r}^{i} R_{k j}^{r} \cdot / 2+P_{j k}^{i}+N_{r}^{i} N_{j}^{s} P_{s k}^{r},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first term vanishes from (1.4). Thus we get

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S_{j k}^{i}=-{ }^{\prime} C_{k r}^{i} N_{j}^{r}, & S_{j k}^{(i)}=C_{j k}^{i}+N_{r}^{i} C_{k s}^{r} N_{j}^{s}+{ }^{\prime} P_{j r}^{i} N_{k}^{r}, \\
S_{(j) k}^{i}=-C_{j k}^{i}, & S_{(j) k}^{(i)}=N_{r}^{i \prime} C_{j k}^{r}, \\
S_{j(k)}^{i}=-P_{k r}^{i} N_{j}^{r}, & S_{j(k)}^{(i)}={ }^{\prime} P_{j k}^{i}, \\
S_{(j)(k)}^{i}=-P_{j k}^{i}, & S_{(j)(k)}^{(i)}=N_{r}^{i} P_{j k}^{r},
\end{array}
$$

where we put

$$
{ }^{\prime} C_{j k}^{i}=C_{j k}^{i}+P_{j r}^{i} N_{k}^{r}, \quad{ }^{\prime} P_{j k}^{i}=P_{j k}^{i}+N_{r}^{i} N_{j}^{s} P_{s k}^{r}
$$

Then (3.1) and ${ }^{*}(20.5)$ lead us to the Christoffel symbols $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\bar{\alpha} \\ \beta \gamma\end{array}\right\}$; for instance,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
j(k)\}
\end{array}(\bar{i})\right\}=\bar{\Gamma}_{j(k)}^{(i)}-S_{j(k)}^{(i)}=\left(\dot{\partial}_{k} N_{j}^{i}+C_{k r}^{i} N_{j}^{r}-N_{r}^{i} C_{j k}^{r}\right)-\left(P_{j k}^{i}+N_{r}^{i} N_{j}^{s} P_{s k}^{r}\right) .
$$

Here we shall pay attention to the equation $\left.P_{j k}^{i}=\dot{\partial}_{k} N_{j}^{i}-F_{k j}^{i}{ }^{*}(10.14)\right)$.
Thus we finally obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{{ }_{j k}^{\bar{i}}\right\}=F_{j k}^{i}+C_{j r}^{i} N_{k}^{r}+C_{k r}^{i} N_{j}^{r}+P_{r s}^{i} N_{j}^{r} N_{k}^{s}, \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\bar{i}) \\
j(k)\}
\end{array}\right)=-\left(C_{j k}^{i}+N_{r}^{i} F_{j k}^{r}\right)+\left(C_{r s}^{i}-N_{t}^{i} P_{r s}^{t}\right) N_{j}^{r} N_{k}^{s} \\
& +S_{(j k)}\left\{\left(\delta N_{j}^{i} / \delta x^{k}\right) / 2+F_{j r}^{i} N_{k}^{r}-N_{r}^{i} C_{j s}^{r} N_{k}^{s}\right\},  \tag{3.3}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\bar{i}(k)
\end{array}\right\}=C_{j k}^{i}+P_{k r}^{i} N_{j}^{r}, \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\bar{i}(k)
\end{array}\right\}=F_{j k}^{i}+C_{k r}^{i} N_{j}^{r}-N_{r}^{i}\left(C_{j k}^{r}+P_{k s}^{r} N_{j}^{s}\right), \\
& \{(\bar{j})(k)\}=P_{j k}^{i}, \quad\{(j)(k)\}=C_{j k}^{i}-N_{r}^{i} P_{j k}^{r},
\end{align*}
$$

where the symbol $S_{(j k)}\{\cdot\}$ stands for the interchange of indices $j, k$ and summation.
On the other hand, the Christoffel symbols $\left\{\begin{array}{c}i k\end{array}\right\}$ of the induced metric in $N^{n}$ are easily found: (2.3) and (1.3) show $\partial a_{i j} / \partial x^{k}=\delta g_{i j} / \delta x^{k}$, so that ${ }^{*}(17.3)$ leads us to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i j k \tag{3.4}
\end{array}\right\}=F_{j k}^{i},
$$

which is nothing less than the connection coefficients of $C \Gamma$.

## §4. Gauss derivation formula

We now consider the Gauss derivation formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial B_{i}^{\alpha} / \partial x^{j}+B_{i}^{\beta}\left\{\beta_{\beta \gamma}^{\bar{\alpha}}\right\} B_{j}^{\gamma}-B_{k}^{\alpha}\left\{\frac{k}{i j}\right\}=H_{i j}^{(k)} B_{(k)}^{\alpha} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left-hand side of (4.1) is usually written as $B_{i ; j}^{\alpha}$ and called the curvature tensor of $N^{n}$ for $T(M)$ or the Euler-Schouten curvature tensor of $N^{n}$. The $H_{i j}^{(k)}$ of the right-hand side is called the second fundamental tensor for the normal $B_{(k)}$. We are concerned with (4.1) in detail.
$\left(1^{0}\right)$ In case of $\alpha=1, \cdots, n$ in (4.1), we put $\alpha=h$; on account of (1.5), (2.4) and (3.4) it is written as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{h} \\
i j
\end{array}\right\}-\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\bar{h}(s)
\end{array}\right\} N_{j}^{s}-N_{i}^{r}\left(\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\bar{h} \\
(r) j
\end{array}\right\}-\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c}
\bar{h}(s)
\end{array}\right\} N_{j}^{s}\right)=F_{i j}^{h} .\right.
$$

It is easy to see from (3.3) that this equation becomes only trivial.
(2 $2^{0}$ ) In case of $\alpha=n+1, \cdots, 2 n$ in (4.1), we put $\alpha=(h)$; on account of (1.5) and (2.4) it is written as

$$
-\delta N_{i}^{h} / \delta x^{j}+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\bar{h}) \\
i j
\end{array}\right\}-\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i(\bar{h})
\end{array}\right\} N_{j}^{r}-N_{i}^{r}\left(\left\{\left(\begin{array}{l}
(\bar{h})
\end{array}\right\}-\left\{\left(\begin{array}{l}
(\bar{h})(s)\}
\end{array}\right\} N_{j}^{s}\right)+N_{k}^{h} F_{i j}^{k}=H_{i j}^{(k)} B_{(k)}^{(h)} .\right.\right.
$$

Then, owing to (3.3), the left-hand side reduces to $-C_{i j}^{h}-R_{i j}^{h} / 2$ alone, so that from (1.4) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i j}^{(k)} B_{(k)}^{(h)}=-C_{i j}^{h} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation yields some geometrical results as follows: The normal curvautre vector $N(d)$ for a given tangent direction $d^{i}$ is defined as $N(d)^{\alpha}$ $=B_{i ; j}^{\alpha} d^{i} d^{j}$. Then (4.1) and (4.2) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(d)=\left(0,-C_{i j}^{h} d^{i} d^{j}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.1) that its length $|N(d)|$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|N(d)|^{2}=C_{h i}^{r} C_{r j k} d^{h} d^{i} d^{j} d^{k} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular we shall deal with two-dimensional space where we have the Berwald frame $(1, m)\left(^{*} \S 28\right)$. The horizontal lifts $(l)^{h}$ and $(m)^{h}$ are tangent to the integral manifold $N^{2}$ and the vertical lifts $(l)^{v}$ and $(m)^{v}$ are normal to $N^{2}$. From the equation $L C_{i j}^{h}=I m^{h} m_{i} m_{j}$ where $I$ is the main scalar, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(d)=\left(0,-I\left(m_{i} d^{i}\right)^{2} m^{h} / L\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the direction of the normal vector is orthogonal to the vertical lift $(l)^{v}$ of the vector $l$. Therefore *Definition 3.11 shows

Proposition 1. For a two-dimensional Finsler space with $R^{1}=0$ the normal curvature vector of an integral manifold $N^{2}$ is orthogonal to the intrinsic vertical vector $(l)^{v}$.

Next the second fundamental form $\Phi(d x)$ of the $N^{n}$ is defined by $\Phi(d x)$ $=|N(d x)|^{2}$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(d x)=C_{h i}^{r} C_{r j k} d x^{h} d x^{i} d x^{j} d x^{k} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and two directions $d_{1} x$ and $d_{2} x$ are called conjugate to each other if

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{h i}^{r} C_{r j k} d_{1} x^{h} d_{2} x^{i} d_{1} x^{j} d_{2} x^{k}=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $d x$ is self-conjugate, then $d x$ is called asymptotic. We are concerned with the intrinsic horizontal vector field $(l)^{h}\left(*\right.$ p. 126). Then the well-known equation $l^{r} C_{r i j}$ $=0$ shows

Proposition 2. In an integral manifold $N^{n}$ the direction of the intrinsic horizontal vector $(l)^{h}$ is conjugate to arbitrary direction and asymptotic.

Next the mean curvature normal $M$ is defined by $M^{\alpha}=g^{i j} B_{i ; j}^{\alpha}$ and its length is called the mean curvature. From (4.2) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\left(0,-C^{i}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C^{i}=g^{j k} C_{j k}^{i}$ is Cartan's torsion vector.

Proposition 3. The mean curvature vector of an integral manifold $N^{n}$ is the vertical lift of the vector $-C^{i}$ where $C^{i}$ is the torsion vector.

A subspace is minimal if and only if the mean curvature normal vanishes. Thar is, $C^{i}=0$, so that Deicke's Theorem (*Theorem 24.2) leads us to

Theorem 1. All the integral manifolds are minimal if and only if the Finsler space is Riemannian.

Further a subspace is totally geodesic if and only if $B_{i ; j}^{\alpha}=0$. Therefore (4.2) shows

Theorem 2. All the integral manifolds are totally geodesic if and only if the Finsler space is Riemannian.

## §5. Weingarten derivation formula

We shall consider the Weingarten derivation formula

$$
\partial B_{(i)}^{\alpha} \left\lvert\, \partial x^{j}+B_{(i)}^{\beta}\left\{\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{\alpha} \gamma \tag{5.1}
\end{array}\right\} B_{j}^{\gamma}=H_{(i) j}^{k} B_{k}^{\alpha}+H_{(i) j}^{(k)} B_{(k)}^{\alpha} .\right.\right.
$$

The left-hand side is usually written as $B_{(i) ; j}^{\alpha}$. We are concerned with (5.1) in detail.
( $1^{0}$ ) In case of $\alpha=1, \cdots, n$ in (5.1), we put $\alpha=h$; on account of (1.5) and (2.4) it is written in the form

$$
B_{(i)}^{(r)}\left(\left\{\left(\begin{array}{l}
\bar{h}) j
\end{array}\right\}-\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\bar{h} \\
(r)(s)
\end{array}\right\} N_{j}^{s}\right)=H_{(i) j}^{h} .\right.
$$

It follows from (3.3) that the left-hand side of the above reduces only to $B_{(i)}^{(r)} C_{r j}^{h}$, so we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{(i)}^{(r)} C_{r j}^{h}=H_{(i) j}^{h} . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $2^{0}$ ) In case of $\alpha=n+1, \cdots, 2 n$ in (5.1), we put $\alpha=(h)$; in the similar way to the case $\left(1^{0}\right),(5.1)$ is written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial B_{(i)}^{(h)} / \partial x^{j}+B_{(i)}^{(r)} F_{r j}^{h}\left(=B_{(i) ; j}^{(h)}\right)=H_{(i) j}^{(k)} B_{(k)}^{(h)} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we have to pay attention to the equations which will be derived from differentiating the equations
(1) $\bar{g}_{\alpha \beta} B_{i}^{\alpha} B_{j}^{\beta}=g_{i j}(x, \phi(x))$,
(2) $\bar{g}_{\alpha \beta} B_{i}^{\alpha} B_{(j)}^{\beta}=0$,
(3) $\bar{g}_{\alpha \beta} B_{(i)}^{\alpha} B_{(j)}^{\beta}=n_{(i j)}$.

First the equation from (1) is only $\delta g_{i j} / \delta x^{k}=g_{h j}\left\{\begin{array}{l}h \\ i k\end{array}\right\}+g_{i n}\left\{\begin{array}{l}h \\ j k\end{array}\right\}$. It is seen from (3.4) that this is nothing less than the metrical property of $C \Gamma$.

Secondly (2) gives rise to $\bar{g}_{\alpha \beta} B_{i ; k}^{\alpha} B_{(j)}^{\beta}+\bar{g}_{\alpha \beta} B_{i}^{\alpha} B_{(j ; k}^{\beta}=0$, and owing to (4.1) and (5.1) it is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{(j h)} H_{i k}^{(h)}+g_{i h} H_{(j) k}^{h}=0 . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly (3) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta n_{(i j)} / \delta x^{k}-n_{(j h)} H_{(i) k}^{(h)}-n_{(i h)} H_{(j j k}^{(h)}=0 . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now concerned with a special normal frame $B_{(j)}=\left(0, \delta_{j}^{i}\right)$, called the associated normal frame with the coordinate system. Owing to (2.1) its characteristic number $n_{(i j)}$ is equal to $g_{i j}$. For the associated normal frame the equations (4.2), (5.2) and (5.3) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i j}^{(h)}=-C_{i j}^{h}, H_{(i) j}^{h}=C_{i j}^{h}, H_{(i) j}^{(h)}=F_{i j}^{h} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Therefore (5.5) reduces trivial and (5.6) to only the metrical condition.

## §6. Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equations

We consider the integrability conditions of the Gauss and Weingarten formulae.

The integrability condition of the Gauss formula is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i ; j ; k}^{\alpha}-j / k=H_{i j ; k}^{(h)} B_{(h)}^{\alpha}+H_{i j}^{(h)}\left(H_{(h) k}^{l} B_{l}^{\alpha}+H_{(h) k}^{(l)} B_{(l)}^{\alpha}\right)-j / k, \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the symbol $-j / k$ stands for interchange of indices $j, k$ and subtraction. It follows from the Ricci identity that the left-hand side of (6.1) is written as $B_{i}^{\beta} \bar{R}_{\beta \gamma \delta}^{\alpha} B_{j}^{\gamma} B_{k}^{\delta}-B_{l}^{a} R_{i j k}^{l}$, where $\bar{R}_{\beta \gamma \delta}^{\alpha}$ is the Riemannian curvature tensor of the metric $\bar{g}_{\alpha \beta}$ given by (2.1) and $\stackrel{a}{R}{ }_{i j k}^{l}$ is that of the induced metric $a_{i j}(x)$ given by (2.3), that is, (3.4) shows $\stackrel{a}{R_{i j k}^{l}}=\delta F_{i j}^{l} / \delta x^{k}+F_{i j}^{r} F_{r k}^{l}-j / k$, which is nothing less than the $h$ curvature tensor $K_{i j k}^{l}$ of the Rund connection $R \Gamma$ and the $h$-curvature tensor $R_{i j k}^{l}$ of the Cartan connection $C \Gamma$ owing to (1.4) and ${ }^{*}(18.2)$. Therefore (6.1) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{i}^{\beta} \bar{R}_{\beta \gamma \delta}^{\alpha} R_{j}^{\gamma} B_{k}^{\delta}-B_{l}^{\alpha} R_{i j k}^{l}= & \left(H_{i j}^{(h)} H_{(h) k}^{l}-j / k\right) B_{l}^{\alpha}  \tag{6.2}\\
& +\left(H_{i j ; k}^{(l)}+H_{i j}^{(h)} H_{(h) k}^{(l)}-j / k\right) B_{(l)}^{\alpha} .
\end{align*}
$$

We first transvect (6.2) by $\bar{g}_{\alpha \varepsilon} B_{h}^{\varepsilon}$. Then the left-hand side yields $-\bar{R}_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} B_{h}^{\alpha} B_{i}^{\beta} B_{j}^{\gamma} B_{k}^{\delta}+R_{h i j k}$, and from (5.2) and (4.2) the right-hand side becomes $-C_{i j}^{r} C_{r h k}+C_{i k}^{r} C_{r h j}$, which is nothing less than the $v$-curvature tensor $S_{i h j k}$ of $C \Gamma$. Therefore we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} B_{h}^{\alpha} B_{i}^{\beta} B_{j}^{\gamma} B_{k}^{\delta}=R_{h i j k}+S_{h i j k}, \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is usually called the Gauss equation.
Secondly we transvect (6.2) by $\bar{g}_{\alpha \varepsilon} B_{(h)}^{\varepsilon}$ and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i}^{\beta} \bar{R}_{\beta \varepsilon \gamma \delta} B_{(h)}^{\varepsilon} B_{j}^{\gamma} B_{k}^{\delta}=n_{(l h)}\left(H_{i j ; k}^{(l)}+H_{i j}^{(h)} H_{(h) k}^{(l)}-j / k\right) . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To rewrite the right-hand side of (6.4) we now differentiate (4.2):

$$
\left(\partial H_{i j}^{(l)} / \partial x^{k}\right) B_{(l)}^{(h)}+H_{i j}^{(l)}\left(\partial B_{(l)}^{(k)} / \partial x^{k}\right)=-\delta C_{i j}^{h} / \delta x^{k} .
$$

From (5.3) and (4.2) the left-hand side is rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(H_{i j ; k}^{(l)}+H_{r j}^{(l)} F_{i k}^{r}+H_{i r}^{(l)} F_{j k}^{r}\right) B_{(l)}^{(h)}+H_{i j}^{(l)}\left(H_{l) k}^{(r)} B_{(r)}^{(h)}-B_{(l)}^{(r)} F_{r k}^{h}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(H_{i j ; k}^{(l)} B_{(l)}^{(h)}-C_{r j}^{h} F_{i k}^{r}-C_{i r}^{h} F_{j k}^{r}\right)+\left(H_{i j}^{(l)} H_{(l) k}^{(r)} B_{(r)}^{(h)}+C_{i j}^{r} F_{r k}^{l}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and the right-hand side is rewritten as

$$
-C_{i j \mid k}^{h}+C_{i j}^{r} F_{r k}^{h}-C_{r j}^{h} F_{i k}^{r}-C_{i r}^{h} F_{j k}^{r},
$$

where $C_{i j \mid k}^{h}$ is the $h$-covariant derivative of $C_{i j}^{h}$. Thus we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{i j ; k}^{(l)}+H_{i j}^{(r)} H_{(r) k}^{(l)}\right) B_{(l)}^{(h)}=-C_{i j k k}^{h} . \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently (6.4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} B_{(h)}^{\alpha} B_{i}^{\beta} B_{j}^{\gamma} B_{k}^{\delta}=B_{(h)}^{(l)}\left(C_{l i j \mid k}-C_{l i k \mid j}\right), \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is usually called the Codazzi equation. It is noted that $C_{i i j \mid k}-C_{l i k \mid j}$ in the right-hand side is a part of the $h v$-curvature tensor $P_{k j l i}$ of the Cartan connection $C \Gamma\left({ }^{*}(17.23)\right)$.

Next we deal with the integrability condition of the Weingarten formula. Owing to the Ricci identity we have $B_{(i) ; j ; k}^{\alpha}-j / k=B_{(i)}^{\beta} \bar{R}_{\beta \gamma \delta}^{\alpha} B_{j}^{\gamma} B_{k}^{\delta}$ and so we get

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{(i)}^{\beta} \bar{R}_{\beta \gamma \delta}^{\alpha} B_{j}^{\gamma} B_{k}^{\delta}= & \left(H_{(i)) ; k}^{r}+H_{(i) j}^{(s)} H_{(s) k}^{r}-j / k\right) B_{r}^{\alpha}  \tag{6.7}\\
& +\left(H_{(i) j ; k}^{(r)}+H_{(i) j}^{s} H_{s k}^{(r)}+H_{(i) j}^{(s)} H_{(s) k}^{(r)}-j / k\right) B_{(r)}^{\alpha} .
\end{align*}
$$

First, transvecting (6.7) by $\bar{g}_{\alpha \varepsilon} B_{h}^{\varepsilon}$, we get an equation, but it is easy to show that it is equivalent to (6.4). Secondly, transvecting (6.7) by $\bar{g}_{\alpha \varepsilon} B_{(h)}^{\varepsilon}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}_{\beta \varepsilon \gamma \delta} B_{(i)}^{\beta} B_{(h)}^{\varepsilon} B_{j}^{\gamma} B_{k}^{\delta}=n_{(h r)}\left(H_{(i) j ; k}^{(r)}+H_{(i) j}^{s} H_{s k}^{(r)}+H_{(i) j}^{(s)} H_{(s) k}^{(r)}-j / k\right) . \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To rewrite the right-hand side of (6.8), from (2.5), (4.2) and (5.2) we have

$$
n_{(h r)} H_{(i) j}^{s} H_{s k}^{(r)}=g_{m l} B_{(h)}^{(m)} B_{(r)}^{(l)} H_{(i) j}^{s} H_{s k}^{(r)}=-B_{(i)}^{(r)} B_{(h)}^{(m)} C_{r j}^{s} C_{s m k} .
$$

Thus we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{(h r)}\left(H_{(i) j}^{s} H_{s k}^{(r)}-j / k\right)=B_{(i)}^{(r)} B_{(h)}^{(m)} S_{r m j k} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next (5.3) leads us to

$$
B_{(i) ; j ; k}^{(h)}-j / k=B_{(i)}^{(r)} K_{r j k}^{h}=\left(H_{(i) j ; k}^{(r)}+H_{(i) j}^{(s)} H_{(s) k}^{(r)}-j / k\right) B_{(r)}^{(h)} .
$$

Transvecting this by $g_{h m} B_{(l)}^{(m)}$ and paying attention to $K_{r j k}^{h}=R_{r j k}^{h}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{r m j k} B_{(i)}^{(r)} B_{(h)}^{(m)}=n_{(h r)}\left(H_{(i) j ; k}^{(r)}+H_{(i) j}^{(s)} H_{(s) k}^{(r)}-j / k\right) . \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore (6.9) and (6.10) lead us to the following form of the right-hand side of (6.8):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} B_{(h)}^{\alpha} B_{(i)}^{\beta} B_{j}^{\gamma} B_{k}^{\delta}=B_{(h)}^{(r)} B_{(i)}^{(s)}\left(R_{r s j k}+S_{r s j k}\right) . \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is usually called the Ricci equation.
Proposition 4. We have the Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equations of the forms (6.3), (6.6) and (6.11) respectively.
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